

**Community Affairs  
Legislation Committee**

**Examination of Budget Estimates 2003-2004**

**Additional Information Received**

**VOLUME 9**

**FaCS Output Groups: Output 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 2.2,  
3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4**

**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**

**FEBRUARY 2004**



Note: Where published reports, etc. have been provided in response to questions, they have not been included in the Additional Information volume in order to conserve resources.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EXAMINATION OF BUDGET EXPENDITURE FOR 2003-2004**

Included in this volume are answers to written and oral questions taken on notice and  
tabled papers relating to the SUPPLEMENTARY budget estimates hearing on  
6 November 2003

### **FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Quest. No.</b>	<b>Output Group 1.2 – Youth and Student Support</b>	<b>Vol. 9 Page No.</b>
Collins	87	Youth Bureau programs	1
Collins	126	Youth Bureau Programs Branch	2-3
Collins	88, 90	Youth Bureau programs expenditure	4-8
Collins	89	Programs administered by the Youth Bureau Policy and Promotions Branch	9
Collins	91-92	Youth Allowance	10-11
Collins	93	Restructured reviews/Austudy reviews	12-13
Collins	94	Student Financial Supplement Scheme (SFSS)	14
Collins	95-96	Fares Allowance	15-16
Collins	99-106	Reconnect services	17-28
Collins	107-111, 315	Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) Program	29-39
Collins	112-117	Green Corps	40-50
Collins	118-125	Mentor Marketplace initiative	51-58
Collins	127-133	Youth activities services	59-71
Collins	134-135, 247	National youth affairs research scheme	72-75
Collins	136	Voices of Youth initiative	76
Collins	137-142, 249, 250	National Youth Roundtable	77-88
Collins	252 & 143 144-150	National Indigenous youth leadership group	89-96
Collins	151-152	National Youth Week 2003	97-99
Collins	153-154	Rock eisteddfod challenge	100-101
Collins	155-157, 253	Youth pathways action plan task force report	102-107
Collins	234	Service profiling	108
Collins	231	Substance abuse	109
Collins	236 (2)	Fares Allowance	110
Collins	248	Youth suicide	111
<b>Output Group 1.3 – Child Support</b>			
Bishop	254	Child support	112

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Quest. No.</b>	<b>Output Group 1.4 – Child Care Support</b>	<b>Vol. 9 Page No.</b>
	Tabled at hearing	Child care benefit expenditure	113-115
	Tabled at hearing	Openings and closures of child care centres	116-130
	Tabled at hearing	Child care services, places, utilisation, and staff	131-133
	Tabled at hearing	Child places – FDC and OSHC reallocation data as 31 October 2003	134-135
Collins	162	Openings and closures of child care services	136-154
Collins	160, 163	Child care benefit	155-157
Collins	164	Corporate child care	158-176
Collins	166	Ministerial and Parliamentary services	177
Collins	167	In-school child care for young mothers	178
Collins	168	Salary sacrifice of child care costs	179
Collins	170	Child care access hotline	180
Collins	158, 161, 165, 169, 171, 256, 262, 263, 159	Child care support	181-222
Collins	255	Large child care benefit debts	223
Collins	259, 260	Flexibility and choice in child care	224-225
Collins	257	In-home care program	226
Collins	261	Planning Advisory Committees	227-229
Collins	264	Planning Advisory Committee - cap	230
Collins	265	Child care	231
<b>Output Group 2.1 – Housing Support</b>			
Collins	172	SAAP	232
Collins	237	Accessing services – homeless youth	233
<b>Output Group 2.2 – Community Support</b>			
Bishop	32-40	Low-income Health Care Card	234-244
<b>Output Group 3.1 – Labour Market Assistance</b>			
Bishop	274-275	Personal support programme	245-247
Bishop	277-281	Special employment advance	248-250
Bishop	266	Mature age allowance and partner allowance	252-254

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Quest. No.</b>	<b>Output Group 3.2 – Support for People with a Disability</b>	<b>Vol. 9 Page No.</b>
Collins	174	Business service wage assessment tool	255
Collins	176	Young people in residential aged care	256-257
Collins	177	Disability employment in the Public Service	258-259
Collins	179	National Council for Intellectual Disability	260
Lees	286, 287	Disability Support Pension – age breakdown of grants and rejections in 2002/03 and age breakdown of total disability support pension population	261-262
Lees	288	People moving off Disability Support Pension and onto Newstart Allowance	263
Lees	289	Reasons for DSP exits	264
Collins	290	Commonwealth State Territory Disability Agreement	265
Collins	291	SPRC Research Paper 'Characteristics of Disability Support Pension Inflows and Outflows'	266
Collins	292	DSP customers suspended due to failure to report income	267
Collins	293	ECB payments	268
Collins	294	Income earnings – DSP recipients	269
Bishop	295, 296	Print disability subsidy program	270-271
Collins	297	Expenditure on the CSTDA III	272
Collins	298, 314	Auslan interpreting services project	273-274
Collins	299	Disability employment assistance	275-276
<b>Output Group 3.3 – Support for Carers</b>			
Harradine	173	Access to carer payment	277
Collins	175	Young carers	278
Collins	178	National Family Carers Voice	279
Collins	300	Young Carers Research Project	280
Collins	301	Review of the Carer Allowance lists of recognised disabilities	281-283
Collins	302	Carer Allowance saved cases review	284-289
Collins	303	Amendment of the lists of recognised disabilities	290
Collins	304	Carer Allowance co-residence	291
<b>Output Group 3.4 – Support for the Aged</b>			
Bishop	61	Pension Bonus Scheme	292



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 87**

**Topic:** Youth Bureau Programs

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

What programs will the Youth Bureau Programs Branch administer in 2003-04

**Answer:**

Job Placement, Employment and Training Program

Youth Allowance,

Austudy,

Student Financial Supplement Scheme, and

Fares Allowance.

Reconnect Program

Youth Activities Services (YAS) and Family Liaison Worker (FLW) Programs

Transition to Independent Living Allowance (TILA)

National Illicit Drugs Strategy (NIDS) - through the "Strengthening and Supporting Families Coping With Illicit Drug Use" measure

Mentor Marketplace (including First Australians Business)

Green Corps

Targeted Youth Assistance – All funds under this program were expended during 2002-03. However, final delivery of Innovative and Collaborative Youth Servicing (ICYS) pilot projects is taking place in 2003-04, with the last project expected to be complete before the end of the year.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 126**

**Topic: Youth Bureau Programs Branch**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked: Please provide a list of all projects under the Targeted Youth Assistance Program and their location by state and electorate?

**Answer:** A list of the projects is provided below.

<b>Organisation</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Electorate</b>
NSW Southern Area Health Service	NSW	Hume
Hunter Star Foundation Inc.	NSW	Newcastle
Regional Extended Family Services Inc.	NSW	New England
Southern Youth and Family Services Association Inc	NSW	Cunningham
Young Shire Council	NSW	Riverina, Hume, Parkes
City of Kingston	VIC	Hotham, Isaacs
Benalla Rural City Council	VIC	Indi
Melbourne City Mission Mission Western	VIC	Gellibrand
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Goondiwindi	QLD	Maranoa, Gwydir
Wahroonga Family Counselling Centre	QLD	Capricornia
Darumbal Community Youth Service	QLD	Capricornia
Sandgate Indigenous Community Network	QLD	Lilley
Gold Coast Youth Commitment	QLD	McPherson, Moncrieff, Forde, Fadden
Wide Bay Burnett Area Consultative Committee Incorporated	QLD	Wide Bay, Hinkler, Blair, Maronoa, Fairfax
Southern Futures Inc	SA	Kingston
ASK Employment and Training Services	SA	Sturt
Education and Children's Services SA	SA	Adelaide
Lutheran Community Care	SA	Barker
Clare and Gilbert Valleys Council	SA	Grey
RivSkills Inc	SA	Wakefield



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Playford City Council	SA	Bonython, Wakefield, Port Adelaide, Makin
Wheatbelt Area Consultative Committee Inc	WA	O'Connor, Kalgoorlie
Shire of Laverton	WA	Kalgoorlie
Tiwi Island Health Board	NT	Lingiari
Ngaanyatjarra Health	NT	Lingiari
Alice Springs Youth Accommodation & Support Services	NT	Lingiari
Tangentyere Council Inc	NT	Lingiari
Gap Youth Centre Aboriginal Corporation	NT	Lingiari
Don College - Community Links Barrington	TAS	Braddon
Brooks High School	TAS	Bass

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support Question No: 88**

**Topic: Youth Bureau Programs Expenditure**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Can the Department provide a breakdown of the expenditure for 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, and estimated expenditure for 2003-04 under the Youth Bureau Programs Branch?

**Answer:**

Expenditure for the programs administered by the Youth Bureau Programs Branch is set out in the following table (it should be borne in mind that the Youth Bureau Programs Branch was established in early 2002 and among the programs it manages are the Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET); Green Corps; and Targeted Youth Assistance (TYA) programs, which prior to 2002 were managed in the then Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs).

	<b>2003-2004</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>	<b>2001-2002</b>	<b>2000-2001</b>
<b>Job Placement, Employment and Training</b>	Estimated \$19.4 million	\$18.317 million	\$11.807 million <sup>1</sup>	Not applicable
<b>National Illicit Drugs Strategy</b> <sup>2</sup>	Estimated \$3.0 million	\$4.875 million	\$4.753 million	\$1.131 million
<b>Mentor Marketplace</b> <sup>3</sup>	Estimated \$1.372 million	\$0.802 million	Not applicable	Not applicable
<b>Rural Youth Information Service</b> <sup>4</sup>	Not applicable	Approx. \$0.425 million	Approx. \$0800 million <sup>5</sup>	Approx. \$0.850 million
<b>Collaborative Youth Services Trial Projects</b> <sup>6</sup>	Not applicable	Approx. \$0.167 million <sup>7</sup>	Approx. \$0.748 million <sup>8</sup>	Approx. \$0.063 million

<sup>1</sup> Expenditure made under the FaCS portfolio.

<sup>2</sup> Provided by FaCS through the "Strengthening and Supporting Families Coping with Illicit Drug Use" measure. Initial program funding of \$10.759 million was provided for the four years 1999-2000 to 2002-03. There was no expenditure in 1999-2000. Average annual expenditure was \$2.69 million.

<sup>3</sup> The Mentor Marketplace program commenced in 2002-03. The table also includes expenditure on First Australian Business (FAB).

<sup>4</sup> Figures prior to the 2002-03 financial year have been sourced at least partially from records generated from the former Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs. It should also be noted that the RYIS program was discontinued in March 2003.

<sup>5</sup> Approximately \$0.400 million of this funding for 2001-02 was paid under the heading of the Targeted Youth Assistance program.

<sup>6</sup> Figures prior to the 2002-03 financial year have been sourced at least partially from records generated from the former Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs. It should also be noted that funding for these Trial projects was finalised at the end of the 2002-03 financial year.

<sup>7</sup> Approximately \$0.132 million of this funding for the 2002-03 financial year was paid under the heading of the Targeted Youth Assistance program.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

	<b>2003-2004</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>	<b>2001-2002</b>	<b>2000-2001</b>
<b>Innovative and Collaborative Youth Servicing Pilots<sup>9</sup></b>	Not applicable	\$2.948 million <sup>10</sup>	Approx. \$0.350 million <sup>11</sup>	Not applicable
<b>Green Corps</b>	Estimated \$23.520	\$23.600 million	\$22.252 million <sup>12</sup>	\$21.523 million <sup>13</sup>
<b>Reconnect</b>	\$20.179 million	\$17.898 million	\$18.970 million	\$12.717 million <sup>14</sup>
<b>Youth Activities Services/Family Liaison Worker</b>	\$6.923 million	\$6.499 million	\$6.600 million	\$6.116 million

<sup>8</sup> Approximately \$0.661 million of this funding for the 2001-02 financial year was paid under the heading of the Targeted Youth Assistance program.

<sup>9</sup> Figures prior to the 2002-03 financial year have been sourced at least partially from records generated from the former Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs. It should also be noted that funding for the Innovative and Collaborative Youth Servicing (ICYS) pilot projects was finalised at the end of the 2002-03 financial year.

<sup>10</sup> All of the funding for the 2002-03 financial year was paid under the heading of the Targeted Youth Assistance program.

<sup>11</sup> All of the funding for the 2001-02 financial year was paid under the heading of the Targeted Youth Assistance program.

<sup>12</sup> Expenditure reported for the 2001-02 financial year was made under the FaCS and the former DETYA portfolios. \$8.913million was made under the FaCS portfolio and the remaining \$13.339 million was made under the DETYA portfolio. The DETYA figure has been taken from records of that former Department.

<sup>13</sup> This is an estimate, as responsibility for the Green Corps program was with the former Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs at this time.

<sup>14</sup> This was comprised of \$0.059 million for finalisation of the Youth Homelessness Pilot Program and \$12.658 million for commencement of the Reconnect program.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Transition to Independent Living Allowance</b> <sup>15</sup>	\$2.560 million	\$0.930 million	Not applicable	Not applicable
<b>Youth Allowance</b> <sup>16</sup>	Estimated \$2,296.7 million	\$2,235.0 million	\$2,213.8 million	\$2,101.9 million
<b>Austudy</b> <sup>17</sup>	Estimated \$282.6 million	\$270.6 million	\$280.8 million	\$249.3 million
<b>Student Financial Supplement Scheme</b> <sup>18</sup>	Estimated \$87.1 million	\$114.4 million	\$501.0 million	\$161.5 million
<b>Fares Allowance</b> <sup>19</sup>	Estimated \$1.5 million	\$1.3 million	\$0.500 million	\$0.600 million

---

<sup>15</sup> TILA was established in 2002-3.

<sup>16</sup> Estimated expenditure for the 2003-04 financial year from FaCS Portfolio Budget Statements 2003-04. Expenditure for the remaining years is from FaCS Annual Reports.

<sup>17</sup> Estimated expenditure for the 2003-04 financial year from FaCS Portfolio Budget Statements 2003-04. Expenditure for the remaining years is from FaCS Annual Reports.

<sup>18</sup> Estimated expenditure for the 2003-04 financial year from FaCS Portfolio Budget Statements 2003-4. Expenditure for the remaining years is from FaCS Annual Reports.

<sup>19</sup> Estimated expenditure for the 2003-04 financial year from FaCS Portfolio Budget Statements 2003-04. Expenditure for the remaining years is from FaCS Annual Reports.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 90**

**Topic:**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a breakdown of the expenditure for 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03, and estimated expenditure for 2003-04 under the Youth Bureau Policy and Promotions Branch?

**Answer:**

In November 2001 the then Youth Bureau joined the Department of Family and Community Services. In April 2002, the Youth Bureau – Policy and Promotions Branch was formed. The following list includes projects managed by the branch since 2001-02.

Please see the table for further information.

ACTIVITY	2001-2002 EXPENDITURE (ACTUAL)	2002-2003 EXPENDITURE (ACTUAL)	2003-2004 EXPENDITURE (ALLOCATION)
National Youth Roundtable (NYRT)	\$480,348	\$420,001	\$460,000
Rostrum Voice of Youth Final	\$26,365	\$13,198	\$20,000
YMCA Youth Parliament	-	\$356	\$44,546
National Youth Science Forum	\$20,000	-	-
Commonwealth Youth Program and International youth fora	\$5,894	\$101,525	\$104,454
Youth Advisory & Consultative Forum	-	\$20,215	\$75,000
ANTA (skills recognition project)	-	-	\$20,000
Youth for the Future	-	\$100,069	\$459,000
The Source	-	\$125,000	\$125,000
National Indigenous Youth		\$88,855	\$108,000

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Leadership Group			
Come and Try Day	\$24,186	-	-
True Blue Dreaming Tour	\$17,273	-	-
Australian Forum of Youth Organisations	\$14,336	\$14,393	\$35,000
Rock Eisteddfod	\$346,454	\$276,149	\$302,000
Young Australian of the Year	\$150,000	\$162,131	\$200,000
National Youth Media Awards	\$419,607	\$325,065	\$300,000
Media Watch	-	-	\$90,000
Kool Skools	-	-	\$5,000
Step to the Future	-	-	\$25,000
National Awards for Local Government	\$15,000	\$10,774	\$18,000
National Youth Week (NYW)	\$567,440	\$674,969	\$785,600
NYARS	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$120,000
Australian Clearinghouse for Youth Studies	\$219,075	\$316,858	\$209,378
Three Year Longitudinal Study of Young People Participating in Youth Development Projects	\$29,502	-	-
Living Choices	-	\$35,000	\$39,000
Ausyouth	\$1,256,109	\$48,363	\$82,800
Youth Enterprise Development Scoping Study – APEC papers	-	\$33,387	\$6,000

- indicates no activity

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 89**

**Topic: Programs administered by the Youth Bureau Policy and Promotions Branch**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What programs will the Youth Bureau Policy and Promotions Branch administer in 2003—4?

**Answer:**

There are a number of projects administered by the Youth Bureau Policy and Promotions Branch, including:

Australian Forum of Youth Organisations (AFOYO)  
Youth Advisory and Consultative Forum (YACF)  
Youth Development skills Recognition Project (ANTA)  
Media Watch  
National Youth Media Awards  
National Youth Week  
Source/Youth Portal  
Kool skools  
National Awards Local Government  
Rock Eisteddfod Challenge  
Rostrum Voice of Youth Comp  
Step to the Future  
YMCA Youth Parliament  
Young Australian of the Year  
National Clearing House for Youth Studies  
Evaluation Reconnect  
Longitudinal Study of Youth Development  
National Youth Affairs Research Scheme (NYARS)  
Youth Enterprise Development Scoping Study  
Commonwealth Youth Program (CYP)  
International Youth Participation  
National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group (NIYLG)  
National Youth Roundtable (NYR)  
Youth for the Future – Youth Enterprise Development Forum

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 91**

**Topic: Youth Allowance**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could the Department please provide a table showing the average (mean) fortnightly full time student Youth Allowance payment by each state and territory and in total for each calendar year since its establishment in 1998?

**Answer:**

The table below shows the average rates payment to Youth Allowance full-time students by state and territory. The average rates have been calculated at a **point in time** in June each year.

Youth Allowance (full-time student) **total expenditure** and average rate for the full financial year by state is not readily available, as special appropriations are not broken into the Youth Allowance sub-groups of Youth Allowance (full-time students) and Youth Allowance (non full-time students).

**Youth Allowance - full-time students - average amount of payment**

<b>Fortnight ending:</b>					
<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>25-Jun-99</b>	<b>18-Jun-00</b>	<b>15-Jun-01</b>	<b>23-Jun-02</b>	<b>20-Jun-03</b>
New South Wales	\$178.04	\$190.30	\$209.47	\$222.11	\$223.51
Victoria	\$176.37	\$187.64	\$207.16	\$219.75	\$221.21
Queensland	\$180.92	\$194.87	\$217.32	\$230.06	\$230.47
South Australia	\$170.58	\$181.58	\$201.16	\$213.20	\$213.59
Western Australia	\$176.58	\$189.84	\$213.09	\$225.14	\$228.24
Tasmania	\$189.18	\$201.34	\$221.52	\$234.21	\$233.65
Australian Capital Territory	\$193.56	\$208.81	\$228.19	\$245.98	\$250.32
Northern Territory	\$205.47	\$227.62	\$245.15	\$263.52	\$272.01
National Average	\$178.09	\$190.45	\$210.80	\$223.47	\$224.83



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 92**

**Topic: Youth Allowance**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could the Department provide the data indicating the growth in Youth Allowance since implementation in July 1998 both by financial year and as a total?

**Answer:**

The following table shows details of Youth Allowance customers and expenditure from 1998/99 to 2002/03

<b>Financial Year</b>	<b>Customers*</b>	<b>Expenditure</b>
1998-99	395,456	1,860.1m
1999-00	391,512	1,965.6m
2000-01	393,205	2,102.0m
2001-02	395,496	2,213.7m
2002-03	392,783	2,235.0m

\* Customers are a point in time count as at June

It should be noted that method of counting customers changed from 1 July 2002 and this may impact on comparability of 2003 figures with those from previous years. Prior to July 2002 the population count used was the sum of customers who had been paid in the previous fortnight and those who would have been paid were it not for their level of earnings. The new method counts customers with a payment determination status of 'current' at data extraction date.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth & Student Support

**Question No: 93**

**Topic:** Restructured Reviews/Austudy Reviews

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

In 2003-04 Budget Estimates Senator Bishop referred to a range of measures in the PBS introduced under the heading “Youth and Student Assistance – Restructured Reviews’ on page 188.<sup>20</sup> The Committee was told by Mr Popple that in the original measure, back in 2001, there were 16 800 full time youth allowance reviews introduced – they traded in other reviews previously – and there were 33 900 youth allowance job seeker reviews. There were no Austudy reviews previously; that is a new area of review this time. The committee was also told it is recognised that these reviews will bring about some cancellations of payments and some downward variations in payment.<sup>21</sup> Senator Bishop’s Question: “Of those 16 800 and 33 900 you did between October 2001 and the current time, how many resulted in downward reviews?” was taken on notice. The answer has come back saying, “System changes mean reliable management information on the number of downward variations is not currently available.”<sup>22</sup>

1. Is management information on the number of downward variations now available?
2. If no, what exactly is causing the delay and when is it expected that this information will be available?
3. The Department also appeared quite clear that the Austudy Reviews were new. In the FACS Annual Report 2002-03 on page 58 it states that “In 2002-03 an interrogation of the Centrelink mainframe data indicated that 422 629 Youth Allowance and Austudy Payment Reviews were completed.”<sup>23</sup> Could the Department please reconcile these statements?
4. If there have been reviews of Austudy between 2001 and the current time, how many have resulted in downward variations?

**Answer:**

1. Management information is now available on reviews conducted in the 2002/03 financial year. With regard to the introduction of service profiling, 22,583 service profiling updates were completed for Youth Allowance customers, and 6,189 of these resulted in a downward variation or cancellation to payment (27.4%). It should be noted that service profiling for Youth Allowance was phased in from July 2002 and fully implemented from 1 January 2003. Information on the full year effect of profiling for Youth Allowance will not be available until the 2003/04 financial year results are available.
2. See above.

---

PBS 2003-04 P.188  
Senate Hansard. 4/6/03. CA 439  
CA 2003-04 Budget Estimates. FACS. Answer to QON No: 47  
FACS Annual Report 2002-03 Vol.2. P.58

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

3. In the 2003-04 Budget the Government announced that, from April 2004, a new range of service profiling reviews (5,000 reviews pa in a full year) will be funded for Austudy. These reviews are additional to existing compliance and program reviews. The reviews reported in the Annual Report are for the 2002-03 financial year and include compliance reviews, program reviews, accelerated claimant matching, research and development and the new service profiling reviews for Youth Allowance announced in October 2001

The original profiling measure announced in October 2001 with planned implementation of July 2002, was for Youth Allowance, Parenting, Aged program and Newstart, and involved trading some existing review numbers for new service profiling reviews.

4. In relation to Austudy, the table below indicates details as requested.

	Total Number of Reviews	Reviews resulting in cancellation or reduction in payment
2000/01	12,109	867
2001/02	14,245	922
2002/03	17,837	1,846

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 94**

**Topic: Student Financial Supplement Scheme (SFSS)**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could you please detail the information provided to people who apply for the SFSS regarding their obligations to pay back their loan?

**Answer:**

Students who wish to apply for SFSS loans receive the SFSS Centrelink publication: "*Student Financial Supplement Scheme; A guide for applicants.*" This booklet details the applicant's obligations and repayment provisions.

Please see attached 2003 booklet

[[Note: the attachment has not been included in the electronic/printed volume]

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 95**

**Topic: Fares Allowance**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a table that demonstrates the number of students eligible for Fares Allowance and the type of recipient they are (Youth Allowance, Austudy Payment or Pensioner Education Supplement) for each year since its establishment.

**Answer:**

The number of Youth Allowance students potentially eligible to receive Fares Allowance each year, since 1 July 1998, is detailed below. The data is point in time at June of each year.

The information is for Youth Allowance only and details the number of dependent Youth Allowees receiving the away from home rate and undertaking study at tertiary level. Data related to the number of potentially eligible independent YA, Austudy and PES recipients is not readily available.

<b>Point in Time</b>	<b>Number of potentially eligible Youth Allowance students</b>
June 1999	36,627
June 2000	34,057
June 2001	32,763
June 2002	30,836
June 2003	27,998

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 96**

**Topic: Fares Allowance**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What are the eligibility criteria for Fares Allowance?

**Answer**

Fares Allowance is available to a person who is either a full-time or concessional study-load tertiary student and who is receiving either Youth Allowance, Austudy payment or Pensioner Education Supplement.

Dependent Youth Allowance students may be eligible for assistance under Fares Allowance if they need to live away from the parental home in order to study. Independent Youth Allowance students, as well as students receiving Austudy payment or Pensioner Education Supplement, may qualify for Fares Allowance if they live away from their permanent home and they have a partner or a dependent child who continues to live at their permanent home. Fares Allowance is also available to students undertaking external tertiary level study to help them attend their educational institution for a compulsory residential component of their course.

Eligible students can also claim Fares Allowance if they use their own vehicle. The Motor Vehicle Allowance (MVA) rates are used to determine the rate of Fares Allowance reimbursement for travel by private vehicle. The amount of reimbursement depends on the kilometres travelled and the engine capacity of the car.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 99**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could the Department provide the latest figures on the number of homeless youth in Australia?

**Answer:**

Please see QON 237, which outlines national homelessness data, including youth homelessness.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 100**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

How many young people have received support from Reconnect in each year since its establishment in 2001?

**Answer:**

Reconnect was established in December 1999.

December 1999–June 2000	1,454
2000–2001	4,236
2001–2002	11,913
2002–2003	11,392



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 101**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a breakdown of expenditure on this program?

**Answer:**

Refer to Question 88.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 102**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a list of all current funded Reconnect services and their location by state and by electorate?

**Answer:**

Please find attached.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Electorate</b>	<b>Auspice name</b>	<b>Service Name</b>	<b>State</b>
Fraser	Centacare Archdiocese of Canberra and Goulburn	Reconnect ACT	ACT
Canberra	Gugan Gulwan Youth Aboriginal Corporation	Gugan Gulwan	ACT
Shortland	The Samaritan Foundation	Creative Times Reconnect	NSW
Cunningham	Southern Youth and Family Services	RAFT Reconnect	NSW
Page	Northern Rivers Social Development Council	Northern Rivers Reconnect	NSW
Lindsay	Wesley Dalmar Hawkesbury/Nepean	Wesley Dalmar Reaching Out	NSW
Sydney	The Twenty Ten Association	Reconnect at Twenty 10	NSW
Farrer	St Vincent De Paul Deniliquin Emergency Accommodation Program	Vinnies Reconnect	NSW
Macarthur	Uniting Care Burnside	Macarthur Reconnect	NSW
Sydney	Mission Australia/Salvation Army/Wesley Mission	Reconnect Inner City	NSW
Eden-Monaro	Skills Training Employment Program Inc. Trading as Auswide Projects.	Bega Reconnect	NSW
Parkes	Centacare Archdiocese of Canberra and Goulburn	Reconnect Central West	NSW
Chifley	Salvation Army (NSW) Property Trust	Salvation Army Youthlink	NSW
New England	Newtrain Inc	New England Reconnect	NSW
Parkes	Grace Shire Cottage	Dubbo Reconnect	NSW
Barton	St George Youth Workers Network	St George Reconnect	NSW
Gwydir	Barnardos	Barnardos Wellington	NSW
Gwydir	Barnardos	Barnardos Mudgee	NSW
Watson	Barnardos	Barnardos Canterbury	NSW
Fowler	Sydney Anglican Home Mission Society	Reconnect	NSW
Banks	Mission Australia Punchbowl	Reconnect Auburn/Bankstown	NSW
Parkes	Broken Hill and District Family Support Services	Far West Reconnect	NSW
Lyne	Regional Extended Family Services	Reconnect Kempsey/Hastings	NSW
Parkes	Cobar Domestic Violence Committee	Reconnect Youth and Family Service	NSW
Gwydir	Coonabarabran Aboriginal Lands Council	Reconnect	NSW
Newcastle	The Samaritan Foundation	Reconnect Lower Hunter	NSW
Sydney	The Salvation Army Oasis Youth Support Network	Mental Health Reconnect	NSW
Chifley	Great Mates Inc	Miimali Mates	NSW
New England	Regional Extended Family Services	Reconnect Armidale, Guyra and Glen Innes	NSW
Dobell	Uniting Care Burnside Wyong and Gosford	RAPT	NSW
Cowper	Bellingen Shire Council	Reconnect Bellingen Nambucca	NSW
Eden-Monaro	Campbell Page Employment and Training	Eurobodalla Reconnect	NSW
Solomon	Anglicare Top End	Connect	NT
Solomon	Anglicare Top End	Connect	NT
Lingiari	Gap Youth Centre	Gap Youth Centre Reconnect	NT
Lingiari	Waltja	Waltja Reconnect	NT
Lingiari	Anglicare Top End	East Arnhem Land Reconnect	NT
Oxley	Mercy Family Services	Reconnect Inala-Goodna-Ipswich	QLD

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Moncrieff	Gold Coast Family Connections Inc.	Reconnect Gold Coast	QLD
Hinkler	Roseberry Youth Services Inc.	Reconnect Gladstone	QLD
Fisher	Integrated Family and Youth Service	Reconnect Caloundra	QLD
Rankin	Lutheran Community Care	Reconnect Logan	QLD
Wide Bay	Community Solutions Harvey Bay	Reconnect Harvey Bay	QLD
Kennedy	Centacare Catholic Family Services	Reconnect Mt Isa	QLD
Herbert	Queensland Youth Services, Inc	Reconnect Thuringowa	QLD
Brisbane	Mercy Family Services	Reconnect South Brisbane	QLD
Lilley	Community Living Association Inc	Reconnect North Brisbane	QLD
Wide Bay	Barambah Aboriginal Community Care	Reconnect Murgon-Cherbourg	QLD
Capricornia	Fitzroy Basin Elders Committee	Reconnect Rockhampton	QLD
Groom	Mission Australia	Reconnect Toowoomba	QLD
Brisbane	Sisters Inside Inc.	Reconnect Crucial Connection	QLD
Brisbane	Open Doors Youth Service Inc	Reconnect Open Doors	QLD
Bonython	Uniting Care Wesley Adelaide Inc.	Salisbury Reconnect	SA
Grey	Port Pirie Central Mission	Reconnect Port Pirie Port Augusta	SA
Adelaide	Mission Australia	Southern Early Intervention Service	SA
Port Adelaide	City of Port Adelaide Enfield	Reconnect Port Adelaide Enfield	SA
Bonython	Anglicare SA Inc	Reconnect Playford	SA
Barker	Anglican Community Care Inc	Reconnect Murray Mallee	SA
Adelaide	Kumangka Aboriginal Youth Service	Adelaide Metropolitan Indigenous Reconnect	SA
Adelaide	Multicultural Council of SA Inc	South East Asian Reconnect	SA
Adelaide	Centacare	Reconnect Mental Health Program	SA
Grey	Whyalla Youth Services	Reconnect Program	SA
Lingiari	Ngaanyatjarra Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara Women's Council Corporation	NPY Lands Reconnect	NT
Denison	Colony 47 Inc.	Reconnect	Tas.
Braddon	Anglicare Tasmania Inc.	Bridges Reconnect	Tas
Denison	Colony 47 Inc.	Reconnect	Tas.
Bass	Relationships Australia, Tasmania	Relationships Australia, Tasmania - Reconnect	Tas
Braddon	Anglicare Tasmania Inc.	Rural and Remote Reconnect Tasmania	Tas
JagaJaga	Eltham CHC	KITS Eltham Reconnect	Vic
Aston	Regional Extended Family Services	REFS Reconnect	Vic
McMillan	Quantum Support Services Inc.	Quantum S.S. Inc	Vic
Gellibrand	Melbourne City Mission	Melbourne City Mission	Vic
Corio	MacKillop Family services	Geelong Reconnect	Vic
Lalor	Uniting Care	Werribee Support & Housing Group	Vic
Indi	Nth East Support & Action for Youth	NESAY Reconnect	Vic
Ballarat	Lisa Lodge Hayeslee	Central Highlands Reconnect	Vic
Melbourne	Centre for Multicultural Youth Issues	Centre for Multicultural Youth Issues	Vic
Melbourne	Salvation Army	Crossroads Reconnect	Vic
Scullin	Kildonan Child and Youth Services	Kildonan Child & Youth Services	Vic
Dunkley	Salvation Army	Peninsula Youth & Family Services	Vic

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Holt	Uniting Care Connections	Grassmere Cardinia Youth Services	Vic
Gippsland	Lakes Entrance Community Health Centre	LinX	Vic
Bendigo	St Lukes Anglicare	St Lukes ( Reconnect)	Vic
Melbourne	Melbourne City Mission	Melbourne City Mission (CBD)	Vic
Melbourne	Youth Substance Abuse Service	Youth Substance Abuse Service	Vic
Aston	Regional Extended Family Services	REFS Wilderness - Reconnect	Vic
Burke	Melton Shire Council	Melton Shire Council - Reconnect	Vic
Forrest	Agencies for South West Accomodation Inc (ASWA)	South West Youth and Family Support Service	WA
Curtin	Mercy Community Services	Mercy Reconnect (Central North Metro)	WA
Curtin	Mercy Community Services	Mercy Reconnect (South East Metro)	WA
Kalgoorlie	Burdekin - Youth in Action	Broome Reconnect	WA
Cowan	Mercy Community Services	Mercy Reconnect (North West Coastal Metro)	WA
Fremantle	Mercy Community Services	Mercy Reconnect (South West Coastal Metro)	WA
Pearce	Parkerville Children's Home	Parkerville Reconnect (North East Metro)	WA
Curtin/Perth	Outcare	Options (Youth Leaving Detention)	WA
Curtin	Mercy Community Services	Mercy Reconnect (Rural WA)	WA
Brand	Mission Australia	Reconnect Peel	WA
Swan	Coalition of Aboriginal Agencies	Perth Metropolitan Indigenous	WA

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 103**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a list of all past funded Reconnect services and their location by state and electorate?

**Answer:**

The list of currently funded Reconnect services in response to QON 102 represent services that have been engaged in the three selection rounds since 2000.

Only one service has ceased to be funded since 2000. That is the Bega Youth Safety Net Reconnect service, located in Bega (NSW), which ceased to be funded in 2002–03. A new service in Bega is now operating and is listed at QON 102.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support  
**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Question No: 104**

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

The Department has previously indicated that it was working actively with 8 indigenous communities to develop a Reconnect service.<sup>24</sup> Has the Department successfully developed a Reconnect service in each of these 8 indigenous communities?

<sup>1</sup> CA 2001-02 Additional Estimates. FACS. Answer to QON No: 21

**Answer:**

To date, FaCS has established Reconnect services in all but two of the communities referred to in QON No. 21 from 2001-02 Additional Estimates. These are located in the Bourke/Brewarina/Walgett area and the Lodden Mallee area. However, it should be noted that of the current 98 Reconnect services, 14 assist Indigenous communities.

---

<sup>24</sup> CA 2001-02 Additional Estimates. FACS. Answer to QON No: 21

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 105**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a list of all past and current funded Reconnect services in indigenous communities or areas with large young indigenous populations? Please provide their state and electorate.

**Answer:**

A list of Reconnect services that are Indigenous specific and those that have an Indigenous focus is attached.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Question 105 Attachment**

**INDIGENOUS SPECIFIC & FOCUSED RECONNECT SERVICES**

<b>STATE</b>	<b>SERVICE PROVIDER</b>	<b>LOCATION</b>	<b>ELECTORATE</b>
<b>ACT</b>	Gugan Galwan Aboriginal Corporation, Canberra	ACT Region	<b>FRASER</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Grace Shire Cottage Inc.	Dubbo local government area	<b>PARKES</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Barnardos	Wellington	<b>GWYDIR</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Cobar Domestic Violence Committee	Cobar	<b>PARKES</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Coonabarabran Aboriginal Lands Council	Coonabarabran	<b>GWYDIR</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Miimali Mates Inc	Emerton	<b>CHIFLEY</b>
<b>NSW</b>	Campbell Page Employment & Training	Eurobodalla	<b>EDEN-MONARO</b>
<b>QLD</b>	<i>Murgon/Cherbourg</i>	Murgon and Cherbourg	<b>WIDE BAY</b>
<b>QLD</b>	Fitzroy Basin Elders Committee Inc	Rockhampton and District	<b>CAPRICORNIA</b>
<b>WA</b>	Burdekin Youth In Action	Broome	<b>KALGOORLIE</b>
<b>WA</b>	Coalition of Aboriginal Agencies	Perth Metropolitan	<b>PERTH</b>
<b>NT</b>	Anglicare Top End	Palmerston East Arnhem Land	<b>SOLOMON</b>
<b>NT</b>	Gap Youth Centre Aboriginal Corporation	Alice Springs	<b>LINGIARI</b>
<b>NT</b>	Waltja Reconnect	Alice Springs	<b>LINGIARI</b>
<b>NT</b>	NPY Women's Council	NPY Lands Cross Border (NT/WA/SA)	<b>LINGIARI</b>
<b>SA</b>	Kumangka Aboriginal Services Corporation	Adelaide Metropolitan	<b>ADELAIDE</b>
<b>SA</b>	Pt Pirie Central Mission	Port Pirie Port Augusta	<b>GREY</b>
<b>SA</b>	Anglican Community Care Inc	Murray Mallee	<b>BARKER</b>
<b>SA</b>	Whyalla Youth Services	Whyalla	<b>GREY</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 106**

**Topic:** Reconnect Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could the Department please provide a copy of the final report of the evaluation of Reconnect.

**Answer:**

Attached

[Note: the attachment has not been included in the electronic/printed volume]

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 107**

**Topic: Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) Program**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

How many young people has JPET assisted in each financial year since its establishment and in total?

**Answer:**

The table below provides the information requested.

Information for years 1996–2001 is sourced from Annual Reports of the forerunners to the Department of Education, Science and Training.

Responsibility for the program was transferred from the then Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs to the Department of Family and Community Services in November 2001.

<b>Financial Year</b>	<b>No. Assisted</b>
2002–03	14,117
2001–02	15,595
2000–01	13,966
1999–00	13,700
1998–99	Approx. 11,00
1997–98	Approx. 7,000
1996–97	Approx. 8,000
<b>Total (Approx.)</b>	<b>83,378</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 108**

**Topic: Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) Program**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Of those young people assisted by JPET how many have achieved access to safe accommodation in each financial year since its establishment and in total?

**Answer:**

The information requested is not available for each financial year since establishment of the program.

The *JPET – Keeping on track* evaluation, reported in the FaCS 2001–02 Annual Report (page 45), found that access to safe accommodation increased from 58 per cent on entry to 80 per cent on exit and 85 per cent at six months post-exit.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 109**

**Topic: Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) Program**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Of those young people assisted by JPET how many have made a successful transition to further education and training in each financial year since its establishment and in total?

**Answer:**

The information requested is not available for each financial year since establishment of the program.

The *JPET – Keeping on track* evaluation, reported in the FaCS 2001–02 Annual Report (page 45), found that participation in education and training increased from 20 per cent at entry to 47 per cent on exit and 43 per cent at six months post-exit.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 110**

**Topic: Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) Program**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Of those young people assisted by JPET how many have made a successful transition to employment in each financial year since its establishment and in total?

**Answer:**

The information requested is not available for each financial year since establishment of the program.

The *JPET – Keeping on track* evaluation, reported in the FaCS 2001–02 Annual Report (page 45), found that employment levels improved from 1 per cent of clients participating on entry to the program to 27 per cent on exit.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 111**

**Topic: Job Placement, Employment and Training Program**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a breakdown of expenditure on this program?

**Answer:**

Refer to Question 88.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 315**

**Topic: JPET**

**Hansard Page: CA125**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Provide a list of JPET providers and their allocated funding levels.

**Answer:**

<b>Service Name</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Contract Amount (1 July 03 – 30 June 04) GST excl</b>
<b>NSW</b>		
Anglicare Migrant Services Cabramatta	Cabramatta	\$164,042.35
The Work Place Inc	Blacktown	\$131,903.73
Centacare (Newcastle)	Newcastle	\$161,391.95
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Campsie	Campsie	\$150,268.67
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Mt Druitt	Mt Druitt	\$139,750.60
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Punchbowl	Punchbowl	\$139,750.60
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Campbelltown	Campbelltown	\$157,395.51
Inner West Skills Centre Inc	Burwood	\$93,911.40
The Salvation Army - Oasis Youth Support Network	Surry Hills	\$161,245.87
Waverley Action For Youth Services Inc	Bondi Beach	\$125,643.02
Innerskill Rozelle	Rozelle	\$99,055.65
Nepean Interyouth Services	Penrith	\$163,927.56
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Granville	Granville	\$139,750.60
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Sydney City	Sydney	\$139,750.60
Forbes Employment & Training Service Inc	Forbes	\$156,049.45
Samaritans Foundation - Cessnock	Cessnock / Central Coast	\$261,040.59
Centacare Port Macquarie	Port Macquarie	\$181,155.09
Enterprise & Training		



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Company of Coffs Harbour Ltd	Coffs Harbour	\$161,245.87
Taree Adult Education Inc	Taree	\$101,914.74
Mission Australia Dubbo	Dubbo	\$150,498.24
Broken Hill Skills Centre Inc	Broken Hill	\$146,606.13
Mission Australia (Wollongong)	Wollongong	\$146,199.18
Mission Australia Goulburn	Goulburn	\$146,522.65
Mission Australia Wagga Wagga	Wagga Wagga	\$153,722.53
YWCA of Sydney (Illawarra Branch)	Nowra	\$177,377.76
Byron Youth Service Incorporated	Byron Bay	\$177,304.73
Griffith Skills Training Centre Inc	Griffith	\$85,720.24
Tursa Employment & Training Inc	Lismore	\$155,485.97
Djigay Student Association Inc.	Kempsey	\$117,180.55
Upper Hunter Community Services Inc.	Muswellbrook	\$86,001.97
Skills Training Employment Program Inc	Bega	\$139,635.82
Mission Australia Northern NSW - Wellington	Wellington	\$68,805.75
Mission Australia Northern NSW - Orange	Orange	\$145,447.89
Mission Australia Northern NSW - Bathurst	Bathurst	\$68,805.75
Southern Youth & Family Services Association Inc	Wollongong	\$236,500.21
Oasis Pre-Employment Network (OPEN) Inc	Batemans Bay	\$160,797.18
Centacare - Bourke	Bourke	\$152,480.81
Newtrain Inc – Narrabri / Tamworth	Tamworth	\$204,831.20
<b>Total NSW</b>		<b>\$5,549,118.48</b>
<b>VIC</b>		
St Kilda Youth Service Inc	St Kilda	\$138,456.71
The Salvation Army (Victoria) Property Trust (Brunswick)	Brunswick	\$159,868.51
Brotherhood of St Laurence	Fitzroy	\$161,245.87
Centre for Multicultural		

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Youth Issues	Brunswick	\$219,303.99
Youth Projects Incorporated	Glenroy	\$139,750.60
YWCA Victoria	Melbourne	\$150,498.24
BEST Mentone JPET	Mentone	\$161,277.18
BEST Oakleigh JPET	Oakleigh	\$127,497.25
Springvale Community Aid & Advice Bureau Inc.	Noble Park	\$153,722.53
The Salvation Army (Victoria) Property Trust	Collingwood	\$131,152.49
Melbourne City Mission Western	Footscray	\$153,002.95
Banyule Community Health Service Inc.	Heidelberg West	\$163,405.84
UnitingCare Harrison Community Services	Wantirna	\$165,795.36
Berry Street Victoria - Seymour Inc.	Seymour	\$124,975.20
Djerriwarrh Employment & Education Services	Melton	\$155,277.28
Colac Adult & Community Education Inc - Colac/Warrnambool	Colac	\$90,301.03
Berry Street - Gippsland - Morwell	Morwell	\$96,269.62
Brophy Family and Youth Services Inc.	Warrnambool	\$106,422.48
Upper Yarra Community House	Yarra Junction	\$172,003.95
RAD Employment & Support Service - City of Greater Bendigo	Bendigo	\$161,245.87
Centacare Mildura	Mildura	\$93,921.84
BEST Community Development	Ballarat	\$116,084.93
Brayton Youth and Family Services - Shepparton	Shepparton	\$173,413.25
YWCA of Albury Wodonga Inc.	Wodonga	\$171,889.16
Lakes Entrance Community Health Centre Inc	Lakes Entrance	\$89,977.55
Goldfields Employment & Learning Centre Inc	Maryborough	\$187,050.64
Gippsland Employment Skills Training Inc	Traralgon	\$96,749.61
BAYSA Ltd.	Geelong	\$214,994.50

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

North East Support & Action for Youth Inc.	Wangaratta	\$73,188.28
Centacare Ballarat	Ballarat	\$146,954.09
The Salvation Army South East Services Network	Mornington	\$198,236.53
<b>Total VIC</b>		<b>\$4,493,933.33</b>
<b>QLD</b>		
Deception Bay Community Youth Programs Association Inc	Deception Bay	\$84,478.52
Challenge Employment and Training	Goodna	\$81,995.09
The Salvation Army (Queensland) Property Trust	Lawton / Redcliffe / Fortitude Valley / Caboolture	\$598,242.04
Youth & Family Service (Logan City) Inc.	Slacks Creek	\$130,077.73
BoysTown Link Up	Kingston	\$162,070.21
Civic Solutions (Previously Mt Gravatt Training Centre Inc. (Upper Mt Gravatt))	Upper Mt Gravatt	\$167,162.29
Civic Solutions (Previously Mt Gravatt Training Centre Inc. (Wynnum/Redlands area))	Wynnum	\$124,296.96
South Burnett Community Training Centre Inc	Kingaroy	\$168,540.20
Tablelands Job Training Inc	Atherton	\$77,351.69
Mission Australia - Mission Employment Toowoomba	Toowoomba	\$175,353.45
Epic Community Service	Mermaid Beach	\$117,817.07
Kalyan Youth Service Inc	Mackay	\$57,452.91
Namtec Inc.	Nambour	\$83,320.28
Twin Towns Employment Enterprise Ltd	Tweed Heads	\$91,010.58
Success Employment and Training Co-op (Previously Townsville Employment Training Inc. (Cairns))	Cairns	\$156,946.82
Success Employment and Training Co-op (Previously Townsville Employment Training Inc.	Townsville	\$156,946.82
Multilink Community Services Inc (Previously Logan City Multicultural		

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Neighbourhood Centre)	Woodridge	\$121,667.44
Youthtrek	Rockhampton	\$207,857.24
Bundaberg Skills Centre Inc	Bundaberg	\$158,198.97
Skill Centred Queensland	Gympie	\$150,498.24
Noosa Youth Service Association Inc.	Tewantin	\$161,569.35
Mount Isa Skills Association Inc	Mt Isa	\$103,146.02
<b>Total QLD</b>		<b>\$3,335,999.90</b>
<b>SA</b>		
Youthcare - Adelaide (Inner Metro South)	St Marys	80,325.55
Mission SA - Playford	Smithfield Plains	\$129,002.96
Mission SA - Noarlunga	Christies Beach	\$225,958.19
City of Charles Sturt	Kilkenny	\$112,881.50
Service to Youth Council Inc.	Adelaide	\$167,704.89
Young Women's Christian Association Adelaide Inc. - Fleurieu	Victor Harbour	\$54,562.53
Lutheran Community Care - Blair Athol	Mount Barker	\$160,713.71
Mission SA – Whyalla	Whyalla / Pt Augusta	\$132,759.42
Rivskills Inc.	Berri	\$70,137.32
Para Worklinks Inc.	Smithfield Plains	\$109,114.61
The Salvation Army - Ingle Farm Community Services	Ingle Farm	\$118,255.32
Employment Directions	Nuriootpa	\$180,602.05
Port Pirie Regional Council - Crime Prevention	Port Pirie	\$99,431.30
Youthcare - Port Lincoln	Port Lincoln	\$89,549.74
Lutheran Community Care - South East	Mt Gambier	\$80,377.73
<b>Total SA</b>		<b>\$1,811,376.82</b>
<b>WA</b>		
Town of Bassendean	Bassendean	\$167,704.89
Anglicare WA	East Perth	\$196,306.13
Joondalup Youth Support Services	Joondalup	\$196,306.13
Balga Job Link Inc	Mirrabooka	\$188,845.39
South East Metropolitan Youth Action	Cannington	\$207,930.27
Mission Employment - Rockingham	Rockingham	\$145,677.45

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Regional Training Services - Albany	Albany	\$129,002.96
Esperance Group Training Scheme Inc	Esperance	\$48,917.41
Mission Employment Geraldton	Geraldton	\$136,574.06
Regional Training Services - Kalgoorlie	Kalgoorlie	\$83,852.45
Jobs South West	Bunbury	\$239,172.10
Agencies for South West Accommodation Inc. (ASWA)	Bunbury	\$136,359.98
Derby / West Kimberley Skillshare	Derby	\$82,475.08
Kununurra Youth Services	Kununurra	\$72,562.21
Pilbara Job Futures	Karratha	\$159,649.38
<b>Total WA</b>		<b>\$2,191,335.90</b>
<b>TAS</b>		
Anglicare Tasmania Inc	Launceston	\$170,376.78
Hobart City Council	Hobart	\$129,983.81
Colony 47 Inc	Hobart	\$162,539.95
Burnie City Council	Burnie	\$161,245.87
<b>Total TAS</b>		<b>\$624,146.41</b>
<b>ACT</b>		
Centacare Canberra	Manuka	\$181,676.82
Migrant Resource Centre of Canberra and Queanbeyan Inc.	Canberra City	\$97,824.37
<b>Total ACT</b>		<b>\$279,501.19</b>
<b>NT</b>		
Darwin Skills Development Scheme	Nightcliff	\$81,430.80
Centacare NT	The Gardens, Darwin	\$192,118.73
Alice Springs Youth Accommodation & Support Services	Alice Springs	\$136,766.30
<b>Total NT</b>		<b>\$410,315.83</b>
<b>TOTAL ALL STATES</b>		<b>\$18,695,727.86</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 112**

**Topic: Green Corps**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Please provide a list by state and electorate of all projects that are part of the program.

**Answer:**

**GREEN CORPS PROGRAM - CURRENT PROJECTS**

<b>State / Territory</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Electorate</b>
NSW	Living Desert Flora and Revegetation Project	Parkes
NSW	Revegetation of Bushland Reserve and River Foreshores in the Dubbo Local Government Area	Parkes
NSW	Windale Urban Renewal	Shortland
NSW	Forbes Shire Native Enhancement, Salinity and Community Education Project	Parkes
NSW	Gulgong Historical Mining Preservation and Environmental Improvement Project	Gwydir
NSW	Snowy Catchment Cultural and Natural Heritage Project	Eden-Monaro
NSW	Queanbeyan River Revegetation and Rehabilitation Project	Eden-Monaro
NSW	Community Energy Audit	Cunningham
NSW	Southern Highlands Vegetation Management Project	Gilmore
NSW	"Silver Springs" Startup	Riverina
NSW	Improving Ecosystem in the Cessnock Local Government Area	Hunter
NSW	Yarrie Lakes Flora and Fauna Reserve Environmental Restoration Project	Gwydir
NSW	Cooks River to Iron Cove Greenway Action	Grayndler
NSW	Hooka Point Restoration Project	Cunningham
NSW	Brooms Head Walking Track Upgrade and Landscape Restoration	Cowper
NSW	Shoalhaven North Restoration Environment Program	Gilmore
NSW	Blueprint Implementation at Little Plains Creek	Cowper

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

NSW	Biodiversity Assessment and Enhancement Program for the Lithgow LGA	Calare
NSW	Tweed River to Mt Nullum Conservation Corridors Program	Richmond
NSW	Restoration Cumberland Plain Vegetation in Camden Council	Macarthur
NSW	Southern Yuragir National Park Environmental Project	Cowper
NSW	Towra Point Sustainability Project	Cook
NSW	Implementation of Rivercare Plans at Murrurundi and Muswellbrook	Gwydir
NSW	North Lakes Habitat Reconstruction, Cultural and Educational Project	Shortland
NSW	Gulgong Historical Mining Preservation and Environmental Improvement Project	Gwydir
NSW	Tweed Valley Cemetery and Botanic Gardens Conservation Project	Richmond
NSW	The Albury Pioneer Cemetery Restoration Project	Farrer
NSW	Upgrading of Walking Track in Mt Arthur Reserve	Gwydir
NSW	Toogimbie / Green Corps Conservation and Restoration Project	Riverina
NSW	Milton / Ulladulla Wetlands for Water Quality	Gilmore
NSW	Murra Mittigar "Caring for Country"	Lindsay
NSW	Saltwater Reserve Re-Establishment and Protection	Lyne
NSW	Filling the Gaps: Lower Hunter Rainforest and Wetland Linkage	Newcastle
NSW	Illawarra Escarpment Walking Track Restoration	Cunningham
NSW	The Cannon and Boorabee Indigenous Regeneration Cultural Heritage Educational Project	New England
NSW	Wallaballooa Interpretive Trail River Restoration and Salinity Remediation Project in NSW	Hume
VIC	Middle Yarra Biolink Remnant Vegetation and Protection Project	Menzies
VIC	The Swan Bay Catchment Project	Corangamite
VIC	Sensory Garden Geelong - Look, Touch, Feel	Corio
VIC	Landcare in Lower Loddon, Including Enhancement of the Environmental Values of Little Lake Boort	Murray
VIC	Historical Links and Habitat Restoration (Far South West Victoria)	Wannon
VIC	Wetlands and Heritage Preservation in the Rural City of Wangaratta	Indi

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

VIC	Castlemaine Youth Development and Heritage Green Corps Project	Bendigo
VIC	Goldfields Bioregional Restoration Project, Bradfordhills Station	Bendigo
VIC	Heytesbury Landcare Land Rehabilitation and Community Development Project	Wannon
VIC	The Hindmarsh Heritage Enhancement Project	Mallee
VIC	Borrell-a-Kandelop, Western District Lakes Protection and Rehabilitation	Corangamite
VIC	Environmental Restoration and Weed Control in West Gippsland	McMillan
VIC	Land and Water - Training in Environmental Management and Services within North East Victoria	Indi
VIC	Darebin Creek Catchment Grassy Woodlands and Riparian Restoration	Batman
VIC	Revegetating Moorabool	Burke
VIC	Local Community Biodiversity Enhancement in the Shepparton Irrigation Region (SIR)	Hunter
VIC	Buloke Biolink Project	Mallee
VIC	Sunraysia Remnant Linkage Project	Mallee
VIC	History of Warringal Cemetery	Batman
VIC	Upper Wimmera and yarriambiack Environment and Heritage Project	Ballarat
VIC	Riverina Revival	Indi
VIC	Greening the Golden Plains	Corangamite
VIC	Project Eden	La Trobe
VIC	Rebuilding Our Community – East Gippsland Post-Fire Recovery	Gippsland
VIC	Fitzroy River at Heywood Revegetation Project	Wannon
VIC	Anglesea Heath Protection and Rehabilitation	Corangamite
VIC	Connewarre Clearwater, Barwon Blue	Corio
VIC	Tower Hill State Game Reserve	Wannon
QLD	Roma Bush Gardens Project	Maranoa
QLD	Improving Exosystem Services in Tiaro Shire	Wide Bay
QLD	Fred Haigh Dam Remnant Rainforest Project	Wide Bay
QLD	Coombabah Lakelands Conservation Project	Fadden



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

QLD	Pine Ridge Green Corps Project	Fadden
QLD	Rainforest Restoration Including Bush Tucker Plantings	Hinkler
QLD	Key Habitat Restoration in the Townsville Region	Herbert
QLD	Community Bushcare Support Project	Rankin
QLD	Sarina Catchment Improvement Project	Dawson
QLD	White Rock Conservation Park Project	Oxley
QLD	Strategic Conservation Management of Australian Bush Heritage Fund Reserves - Central QLD	Maranoa
QLD	Stabilisation of Foreshore in Hansen and Case Parks	Dawson
QLD	Kokada Barracks and Mt Tamborine Landcare Joint Project	Forde
QLD	Riparian Rainforest Revegetation, Evaluation, Enhancement and Maintenance Project	Fairfax
QLD	The Rehabilitation of the Maraju-Yakapari Road Revegetation Site	Dawson
QLD	Mount Isa Multipurpose Centre Native Botanical Gardens Project	Kennedy
QLD	Riparian Restoration of Enoggera, Fishand Ithaca Creeks	Brisbane
QLD	Community Capacity Building Through Construction of Fire Breaks that Protect Property and Enhance World Heritage Rainforests	Kennedy
QLD	Murries Still Working, Cleaning Up More of the Fitzroy River	Capricornia
QLD	Lake Weyba Community Biodiversity Project	Fairfax
QLD	The Mount Morgan Boyd Park Blitz	Hinkler
QLD	Eprapah Habitat Preservation and Interpretation Project	Fadden
QLD	Linking Biodiversity Corridors in the North Eastern Downs	Groom
QLD	The South Pine River Riparian Restoration and Community Boardwalk Project	Dickson
QLD	Stanley River Catchment Endangered Ecosystems Restoration and Resilience Project	Longman
QLD	Woodford – A Festival of Tree Planting, Community Education and Environmental Experience	Longman

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

QLD	Re-Rainforesting the Banks of Mena Creek	Kennedy
SA	Protecting Kangaroo Islands Biodiversity and Threatened Species	Barker
SA	Ark on Eyre Threatened Flora Recovery Project	Grey
SA	Development and Initial Implementation of the Lakes Woolpolool and Merreti Action Plan	Wakefield
SA	Marion Council's Eco-Friendly Display Garden	Boothby
SA	A Healthy Coast of the City of Charles Sturt	Hindmarsh
SA	Protection Normanville's Sand Dunes and Yankalilla's Coastal Fringe	Barker
SA	Skill Development in Managing a Recreational Aset in Morialta Conservation Park	Barker
SA	The Valley of the Bandicoots	Mayo
SA	Goose and Wardang Island Environmental and Indigenous Heritage Project	Wakefield
SA	Eagle Mountain Bike Park	Mayo
SA	Para Wirra Pygmy Possum Rediscovery Program	Wakefield
SA	Clare Valley Native Seed Production	Grey
SA	Forestry SA Lower South East Biodiversity Recreation and Management Project	Barker
SA	Five Mile Middens Protection and Enhancement	Barker
WA	Green Corps Youth and Community for the Environment	O'Connor
WA	Greening the West Coastal Suburbs of Perth	Curtin
WA	Environmental Education for the Northern Ag	O'Connor
WA	Environmental Action in the Upper Murchison / Northampton Block	O'Connor
WA	Environmental Action in the Irwin & Greenough River Basins	O'Connor
WA	Margaret River Environmental Repairs	Forrest
WA	Bridgetown Green Bushes Environmental Restoration and Repair	Forrest
WA	Augusta Environmental Management Project	Forrest
WA	Geraldton Community Artificial Reef Project	O'Connor
WA	South Coast Catchments and Coastal Rehabilitation Project	O'Connor

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

WA	Eastern Hills Bushcare and Catchment Restoration Project 2003	Pearce
WA	Rehabilitation and Restoration Works for Black Swan Swamp	Brand
WA	South Yunderup Bicentenary Park Foreshow Rehabilitation	Canning
WA	Upper Swan Catchment of Perth Wilderness Corridors	Pearce
WA	Bennett Brook Rehabilitation and Walktrail Development	Stirling
WA	Kwinana/Rockingham Tramway Nature Trail Conservation Project	Stirling
WA	South Coast Habitat Protection and Enhancement Project	O'Connor
TAS	Lake Dulverton/Oatlands Cultural Heritage and Environmental Project	Lyons
TAS	Coffee Creek Tributary Restoration and Peter Murrell Reserve Access Project	Franklin
TAS	Rehabilitation of Wastelands, Wetlands and Waterways of the Burton's Reserve/ Cygnet Area	Franklin
TAS	Urban Hiller Flora Reserve Devonport Community Engagement and Rehabilitation Project	Braddon
TAS	Low Head Pilot Station - A Bicentenary Partnership	Bass
TAS	North East Park Regeneration Project	Bass
TAS	Wilmot River Heritage Trail and Recreation Area Project	Braddon
NT	Native Vegetation Enhancement in the Todd River Catchment	Lingiari
NT	Bullocky Point Habitat Restoration	Solomon
NT	Weeds and Walkways	Lingiari
NT	Greater Darwin Vegetation Management	Solomon
ACT	Cultural Heritage Project	Fraser
ACT	Lower Sullivans Creek Catchment Ecological Survey and Environmental Management Project	Fraser

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 113**

**Topic: Green Corps**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

It is understood that the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations surveys participant outcomes and satisfaction on behalf of FACS along with the quality of Training given. What are the results of the surveys?

**Answer:**

The Department of Employment and Workplace Relations (DEWR) surveys young people on behalf of FaCS three months after they have participated in Green Corps. DEWR survey findings for Rounds 14 (November 1999) to Round 27 (November 2002) are that:

62.4% who responded to the post-program survey were in employment and/or in education/training 3 months after finishing Green Corps.

48.9% of participants were employed.

25.9% were in education or training.

83.4% who responded to the post-program survey felt their chances of getting a job had improved through Green Corps participation.

86.9% who responded to the post-program survey felt their desire to get a job had improved through Green Corps participation.

87.5% who responded to the post-program survey felt their self esteem had improved through Green Corps participation.

80% who responded to the post-program survey were satisfied or very satisfied with Green Corps.

86.9% who responded to the post-program survey were satisfied or very satisfied with the quality of supervision during Green Corps.

93.5% who responded to the post-program survey were satisfied or very satisfied with the work skills they developed during Green Corps.

92.8% who responded to the post-program survey were satisfied or very satisfied with the skills obtained from Green Corps training.

89.5% who responded to the post-program survey were satisfied or very satisfied with the overall quality of assistance and service they received during Green Corps.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 114**

**Topic:** Green Corps

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

In what other ways are the outcomes of the program measured?

**Answer:**

In addition to the outcomes assessed by DEWR's post program monitoring surveys the outcomes of the program are measured against Performance Indicators (PIs) specified in the funding agreement. The PIs cover outcomes such as the number of young people participating in the program each year.

Environmental outcomes are also measured and updated from individual project data after each project round. This data is aggregated to provide totals, for example for the number of trees planted, and kilometres of walking track constructed or maintained.

Green Corps was evaluated in 1999 and is to be evaluated again in 2004.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 115**

**Topic: Green Corps**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What are the outcomes of the program?

**Answer:**

Green Corps has environmental, youth development and community building outcomes.

Since the program began more than 10 400 young Australians have joined Green Corps projects across Australia, 9.9 million trees have been planted, 3 800 kilometres of fencing built, 35 000 hectares of weeds removed, 6 700 kilograms of native seeds collected and more than 3 200 kilometres of walking track constructed or maintained.

See Response to Question 113 regarding outcomes measured by DEWR.

An evaluation of the Green Corps program was conducted in 1999. See response to Question 114 for a summary of the findings.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No:** 116

**Topic:** Green Corps

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a breakdown of expenditure on this program?

**Answer:**

The estimated expenditure for Green Corps for 2003/04 is \$23 520 000.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No:** 117

**Topic:** Green Corps

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

How much is the training allowance?

**Answer:**

The Green Corps participant allowance is aligned to the National Training Award (Level C). Since 1997 rates have increased in accordance with annual safety net adjustments of the National Training Award. The Participant Allowance ranges from \$189 to \$361 per week and is dependent on the participant's highest secondary qualification and years out of school.

**TABLE OF PAYMENT FOR GREEN CORPS PARTICIPANT ALLOWANCE**  
**EFFECTIVE FROM 3 JULY 2003**

<b>HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOLING COMPLETED</b>			
<b>SCHOOL LEAVER</b>	<b>Year 10 \$ per week</b>	<b>Year 11 \$ per week</b>	<b>Year 12 \$ per week</b>
0-12 months out of school	\$189.00	\$227.00	\$257.00
Plus 1 year out of school	\$227.00	\$257.00	\$289.00
Plus 2 years out of school	\$257.00	\$289.00	\$323.00
Plus 3 years out of school	\$289.00	\$323.00	\$361.00
Plus 4 years out of school	\$323.00	\$361.00	
Plus 5 years out of school	\$361.00		



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 118**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide an update on this program? How exactly does the program operate?

**Answer:**

The Mentor Marketplace initiative aims to build on the proven effectiveness of mentoring for youth aged 12 to 25 by establishing new mentoring activities and assisting the growth of successful existing projects. It encourages the use of mentoring to increase outcomes for young people, particularly those at greatest risk of disconnection from their families, community, education and work. Mentor Marketplace aims to develop a mentoring culture in business, schools and communities that will result in a wide-ranging and enthusiastic engagement of business and community sectors in numerous self-sustaining mentoring activities.

There are four rounds of funding available under Mentor Marketplace, with closing dates of 31 December 2002, 30 April 2003, 31 October 2003 and 30 April 2004. Organisations are chosen following a rigorous selection process, which is outlined in the application form and the program guidelines.

Fifteen projects have been approved in two funding rounds for Mentor Marketplace and a third funding round is currently in progress.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 119**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Is the program designed for, or targeted at any particular group/demographic of young people?

**Answer:**

The Mentor Marketplace program targets young people aged 12-25 years, particularly those experiencing limited opportunities for participation in the social, cultural or economic life of their community.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 120**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

If yes, how has the Department successfully targeted this program?

**Answer:**

The Mentor Marketplace program targets young people aged 12-25 years, particularly those at greatest risk of disconnection from their families, community, education and work. The target group is clearly described in the program guidelines, which is provided to prospective applicants with their application form. Applicants are required to demonstrate their knowledge of the target group and the needs of young people, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds. Applicants are also required to identify geographical areas or groups of greatest need and develop projects that are responsive to these identified needs.

Organisations applying for funding under the Mentor Marketplace program are assessed against selection criteria outlined in the application form and the program guidelines.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 121**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

How many young people are to benefit from the program in its first year? And, on an ongoing basis?

**Answer:**

In the program's first full year of operation, 2003-04, it is anticipated that approximately 5,500 young people will commence mentoring relationships under Mentor Marketplace. By the end of the program, it is estimated that approximately 7,000 young people will have been mentored.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 122**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Are projects ongoing or yearly?

**Answer:**

Projects are for a maximum of two years.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 123**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a breakdown of expenditure on this program?

**Answer:**

The Mentor Marketplace commenced in 2002-03 with funding of approximately \$4.4 million over three years. The yearly funding breakdown is as follows:

- 2002-03           \$ 802,000
- 2003-04           \$1,372,000
- 2004-05           \$2,225,000

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support.....Question No: 124**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

How are the outcomes of the program measured?

**Answer:**

The outcomes outlined against Question No: 125 will be determined with regard to the following achievements of the program:

1. The effective implementation of agreed strategies for delivering Mentor Marketplace together with the meeting of participant target numbers.
2. The outcomes achieved for and by participants.
3. The linkages established or enhanced with business and community organisations.
4. The outcomes of strategies to increase the self-sustainability of projects.
5. The impact of action research on the delivery of Mentor Marketplace and development of other mentoring activities.

FaCS will measure these achievements by assessing the performance of organisations funded under Mentor Marketplace against the milestones, activities and outcomes specified in their funding agreement. Organisations are required to submit three-monthly reports to the department detailing their progress against these measures. Included in the reporting are details against the following performance indicators:

1. Number of young people participating
2. Number of young people completing the project
3. Number of community and business partnerships established
4. Number of strategies developed for establishing the project as self-sustaining
5. Percentage of young people satisfied with the mentoring provided
6. Percentage of young people who believe they have increased self-esteem
7. Percentage of young people who believe they have increased opportunities for participation in work, education, training and community life
8. Percentage of young people who believe they have increased skills
9. Percentage of participating communities satisfied with their involvement in the project
10. Percentage of participating communities satisfied with the outcomes of the project.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 125**

**Topic: Mentor Marketplace Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What are the outcomes of the program?

**Answer:**

The outcomes expected by the end of the three year initiative include:

1. An increase in the number and variety of mentoring opportunities available to young people, particularly those at greatest risk of disconnection from their families, community, education and work.
2. An increase in the well-being of young people who participate in Mentor Marketplace as demonstrated by such benefits as:
  - a. increased participation in work, education, training and community life;
  - b. increased self-esteem, resilience and physical and mental health;
  - c. improved relationships with family and peers;
  - d. improved networks with the community;
  - e. improved skills in areas such as leadership and communication.
3. An increase in business and community involvement in the mentoring of young people.
4. An increase in the number and range of mentoring activities which are self-sustaining.
5. A strong evidence base for how the initiative, and/or mentoring more generally, can be progressed.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 127**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a list of funded Youth Activities Services by region and electorate ?

**Answer:**

A list of Youth Activities Services (YAS) and Family Liaison Worker (FLW) projects is attached.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**YOUTH ACTIVITIES SERVICES & FAMILY LIAISON WORKER PROGRAM**

**LOCATIONS AND ELECTORATES**

<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Electorate</b>
Youth in the City	CANBERRA	ACT	Fraser
Weston Creek Community Service Inc.	WESTON	ACT	Canberra
Belconnen Youth Centre	BELCONNEN	ACT	Fraser
Lanyon Youth and Community Service	CONDER	ACT	Canberra
Gungahlin Regional Community Service	GUNGAHLIN	ACT	Fraser
Hills District Youth Service	CASTLE HILL	NSW	Berowra
South Creek Mobile Youth Activities Service	ST MARYS	NSW	Chifley
South Sydney Council Health and Community Services	STRAWBERRY HILLS	NSW	Sydney
Channel Free	PADDINGTON	NSW	Sydney
The Shack Youth Services	MAROUBRA	NSW	Kingsford Smith
Warringah Council, Cameron Building	DEE WHY	NSW	Mackellar
Epping YMCA	EPPING	NSW	Bennelong
Chester Hill Youth Activities Service	CHESTER HILL	NSW	Blaxland
Active Kids Project, Fairfield City Council	FAIRFIELD	NSW	Fowler
Cabramatta Multicultural Youth Activities Service	CANLEY VALE	NSW	Fowler
The Junction Works	AUSTRAL	NSW	Werriwa
Bankstown YMCA Youth Activities Service	REVESBY	NSW	Banks
Engadine District Youth Services	ENGADINE	NSW	Hughes
Burnside Central Coast	BATEAU BAY	NSW	Dobell
Links Youth Activities Service	SAN REMO	NSW	Shortland
Lake Macquarie Youth Activities	WOODRISING	NSW	Charlton
Cessnock YAS/FLW Samaritans	CESSNOCK	NSW	Hunter
Upper Hunter Youth Activities Service	MUSWELLBROOK	NSW	Hunter

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Summerland Youth Services	LISMORE	NSW	Page
Byron Youth Service	BYRON BAY	NSW	Richmond
Central Illawarra Youth Activities Service	ALBION PARK	NSW	Throsby
Burnside Campbelltown Study Centre	CAMPBELLTOWN	NSW	Macarthur
Risky Arts Program Inc	CAMPBELLTOWN	NSW	Macarthur
Wollondilly Youth Services	TAHMOOR	NSW	Hume
<b>Organisation</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Postcode</b>
Anglicare	GOULBURN	NSW	Hume
Glenmore Park YAS	GLENMORE PARK	NSW	Lindsay
Fusion: The Channel Youth Venue & Café	PENRITH	NSW	Lindsay
Miimali Mates	EMERTON	NSW	Chifley
YWCA Whalan	SEVEN HILLS	NSW	Greenway
Orange Youth Activities Service	ORANGE	NSW	Calare
Miimali Mates	DANGAR	NSW	Berowra
Wadeye (Port Keats) YAS	WADEYE	NT	Northern Territory
Galiwinku YAS/FLW	ELCHO ISLAND	NT	Northern Territory
Tennant Creek Raiders	TENNANT CREEK	NT	Northern Territory
Tangentyere Council YAS/FLW	ALICE SPRINGS	NT	Northern Territory
Jabiru Community Youth & Childrens Service Assoc	BRACKEN RIDGE	QLD	Petrie
Nerang Neighbourhood Centre Inc	NERANG	QLD	Moncrieff
Stephens Community Centre	BURLEIGH HEADS	QLD	McPherson
Ipswich Community Youth Service	IPSWICH	QLD	Oxley
Youth Activities Neighbourhood Centre	CHARLEVILLE	QLD	Maranoa
Caboolture Area Youth Service Inc.	CABOOLTURE	QLD	Longman
Caloundra City Council	CALOUNDRA	QLD	Fisher
Youth Action Inc.	MOOLOOLABA	QLD	Fisher
Mt Morgan YAS	ROCKHAMPTON	QLD	Capricornia
Mackay Youth Activities Services	MACKAY	QLD	Dawson
Lifeline Youth Activities Services	AITKENVALE	QLD	Herbert

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Cairns Youth Arts Activity Service	CAIRNS	QLD	Leichardt
Kura Yerlo Council Inc	LARGS BAY	SA	Pt Adelaide
SASRAPID Inc	THEBARTON	SA	Adelaide
Southern Outreach Services	CHRISTIES BEACH	SA	Kingston
CLASS Reach Recreation	MACCLESFIELD	SA	Mayo
Ranges Youth Centre Inc	PORT AUGUSTA	SA	Grey
City of Port Augusta	PORT AUGUSTA	SA	Grey
Weena Mooga YAS	CEDUNA	SA	Grey
TYCC Youth Activity Service	GLENORCHY	TAS	Denison
Huon Valley YAS	HUONVILLE	TAS	Franklin
<b>Organisation</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Postcode</b>
Northern Suburbs Community Centre – Rocherlea	MOWBRAY	TAS	Bass
Bridgewater YAS	BRIDGEWATER	TAS	Franklin
George Town YAS	GEORGE TOWN	TAS	Bass
Moonee Valley City Council	MOONEE PONDS	VIC	Maribyrnong
Glenroy Youth Services, Anglicare	GLENROY	VIC	Wills
Hume City Council	BROADMEADOWS	VIC	Calwell
Moreland City Council	MORELAND	VIC	Wills
Kildonan Child and Family Services	LALOR	VIC	Scullin
Knox K.I.D.S - Anglicare	BAYSWATER	VIC	Aston
Good Shepherd St Albans	ST ALBANS	VIC	Gorton
City of Greater Dandenong, C/- Visy Cares Centre	DANDENONG	VIC	Bruce
Frankston Youth Resource Centre	FRANKSTON	VIC	Dunkley
City of Greater Geelong	GEELONG	VIC	Corio
Melton Shire Council	MELTON	VIC	Lalor
Wendouree West Community House	BALLARAT	VIC	Ballarat
Central Goldfields Shire Council	MARYBOROUGH	VIC	Bendigo
Mildura Rural City Council, C/- Mildura Yth Centre	MILDURA	VIC	Mallee
City of Greater Bendigo	BENDIGO	VIC	Bendigo
Brayton Youth & Family Services	SHEPPARTON	VIC	Murray
The Bridge Youth Service	SHEPPARTON	VIC	Murray
Goulbourn Accomodation Program	SHEPPARTON	VIC	Murray

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

City of Casey	NARRE WARREN	VIC	Holt
UnitingCare Connections - GCYS	PAKENHAM	VIC	La Trobe
Mornington Peninsula Shire Council	ROSEBUD	VIC	Flinders
Newman YMCA	NEWMAN	WA	Kalgoorlie
City of Joondalup	JOONDALUP	WA	Moore
City of Belmont - Belmont Youth Services	CLOVERDALE	WA	Swan
Karawara Community Project	KARAWARA	WA	Swan
Albany Aboriginal Corporation	ALBANY	WA	O'Conner
Roebourne Youth Centre	ROEBOURNE	WA	Kalgoorlie
Marble Bar Youth Support Group	NEWMAN	WA	Kalgoorlie
Shire of East Pilbara	NEWMAN	WA	Kalgoorlie
Meekathara YAS	MEEKATHARA	WA	Kalgoorlie
Irrungadji Group Association	NULLAGINE	WA	Kalgoorlie
<b>Organisation</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Postcode</b>
City of Canning, Lynwood Youth and Family Centre	LYNWOOD	WA	Tangney
Armadale, Gosnells & Districts Youth Resource Centre	ARMADALE	WA	Canning
Aboriginal Legal Service of Western Australia (AXON)	PERTH	WA	Perth

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No:** 128

**Topic:** Youth Activities Services

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Are there any instances in which Youth Activities Services have not met contractual arrangements and therefore had their funding agreement terminated ?

**Answer:**

Yes. In these instances arrangements to transfer funding of the program to a suitable auspice within the community has been determined by the local community in consultation with FaCS

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 129**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

The current 3 year Funding Agreements will expire at the end of the 2003-2004 financial year. Will the contracts be renewed for a further three years?

**Answer:**

FaCS has currently contracted an independent consultant to provide an evaluation of the Youth Activities Services and Family Liaison Worker Programs.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 130**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

At the conclusion of the 2003-04 financial year that represents the conclusion of the 3-year contractual period, will there be a reassessment of funded Youth Activities Services ?

**Answer:**

FaCS has currently contracted an independent consultant to provide an evaluation of the Youth Activities Services and Family Liaison Worker Programs.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 131**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

At the conclusion of the 2003-04 financial year, will other organisations have an opportunity to apply for funding as a Youth Activities Service for the financial year 2004-05 and the three-year period there after?

**Answer:**

Future directions of the programs will be considered in light of the independent program evaluation.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 132**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What other recommendations of the review have been implemented?

Answer:

Following the 2000 review of the Youth Activities Services (YAS) and Family Liaison Worker (FLW) Programs, FaCS implemented recommendations relating to:

- moving the service providers onto standard funding agreements;
- updating service guidelines;
- incorporating good practice principles into the program guidelines;
- focusing primarily on primary and secondary school students;
- refining protocols between State and Territory offices and National Office regarding roles and responsibilities within the program;
- reviewing the reporting framework for service providers; and
- providing a template for planning and financial requirement reporting.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Question 132: Attachment**

**RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**REVIEW OF THE YOUTH ACTIVITIES SERVICES**  
**& YOUTH ACTIVITIES SERVICES FAMILY LIAISON WORKER**  
**PROGRAMS, FEBRUARY 2001**

1. That the YAS operational guidelines be updated to reflect changes to the program using more appropriate and current language.
2. That a working group (NO and STOs) be established to further examine the original criteria for the YAS definition of High Need Areas (HNAs), determine the most appropriate definition, and recommend potential changes. Once the most appropriate method of establishing HNAs is agreed, a mapping of current services should be carried out to ascertain whether services are still located in areas of high need.
3. That the focus for YAS remain primarily secondary school aged students. However, where the local situation requires a wider age range, the STO should negotiate with the service on approved activities.
4. That a protocol be developed between the STO and NO clearly articulating roles and responsibilities, lines of communications and procedures for resolving difficulties.
5. At the end of the first 12 month reporting period for the new Outcome Reporting Framework, YAS and YASFLW reporting requirements should be examined to take into account the appropriateness of the new reporting framework and any other associated work done within the Department.
6. That a template be developed to assist services to report on planning and financial requirements.
7. That broad principles for delegations and funding decisions be sent to the Minister for approval and, if approved that they form the basis for the development of new operational guidelines.
8. That good practice principles for developing appropriate activities should be included in the Program Guidelines.
9. That funding agreements be redrawn to reflect current FaCS best practice in line with other programs eg Reconnect, and that the findings of the Harmonisation Working Group on Outcome Reporting Funding should be taken into consideration in rewriting the agreements.
10. An appropriate level of funding should be determined and if additional program funds become available the funding levels of existing services should be examined and adjusted as appropriate.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

11. That the YAS and YASFLW funding be combined, subject to new guidelines and agreements. Where YAS and YASFLW are separate, the possibility of combining into one service should be explored. The Guidelines should stipulate that where FLW funding is provided, FLW outcomes must be achieved.
12. That combined funding would be for a fixed three year term in line with current FaCS practice.
13. Planning for future YAS should take into account the equipment needs of services and/or community organisations.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 133**

**Topic: Youth Activities Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a breakdown of expenditure on this program?

**Answer:**

Refer to Question 88.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 134**

**Topic: National Youth Affairs Research Scheme**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked: “Can you explain why the National Youth Affairs Research Scheme underspent in 2002-03 and is forecast to again underspend in 2003-04? (PBS page 58)?”

**Note:** This question is repeated at Question No. 247 (Hansard Reference CA127)

**Answer:** Page 58 of the PBS shows **\$246,000** expenditure in 2002-03 and **\$531,000** expenditure in for 2003-04 from the National Youth Affairs Research Scheme (NYARS) Special Account. More information is provided at Table 1.4 of the PBS. This can be summarised as:

	2002-03 (\$)	2003-04 (\$) <sup>1</sup>
Opening balance:	799,000	793,000
Income:	240,000	240,000
Expenditure:	– 246,000	– 531,000
<b>Closing balance:</b>	<b>793,000</b>	<b>502,000</b>

<sup>1</sup> Projected

As can be seen from the above table, the high closing balances for 2002-03 and 2003-04 are a result of funds accumulated prior to 1 July 2003. The 2002-03 opening and closing balances were unusually high due to a slow-down in the NYARS research program during 2000-01 and 2001-02 resulting from:

- a suspension of the commissioning of new projects during 2000-01 while an external review of the Scheme was undertaken; and
- a delay in the tendering process for the intended 2001-02 round of NYARS projects due to the transfer of the youth affairs function between Departments following the federal elections in late 2001.

The NYARS research program has been accelerated since. There are six projects in progress and three new ones are expected to be commissioned in early 2004 (an open tendering process is currently in train). As a result of an increased number of projects, the NYARS expenditure in 2003-04 is projected to be \$531,000, which is more than twice as much as in 2002-03, when the expenditure was \$246,000.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 135**

**Topic: National Youth Affairs Research Scheme**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked: "What are the current research priorities of the National Youth Affairs Research Scheme?"

**Answer:**

The National Youth Affairs Research Scheme operates under the auspices of the Ministerial Council of Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA). The selection of topics for the Scheme are guided by the National Priorities set by MCEETYA. The National Priorities for the period 2001 to 2005 are:

**1. To promote the positive achievements and image of young people.**

Outcome Statements:

- That the community develop a more positive image of young people.
- That young people develop a more positive image of themselves.
- That governments in Australia better recognise young people as important and relevant customers in their planning, development and service delivery.

**2. To promote the active participation of all young people in economic and social life.**

Outcome Statements

- That the community recognises the importance of strong family relationships in enabling young people to develop the capacity to actively participate in economic and social life.
- That governments, the community, business and non-government organisations establish collaborative partnerships to identify and address the obstacles which hinder the active participation of young people in economic and social life.
- That young people, families, schools, governments, business and communities work together to recognise and act on their shared responsibility to equip young people for the future.
- That the community acknowledges and celebrates the participation of young people in activities which develop their capacity to actively participate in economic and social life.

**3. To listen and respond to young people**

Outcome Statements

- That the priorities of young people are actively acknowledged and considered by the government and the community.
- That governments establish effective and imaginative mechanisms for consulting and giving feedback to young people.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Current NYARS Projects**

The following are projects selected by the NYARS Steering Committee:

Round 1 - commissioned in 2002; completion due by December 2003

- Volunteering and young people
- Local government and young people
- Youth and citizenship

Round 2 - commissioned in 2003; completion due by December 2004

- Barriers to service provision for young people with presenting substance misuse and mental health problems
- Rural and regional young people and transport: improving access to transport for young people in rural and regional Australia
- Sustainable consumption: young Australians as agents of change

Round 3 - advertised for tender in November 2003; completion due by early 2005

- The role of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander young people in government and community decision-making processes
- Barriers to service delivery for young pregnant women and mothers
- Community building through intergenerational exchange programs



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 247**

**Topic: National Youth Affairs Research Scheme**

**Hansard Page: CA127**

**Senator Collins** asked: "Can you explain why the National Youth Affairs Research Scheme underspent in 2002-03 and is forecast to again underspend in 2003-04? (PBS page 58)?"

**Answer:** Please refer to the answer provided to Question No: 134.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 136**

**Topic: Voices of Youth Initiative**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins asked:**

Can the Department provide a breakdown of the expenditure for 2000-01, 2001-02, 2002-03 and estimated expenditure for 2002-04 under the Voices of Youth Initiatives?

**Answer:**

The Voices of Youth Initiative includes the following activities as per page 65 of the Portfolio Budget Statements 2002-03. The following table shows expenditure on Voices of Youth in the Financial Years 2000-01 (Actual), 2001-02 (Actual), 2002-03 (Actual) and 2003-04 (Estimate).

**Expenditure - Financial Year 2000-01 (Actual) 2001-02 (Actual), 2002-03 (Actual) and 2003-2004 (Estimate)**

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
National Youth Roundtable	\$542 142	\$453 698	\$420 001	\$460 000
National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group	\$0	\$64,359 #	\$129 347#	\$108 000
Australian Forum of Youth Organisations	\$16 065	\$26 801	\$26 931	\$32 537
The Source	\$132 006	\$94 702	\$88 854	\$125 000

# Indigenous Education Strategic Initiatives Program (IESIP) – an MOU was entered into for expenditure up to \$100 000 for calendar year 2002. GST has not been included in the amounts above for IESIP and is additional.

NB: Although listed as an activity under the Voices of Youth Initiative in the Portfolio Budget Statements 2002-03, the Youth Portal is actually an initiative under the Australian Government's Customer Focused Portal Framework.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 137**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

What actions has the Government taken as a result of all past recommendations from each of the National Youth Roundtables?

**Answer:**

Please refer to the answer provided in Question On Notice 249.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 138**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

What action has or will the Government take as a result of recommendations from the 2003 National Youth Roundtable.

**Answer:**

The final formal meeting and presentations of the National Youth Roundtable 2003 were held in September 2003.

The recommendations from the members' projects are currently being collated and will be considered for further action.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 139**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins asked:**

Can the Department provide a breakdown into line items of the costs associated with the National Youth Roundtable in each year since its establishment?

**Answer:**

**National Youth Roundtable 2000 – Costings (GST Exclusive)**

Travel & Accommodation	\$83 588
Catering and Venue Hire	\$91 838
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$54 076
Contract Payments	\$181 138
Planning, Development & Facilitation	\$6 571
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$417 211</b>

**National Youth Roundtable 2001 – Costings (GST Exclusive)**

Travel & Accommodation	\$172 229
Catering and Venue Hire	\$53 734
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$66 626
Contract Payments	\$129 445
Planning, Development & Facilitation	\$19 534
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$441 568</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**National Youth Roundtable 2002 – Costings (GST Exclusive)**

Travel & Accommodation	\$216 263
Catering and Venue Hire	\$46 603
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$58 845
Contract Payments	\$130 261
Planning, Development & Facilitation	\$15 147
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$467 119</b>

**National Youth Roundtable 2003 – Costings (To Date, 20 November 2003 -GST Exclusive)**

Travel & Accommodation	\$189 332
Catering and Venue Hire	\$11 374
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$125 842
Contract Payments	\$134 057
Planning, Development & Facilitation	\$7 093
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$467 698</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 140**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

How are young people selected to participate in the National Youth Roundtable?

**Answer:**

The Department seeks membership of the National Youth Roundtable through a widely advertised application process.

Applicants for the National Youth Roundtable are assessed against the selection criteria by their responses to the general questions on the application form and the references provided with their application. Broadly, the selection criterion requires applicants to demonstrate:

- A commitment to youth issues;
- The ability to consult with other young people;
- Knowledge of and interest in youth issues; and
- Membership of, or participation in, community groups or activities and youth programs.

The final list of applicants must be representative of the diversity reflecting young people in Australia.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 141**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Are a certain number of young people selected from each state to participate on the National Youth Roundtable?

**Answer:**

There is no stipulation on the number of young people selected from each state to participate in the National Youth Roundtable.

However the selection process includes analysis of age, gender, geographic location (urban/rural) background (Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander, culturally or linguistically diverse background) and disability.

Each of these factors are taken into consideration to ensure a balanced representation.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 142**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

How many young people have applied each year to participate in the National Youth Roundtable since its establishment?

**Answer:**

Since its establishment the following numbers of applications for the National Youth Roundtable have been received:

1999: 919

2000: 1012

2001: 673

2002: 593

2003: 787

2004: 650 (approximately, final number of applicants yet to be determined)

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 249**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: CA128/Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Please provide a list of those recommendations arising out of the National Youth Roundtable that the Government has picked up and taken further action on. What has been the consequent progress?

**Answer:**

From the four previous National Youth Roundtables, the Government has implemented a number of initiatives. These include:

**Young Australians and the Environment**

Recommendation: The establishment of a group of young Australians to occupy both an advisory and a representative role for the environment.

Action: Environment Australia have agreed to appoint a youth representative to each sub-committee of the National Environmental Education Council.

**No Shame Job Booklet**

Recommendation: To produce a booklet that would provide information to young Indigenous people about health careers and how to access them.

Action: In September 2002, the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs, Larry Anthony, and the Minister for Education, Science and Training, Dr Brendan Nelson, jointly launched *No Shame Job*, a booklet encouraging Indigenous young people to explore careers in health care.

**National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group (NIYLG)**

Recommendation: The formation of a consultative forum for young Indigenous people.

Action: Dr Kemp announced at the conclusion of Roundtable 2000 that such a forum would be established. First group of NIYLG met in July 2001. Minister Anthony met with the first Group twice in 2002. The second NIYLG was successfully held in October 2003.

**National Code of Good Practice in New Apprenticeships**

Recommendation: The development of a Code of Conduct to ensure young apprentices are able to work in an environment free of harassment and are afforded their proper conditions and entitlements.

Action: A Code of Good Practice has been developed for Apprentices and their employees to ensure that apprenticeships are undertaken in circumstances that develop and protect young people. The Code has been available from New Apprenticeships Centres since February 2001.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Boards and Committees**

Recommendation: That young people's significant contribution in all walks of life is not overlooked.

Action: The Youth Bureau has developed a database where young people can nominate themselves for consideration by organisations for their boards and committees. Application forms can be accessed through *the source* on the Internet, [www.thesource.gov.au](http://www.thesource.gov.au). The Youth Bureau encourages organisations to include young people on public boards, taskforces and committees. Nominations on the database are matched up with requests from organisations.

**Directory of Youth Organisations**

Recommendation: That more young people be kept informed through the availability of information in a 'one stop shop'.

Action: A directory of organisations working with young people and youth groups has been incorporated into the Federal Government's youth website, *the source*. Individuals and organisations can search for and identify organisations that might be of interest to them.

**Youth.Comm**

Action: In response to a number of members requesting opportunities to communicate with each other and the Government on youth issues, Youth.Comm, an email discussion list that encourages subscribers to engage in discussion of youth issues, was established to provide feedback to the Government and enable the Government to provide information about youth issues directly and promptly to interested subscribers.

**Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce**

Action: In response to a number of members requesting involvement in strategies to improve transitions to independence for young people, seven Roundtable members were appointed as members of this Taskforce advising the government on the Youth Pathways Action Plan. The Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce conducted workshops at the April meeting of the National Youth Roundtable 2000 to allow input into the final recommendations. National Youth Roundtable members' recommendations were adopted into the final report.

**Local Government Youth category awards**

Recommendation: That the achievements of young people be better recognised by their communities by including a youth category in the National Awards for Innovation and Recognition in Local Government (now known as National Awards for Local Government)

Action: The Department of Transport and Regional Services has incorporated two youth categories for both metropolitan and regional into the National Awards for Local Government.

**The Australian Forum of Youth Organisations (AFOYO)**

Recommendation: That youth organisations have a representative structure and direct access to Government to present concrete recommendations to Government based on youth feedback.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Action: The Department of Education, Science and Training established the Australian Forum of Youth Organisations to complement the National Youth Roundtable and provide youth organisations with the opportunity for direct input to the Government to progress key policy objectives and to raise issues based on their vast experience with young Australians. Minister Anthony meets regularly with the fourteen national youth organisations in the forum at present.

**Greater participation in volunteerism.**

Recommendation: That greater participation by young people in volunteerism be encouraged.

Action: The Enterprise and Career Foundation (ECEF) was established to help students at school to acquire career knowledge and also to encourage links between schools, businesses and communities. The ECEF, attempted to link volunteer work with a Structured Workplace Learning programme. The activities for the Foundation have now been brought into the Education, Science and Training portfolio to facilitate greater connections with existing programs and services.

**Support for young Indigenous people.**

Recommendation: Development of a programme that seeks to provide leadership, life skills and support self esteem, particularly for young Indigenous people.

Action: Then Minister for Education, Training and Youth Affairs, Dr David Kemp, promoted the participation of Indigenous youth in mainstream programmes. Ausyouth, which co-ordinated and supported youth development activities, was asked to work with youth organisations, ATSIC and Indigenous young people to identify the best ways of meeting the development needs of Indigenous youth. In addition, the then Minister for Family and Community Services, Senator Amanda Vanstone asked her Department and the Department of Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs, to work with the member to develop his ideas.

**Literacy and Numeracy Issues for Young Australians.**

Recommendation: Increased mentor support for young people experiencing difficulties with literacy and numeracy.

Action: The Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST) looked at ways to include mentoring into the new guidelines for the New Apprenticeships Access Programme, which assists young people to obtain the skills they need for apprenticeships and jobs.

**Bullying in schools.**

Recommendation: That mentoring and peer support be encouraged to combat bullying in schools.

Action: The Department of Education, Science and Training was asked to look at ways to empower young people to find positive strategies by investigating and reporting on the most effective ways of getting youth input into school leadership, governance mechanisms and curriculum.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**School Engagement**

Recommendation: To formulate a more relevant and interesting curriculum, which is more effectively related to students' lives and interests.

Action: The Department of Education, Science and Training was asked to investigate and reporting on best practice in student engagement in schools.

The Government will be making the 2003 proceedings available early in 2004.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 250**

**Topic: National Youth Roundtable**

**Hansard Page: CA128/Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins asked:**

Can you give me a breakdown of the budget allocation for the National Youth Roundtable for the last 2 years?

**Answer:**

.

The National Youth Roundtable is funded from Departmental funds

The allocation for the National Youth Roundtable in the 2002/2003 financial year was \$520 000.

The allocation for the National Youth Roundtable in the 2003/2004 financial year is \$460 000.

The reduction was due to savings associated with some logistical changes, and changes in venue hire and catering costs.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 252 and 143**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Please provide a list of those recommendations arising out of the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group that the government has picked up and taken further action on. What decisions have been made to date?

**Answer:**

The 2001-02 National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group received positive promotions of young role models and hence the publication *Fresh Footprints* was produced.

The second National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group was held in 2003 with the formal presentation of project findings held in October. Recommendations are currently being followed up and developed, and are yet to be considered by Government.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 144**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

What action has or will the Government take as a result of recommendations from the 2003 National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group?

**Answer:**

The recommendations from the members' are currently being considered.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 145**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a breakdown into line items of the costs associated with the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group in each year since its establishment?

**Answer:**

**National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group (NIYLG) 2001/2002 – Costings (GST Exclusive #**

Travel and Accommodation	\$78 441
Catering and Venue Hire	\$11 097
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$5 736
Contract Payments	\$34 497
Planning, Development and Facilitation	\$12 793
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$142 564</b>

# The second meeting of the NIYLG 2001 was cancelled following the announcement of a Federal election in 2001. In order for members of the 2001 group to complete their projects, the Group continued into 2002. This group met formally twice in 2002.

**National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group (NIYLG) 2003 – Costings (to Date - GST Exclusive #**

Travel and Accommodation	\$31 039
Catering and Venue Hire	\$6 978
Publicity, Promotion, Photography & Filming	\$29 263
Contract Payments	\$58 109
Planning, Development and Facilitation	\$6 654
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$132 043</b>

# Costings incorporate production costs of Fresh Footprints, a booklet profiling young Indigenous Australians as role models and leaders in their communities, as well as the Government and non-Government programs that supported them. The booklet was a recommendation of the NIYLG 2001/2002. the 2003 Group met twice.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 146**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

How are young people selected to participate in the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group?

**Answer:**

The Department seeks membership of the Leadership Group through a national nomination process. This includes forwarding covering letters and nominations forms, including on-line versions, to:

- ATSIC
- Non-government Indigenous organisations
- Aboriginal Education Consultative Leadership Groups
- Past and present Indigenous Roundtable members
- Indigenous applicants for 1999, 2000 and 2001 National Youth Roundtable, and
- Indigenous Education Officers within DETYA (now Department of Education Science and Training).

A referee report is required to support the information provided on the nomination form.

The Department of Family and Community Services with the assistance of the Department of Education Science and Training (DEST) assess applications against the selection criteria.

The primary assessment criteria focuses on young Indigenous people who present as positive role models for other young people and who have leadership potential.

The secondary criteria is designed to encourage a diversity of backgrounds to ensure multiplicity of views and experiences including:

- Experience as an Indigenous young person living in rural, regional, remote or urban Australia;
- Commitment to Indigenous youth affairs;
- Willingness to discuss youth issues with other young people in their communities; and
- Ability to discuss their views and perspectives with government

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 147**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Are a certain number of young people selected from each state to participate on the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group?

**Answer:**

There is no stipulation on the number of young people selected from each state to participate on the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2**

**Question No: 148**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

Is it the case that there was no Victorian participant on the 2003 National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group? If so, why?

**Answer:**

Yes.

The National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group sought nominations nation wide. This comprised forwarding covering letters and nomination forms, including on-line versions, to:

- ATSIIC
- Non-government Indigenous organisations
- Aboriginal Education Consultative Leadership Groups
- Past and present Indigenous Roundtable members
- Indigenous applicants for 1999, 2000 and 2001 National Youth Roundtable, and
- Indigenous Education Officers within DETYA (now Department of Education Science and Training)

There were no applications from Victoria. Similarly, there were no Indigenous applications from Victoria for the National Youth Roundtable.

The Department of Family and Community Services invited an officer from the Victorian Government who attended the public portions of the NIYLG meeting.

The Department of Family and Community Services will be reviewing the nomination process for 2004 to ensure there is an increased awareness of the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group in Victoria to encourage participation.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 149**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

How many young people have applied each year to participate in the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group since its establishment?

**Answer:**

For the 2001-02 National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group, 72 nominations were received.

The 2003 National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group received 41 nominations.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 150**

**Topic: National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Jacinta Collins** asked:

How many positions are there on the National Indigenous Youth Leadership Group?

**Answer:**

15

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 151**

**Topic: National Youth Week 2003**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Could the Department provide a list of all activities held across Australia during National Youth Week 2003?

**Answer:**

The Department has provided as detailed list as possible of National Youth Week 2003 events as provided at **Attachment A**. This information is provided to the Department by States and Territories and includes both funded and unfunded events and activities.

[Note: the attachment has not been included in the electronic/printed volume]

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No:** 152

**Topic:** National Youth Week 2003

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can the Department provide a break down into line items of the costs associated with National Youth Week 2003?

**Answer:**

A breakdown of the Department's contribution for National Youth Week 2003 is provided at **Attachment A**.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Question 152. Attachment A**

Outgoings	Expenditure (excl GST)		
<b>Marketing &amp; media:</b>			
Marketing and Sponsorship	\$340,700.00		
<b>Promotional Material:</b>			
Printing Costs	\$49,047.00		
Distribution Costs	\$6,158.00		
<b>National Planning Group – one meeting</b>	\$7,170.00		
<b>State/Territory Funding</b>	\$242,000.00		
Breakdown by State/Territory:		\$72,941.00	NSW
		\$54,758.00	VIC
		\$42,218.00	QLD
		\$16,511.00	SA
		\$22,572.00	WA
		\$11,000.00	TAS
		\$11,000.00	NT
		\$11,000.00	ACT
<b>Launch Event</b>	\$17,777.00		
<b>Web server, online comps and APRA fees</b>	\$13,086.00		
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>\$675,938.00</b>		

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 153**

**Topic: Rock Eisteddfod Challenge**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Has or will the Department make money available to the Rock Eisteddfod in 2003? If yes, can the Department provide a break down into line items of the costs associated with the Rock Eisteddfod in 2003?

**Answer:**

The Government, through the Department of Family and Community Services, continued to sponsor the Rock Eisteddfod Challenge in 2003.

The Department contributed \$302,000 to the Rock Eisteddfod Challenge in 2003. The Rock Eisteddfod Challenge Foundation also receives funding from the Department of Health and Ageing.

The Department of Family and Community Services also administers a small grant program on behalf of the Department of Education, Science and Training. The total amount is \$100,000 and aims to offset the costs schools incur in participating in the Rock Eisteddfod events.

The Department of Family and Community Service's contribution is a one line item that provides sponsorship for the Rock Eisteddfod Challenge. The Department does not prescribe how that funding should be broken down but does set performance targets in the sponsorship agreement.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 154**

**Topic: Rock Eisteddfod Challenge**

**Hansard Page: CA#/Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Will there be money made available to the Rock Eisteddfod in 2004? If yes, how much?

**Answer:**

The Australian Government will consider further funding for the Rock Eisteddfod Challenge in the budget process.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No: 155**

**Topic: Youth Pathways Action Plan Task Force Report**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a full list of the actions taken by the department in response to the Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce Report?

**Answer:**

Answer provided in Question on Notice 253.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 156**

**Topic: Youth Pathways Action Plan Task Force Report**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Of these actions what has been taken since the last Senate Estimates Hearing?

**Answer:**

Since the last Senate Estimates Hearing in June 2003 the Australian Government Department of Family and Community Services (FaCS) has contributed to the ongoing response to the Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce Report - *Footprints to the Future* by working in partnership with a number of other Australian Government agencies.

The **Youth Servicing Strategy**, which aims to improve access to Centrelink information and services, improve Centrelink capability and progress whole of Government partnerships to achieve better outcomes for young people, has made progress including:

- Implementation of Youth Servicing Officers;
- On campus servicing and outreach to most major Universities;
- Ongoing focus on staff capability through training; and
- Community partnerships which provide greater opportunities for early intervention.

In addition, the following are being progressed in 2003 – 04:

- An internal Centrelink working group is developing service delivery initiatives for youth from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds;
- A National Indigenous Council meeting scheduled for December will identify additional priorities for Indigenous Youth to be progressed through Centrelink; and
- Centrelink is developing strategies to manage the peak student claims period, which traditionally occurs from February to May each year.

Under the **Simple Service Solutions** approach, FaCS is currently aligning the contract, guidelines, reporting and operational procedures and program management processes consistent across all states and territories for youth programs such as Reconnect. This will ensure that service providers receive one set of requirements from the Department across these programs. FaCS is also exploring opportunities to progress the Simple Service Solutions approach with other Australian Government agencies and has established a cross-portfolio working group to progress this.

In total fifteen **Mentor Marketplace** projects have been approved in two rounds of funding. The Create Foundation, The Smith Family and Big Brothers Big Sisters Australia received funding under Round 1, each to run two-year projects. Twelve projects have been approved for funding under Round 2 of Mentor Marketplace with contract negotiations currently being finalised. There are two further rounds of funding available. The third round of Mentor Marketplace funding closed on 31 October 2003 and applications are currently being assessed. The fourth and final funding round will close on 30 April 2004.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Transition to Independent Living Allowance (TILA)** is now operational throughout Australia, and 21 administering agencies are being funded to deliver payments on behalf of young people. An independent evaluation will occur by October 2004.

A FaCS evaluation of **Innovative and Collaborative Youth Servicing (ICYS) Pilot Programs** commenced in June 2003. The lessons from these projects in the areas of sustainable community capacity building and partnership formation will inform the ongoing development of youth programs that the Government funds, such as the Reconnect program, the Youth Activities Services/Family Liaison Worker program and the Job Placement, Employment and Training (JPET) program. It is anticipated that FaCS will receive the final report in June 2004.

In collaboration with sixteen Australian Government agencies FaCS developed **Living Choices – The Australian Government’s Commitment to Young People** launched by the Minister for Children and Youth Affairs the Hon. Larry Anthony on International Youth Day, 12 August 2003. *Living Choices* is a series of publications including a CD Rom, overview and complete guide, complemented by a web page. The products provide comprehensive information on how the Australian Government is assisting young people achieve their goals and make the most of their lives.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 157**

**Topic: Youth Pathways Action Plan Task Force Report**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What future action does the Department envisage as a result of the Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce Report?

**Answer:**

The Department of Family and Community Services (FaCS) will continue to work in collaboration with Australian Government agencies, state and territory government and non-government organisations to improve services and pathways for young people and the recommendations of this Report will continue to influence this work.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 1.2 Youth and Student Support

**Question No:** 253

**Topic:** Youth Pathways Action Plan Task Force Report

**Hansard Page:** CA130

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a full list of the actions taken by the department and recommendations in response to the report.

**Answer:**

Several departments are progressing a range of initiatives in response to the Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce Report.

The Australian Government Department of Family and Community Services (FaCS) has been contributing to the Government's ongoing response to the Youth Pathways Action Plan Taskforce Report - *Footprints to the Future* by working in partnership with a number of other Australian Government agencies to implement measures announced in the 2001 Budget context.

- FaCS has been working in partnership with Centrelink and the Departments of Employment and Workplace Relations (DEWR) and Education, Science and Training (DEST), to develop a **Youth Servicing Strategy** to focus service delivery on the needs of young people.
- On 12 August 2002 the Government announced the development of **Simple Service Solutions**, which will assist young people to access information, advice and support they need in a timely and coordinated way and reduce the administrative burden for community organisations that are funded to deliver youth services.
- Development of the **Mentor Marketplace** initiative seeks to encourage the use of mentoring to increase outcomes for young people, particularly those at greatest risk of disconnection from their families, community, education and work.
- Development of the **Transition to Independent Living Allowance (TILA)**, on which FaCS has been working with state and territory governments and non-government organisations. This aims to meet some of the transitional support needs of young people exiting care arrangements.
- FaCS is also responsible for managing the **Innovative and Collaborative Youth Servicing (ICYS) Pilot Projects**. The ICYS pilot projects promote more effective collaboration among service providers at the local community level and encourage ownership and accountability by communities for initiatives designed to assist young people between the ages of 12-25.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- The Ministerial Council for Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEETYA) endorsed a joint Ministerial Declaration, *Stepping Forward – improving pathways for all young people*. This represents a joint commitment by education, training, employment, youth and community services across jurisdictions to work together to better support young people’s transitions, with focus on vulnerable young people in our community.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 234**

**Topic: Service Profiling**

**Hansard Page: CA122**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Service Profiling – What is the overall number of reviews? Provide an overview of what happened in the 2002-03 year – especially in relation to youth allowance in 2002-03.

**Answer:**

1. In 2002-03 Youth Allowance service profiling was phased in replacing existing time-based program reviews. The phased implementation was necessary to take account of the timeframes necessary for full (Centrelink) system development and to ensure there were no unintended impacts on program expenditure.
2. In the 2002-03 year, 22 583 service profiling updates were completed for Youth Allowance customers. Of these, 6 189 resulted in a downward variation or cancellation to payment and 3 315 resulted in an upward variation to payment.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 - Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 231**

**Topic: Substance Abuse**

**Hansard Page: CA50**

**Senator Bishop asked:**

Apart from the National Illicit Drug Strategy, does the department undertake or sponsor any other programs or activities relating to combating substance abuse amongst young people?

**Answer:**

The responsibility for coordinating the Australian Government's response to reducing drug-related harm in youth lies with the **Department of Health and Ageing** through the National Illicit Drug Strategy. However, the Department of Family and Community Services does fund several programs which incorporate drug minimisation as important goals or assist young clients who are substance addicts. These include the Rock Eisteddfod, *thesource* youth website, the Job Placement, Employment and Training Program (JPET) and the Reconnect initiative.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 236 (2)**

**Topic: Fares Allowance**

**Hansard Page: CA123**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What limits apply to the scheme [Fares Allowance]?

**Answer**

Limits to the scheme are determined by the eligibility criteria. Fares Allowance is available to a person who is either a full-time or concessional study-load tertiary student and who is receiving either Youth Allowance, Austudy payment or Pensioner Education Supplement.

Dependent Youth Allowance students may be eligible for assistance under Fares Allowance if they need to live away from the parental home in order to study. Independent Youth Allowance students, as well as students receiving Austudy payment or Pensioner Education Supplement, may qualify for Fares Allowance if they live away from their permanent home and they have a partner or a dependent child who continues to live at their permanent home. Fares Allowance is also available to students undertaking external tertiary level study to help them attend their educational institution for a compulsory residential component of their course.

Eligible students can also claim Fares Allowance if they use their own vehicle. The Motor Vehicle Allowance (MVA) rates are used to determine the rate of Fares Allowance reimbursement for travel by private vehicle. The amount of reimbursement depends on the kilometres travelled and the engine capacity of the car.

The number of trips for which a person may be eligible for Fares Allowance may be limited by the length of the course they are undertaking. Students will generally only be reimbursed for the cheapest and most practical form of transport according to their circumstances.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.2 Youth and Student Support**

**Question No: 248**

**Topic: Youth Suicide**

**Hansard Page: CA127 Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Please provide an update on the status of the National Advisory Council for Suicide Prevention?

**Answer:**

This is not Family and Community Services portfolio responsibility. The National Advisory Council on Suicide Prevention continues to provide advice to the Minister for Health and Ageing.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.3**

**Question No: 254**

**Topic: Child Support**

**Hansard Page: CA62**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Could you please provide to the committee copies of the following research undertaken for the agency:

1. the Colmar Brunton Social Research Community perception survey;
2. the Colmar Brunton Social Research client research;
3. the Colmar Brunton Social Research e-business research;
4. the Colmar Brunton Social Research evaluation of and reporting on Supporting Parents Referral Service;
5. the Cuetel client satisfaction research; and,
6. the Marketing and Research Associates client satisfaction research (Professionalism Survey)

**Answer:**

Copies of the following research are attached:

- 1. the Colmar Brunton Social Research Community perception survey**
- 2. the Colmar Brunton Social Research client research**
- 3. the Colmar Brunton Social Research e-business research**
- 4. the Colmar Brunton Social Research evaluation and reporting on the Supporting Parents Referral Service**
- 5. the Cuetel client satisfaction research (February 2003)**
- 6. the Marketing and Research Associates client satisfaction research (Professionalism Survey)**

[Note: the attachment has not been included in the electronic/printed volume]

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Topic: Child Care Benefit expenditure**

1. The number and percentage of families and children under each CCB rate, for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

Rate	Customers		Children	
	No.	%	No.	%
Max	244,656	36.3	355,780	36.2
Min	101,106	15.0	147,322	15.0
Partial	310,446	46.1	457,634	46.5
Total <sup>a</sup>	673,598	100.0	983,625	100.0

(a) Includes CCB where a customer's rate type could not be determined.

*Notes:*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment.
2. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year. These figures do not take into account Centrelink CCB advance/acquittals to services.
3. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

2. The number and proportion of families under each CCB rate for Family Day Care and Long Day Care for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

Rate	Family day care		Long day care	
	No.	%	No.	%
Max	41,292	35.9	162,521	35.8
Min	13,863	12.1	66,217	14.6
Partial	54,583	47.4	203,795	44.8
Lump sum	2,966	2.6	10,646	2.3
Undetermined	2,340	2.0	11,278	2.5
Total	115,044	100.0	454,457	100.0

*Notes:*

1. Family day care numbers include in-home-care.
2. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

3. The **amount and percentage of child care benefit expenditure under each CCB rate** for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

<b>Rate</b>	<b>CCB (\$)</b>	<b>%</b>
Max	707,149,446	51.3
Min	68,519,344	5.0
Partial	586,951,917	42.6
Total <sup>a</sup>	1,377,995,127	100.0

(a) Includes expenditure where a customer's rate type could not be determined.

*Notes:*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment.
2. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year. These figures do not take into account Centrelink CCB advance/acquittals to services.
3. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

4. The **amount of child care benefit expenditure under each CCB rate for children between birth and the age of two** for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

<b>Rate</b>	<b>CCB (\$)</b>
Maximum	101,425,138
Minimum	13,730,482
Partial	108,813,496
Total <sup>a</sup>	229,100,700

(a) Includes CCB where a customer's rate type could not be determined.

*Notes:*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment.
2. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year. These figures do not take into account Centrelink CCB advance/acquittals to services.
2. Information is based on CCB paid to families during a quarter for children who were under 2 years of age at any time during the quarter.
3. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

5. **The amount and percentage of child care benefit for each of the different types of care** for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

<b>Service type</b>	<b>CCB (\$)</b>	<b>%</b>
ASC	64,432,047	4.7
BSC	7,708,351	0.6
FDC	248,710,134	18.0
LDC	1,004,674,813	72.9
OCC	6,274,600	0.5
VAC	46,195,182	3.4
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,377,995,127</b>	<b>100.0</b>

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

*Notes:*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment and CCB paid for informal care.
2. In-home-care expenditure is included in the Family Day Care amount.
3. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year. These figures do not take into account Centrelink CCB advance/acquittal processes to services.
4. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Topic: Openings and closures of child care services**

The tables below show openings and closures of Commonwealth funded child care centres by service type, electorate and local government area for the period 1 July 2002 – 30 June 2003. Note that current openings and closures information does not take into account transfers of service ownership. When the ownership of a service is transferred Centrelink is required to close the service on the Child Care Operator system and to create a new service record to affect the transfer. This means that any transfer of ownership will be recorded as both an opening and a closure.

Additionally, Centrelink is combining co-located and co-managed After School Care and Before School Care services into single administrated outside school hours care services. This means that most Before School Care service closures will in fact be a result of this process and should not be regarded as closures. Therefore the information in the following table is not comparable with previous information provided on openings and closures.

**Openings and closures by Commonwealth electoral division and service type (July 2002-June 2003).**

Electoral Division	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Adelaide	0	0	0	6	0	4	2	2	0	0	1	0
Aston	1	0	0	6	0	0	4	3	0	0	2	1
Ballarat	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	8	6
Banks	0	1	0	2	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	1
Barker	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
Barton	1	0	0	5	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Bass	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Batman	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Bendigo	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Bennelong	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Berowra	0	0	0	10	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Blair	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	1
Blaxland	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Bonython	1	1	0	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1
Boothby	0	0	0	12	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Bowman	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0
Braddon	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bradfield	0	1	0	5	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Electoral Division	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Brand	2	1	0	2	0	0	12	10	0	0	0	0
Brisbane	2	2	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	2
Bruce	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0
Burke	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	4	0	1	1	1
Calare	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
Calwell	0	0	0	5	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0
Canberra	3	4	1	6	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0
Canning	1	0	0	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0
Capricornia	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Casey	0	0	0	4	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Charlton	1	1	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
Chifley	2	3	0	4	0	0	6	5	0	0	2	3
Chisholm	1	1	1	6	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	0
Cook	1	1	0	7	0	0	6	3	0	0	1	1
Corangamite	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corio	1	1	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Cowan	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	0	0	1	0
Cowper	0	0	0	1	3	0	7	5	0	0	0	1
Cunningham	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1
Curtin	2	2	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1
Dawson	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	0	0	0	0
Deakin	2	1	0	7	1	1	3	4	0	0	3	0
Denison	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dickson	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	6	0	0	0	0
Dobell	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	3	0	1	0	0
Dunkley	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	0
Eden-Monaro	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	0
Fadden	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	1
Fairfax	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0
Farrer	1	1	0	1	0	0	8	8	0	0	1	1
Fisher	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	0	0	0	0
Flinders	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Electoral Division	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Forde	1	1	0	2	0	0	20	18	0	0	0	0
Forrest	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Fowler	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Franklin	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Fraser	2	2	0	8	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	1
Fremantle	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Gellibrand	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Gilmore	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	1
Gippsland	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Goldstein	0	0	0	4	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Grayndler	2	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Greenway	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0
Grey	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Griffith	1	1	0	2	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	1
Groom	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	0	0
Gwydir	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Hasluck	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Herbert	0	0	0	2	0	0	11	9	0	0	1	1
Higgins	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hindmarsh	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Hinkler	0	1	0	4	0	0	13	11	0	0	0	1
Holt	1	0	1	6	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Hotham	1	1	1	9	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	1
Hughes	1	1	0	11	0	0	6	4	0	0	1	1
Hume	2	2	0	3	2	1	5	4	0	0	2	3
Hunter	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Indi	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	0	0	0	0
Isaacs	1	2	0	4	0	0	6	5	0	0	1	1
Jagajaga	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Kalgoorlie	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	2	1	1	0	0
Kennedy	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	1
Kingsford Smith	1	1	0	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Electoral Division	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Kingston	2	1	0	11	0	0	4	5	0	0	3	1
Kooyong	1	1	0	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
La Trobe	1	0	1	7	0	0	9	8	0	0	1	1
Lalor	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0
Leichhardt	1	1	0	1	1	1	14	7	0	0	1	2
Lilley	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
Lindsay	1	1	1	9	1	0	7	6	0	0	1	1
Lingiari	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	1	2
Longman	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	8	0	0	0	0
Lowe	1	1	0	10	0	0	5	5	0	0	2	1
Lyne	0	0	0	4	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	0
Lyons	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Macarthur	1	0	0	0	1	0	14	10	0	0	1	0
Mackellar	2	3	0	6	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	1
Macquarie	0	0	0	7	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Makin	0	0	0	14	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Mallee	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1
Maranoa	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4	0	0	0	0
Maribyrnong	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Mayo	0	0	0	8	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
Mcewen	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mcmillan	1	0	0	2	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Mcperson	0	0	0	1	0	0	14	13	0	0	0	0
Melbourne	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Melbourne Ports	1	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1
Menzies	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
Mitchell	2	2	0	5	1	1	11	7	0	0	2	5
Moncrieff	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0
Moore	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	3	1
Moreton	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Murray	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0	2	0
New England	0	0	0	2	1	1	4	4	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Electoral Division	Service												
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC		
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	
Newcastle	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Sydney	2	2	0	5	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	1	
O'Connor	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oxley	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	1	0	
Page	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0
Parkes	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Parramatta	0	0	0	10	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	1
Paterson	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0
Pearce	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	
Perth	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	0	
Petrie	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	6	0	0	0	0	0
Port Adelaide	0	1	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1
Prospect	1	0	0	6	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	1
Rankin	0	2	0	1	0	0	9	7	0	0	0	0	1
Reid	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Richmond	0	0	0	2	0	0	10	7	0	0	0	0	0
Riverina	0	0	0	1	0	0	15	11	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Ryan	0	0	0	4	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
Scullin	0	1	0	6	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	0
Shortland	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Solomon	2	3	1	2	0	0	9	7	0	0	4	4	
Stirling	3	2	0	3	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0
Sturt	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0
Swan	1	1	0	5	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	
Sydney	3	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	
Tangney	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Throsby	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
Wakefield	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	
Wannon	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Warringah	2	2	0	10	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Watson	3	3	0	7	1	0	3	3	0	0	2	2	

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Electoral Division	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Wentworth	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1
Werriwa	1	0	0	6	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	1
Wide Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	0	0
Wills	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

**Openings and closures by Local Government Area (LGA) and service type (July 2002- June 2003).**

Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Adelaide (C)	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
Adelaide Hills (DC)	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Albury (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0
Alice Springs (T)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ararat (RC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Armadale (C)	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Ashfield (A)	1	1	0	5	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
Atherton (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Auburn (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Ballarat (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	8	6
Ballina (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0
Balonne (S)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bankstown (C)	0	2	0	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	1
Banyule (C)	0	0	0	8	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0
Barossa (DC)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Bass Coast (S)	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bassendean (T)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Baulkham Hills (A)	2	2	0	7	1	1	11	7	0	0	2	6

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Baw Baw (S)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bayside (C)	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Bayswater (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0
Beaunesert (S)	1	0	0	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Bellingen (A)	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berri and Barmera (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blacktown (C)	1	2	0	4	0	0	12	9	0	0	1	2
Bland (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Blue Mountains (C)	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Boroondara (C)	1	1	0	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Botany Bay (C)	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Break O'Day (M)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Brimbank (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Brisbane (C)	3	3	2	18	0	0	30	27	0	0	2	4
Broome (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bundaberg (C)	0	1	0	4	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1
Burnett (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Burnside (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Burwood (A)	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Byron (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Caboolture (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	7	0	0	0	0
Cairns (C)	0	0	0	2	1	0	12	6	0	0	0	1
Calliope (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Caloundra (C)	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Camden (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
Campaspe (S)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Campbelltown (C)	1	0	0	6	1	0	11	11	0	0	1	0
Canning (C)	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Canterbury (C)	2	2	0	6	1	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
Cardinia (S)	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Casey (C)	1	0	2	6	0	0	9	8	0	0	0	0



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Cessnock (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charles Sturt (C)	0	1	0	5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
Charters Towers (C)	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Chinchilla (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Clarence (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cockburn (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Coffs Harbour (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Concord (A)	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1
Cook (S)	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
Coolamon (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cooloolo (S)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Cootamundra (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Copper Coast (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corowa (A)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Crookwell (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Croydon (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dalwallinu (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dandaragan (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dardanup (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Darebin (C)	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Darwin (C)	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	3
Delatite (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Deniliquin (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Douglas (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Drumoyne (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dubbo (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Eacham (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
East Gippsland (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Emerald (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Eurobodalla (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0
Fairfield (C)	1	0	0	1	0	0	7	6	0	0	0	1

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Fitzroy (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Frankston (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Fremantle (C)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Gannawarra (S)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gatton (S)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Gawler (M)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Gilgandra (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Gladstone (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0
Glen Eira (C)	1	1	2	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Glen Innes (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glenelg (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Glenorchy (C)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gloucester (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Gold Coast (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	46	44	0	0	0	0
Goondiwindi (T)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Gosford (C)	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Gosnells (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Goulburn (C)	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Grafton (C)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Grant (DC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Great Lakes (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Greater Bendigo (C)	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Greater Dandenong (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0
Greater Geelong (C)	1	1	1	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Greater Shepparton (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	0
Greater Taree (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
Griffith (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Gunnedah (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Harden (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Harvey (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hastings (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Hawkesbury (C)	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Hervey Bay (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0
Hobart (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hobsons Bay (C)	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Holbrook (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Holdfast Bay (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Holroyd (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Hornsby (A)	0	0	0	11	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Horsham (RC)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Hume (C)	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Hurstville (C)	1	1	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1
Indigo (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Ipswich (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	6	0	0	1	1
Isis (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Johnstone (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Joondalup (C)	2	1	0	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	1
Kalamunda (S)	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Kalgoorlie/Boulder (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Katherine (T)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Kempsey (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Kiama (A)	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Kingborough (M)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Kingston (C)	2	2	1	5	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
Knox (C)	0	0	0	7	0	0	5	4	0	0	1	1
Kogarah (A)	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Ku-ring-gai (A)	0	1	0	4	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0
Kwinana (T)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Laidley (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Lake Macquarie (C)	1	1	0	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1
Lane Cove (A)	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Latrobe (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Latrobe (M)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Launceston (C)	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Leeton (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Leichhardt (A)	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Light (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Lismore (C)	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Litchfield (S)	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Liverpool (C)	2	1	0	5	1	0	5	3	0	0	1	2
Livingstone (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Logan (C)	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	9	0	0	0	1
Loxton Waikerie (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Macedon Ranges (S)	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0
Mackay (C)	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	3	0	0	0	0
Maclean (A)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Maitland (C)	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Mallala (DC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Mandurah (C)	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Manly (A)	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Manningham (C)	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Maribymong (C)	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Marion (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0
Maroochy (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	0	0	0	0
Maroondah (C)	1	1	0	4	1	0	6	7	0	0	2	0
Marrickville (A)	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Maryborough (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Melbourne (C)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Melton (S)	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	1
Melville (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Mid Murray (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mildura (RC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mirani (S)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Mitcham (C)	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Monash (C)	2	3	1	9	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
Moonee Valley (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Moorabool (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Moreland (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Mornington Peninsula (S)	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
Mosman (A)	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mosman Park (T)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Mount Barker (DC)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mount Gambier (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Moyne (S)	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Mulwree (A)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Murweh (S)	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nambucca (A)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Narrabri (A)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Narrandera (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Newcastle (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
Nillumbik (S)	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Noosa (S)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
North Sydney (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Norwood Payneham St Peters (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Onkaparinga (C)	2	1	0	11	0	0	4	4	0	0	3	1
Orange (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
Palmerston (C)	1	1	1	2	0	0	4	4	0	0	2	1
Paroo (S)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parramatta (C)	0	0	0	7	0	0	6	5	0	0	0	0
Penrith (C)	2	2	1	14	1	0	7	6	0	0	2	2
Perth (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Pine Rivers (S)	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	6	0	0	0	0
Pittwater (A)	1	1	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	1
Playford (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Port Adelaide Enfield (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Port Lincoln (C)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Port Phillip (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Port Pirie City and Dists (M)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Port Stephens (A)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Prospect (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pyrenees (S)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Randwick (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Redcliffe (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0
Redland (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Renmark Paringa (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Richmond Valley (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0
Rockdale (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Rockhampton (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Rockingham (C)	1	1	0	2	0	0	6	5	0	0	0	0
Roebourne (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	0
Roma (T)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Ryde (C)	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Rylstone (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salisbury (C)	1	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Scone (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Shellharbour (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Shoalhaven (C)	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	1
Singleton (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
South Gippsland (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
South Perth (C)	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
South Sydney (C)	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Southern Midlands (M)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Stirling (C)	3	2	0	3	0	0	7	6	0	0	0	0
Stonnington (C)	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Strathfield (A)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Subiaco (C)	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surf Coast (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutherland Shire (A)	1	1	0	17	0	0	10	6	0	0	1	1
Swan (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Swan Hill (RC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Sydney (C)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tambo (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tamworth (C)	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	4	0	0	0	0
Tatiara (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tea Tree Gully (C)	0	0	0	11	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Temora (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Thuringowa (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0
Toowoomba (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	7	0	0	0	0
Townsville (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	1
Tumbarumba (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tumut (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Tweed (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
Unincorporated ACT	5	6	1	14	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	1
Unincorporated NT	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unley (C)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Victor Harbor (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Victoria Park (T)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vincent (T)	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Wagga Wagga (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
Walkerville (M)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wangaratta (RC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wanneroo (C)	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	3	0
Waratah/Wynyard (M)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Govt. Area	Service											
	ASC		BSC		FDC		LDC		OCC		VAC	
	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed	Opened	Closed
Warringah (A)	3	4	0	6	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Warwick (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Wattle Range (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Waverley (A)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Wellington (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
West Tamar (M)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Torrens (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Whitehorse (C)	2	0	0	6	0	1	6	6	0	0	4	1
Whitsunday (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Whittlesea (C)	0	1	0	5	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
Willoughby (C)	1	1	0	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1
Wingecarribee (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Wodonga (RC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0
Wollondilly (A)	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1
Wollongong (C)	0	1	0	2	0	0	4	4	0	0	2	1
Woollahra (A)	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Wyndham (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
Wyong (A)	0	0	0	4	0	0	7	4	0	1	0	0
Yankalilla (DC)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yarra (C)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yarra Ranges (S)	0	0	0	6	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	0
Yarrowlumla (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
York (S)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Young (A)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Topic: Child care services, places, utilisation, and staff**

**1. Approved and registered services by service type and State/Territory, June 2001**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST
ASC <sup>a</sup>	613	666	476	241	127	54	48	73	2,298
BSC <sup>a</sup>	463	407	293	196	59	17	8	35	1,478
FDC	116	87	114	25	30	15	12	9	408
LDC	1,661	813	895	190	336	53	45	80	4,073
MAC <sup>b</sup>	12	7	3	5	5	1	4	0	37
MULTI <sup>b</sup>	1	2	3	2	3	0	3	0	14
OCC	46	25	16	3	12	4	1	4	111
VAC	495	296	413	167	124	47	51	38	1,631
TOTAL <sup>c</sup>	3,407	2,303	2,213	829	696	191	172	239	10,050
RCP <sup>d</sup>	5,385	4,564	4,339	1,214	1,202	412	231	608	17,988

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, MAC: Multifunctional aboriginal children's service, Multi: Multifunctional children's service, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care, RCP: Registered care provider.

- a) Adding ASC and BSC services will under -count OSHC services due to the current Centrelink process of combining co-located and co-managed ASC and BSC services into single administrative ASC services. The combining of services also affects the overall total of services.
- b) MAC and Multi figures are estimates from December 2000.
- c) Includes approved services only as registered care providers are not counted as approved child care services.
- d) There were 33 registered care providers whose home State/Territory could not be determined.

Source: Centrelink administrative data.

**2. Places allocated by service type and State/Territory, June 2001**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST
ASC	26,653	28,681	25,110	10,286	6,640	1,972	1,837	3,473	104,652
BSC	14,571	13,750	9,797	4,850	2,073	340	153	1,539	47,073
FDC	22,351	16,747	12,547	5,323	4,772	3,247	904	4,949	70,840
LDC	64,271	42,156	55,297	9,904	13,896	2,355	1,909	4,021	193,809
MAC <sup>a</sup>	460	170	120	125	151	27	115	0	1,168
MULTI <sup>a</sup>	55	100	134	74	126	0	136	0	625
OCC	1,186	722	516	83	376	79	10	102	3,074
VAC	20,739	15,001	22,965	8,031	6,636	1,952	1,750	1,712	78,786
TOTAL	150,286	117,327	126,486	38,676	34,670	9,972	6,814	15,796	500,027

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

RCP <sup>b</sup>	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, MAC: Multifunctional aboriginal children's service, Multi: Multifunctional children's service, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

- a) MAC and Multi figures are estimates from December 2000.  
b) Approved places are not allocated to registered care providers.

Source: Centrelink administrative data.

**3. Utilisation rate by service type (%) and State/Territory, May 2002**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST
LDC	90.1	84.2	88.4	82.2	89.6	77.9	79.3	85.3	87.8
FDC	72.0	77.5	87.5	87.0	71.9	75.4	59.9	47.5	77.8

LDC: Long Day Care, FDC: Family Day care

Note: Utilisation is only available for LDC and FDC services.

Source: Census of Child Care Services 2002

**4. Children using approved care services by service type and State/Territory during the June 2003 quarter**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST <sup>a</sup>
ASC	40,431	45,336	43,504	22,695	7,757	3,705	1,790	5,022	170,409
BSC	8,818	9,889	7,435	4,760	923	142	39	650	32,724
FDC	35,856	28,583	23,945	12,966	8,507	6,065	1,039	2,233	118,760
LDC	134,770	79,357	120,883	25,325	36,234	7,222	3,124	6,728	413,879
OCC	4,200	2,948	1,681	332	1,373	265	9	282	11,088
VAC	24,876	24,854	28,446	13,539	8,111	3,531	1,929	1,789	107,162
TOTAL <sup>b</sup>	219,306	163,143	189,982	62,280	56,217	17,946	6,586	14,688	729,582

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care (including in-home-care), LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

- (a) Australian total does not represent an aggregation of State and Territory data as children may have used services in more than one State/Territory during the quarter.  
(b) Total count does not represent an aggregation of service type data as children may have used more than one type of service during the quarter.

Note: Weighted data.

Source: Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**5. Families using approved care services by service type and State/Territory during the June 2003 quarter.**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST <sup>a</sup>
ASC	29,899	32,392	29,827	15,596	5,528	2,649	1,290	3,763	121,023
BSC	6,741	7,254	5,314	3,378	673	109	29	485	24,023
FDC	25,740	18,761	16,080	7,884	5,908	4,041	764	1,558	80,496
LDC	114,590	64,578	91,715	20,483	28,557	5,795	2,588	5,652	333,961
OCC	3,549	2,357	1,374	262	1,114	230	7	228	9,119
VAC	17,298	16,823	18,913	8,856	5,513	2,420	1,350	1,269	72,481
<b>TOTAL<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>166,608</b>	<b>114,515</b>	<b>130,878</b>	<b>41,406</b>	<b>41,089</b>	<b>12,326</b>	<b>4,636</b>	<b>10,550</b>	<b>521,210</b>

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care (including in-home-care), LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

(a) Australian total does not represent an aggregation of State and Territory data as some families used services in more than one State/Territory during the quarter.

(b) Total count does not represent an aggregation of service type data as families may have used more than one type of service during the quarter.

*Note:* Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03

**6. Staff and carers by service type and State/Territory, May 2002**

Service type	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	AUST
LDC	14020	9400	9443	2661	3107	733	400	1023	40787
FDC									
STAFF	601	309	385	146	117	62	22	51	1693
CARERS	3848	2959	2795	1163	781	440	143	396	12525
IHC									
STAFF	9	38	41	7	15	10	0	6	126
CARERS	77	53	131	7	26	80	0	15	389
OSHC	2822	2775	2327	1119	480	223	134	577	10457
VAC	2767	2000	2488	1296	741	262	168	228	9950
OCC	377	225	162	27	88	43	0	17	939
MULTI	12	36	29	31	21	0	14	0	143
MAC	158	58	24	39	61	14	19	0	373
MOB	29	28	20	11	28	0	10	0	126
APG	22	7	82	28	29	0	17	0	185
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24742</b>	<b>17888</b>	<b>17927</b>	<b>6535</b>	<b>5494</b>	<b>1867</b>	<b>927</b>	<b>2313</b>	<b>77693</b>

LDC: Long Day Care, FDC: Family Day Care, IHC: In Home Care, OSHC: Outside School Hours Care, VAC: Vacation care, OCC: Occasional care Multi: Multifunctional children's service, MAC: Multifunctional aboriginal children's service, MOB: Mobile toy libraries, APG: Aboriginal Play Groups

*Notes:*

5. Child Care Census staff and carer data cannot be reliably split into BSC and ASC.

6. FDC and IHC staff counts administrative staff. FDC and IHC carers count actual carers only.

*Source:* 2002 Census of Child Care (unweighted)

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Child Places – FDC and OSHC Reallocation data as 31 October 2003**  
**Family Day Care**

The Department is managing the existing supply of FDC places by moving FDC places relinquished by schemes to areas that have an identified need for more places. The National Family Day Care Council of Australia (NFDCCA) has been consulted and supports the Department's reallocation process.

State demand	SINCE JULY 2001		Current
	Relinquished Places	Reallocated Places	
NSW	626	1356	663
VIC	2209	358	130
QLD	30	605	1201
WA	64	191	146
SA	52	52	316
TAS	105	105	105
NT	0	0	0
ACT	210	80	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3296</b>	<b>2747</b>	<b>2561</b>

Currently there are **821** places **available** (mainly from Victoria). These places will be reallocated shortly in line with PAC recommendations. [Note – the available and relinquished place number (2747 + 821 = 3568) is higher than the number of reallocated places (3296). This is because some places were available at the start of the exercise in July 2001.]

**Outside School Hours Care**

The Department is managing the existing supply of OSHC places by moving places relinquished by services to areas that have an identified need for more places. The National Out of School Hours Services Association (NOSHSA) has been consulted and supports the Department's reallocation process.

Relinquished places are reallocated within the same State/Territory if there is a demand. If no demand in the same State/Territory, places go to a national pool for reallocation to other States/Territories on the basis of need. To date **all relinquished OSHC places** have been **reallocated** in the **same State/Territory**.

State	SINCE JULY 2002		Current Demand
	Relinquished Places	Reallocated Places	
NSW	3644	1841	11719
VIC	5306	5075	3599
QLD	606	497	7831
WA	548	548	705
SA	1423	1405	2664
TAS	320	320	401
NT	5	5	350
ACT	287	187	822

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

---

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12139</b>	<b>9878</b>	<b>28091</b>
--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------

---

The **reallocation** process is ongoing. Currently a **further 2261 places** are available for reallocation in NSW (1803), Victoria (231), Queensland (109), SA (18), and ACT (100).

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 162**

**Topic: Openings and closures of child care services**

**Hansard page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Please provide the number of approved and registered services, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division for each services type (i.e. ASC, BSC, FDC, LDC, MAC, Multi, OCC and Vac.)

**Answer:**

The tables below show openings and closures of Australian Government funded approved child care centres by service type, by State and Territory for the period 1 July 2002 – 30 June 2003. Note that current openings and closures information includes transfers of service ownership. When the ownership of a service is transferred Centrelink is required to close the service on the Child Care Operator system and to create a new service record to affect the transfer. This means that any transfer of ownership will be recorded as both an opening and a closure.

Additionally, Centrelink is combining co-located and co-managed After School Care and Before School Care services into single administrated outside school hours care services. This means that most Before School Care service closures will in fact be a result of this process and should not be regarded as closures. Therefore the information in the following table is not comparable with previous information provided on openings and closures.

Data on openings and closures of Australian Government funded approved child care centres by service type for LGA and Federal Electoral Division asked and answered at the hearing.

Opening and closures information is not available for registered care.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>OPENINGS</b>								
<b>Service type</b>	<b>NSW</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>WA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>ACT</b>
<b>ASC</b>	32	21	10	4	15	8	4	5
<b>BSC</b>	1	9	2	0	0	0	1	1
<b>FDC</b>	14	9	3	1	0	0	0	0
<b>LDC</b>	242	105	219	23	55	4	11	10
<b>OCC</b>	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
<b>VAC</b>	24	30	5	12	10	2	5	0
<b>CLOSURES</b>								
<b>Service type</b>	<b>NSW</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>WA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>ACT</b>
<b>ASC</b>	35	13	8	4	8	6	4	6
<b>BSC</b>	196	133	33	84	26	1	2	14
<b>FDC</b>	4	2	7	4	0	1	1	.
<b>LDC</b>	192	102	172	23	44	1	9	5
<b>OCC</b>	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
<b>VAC</b>	34	15	11	6	4	3	6	1

ASC: After school hours care, BSC: Before school care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

*Note:* there were no openings or closures recorded for MAC or Multi services.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4**

**Revised Question No: 162**

**Topic: Openings and closures of child care services**

**Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Please provide the number of approved and registered services, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division for each services type (i.e. ASC, BSC, FDC, LDC, MAC, Multi, OCC and Vac.)

**Answer:**

The number of Child Care Benefit approved and registered services for each service type by State/Territory, at 5 September 2003, is as follows:

<b>Service type</b>	<b>NSW</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>WA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>ACT</b>	<b>AUST</b>
OSHC (a)	91	866	48	622	346	68	998	164	3,203
FDC (b)	125	94	114	19	30	14	10	8	414
LDC	1,733	815	974	197	360	60	46	87	4,272
VAC	37	466	37	411	181	50	332	137	1,651
OCC	45	27	16	3	12	4	1	4	112
Multifunctional (c)	1	2	3	2	3	0	3	0	14
MAC (c)	12	7	3	5	5	1	4	0	37
Total (a)(d)	3,248	2,275	2,143	753	711	197	149	227	9,703
RCP (e)	6,343	5,665	5,329	1,501	1,410	470	275	670	21,768

OSHC: Outside school hours care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, VAC: Vacation care, OCC: Occasional care, Multi: Multifunctional children's service, MAC: Multifunctional aboriginal children's service. RCP: Registered care provider.

- a) Services offering after and before school care are counted as one combined outside school hours care service.
- b) Includes in home care.
- c) MAC and Multi figures are estimates from December 2000.
- d) Includes approved services only as registered care providers are not counted as approved child care services.
- e) There were 105 registered care providers whose home State/Territory could not be determined. Not all providers may be currently operational.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 05-09-03.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

The number of Child Care Benefit approved and registered services for each service type by Local Government Area, at 5 September 2003, is as follows:

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Adelaide (C)	4	5	10	1	2	22
Adelaide Hills (DC)	9	0	5	0	5	19
Albany (C)	1	2	5	1	1	10
Albury (C)	5	3	11	1	4	24
Alexandrina (DC)	4	0	2	0	2	8
Alice Springs (T)	7	1	5	1	6	20
Alpine (S)	4	1	1	1	2	9
Ararat (RC)	2	1	1	0	1	5
Armadale (C)	6	1	14	0	5	26
Armidale Dumaresq (A)	1	1	4	0	2	8
Ashburton (S)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Ashfield (A)	12	1	14	0	7	34
Atherton (S)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Auburn (A)	8	1	16	0	3	28
Augusta-Margaret River (S)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Ballarat (C)	8	1	12	1	9	31
Ballina (A)	1	1	9	0	3	14
Balonne (S)	1	1	3	0	1	6
Banana (S)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Bankstown (C)	18	1	63	1	6	89
Banyule (C)	42	1	17	0	5	65
Barcaldine (S)	0	2	0	0	0	2
Barossa (DC)	3	0	1	0	2	6
Bass Coast (S)	4	1	1	0	2	8
Bassendean (T)	2	0	5	0	2	9
Bathurst (C)	1	1	5	0	1	8
Baulkham Hills (A)	34	1	41	1	10	87
Baw Baw (S)	7	1	4	0	0	12
Bayside (C)	24	3	15	0	9	51
Bayswater (C)	8	1	11	1	7	28
Beaudesert (S)	7	2	8	0	6	23
Bega Valley (A)	3	1	6	0	3	13
Bellingen (A)	1	2	3	0	1	7
Belmont (C)	2	0	6	0	2	10
Belyando (S)	0	0	2	0	1	3
Berri and Barmera (DC)	2	1	1	0	2	6
Berrigan (A)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Blackall (S)	0	2	0	0	0	2
Blacktown (C)	36	1	84	2	15	138

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Bland (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Blayney (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Blue Mountains (C)	13	1	12	1	10	37
Bombala (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Boonah (S)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Boroondara (C)	39	3	20	1	10	73
Botany Bay (C)	10	1	11	0	2	24
Bourke (A)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Bowen (S)	2	1	2	0	2	7
Break O'Day (M)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Brewarrina (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Bridgetown-Greenbushes (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Brighton (M)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Brimbank (C)	25	2	24	0	9	60
Brisbane (C)	221	24	222	4	120	591
Broadsound (S)	0	0	2	0	2	4
Broken Hill (C)	0	1	0	0	2	3
Brookton (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Broome (S)	1	1	4	0	1	7
Bruce Rock (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Bunbury (C)	1	0	7	0	2	10
Bundaberg (C)	11	1	16	2	7	37
Burdekin (S)	0	1	3	0	1	5
Burnett (S)	2	0	1	0	1	4
Burnie (C)	3	1	2	0	2	8
Burnside (C)	8	1	7	0	4	20
Burwood (A)	4	1	8	1	2	16
Busselton (S)	2	2	5	0	2	11
Byron (A)	5	0	8	0	4	17
Cabonne (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Caboolture (S)	14	2	40	0	10	66
Cairns (C)	25	5	36	1	18	85
Calliope (S)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Caloundra (C)	10	1	18	0	6	35
Cambooya (S)	2	0	0	0	1	3
Cambridge (T)	6	0	2	1	1	10
Camden (A)	6	1	16	0	2	25
Campaspe (S)	2	1	4	0	3	10
Campbelltown (C)	32	2	61	2	14	111
Canning (C)	8	1	11	1	5	26
Canterbury (C)	13	2	44	1	8	68
Cardinia (S)	12	2	8	0	4	26

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Cardwell (S)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Carnarvon (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Carpentaria (S)	2	0	2	0	2	6
Carrathool (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Casey (C)	45	1	45	2	16	109
Ceduna (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Central Coast (M)	3	0	2	0	2	7
Central Goldfields (S)	0	1	1	0	1	3
Cessnock (C)	3	1	6	1	3	14
Charles Sturt (C)	23	0	12	0	10	45
Charters Towers (C)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Chinchilla (S)	0	1	1	0	1	3
Circular Head (M)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Clare and Gilbert Valleys (DC)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Claremont (T)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Clarence (C)	9	1	6	1	3	20
Cleve (DC)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Clifton (S)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Cloncurry (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Cobar (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Cockburn (C)	5	2	10	0	5	22
Coffs Harbour (C)	5	1	23	1	4	34
Colac-Otway (S)	2	1	2	0	1	6
Collie (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Concord (A)	4	1	7	0	3	15
Cooper Pedy (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Cook (S)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Coolamon (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Cooloolo (S)	1	1	7	0	1	10
Cooma-Monaro (A)	2	1	2	0	1	6
Coonabarabran (A)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Coonamble (A)	0	1	1	0	2	4
Cootamundra (A)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Copper Coast (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Corangamite (S)	0	2	1	0	0	3
Corowa (A)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Cottesloe (T)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Cowra (A)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Crookwell (A)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Crow's Nest (S)	2	0	2	0	1	5
Croydon (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Dalby (T)	2	0	3	0	2	7
Dalwallinu (S)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Dandaragan (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dardanup (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Darebin (C)	30	1	25	2	9	67
Darwin (C)	20	1	22	0	15	58
Delatite (S)	2	4	4	0	1	11
Deniliquin (A)	0	1	3	0	1	5
Denmark (S)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Derby-West Kimberley (S)	1	0	1	0	0	2
Derwent Valley (M)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Devonport (C)	3	2	3	1	4	13
Donnybrook-Balingup (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Douglas (S)	3	1	4	0	3	11
Drummoyne (A)	6	1	7	0	3	17
Duaringa (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Dubbo (C)	4	1	7	0	4	16
Dungog (A)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Eacham (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
East Fremantle (T)	0	0	1	0	0	1
East Gippsland (S)	3	2	4	0	3	12
East Pilbara (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Eidsvold (S)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Emerald (S)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Esk (S)	1	0	3	0	0	4
Esperance (S)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Eurobodalla (A)	3	1	12	0	3	19
Exmouth (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Fairfield (C)	18	1	49	1	9	78
Fitzroy (S)	1	0	3	0	1	5
Flinders (S)	0	2	0	0	0	2
Forbes (A)	1	0	1	0	0	2
Frankston (C)	18	1	25	1	7	52
Fremantle (C)	3	0	9	0	3	15
Gannawarra (S)	0	2	0	0	1	3
Gatton (S)	2	1	4	0	3	10
Gawler (M)	4	0	2	0	3	9
Gayndah (S)	0	1	0	0	1	2
George Town (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Geraldton (C)	1	2	5	0	1	9
Gilgandra (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Gladstone (C)	4	1	8	0	0	13

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Glamorgan/Spring Bay (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Glen Eira (C)	35	1	27	0	15	78
Glen Innes (A)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Glenelg (S)	1	2	4	0	1	8
Glenorchy (C)	4	1	3	1	3	12
Gloucester (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Gold Coast (C)	55	3	152	1	40	251
Golden Plains (S)	1	1	0	0	0	2
Goondiwindi (T)	1	3	3	0	1	8
Gosford (C)	22	3	48	1	13	87
Gosnells (C)	4	1	18	0	3	26
Goulburn (C)	2	1	6	0	3	12
Goyder (DC)	2	0	0	0	1	3
Grafton (C)	1	2	4	1	1	9
Grant (DC)	2	0	0	0	2	4
Great Lakes (A)	3	1	10	0	2	16
Greater Bendigo (C)	21	2	10	1	6	40
Greater Dandenong (C)	21	2	27	2	6	58
Greater Geelong (C)	31	1	22	0	9	63
Greater Lithgow (C)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Greater Shepparton (C)	5	1	12	1	3	22
Greater Taree (C)	3	1	13	0	3	20
Greenough (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Griffith (C)	3	1	4	0	2	10
Gundagai (A)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Gunnedah (A)	0	1	1	2	1	5
Guyra (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Harden (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Harvey (S)	2	0	3	0	2	7
Hastings (A)	4	1	16	1	3	25
Hawkesbury (C)	13	1	27	0	9	50
Hay (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Hepburn (S)	0	1	1	1	0	3
Hervey Bay (C)	7	1	11	0	5	24
Hinchinbrook (S)	0	1	2	0	1	4
Hindmarsh (S)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Hobart (C)	22	1	15	0	16	54
Hobsons Bay (C)	14	1	12	0	3	30
Holbrook (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Holdfast Bay (C)	7	0	3	0	5	15
Holroyd (C)	17	2	19	1	6	45
Hornsby (A)	40	1	38	2	14	95

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Horsham (RC)	2	2	2	0	2	8
Hume (C)	29	2	23	1	9	64
Hunter's Hill (A)	3	0	1	0	1	5
Huon Valley (M)	3	1	2	0	2	8
Hurstville (C)	12	1	20	0	6	39
Indigo (S)	4	0	3	0	2	9
Inglewood (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Inverell (A)	0	2	2	0	1	5
Ipswich (C)	29	2	43	0	19	93
Irwin (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Isis (S)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Jabiru (T)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Johnstone (S)	2	1	4	0	1	8
Jondaryan (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Joondalup (C)	18	1	24	1	15	59
Junee (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Kalamunda (S)	4	0	9	0	3	16
Kalgoorlie/Boulder (C)	1	1	5	1	2	10
Kangaroo Island (DC)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Katanning (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Katherine (T)	4	2	1	0	1	8
Kempsey (A)	2	2	5	0	2	11
Kiama (A)	1	0	7	0	1	9
Kilcoy (S)	1	0	0	0	1	2
King Island (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Kingaroy (S)	1	1	4	0	1	7
Kingborough (M)	6	1	3	0	4	14
Kingston (C)	29	1	25	0	12	67
Knox (C)	46	1	33	1	15	96
Kogarah (A)	9	1	13	0	7	30
Kolan (S)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Kondinin (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Ku-ring-gai (A)	24	1	16	1	9	51
Kwinana (T)	2	2	5	0	3	12
Kyogle (A)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Lachlan (A)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Laidley (S)	2	0	4	0	1	7
Lake Macquarie (C)	23	1	36	1	11	72
Lane Cove (A)	5	0	7	0	3	15
Latrobe (C)	2	1	9	1	3	16
Latrobe (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Launceston (C)	6	2	9	1	7	25

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Leeton (A)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Leichhardt (A)	17	1	16	1	7	42
Leonora (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Light (DC)	2	0	2	0	2	6
Lismore (C)	3	2	9	1	2	17
Litchfield (S)	4	0	3	0	3	10
Liverpool (C)	24	1	55	2	8	90
Livingstone (S)	4	0	3	0	3	10
Logan (C)	25	5	73	0	17	120
Longreach (S)	1	2	2	0	1	6
Loxton Waikerie (DC)	2	0	2	0	2	6
Macedon Ranges (S)	9	2	7	0	1	19
Mackay (C)	10	2	16	1	7	36
Maclean (A)	4	1	2	0	2	9
Maitland (C)	8	1	10	0	3	22
Mallala (DC)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mandurah (C)	3	1	10	0	3	17
Manjimup (S)	0	0	2	0	1	3
Manly (A)	5	1	8	1	6	21
Manningham (C)	27	1	20	1	6	55
Mareeba (S)	2	0	2	0	2	6
Maribyrnong (C)	7	2	12	0	3	24
Marion (C)	20	0	15	0	9	44
Maroochy (S)	24	2	30	2	18	76
Maroondah (C)	28	2	23	1	9	63
Marrickville (A)	10	1	14	1	6	32
Maryborough (C)	1	2	6	0	3	12
McKinlay (S)	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meander Valley (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Meekatharra (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Melbourne (C)	14	2	21	0	6	43
Melton (S)	7	1	9	0	4	21
Melville (C)	7	0	16	1	6	30
Merredin (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Merriwa (A)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Mid Murray (DC)	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mildura (RC)	9	1	4	1	3	18
Mirani (S)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Miriam Vale (S)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Mitcham (C)	18	0	11	0	7	36
Mitchell (S)	5	1	4	0	2	12
Moira (S)	0	1	3	1	1	6

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Monash (C)	48	1	30	0	16	95
Monto (S)	1	1	0	0	1	3
Moonee Valley (C)	31	1	13	2	6	53
Mooraa (S)	0	0	0	1	0	1
Moorabool (S)	4	1	2	0	2	9
Morawa (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Moree Plains (A)	0	1	2	0	1	4
Moreland (C)	33	1	26	0	7	67
Mornington Peninsula (S)	22	1	22	0	8	53
Mosman (A)	3	1	8	0	3	15
Mosman Park (T)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Mount Alexander (S)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Mount Barker (DC)	8	0	3	0	2	13
Mount Gambier (C)	3	0	4	0	1	8
Mount Isa (C)	1	1	4	0	1	7
Mount Morgan (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Moyne (S)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Mudgee (A)	1	1	2	0	1	5
Mulwarrup (A)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Mundaring (S)	2	0	5	0	2	9
Mundubbera (S)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Murgon (S)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Murilla (S)	2	1	0	0	1	4
Murray (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Murray Bridge (RC)	4	0	1	0	2	7
Murrindindi (S)	1	1	2	0	0	4
Murweh (S)	0	2	2	0	1	5
Muswellbrook (A)	2	0	2	0	1	5
Nambucca (A)	0	2	2	0	1	5
Nanango (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Naracoorte and Lucindale (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Narrabri (A)	1	2	4	0	1	8
Narrandera (A)	1	0	3	0	1	5
Narrogin (T)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Narromine (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Nebo (S)	0	0	0	1	0	1
Nedlands (C)	3	0	6	0	3	12
Newcastle (C)	23	3	30	2	8	66
Nillumbik (S)	22	1	14	0	4	41
Noosa (S)	8	2	13	0	5	28
North Sydney (A)	6	1	19	0	3	29



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Northam (T)	0	1	0	1	0	2
Northern Grampians (S)	1	2	1	0	1	5
Northern Midlands (M)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Norwood Payneham St Peters (C)	12	3	5	0	3	23
Oberon (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Onkaparinga (C)	38	3	18	1	22	82
Orange (C)	3	1	8	0	3	15
Palmerston (C)	9	2	10	0	7	28
Parkes (A)	0	1	4	0	1	6
Paroo (S)	1	0	1	0	0	2
Parramatta (C)	14	2	62	1	9	88
Penrith (C)	21	2	62	1	13	99
Perth (C)	1	0	3	1	0	5
Pine Rivers (S)	23	2	32	0	17	74
Pittsworth (S)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Pittwater (A)	5	1	13	0	3	22
Plantagenet (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Playford (C)	19	2	7	0	9	37
Port Adelaide Enfield (C)	19	0	7	0	8	34
Port Augusta (C)	1	2	2	0	1	6
Port Hedland (T)	3	2	4	0	2	11
Port Lincoln (C)	1	0	2	0	1	4
Port Phillip (C)	13	1	26	0	4	44
Port Pirie City and Dists (M)	1	1	1	0	2	5
Port Stephens (A)	5	1	13	0	4	23
Pristine Waters (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Prospect (C)	6	0	3	0	4	13
Pyrenees (S)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Queanbeyan (C)	7	1	3	0	4	15
Queenscliffe (B)	1	0	1	0	0	2
Randwick (C)	24	1	36	1	6	68
Redcliffe (C)	7	1	14	0	4	26
Redland (S)	25	2	29	0	12	68
Renmark Paringa (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Richmond (S)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Richmond Valley (A)	0	0	3	0	1	4
Robe (DC)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Rockdale (C)	7	2	23	1	5	38
Rockhampton (C)	9	3	13	1	7	33
Rockingham (C)	7	0	18	1	4	30
Roebourne (S)	1	0	2	1	1	5

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Roma (T)	0	2	4	0	0	6
Rosalie (S)	3	0	0	0	0	3
Roxby Downs (M)	2	0	2	0	1	5
Ryde (C)	22	1	26	0	6	55
Rylstone (A)	1	1	0	0	1	3
Salisbury (C)	25	0	15	1	19	60
Sarina (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Scone (A)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Serpentine-Jarrahdale (S)	0	0	2	0	0	2
Shellharbour (C)	3	1	24	1	3	32
Shoalhaven (C)	9	2	25	1	8	45
Singleton (A)	2	1	3	0	1	7
Snowy River (A)	4	0	2	0	2	8
Sorell (M)	2	2	2	0	1	7
South Gippsland (S)	2	1	2	0	0	5
South Perth (C)	4	0	4	0	4	12
South Sydney (C)	15	1	28	1	8	53
Southern Grampians (S)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Southern Mallee (DC)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Stanthorpe (S)	1	1	1	0	1	4
Stirling (C)	15	4	36	0	9	64
Stonnington (C)	17	1	16	2	5	41
Strathbogie (S)	1	0	0	0	0	1
Strathfield (A)	2	1	5	0	2	10
Streaky Bay (DC)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Subiaco (C)	2	0	5	0	2	9
Surf Coast (S)	5	1	1	0	1	8
Sutherland Shire (A)	60	1	68	1	15	145
Swan (C)	15	2	17	0	10	44
Swan Hill (RC)	1	2	2	0	2	7
Sydney (C)	0	0	17	1	0	18
Tambo (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Tamworth (C)	3	2	9	1	2	17
Tatiara (DC)	2	0	1	0	2	5
Tea Tree Gully (C)	27	0	13	0	13	53
Temora (A)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Tennant Creek (T)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Tenterfield (A)	0	0	1	0	2	3
Thuringowa (C)	10	2	14	1	6	33
Toodyay (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Toowoomba (C)	16	4	28	1	11	60
Torres (S)	1	0	2	0	0	3

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Townsville (C)	19	2	31	1	15	68
Towong (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Tumbarumba (A)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Tumby Bay (DC)	1	0	0	0	1	2
Tumut (A)	1	0	3	0	1	5
Tweed (A)	7	1	18	0	4	30
Unincorp. Other Territories	0	0	1	0	0	1
Unincorporated ACT	91	8	87	4	37	227
Unincorporated NT	3	2	3	0	4	12
Unley (C)	12	0	9	0	4	25
Uralla (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Victor Harbor (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Victoria Park (T)	2	0	6	0	3	11
Vincent (T)	5	0	10	0	4	19
Wagga Wagga (C)	9	1	12	1	6	29
Wakefield (DC)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Walgett (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
Walkerville (M)	5	0	1	0	2	8
Wangaratta (RC)	5	1	2	0	6	14
Wanneroo (C)	8	2	17	0	8	35
Waratah/Wynyard (M)	2	0	1	0	1	4
Warren (A)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Warringah (A)	22	2	34	0	10	68
Warrnambool (C)	1	1	4	0	1	7
Warwick (S)	2	1	4	0	1	8
Wattle Range (DC)	2	0	2	0	1	5
Waverley (A)	9	1	20	0	5	35
Weddin (A)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Wellington (A)	0	0	1	0	1	2
Wellington (S)	4	1	3	0	1	9
West Coast (M)	1	0	0	0	1	2
West Tamar (M)	1	0	1	0	1	3
West Torrens (C)	20	0	10	0	6	36
Whitehorse (C)	43	2	28	1	15	89
Whitsunday (S)	2	1	7	0	2	12
Whittlesea (C)	29	1	17	0	5	52
Whyalla (C)	2	0	2	0	1	5
Willoughby (C)	10	1	21	0	4	36
Wiluna (S)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Wingecarribee (A)	3	1	9	0	2	15
Winton (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Wodonga (RC)	5	2	9	1	4	21

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Wollondilly (A)	9	1	13	0	5	28
Wollongong (C)	19	2	63	2	14	100
Woollahra (A)	12	0	5	0	7	24
Wyndham (C)	21	1	16	1	9	48
Wyndham-East Kimberley (S)	1	0	3	0	1	5
Wyong (A)	13	3	43	0	11	70
Yankalilla (DC)	1	0	1	0	1	3
Yarra (C)	19	1	25	0	7	52
Yarra Ranges (S)	50	1	20	0	11	82
Yarrowlumla (A)	2	0	2	1	0	5
Yass (A)	0	1	1	0	0	2
York (S)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Young (A)	1	1	3	0	1	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,203</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>4,272</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>1,651</b>	<b>9,652</b>

OSHC: Outside school hours care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

- a) Services offering after and before school care are counted as one combined outside school hours care service.
- b) Includes in home care

Data not available for registered care, MAC and Multi. Overall total doesn't include 37 MAC services and 14 Multi services.

Source: Centrelink administrative data as at 05-09-03.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

The number of Child Care Benefit approved and registered services for each service type by Federal Electoral Division, at 5 September 2003, is as follows:

<b>Commonwealth Electoral Division (2001)</b>	<b>Service Type</b>					
	<b>OSHC (a)</b>	<b>FDC (b)</b>	<b>LDC</b>	<b>OCC</b>	<b>VAC</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Adelaide</b>	36	5	28	1	15	<b>85</b>
<b>Aston</b>	39	1	30	1	15	<b>86</b>
<b>Ballarat</b>	10	4	14	2	10	<b>40</b>
<b>Banks</b>	16	1	41	1	5	<b>64</b>
<b>Barker</b>	20	0	14	0	14	<b>48</b>
<b>Barton</b>	14	2	33	1	12	<b>62</b>
<b>Bass</b>	7	2	10	1	7	<b>27</b>
<b>Batman</b>	31	1	25	2	9	<b>68</b>
<b>Bendigo</b>	22	4	13	1	8	<b>48</b>
<b>Bennelong</b>	27	1	35	0	7	<b>70</b>
<b>Berowra</b>	38	1	35	2	13	<b>89</b>
<b>Blair</b>	19	3	30	0	14	<b>66</b>
<b>Blaxland</b>	11	1	49	0	6	<b>67</b>
<b>Bonython</b>	36	2	18	1	21	<b>78</b>
<b>Boothby</b>	35	0	20	0	16	<b>71</b>
<b>Bowman</b>	24	4	36	0	14	<b>78</b>
<b>Braddon</b>	12	3	11	1	10	<b>37</b>
<b>Bradfield</b>	28	2	24	1	12	<b>67</b>
<b>Brand</b>	10	3	29	1	8	<b>51</b>
<b>Brisbane</b>	41	9	46	1	20	<b>117</b>
<b>Bruce</b>	31	2	17	0	6	<b>56</b>
<b>Burke</b>	26	5	25	0	9	<b>65</b>
<b>Calare</b>	6	5	20	0	6	<b>37</b>
<b>Calwell</b>	34	1	28	1	9	<b>73</b>
<b>Canberra</b>	42	3	38	2	15	<b>100</b>
<b>Canning</b>	14	1	27	0	10	<b>52</b>
<b>Capricornia</b>	15	7	26	2	15	<b>65</b>
<b>Casey</b>	39	1	22	0	9	<b>71</b>
<b>Charlton</b>	13	1	23	1	7	<b>45</b>
<b>Chifley</b>	12	1	42	3	7	<b>65</b>
<b>Chisholm</b>	32	0	31	1	12	<b>76</b>
<b>Cook</b>	28	0	35	0	6	<b>69</b>
<b>Corangamite</b>	19	3	11	0	5	<b>38</b>
<b>Corio</b>	21	1	15	0	6	<b>43</b>
<b>Cowan</b>	12	2	22	0	9	<b>45</b>
<b>Cowper</b>	10	6	32	1	8	<b>57</b>
<b>Cunningham</b>	14	1	44	2	10	<b>71</b>
<b>Curtin</b>	15	0	25	1	9	<b>50</b>
<b>Dawson</b>	14	6	29	1	12	<b>62</b>
<b>Deakin</b>	36	4	21	1	13	<b>75</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Commonwealth Electoral Division (2001)</b>	<b>Service Type</b>					
	<b>OSHC (a)</b>	<b>FDC (b)</b>	<b>LDC</b>	<b>OCC</b>	<b>VAC</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Denison</b>	27	2	18	1	20	<b>68</b>
<b>Dickson</b>	29	2	35	0	19	<b>85</b>
<b>Dobell</b>	15	3	37	0	12	<b>67</b>
<b>Dunkley</b>	17	1	27	1	7	<b>53</b>
<b>Eden-Monaro</b>	21	4	28	1	13	<b>67</b>
<b>Fadden</b>	22	2	39	0	10	<b>73</b>
<b>Fairfax</b>	18	5	30	1	14	<b>68</b>
<b>Farrer</b>	8	5	21	1	9	<b>44</b>
<b>Fisher</b>	23	1	33	1	15	<b>73</b>
<b>Flinders</b>	28	1	19	0	7	<b>55</b>
<b>Forde</b>	19	5	52	0	15	<b>91</b>
<b>Forrest</b>	8	2	23	0	10	<b>43</b>
<b>Fowler</b>	9	0	40	0	5	<b>54</b>
<b>Franklin</b>	17	4	12	1	9	<b>43</b>
<b>Fraser</b>	49	5	50	2	22	<b>128</b>
<b>Fremantle</b>	10	2	23	0	9	<b>44</b>
<b>Gellibrand</b>	14	3	23	0	5	<b>45</b>
<b>Gilmore</b>	10	2	34	1	9	<b>56</b>
<b>Gippsland</b>	10	5	10	0	5	<b>30</b>
<b>Goldstein</b>	37	3	21	0	13	<b>74</b>
<b>Grayndler</b>	30	2	29	1	12	<b>74</b>
<b>Greenway</b>	29	1	51	0	11	<b>92</b>
<b>Grey</b>	14	4	12	0	13	<b>43</b>
<b>Griffith</b>	31	2	31	0	19	<b>83</b>
<b>Groom</b>	22	4	32	1	14	<b>73</b>
<b>Gwydir</b>	5	10	16	2	11	<b>44</b>
<b>Hasluck</b>	6	3	28	0	8	<b>45</b>
<b>Herbert</b>	28	4	45	2	21	<b>100</b>
<b>Higgins</b>	29	1	24	2	8	<b>64</b>
<b>Hindmarsh</b>	32	0	15	0	12	<b>59</b>
<b>Hinkler</b>	19	4	30	2	10	<b>65</b>
<b>Holt</b>	30	1	30	4	10	<b>75</b>
<b>Hotham</b>	34	1	29	0	15	<b>79</b>
<b>Hughes</b>	40	1	46	1	12	<b>100</b>
<b>Hume</b>	16	5	33	0	12	<b>66</b>
<b>Hunter</b>	14	3	18	1	7	<b>43</b>
<b>Indi</b>	21	6	20	2	15	<b>64</b>
<b>Isaacs</b>	19	1	30	0	9	<b>59</b>
<b>Jagajaga</b>	45	1	24	0	8	<b>78</b>
<b>Kalgoorlie</b>	9	4	29	2	9	<b>53</b>
<b>Kennedy</b>	15	7	23	0	12	<b>57</b>
<b>Kingsford Smith</b>	31	2	45	1	7	<b>86</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Commonwealth Electoral Division (2001)	Service Type					Total
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	
Kingston	33	3	19	1	21	77
Kooyong	31	3	14	1	8	57
La Trobe	47	0	26	0	13	86
Lalor	30	1	21	1	12	65
Leichhardt	29	7	42	1	22	101
Lilley	34	2	27	0	18	81
Lindsay	12	1	43	0	9	65
Lingiari	19	7	14	1	15	56
Longman	16	2	41	0	11	70
Lowe	22	5	36	1	13	77
Lyne	9	4	33	1	8	55
Lyons	5	3	9	0	4	21
Macarthur	16	3	52	1	8	80
Mackellar	19	2	34	0	9	64
Macquarie	25	2	39	1	18	85
Makin	34	0	16	0	19	69
Mallee	13	5	8	1	8	35
Maranoa	11	15	27	0	10	63
Maribyrnong	23	1	8	0	5	37
Mayo	31	0	14	0	11	56
Mcewen	36	5	22	0	10	73
Mcmillan	14	4	16	1	7	42
Mcperson	19	0	43	0	17	79
Melbourne	41	3	56	0	13	113
Melbourne Ports	29	2	40	0	12	83
Menzies	29	1	22	1	7	60
Mitchell	28	1	40	1	9	79
Moncrieff	20	2	62	1	13	98
Moore	13	1	17	1	11	43
Moreton	46	2	36	1	25	110
Murray	7	5	18	2	8	40
New England	5	5	19	1	8	38
Newcastle	21	3	26	2	8	60
North Sydney	20	1	40	0	8	69
O'Connor	4	4	21	2	5	36
Oxley	31	5	45	0	19	100
Page	5	5	20	2	6	38
Parkes	6	7	18	0	9	40
Parramatta	22	3	47	1	10	83
Paterson	10	2	29	0	8	49
Pearce	12	2	18	1	8	41
Perth	20	1	29	2	14	66

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Commonwealth Electoral Division (2001)	Service Type					
	OSHC (a)	FDC (b)	LDC	OCC	VAC	Total
Petrie	18	2	32	0	9	61
Port Adelaide	26	0	12	0	13	51
Prospect	21	1	36	1	9	68
Rankin	13	2	51	0	10	76
Reid	16	2	41	1	8	68
Richmond	13	1	31	0	10	55
Riverina	15	3	28	1	13	60
Robertson	15	3	39	1	9	67
Ryan	36	2	29	2	21	90
Scullin	37	2	21	0	5	65
Shortland	17	0	30	0	7	54
Solomon	29	3	32	0	22	86
Stirling	12	4	28	0	9	53
Sturt	32	4	16	0	11	63
Swan	11	1	24	1	12	49
Sydney	19	1	54	3	13	90
Tangney	8	0	17	1	6	32
Throsby	8	2	43	1	7	61
Wakefield	17	1	13	0	15	46
Wannon	5	9	13	0	4	31
Warringah	16	3	29	1	13	62
Watson	15	3	37	1	9	65
Wentworth	26	1	27	0	13	67
Werriwa	20	1	50	3	5	79
Wide Bay	10	8	22	0	12	52
Wills	32	2	21	2	7	64
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,203</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>4,272</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>1,651</b>	<b>9,652</b>

OSHC: Outside school hours care, FDC: Family day care, LDC: Long day care, OCC: Occasional care, VAC: Vacation care.

- a) Services offering after and before school care are counted as one combined outside school hours care service.
- b) Includes in home care.

Data not available for registered care, MAC and Multi. Overall total doesn't include 37 MAC services and 14 Multi services.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 160**

**Topic: Child Care Benefit**

**Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide detail of the children over 5 years receiving minimum CCB to outline:

- a. The number of children and dollars spent in registered services
- b. The number of children and dollars spent in approved services
- c. Dollars spent in deciles per age group in each of both registered and approved services.

**Answer:**

a. There were 9,068 children aged over 5 years receiving minimum rate Child Care Benefit in registered care services during 2002-2003. The amount of Child Care Benefit, paid at the minimum rate for these children, was \$677,762.

b. There were 46,750 children aged over 5 years receiving minimum rate Child Care Benefit in approved child care services during 2002-2003. The amount of Child Care Benefit paid for these children was \$7,156,741

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

c. It is not possible to provide a decile breakdown of Child Care Benefit expenditure since there is no distribution of Child Care Benefit with age groups. However, the percentage of Child Care Benefit, paid at the minimum rate, for each age group of children aged over 5 years using approved and registered care services for the 2002-2003 financial year is presented below:

Age (years)	Registered care (a)		Approved services(b)	
	CCB (\$)	% of total min. rate CCB for children over 5 yrs old	CCB (\$)	% of total min. rate CCB for children over 5 yrs old
<b>6</b>	151,644	22.4	1,813,743	25.3
<b>7</b>	135,507	20.0	1,616,107	22.6
<b>8</b>	114,536	16.9	1,362,109	19.0
<b>9</b>	98,714	14.6	1,105,225	15.4
<b>10</b>	73,097	10.8	770,316	10.8
<b>11</b>	49,402	7.3	356,292	5.0
<b>12+</b>	54,862	8.1	132,949	1.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>677,762</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>7,156,741</b>	<b>100.0</b>

*Notes (a):*

1. Refers to usage of registered care services where CCB has been claimed from the Family Assistance Office.
2. The age of a child is determined by his/her age at the beginning of the 2002-2003 financial year.

*Notes (b):*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment.
2. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year.
3. The age of a child is determined by his/her age at the beginning of the 2002-2003 financial year.
4. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4**

**Question No: 163**

**Topic: Child Care Benefit**

**Hansard page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide details of the CCB expenditure under each of the percentage levels set by the Government, and how many families/children are assisted at each level. (a) Can you also advise the proportion of CCB that goes to each of the different types of care (i.e. ASC, BSC, OCC and VAC). (b) Could you please supply the detail of the amount of CCB that goes to children by age (i.e. how many dollars go to children in each age bracket under 6)?

**Answer:**

CCB expenditure under each of the percentage levels set by the Government, and the number of families/children assisted at each level, was asked and answered at the hearing.

(a) Asked and answered at the hearing.

(b) The amount of Child Care Benefit expenditure for children under the age of six for the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

<b>Age (years)</b>	<b>CCB (\$)</b>
0	99,235,557
1	213,997,008
2	305,874,568
3	327,488,844
4	202,988,294
5	65,699,659

*Notes:*

1. Excludes CCB received as a lump sum payment.
2. The information in this table is based on pre-reconciliation amounts paid to families during the financial year.
3. The age of a child is determined by his/her age at the beginning of the 2002-2003 financial year.
4. Weighted data.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data as at 03-10-03.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 164**

**Topic: Corporate Child Care**

**Hansard page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Could you provide the data to show what number and percentage of places, families and services are administered or owned by companies listed on the stock exchange, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division?

**Answer:**

The number and percentages of places, families and services administered or owned by companies listed on the stock exchange, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division during the 2002-2003 financial year is as follows:

(i) State and Territory

State/Territory	Families (a)		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
NSW	8,360	5.3	4,377	6.3	83	4.8
VIC	5,549	6.2	3,018	6.9	42	5.2
QLD	25,542	20.7	12,775	20.6	174	17.9
SA	3,441	12.5	1,431	13.3	24	12.2
WA	1,770	4.3	1,139	7.3	20	5.6
TAS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NT	274	7.4	148	6.5	2	4.3
ACT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

(a) Weighted data.

*Notes:*

1. Family data refers to families using approved care during the 2002-2003 financial year.
2. Percentages refer to the number of places, services and families administered or owned by companies listed on the stock exchange (as at 10-10-03) as a percentage of the total number of Long Day Care places, services and families using Long Day Care services.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

(ii) Local Government Area

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Adelaide (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Adelaide Hills (DC)	120	17.4	60	28.7	1	20.0
Albany (C)	0	0.0	50	24.9	1	20.0
Albury (C)	242	22.1	117	28.7	3	27.3
Alexandrina (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Alice Springs (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Alpine (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ararat (RC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Armadale (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Armidale Dumaresq (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ashburton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ashfield (A)	199	17.3	103	16.7	2	14.3
Atherton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Auburn (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Augusta-Margaret River (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ballarat (C)	262	16.3	120	21.3	2	16.7
Ballina (A)	183	16.1	168	38.9	3	33.3
Balonne (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Banana (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bankstown (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Banyule (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Barossa (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bass Coast (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bassendean (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bathurst (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Baulkham Hills (A)	57	1.5	39	2.1	1	2.4
Baw Baw (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayside (C)	240	15.3	109	15.3	2	13.3
Bayswater (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Beaulesert (S)	844	54.6	389	64.1	5	62.5
Bega Valley (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bellingen (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Belmont (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Local Government Area</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Belyando (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Berri and Barmera (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Berrigan (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blacktown (C)	369	4.4	176	5.2	3	3.6
Bland (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blayney (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blue Mountains (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bombala (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Boonah (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Boroondara (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Botany Bay (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bourke (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bowen (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Break O'Day (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brewarrina (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bridgetown-Greenbushes (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brighton (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brimbank (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brisbane (C)	6,822	23.5	3,462	23.6	44	19.8
Broadsound (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brookton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Broome (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bruce Rock (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bunbury (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bundaberg (C)	132	6.0	75	7.6	1	6.3
Burdekin (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Burnett (S)	213	100.0	75	100.0	1	100.0
Burnie (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Burnside (C)	104	11.9	37	11.5	1	14.3
Burwood (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Busselton (S)	0	0.0	28	15.6	1	20.0
Byron (A)	139	16.6	91	27.2	2	25.0
Cabonne (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Caboolture (S)	1,472	25.9	711	25.3	10	25.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Local Government Area</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Cairns (C)	1,226	27.1	756	32.1	10	27.8
Calliope (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Caloundra (C)	138	5.2	74	7.0	1	5.6
Cambridge (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Camden (A)	369	17.3	145	19.1	2	12.5
Campaspe (S)	137	24.3	50	28.1	1	25.0
Campbelltown (C)	366	5.3	154	5.6	2	3.3
Canning (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Canterbury (C)	127	3.1	25	1.5	1	2.3
Cardinia (S)	67	6.5	42	8.5	1	12.5
Cardwell (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Carnarvon (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Carpentaria (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Carrathool (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Casey (C)	476	8.7	385	14.1	5	11.1
Ceduna (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Central Coast (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Central Goldfields (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cessnock (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Charles Sturt (C)	199	12.1	70	11.6	1	8.3
Charters Towers (C)	73	46.8	42	100.0	1	100.0
Chinchilla (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Circular Head (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Clare and Gilbert Valleys (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Clarence (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Clifton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cloncurry (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cobar (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cockburn (C)	131	8.0	57	11.9	1	10.0
Coffs Harbour (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Colac-Otway (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Collie (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Concord (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cooper Pedy (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Local Government Area</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Cook (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Coolamon (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cooloola (S)	215	20.5	75	18.4	1	14.3
Cooma-Monaro (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Coonamble (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cootamundra (A)	62	30.8	39	50.0	1	50.0
Copper Coast (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Corangamite (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Corowa (A)	119	87.5	46	100.0	1	100.0
Cottesloe (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cowra (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Crow's Nest (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Croydon (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dalby (T)	219	40.9	74	35.7	1	33.3
Dalwallinu (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dandaragan (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dardanup (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Darebin (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Darwin (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Delatite (S)	97	20.7	45	19.6	1	25.0
Deniliquin (A)	43	18.5	23	31.9	1	33.3
Denmark (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Derby-West Kimberley (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Derwent Valley (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Devonport (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Donnybrook-Balingup (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Douglas (S)	128	34.4	62	35.4	1	25.0
Drummoyne (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Duaringa (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dubbo (C)	107	11.5	59	16.8	1	14.3
Eacham (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
East Fremantle (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
East Gippsland (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
East Pilbara (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Emerald (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Esk (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Esperance (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eurobodalla (A)	147	14.4	92	22.0	2	16.7
Exmouth (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairfield (C)	131	2.7	97	5.3	3	6.1
Fitzroy (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Forbes (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Frankston (C)	378	13.0	201	15.7	3	12.0
Fremantle (C)	75	6.3	75	17.0	1	11.1
Gatton (S)	91	18.2	74	34.6	1	25.0
Gawler (M)	177	31.9	55	49.5	1	50.0
George Town (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Geraldton (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gilgandra (A)	59	60.2	49	100.0	1	100.0
Gladstone (C)	387	28.8	206	39.6	3	37.5
Glamorgan/Spring Bay (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Glen Eira (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Glen Innes (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Glenelg (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Glenorchy (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gloucester (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gold Coast (C)	5,304	26.7	2,533	25.5	35	23.0
Goondiwindi (T)	121	28.0	74	38.9	1	33.3
Gosford (C)	129	2.2	54	2.5	1	2.1
Gosnells (C)	80	3.4	95	10.8	1	5.6
Goulburn (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grafton (C)	203	35.6	90	37.2	1	25.0
Great Lakes (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Greater Bendigo (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Greater Dandenong (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Greater Geelong (C)	295	7.6	150	9.6	2	9.1
Greater Lithgow (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Greater Shepparton (C)	205	12.8	69	10.8	1	8.3

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Greater Taree (C)	210	14.4	113	20.0	2	15.4
Greenough (S)	58	40.6	49	100.0	1	100.0
Griffith (C)	394	68.5	145	66.5	3	75.0
Gundagai (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gunnedah (A)	150	87.7	66	100.0	1	100.0
Guyra (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Harden (A)	47	69.1	29	100.0	1	100.0
Harvey (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hastings (A)	43	2.3	61	8.3	1	6.3
Hawkesbury (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hay (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hepburn (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hervey Bay (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hinchinbrook (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hobart (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hobsons Bay (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Holbrook (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Holdfast Bay (C)	218	42.1	70	39.1	1	33.3
Holroyd (C)	105	5.2	38	4.9	1	5.3
Hornsby (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Horsham (RC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hume (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hunter's Hill (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Huon Valley (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hurstville (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Indigo (S)	74	26.4	45	34.6	1	33.3
Inglewood (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inverell (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ipswich (C)	402	7.9	172	6.7	3	7.0
Irwin (S)	51	46.8	38	100.0	1	100.0
Isis (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jabiru (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Johnstone (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Jondaryan (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Joondalup (C)	102	2.6	62	5.0	1	4.2
June (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kalamunda (S)	125	14.7	39	11.0	1	11.1
Kalgoorlie/Boulder (C)	0	0.0	98	41.9	2	40.0
Kangaroo Island (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Katanning (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Katherine (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kempsey (A)	55	10.6	36	20.6	1	20.0
Kiama (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
King Island (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kingaroy (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kingborough (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kingston (C)	61	2.2	60	4.5	1	4.0
Knox (C)	534	13.9	255	13.9	3	9.1
Kogarah (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kondinin (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ku-ring-gai (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kwinana (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lachlan (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Laidley (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lake Macquarie (C)	101	2.5	39	2.8	1	2.8
Lane Cove (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Latrobe (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Latrobe (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Launceston (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Leeton (A)	124	51.7	39	50.0	1	50.0
Leichhardt (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Leonora (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Light (DC)	103	56.6	45	60.0	1	50.0
Lismore (C)	252	25.7	131	29.2	2	22.2
Litchfield (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liverpool (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Livingstone (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Logan (C)	1,458	14.6	733	14.7	9	12.3

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Longreach (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Loxton Waikerie (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Macedon Ranges (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mackay (C)	268	11.4	148	14.6	2	12.5
Maclean (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Maitland (C)	196	15.1	90	17.0	2	20.0
Mallala (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mandurah (C)	204	17.6	132	33.7	2	20.0
Manjimup (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Manly (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Manningham (C)	192	10.6	103	10.5	1	5.0
Mareeba (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Maribyrnong (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marion (C)	272	12.3	155	17.9	3	20.0
Maroochy (S)	801	16.3	333	16.6	5	16.7
Maroondah (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Marrickville (A)	141	12.0	72	11.8	1	7.1
Maryborough (C)	429	50.6	141	32.9	2	33.3
Meander Valley (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Meekatharra (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Melbourne (C)	116	6.0	105	8.2	1	4.8
Melton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Melville (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Merredin (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mildura (RC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Miriam Vale (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mitcham (C)	414	24.6	187	26.3	3	27.3
Mitchell (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moirā (S)	0	0.0	50	40.3	1	33.3
Monash (C)	154	5.2	119	7.9	2	6.7
Moonee Valley (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moorabool (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Morawa (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moree Plains (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Moreland (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mornington Peninsula (S)	400	13.5	165	12.4	2	9.1
Mosman (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mosman Park (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mount Alexander (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mount Barker (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mount Gambier (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mount Isa (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mount Morgan (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Moyne (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mudgee (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mulwara (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mundaring (S)	169	19.5	94	31.6	1	20.0
Murgon (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Murray (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Murray Bridge (RC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Murrindindi (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Murweh (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Muswellbrook (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nambucca (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nanango (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Naracoorte and Lucindale (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Narrabri (A)	55	21.7	51	32.5	1	25.0
Narrandera (A)	35	19.2	85	58.2	1	33.3
Narrogin (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Narromine (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nedlands (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Newcastle (C)	156	4.5	69	5.4	1	3.3
Nillumbik (S)	378	24.8	177	24.0	2	14.3
Noosa (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
North Sydney (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Northern Grampians (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Northern Midlands (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Norwood Payneham St Peters (C)	319	37.6	135	38.9	2	40.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Oberon (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Onkaparinga (C)	366	12.0	120	11.0	2	11.1
Orange (C)	225	20.8	231	45.9	3	37.5
Palmerston (C)	274	26.8	148	26.0	2	20.0
Parkes (A)	0	0.0	70	32.6	1	25.0
Paroo (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Parramatta (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Penrith (C)	465	7.1	131	5.0	2	3.2
Perth (C)	147	33.3	70	48.3	1	33.3
Pine Rivers (S)	1,856	37.2	763	32.9	10	31.3
Pittsworth (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Pittwater (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Plantagenet (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Playford (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Adelaide Enfield (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Augusta (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Hedland (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Lincoln (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Phillip (C)	60	3.1	60	5.3	1	3.8
Port Pirie City and Dists (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Port Stephens (A)	135	10.0	87	17.6	2	15.4
Pristine Waters (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Prospect (C)	157	31.5	54	29.3	1	33.3
Queanbeyan (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Queenscliffe (B)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Randwick (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Redcliffe (C)	0	0.0	113	12.2	2	14.3
Redland (S)	644	14.7	288	15.5	3	10.3
Renmark Paringa (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Richmond Valley (A)	317	70.6	141	100.0	3	100.0
Rockdale (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rockhampton (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rockingham (C)	450	19.6	191	23.2	4	22.2
Roebourne (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Roma (T)	98	20.1	74	38.1	1	25.0
Roxby Downs (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ryde (C)	86	3.5	35	3.0	1	3.8
Salisbury (C)	334	15.7	125	15.2	2	13.3
Sarina (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Scone (A)	103	69.1	46	100.0	1	100.0
Serpentine-Jarrahdale (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shellharbour (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Shoalhaven (C)	123	5.6	85	10.0	2	8.0
Singleton (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Snowy River (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sorell (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Gippsland (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Perth (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Sydney (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Southern Grampians (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stanthorpe (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stirling (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Stonnington (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Strathfield (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Subiaco (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Surf Coast (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sutherland Shire (A)	346	6.3	143	5.9	3	4.4
Swan (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Swan Hill (RC)	90	37.0	35	49.3	1	50.0
Sydney (C)	94	8.4	59	7.6	1	5.9
Tambo (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tamworth (C)	283	25.2	210	52.2	4	44.4
Tatiara (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tea Tree Gully (C)	155	9.1	103	14.6	2	15.4
Temora (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tenant Creek (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tenterfield (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Thuringowa (C)	1,031	49.1	361	45.6	7	50.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Toodyay (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Toowoomba (C)	829	23.0	462	25.8	7	25.0
Torres (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Townsville (C)	693	18.1	396	20.9	5	16.1
Towong (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tumbarumba (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tumut (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tweed (A)	565	26.6	198	20.8	3	16.7
Unincorp. Other Territories	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unincorporated ACT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unincorporated NT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unley (C)	149	15.3	60	16.0	1	11.1
Uralla (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Victor Harbor (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Victoria Park (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vincent (T)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wagga Wagga (C)	414	33.3	216	42.8	4	33.3
Wakefield (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Walgett (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Walkerville (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wangaratta (RC)	222	53.5	64	56.1	1	50.0
Wanneroo (C)	189	7.2	61	7.1	1	5.9
Waratah/Wynyard (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Warringham (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Warrnambool (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Warwick (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wattle Range (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Waverley (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Weddin (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wellington (A)	83	64.8	49	100.0	1	100.0
Wellington (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
West Tamar (M)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
West Torrens (C)	197	11.0	85	11.9	1	10.0
Whitehorse (C)	289	9.1	179	11.0	2	7.1



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Local Government Area	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Whitsunday (S)	0	0.0	109	37.8	2	28.6
Whittlesea (C)	295	12.1	155	13.9	2	11.8
Whyalla (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Willoughby (C)	78	5.4	43	5.4	1	4.8
Wingecarribee (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Winton (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wodonga (RC)	161	16.2	85	13.7	1	11.1
Wollondilly (A)	167	13.1	72	14.1	1	7.7
Wollongong (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Woollahra (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wyndham (C)	391	20.1	190	18.0	2	12.5
Wyndham-East Kimberley (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wyong (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yankalilla (DC)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yarra (C)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yarra Ranges (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yarrowlumla (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Yass (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
York (S)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Young (A)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

(a) Weighted data.

*Note:* Percentages refer to the number of places, services and families administered or owned by companies listed on the stock exchange (as at 10-10-03) as a percentage of the total number of Long Day Care places, services and families using Long Day Care services within each Local Government Area.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

(iii) Federal Electorate Division

Federal electorate	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Adelaide	304	7.6	114	6.9	2	7.1
Aston	534	16.3	255	16.5	3	10.0
Ballarat	262	13.4	120	19.2	2	14.3
Banks	127	3.6	25	1.7	1	2.4
Barker	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Barton	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bass	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Batman	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bendigo	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bennelong	86	2.6	35	2.4	1	2.9
Berowra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Blair	91	2.5	74	4.3	1	3.3
Blaxland	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bonython	334	12.1	125	11.5	2	11.1
Boothby	414	13.2	217	17.7	4	20.0
Bowman	776	15.2	362	15.0	4	11.1
Braddon	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bradfield	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Brand	654	18.6	323	24.5	6	20.7
Brisbane	277	5.4	225	7.9	3	6.5
Bruce	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Burke	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Calare	225	8.5	231	22.8	3	15.0
Calwell	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Canberra	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Canning	80	2.5	95	7.7	1	3.7
Capricornia	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Casey	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Charlton	101	3.8	39	4.5	1	4.3
Chifley	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Chisholm	276	8.6	175	10.2	2	6.5
Cook	189	6.6	77	6.0	2	5.7
Corangamite	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Federal electorate</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Corio	295	11.0	150	13.4	2	13.3
Cowan	189	5.8	61	5.5	1	4.5
Cowper	55	2.0	36	3.4	1	3.1
Cunningham	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Curtin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dawson	268	7.0	257	15.5	4	13.8
Deakin	60	2.4	49	4.5	1	4.8
Denison	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dickson	1,734	31.6	711	27.8	9	25.7
Dobell	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dunkley	81	2.7	60	4.4	1	3.7
Eden-Monaro	147	5.2	92	8.0	2	7.1
Fadden	894	15.4	431	16.7	6	15.4
Fairfax	414	9.1	149	8.4	2	6.7
Farrer	404	19.8	186	24.0	5	23.8
Fisher	607	12.1	259	12.4	4	12.1
Flinders	400	14.2	165	14.1	2	10.5
Forde	2,345	30.5	1,237	33.0	15	28.8
Forrest	0	0.0	28	3.3	1	4.3
Fowler	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Franklin	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fraser	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fremantle	206	6.2	132	12.0	2	8.7
Gellibrand	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gilmore	123	4.1	85	7.4	2	5.9
Gippsland	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Goldstein	240	10.4	109	10.5	2	9.5
Grayndler	230	9.5	118	9.8	2	6.9
Greenway	369	7.1	176	8.4	3	5.9
Grey	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Griffith	975	20.3	424	20.0	6	19.4
Groom	829	19.7	462	22.6	7	21.9
Gwydir	451	27.1	261	38.6	5	31.3

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Federal electorate</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Hasluck	292	8.6	133	10.8	2	7.1
Herbert	1,697	29.8	757	28.2	12	26.7
Higgins	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hindmarsh	415	17.3	155	16.2	2	13.3
Hinkler	731	16.8	356	19.0	5	16.7
Holt	92	3.0	155	9.2	2	6.7
Hotham	169	5.6	134	8.6	2	6.9
Hughes	156	3.9	66	3.7	1	2.2
Hume	214	6.8	101	8.4	2	6.1
Hunter	106	4.9	51	6.2	1	5.6
Indi	554	21.7	289	24.2	5	25.0
Isaacs	468	12.3	251	16.1	4	13.3
Jagajaga	378	12.8	177	11.9	2	8.3
Kalgoorlie	0	0.0	98	9.0	2	6.9
Kennedy	73	3.0	42	4.0	1	4.3
Kingsford Smith	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Kingston	633	20.5	245	21.7	4	21.1
Kooyong	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
La Trobe	213	5.9	120	6.9	1	3.8
Lalor	391	15.4	190	14.1	2	9.5
Leichhardt	1,354	27.9	818	31.7	11	26.2
Lilley	1,164	30.3	601	32.0	7	25.9
Lindsay	465	9.5	131	6.8	2	4.7
Lingiari	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Longman	1,610	26.8	785	27.8	11	26.8
Lowe	110	3.8	57	3.8	1	2.8
Lyne	253	6.8	174	12.1	3	9.1
Lyons	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Macarthur	369	6.4	145	6.5	2	3.8
Mackellar	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Macquarie	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Makin	0	0.0	40	4.7	1	6.3
Mallee	90	7.2	35	7.8	1	12.5

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Federal electorate</b>	<b>Families</b>		<b>Places</b>		<b>Services</b>	
	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>%</b>
Maranoa	436	14.0	222	16.5	3	11.1
Maribyrnong	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mayo	342	15.6	130	17.6	2	14.3
Mcewen	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mcmillan	67	3.0	42	4.8	1	6.3
Mcperson	1,758	29.8	791	29.4	12	27.9
Melbourne	116	2.3	105	3.5	1	1.8
Melbourne Ports	60	2.0	60	3.2	1	2.5
Menzies	192	8.8	103	9.1	1	4.5
Mitchell	57	1.5	39	2.2	1	2.5
Moncrieff	1,823	22.4	838	21.6	12	19.4
Moore	102	3.5	62	6.7	1	5.9
Moreton	1,065	22.0	511	22.7	7	19.4
Murray	342	14.2	119	13.4	2	11.1
New England	283	13.0	210	27.2	4	21.1
Newcastle	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
North Sydney	78	2.9	43	2.7	1	2.5
O'Connor	108	4.7	137	17.5	3	14.3
Oxley	1,194	19.9	430	14.9	6	13.3
Page	770	29.6	452	42.4	7	35.0
Parkes	107	5.2	129	15.8	2	11.1
Parramatta	105	2.6	38	2.0	1	2.1
Paterson	381	12.9	195	17.4	4	13.8
Pearce	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Perth	147	4.5	70	5.7	1	3.4
Petrie	780	17.0	530	25.4	8	25.0
Port Adelaide	199	13.4	70	11.9	1	8.3
Prospect	131	3.6	97	6.9	3	8.3
Rankin	1,616	21.4	721	20.0	8	15.7
Reid	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Richmond	885	25.6	367	24.7	7	22.6
Riverina	1,028	35.7	524	44.0	10	35.7
Robertson	129	2.7	54	3.1	1	2.6

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Federal electorate	Families		Places		Services	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Ryan	1,083	28.9	641	33.0	8	27.6
Scullin	295	10.3	155	11.5	2	9.5
Shortland	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Solomon	274	10.3	148	9.3	2	6.3
Stirling	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sturt	575	24.1	235	25.9	4	25.0
Swan	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sydney	94	2.3	59	2.2	1	1.9
Tangney	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Throsby	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wakefield	274	15.5	100	20.6	2	15.4
Wannon	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Warringah	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Watson	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wentworth	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Werriwa	146	2.6	84	4.0	1	2.0
Wide Bay	429	14.7	141	10.1	2	9.1
Wills	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

(a) Weighted data.

*Note:* Percentages refer to the number of places, services and families administered or owned by companies listed on the stock exchange (as at 10-10-03) as a percentage of the total number of Long Day Care places, services and families using Long Day Care services within each Federal electorate.

*Source:* Centrelink administrative data.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 166**

**Topic: Ministerial and Parliamentary Services**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

On page 245 of the FaCS Annual Report, you describe the number of briefings, submissions, ministerial correspondence and questions on notice.

1. Is it correct that the highest number of Ministerial Correspondence is in Child Care Support?
2. What is the break up between CCB and Other issues in output 1.4?
3. What is the break up between Campaigns or standard letters compared to specific inquiries with individual concerns.
4. Can you supply a breakdown of this kind about the correspondence by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electorate division?

**Answer:**

1. Yes, the figure of 3524 includes a large number of campaign letters being received for that particular output group.
2. CCB account for 161, with 3363 for other issues.
3. The total number of 3524 for Output group 1.4 consists of 520 pieces of individual correspondence and 3004 campaign letters.
4. No. The reporting database does not enable this type of reporting.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support ..... Question No: 167**

**Topic: In-school child care for young mothers**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

1. Can you please explain what funding is provided to In-school child care for young mothers that was detailed in the Minister's statement on 23 May 2002?
2. Could you please detail the number of available in-school services for young mothers that are funded?

**Answer:**

1. The Minister's statement of 23 May 2002 referred to the child care service co-located with Balga Senior High School in WA. JET Child Care funding is approximately \$1,131 per week for this service. All parents using the service also qualify for Child Care Benefit. The Department has provided other funding to Balga as follows:

<i>Funding Type</i>	<i>Financial Year</i>	<i>\$</i>
<i>Establishment</i> JET crèche payments Minor capital funding Capital upgrade initiative	2001-02	
		14,139.08
		32,400
		43,000
<i>Flexible Innovative Childcare funding</i>	2001-02	26,085
	2002-03	27,025
	2003-04	27,620
<i>Stronger Families and Community Strategy</i>	2002-04 (calendar year)	156,995
		<b>327,264.08</b>

2. JET Child Care funds two current JET crèches providing 'in-school' child care for teenage parents. One is located in Alice Springs, NT and the other is located in Waratah, NSW.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 168**

**Topic: Salary Sacrifice of child care costs**

**Hansard page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Labor received advice from the Department of Family and Community Services that there has been a limited number of cases of 'double dipping' whereby some employees had claimed the CCB whilst receiving the benefits of salary sacrificing into child care. Labor also received advice that FaCS is looking into this with a view to minimising its occurrence.

1. Could you explain how extensive this problem is and detail how many occurrences have been recorded?
2. What is the department doing about this problem?
3. What is the department doing to ensure the number of cases is minimised?

**Answer:**

1. There is currently no way of identifying Child Care Benefit (CCB) customers who may have claimed CCB whilst receiving benefits of salary sacrificing for child care. Several enquiries from organisations seeking clarification around salary sacrificing arrangements for their employees, which involved child care costs highlighted the possibility that some employees within these organisations, who had entered into an arrangement to salary sacrifice their child care fees, may be receiving CCB when they are not entitled to it.
2. The department provided a letter outlining the impact of salary sacrificing child care fees on eligibility for CCB, and a Fact Sheet, to organisations that have sought clarification around salary sacrificing arrangements. In addition, an article entitled 'Impact of salary sacrificing on Child Care Benefit' was included in the publication 'Child Care News' edition 12 which was distributed to all child care providers, peak bodies and other relevant stakeholders in September. The Fact Sheet on salary sacrificing was also included for distribution to families.
3. The department will continue to ensure that information around salary sacrificing child care fees is clearly communicated to relevant stakeholders such as the Family Assistance Office, industry groups and child care providers and enable them to pass on the correct information to any parents who may be contemplating a salary sacrificing arrangement with their employer.

All advice provided by the department around salary sacrificing strongly encourages anyone entering into a salary sacrificing arrangement to seek independent financial advice.

As part of the annual forms review process, the department will also review the CCB application form to assess the clarity of the information provided on this issue.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 170**

**Topic: Child Care Access Hotline**

**Hansard page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

1. How much is the cost of the Child Care Access Hotline?
2. How many of the 49,766 listed in the FaCS annual report were calls lasted longer than one minute long?
3. How many of the calls were in relation to an inability to find a place?
4. Can you provide data to show what localities those calls came from?
5. Can you tell me how many of the calls were regarding different kinds of child care, ie FDC, LDC?

**Answer:**

1. The operational costs associated with the Child Care Access Hotline for the 2002-03 financial year were around \$483,700.
2. This information is not readily available. However, monthly reports for the 2002-03 financial year show that the minimum monthly average call length was 3:00 minutes and the maximum monthly average call length was 4:01 minutes.
3. This information is not available. Callers to the Child Care Access Hotline are typically seeking advice before they have actually commenced looking for care.
4. The following table shows the proportion of calls received from each state/territory for the 2002-03 financial year:-

	<b>ACT</b>	<b>NSW</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>WA</b>
<b>% of calls</b>	3%	43.5%	1%	20%	6%	2%	15.5%	9%

5. The following table shows the proportion of callers referred to particular service types during the 2002-03 financial year.

	<b>Long Day Care</b>	<b>Occasional Care</b>	<b>After School Care</b>	<b>Before School Care</b>	<b>Vacation Care</b>	<b>In-Home Care</b>	<b>Family Day Care</b>
<b>% of referrals</b>	72.5%	3%	2.5%	1%	3%	1%	17%

NB:- A caller may be referred, or advised to contact, different service types depending on their individual requirements.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4**

**Question No: 158**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide detail of the spending of capital on the establishment of child care centres broken down by the following:

- a. local government area
- b. type of service (i.e. LDC, OCC etc.)
- c. type of ownership (i.e. community based, private or Stock exchange listed)

**Answer:**

a. This information is not readily available by local government area. Providing this information would be a significant task and is not considered an appropriate use of departmental resources.

b. & c. This information is not readily available by type of ownership. Providing this information would be a significant task and is not considered an appropriate use of departmental resources. The capital expenditure for the establishment of child care centres by the type of service for the period 2002-03 is:

- |                             |          |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| • Long Day Care             | \$84,000 |
| • Outside Hours School Care | \$ 2,000 |
| • Mobiles                   | \$25,000 |
| • Innovatives               | \$86,000 |

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4**

**Question No: 161**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide the most recent data available (from Planning Advisory Committees or other sources, identifying the source of the information) on:

- a. Unmet demand for Family Day Care, by local government area (LGA) and Federal Electoral Division
- b. Places utilised for Family Day Care, by LGA and Federal Electoral Division
- c. Unmet demand for Occasional Care, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division
- d. Places utilised for Occasional Care, by LGA and Federal Electoral Division
- e. Unmet demand for Outside School Hours Care, by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division, and by service type (i.e. Before School Care, After School Care, Vacation Care)
- f. Places utilised for Outside School Hours Care, by LGA and Federal Electoral Division, and by service type (i.e. Before School Care, After School Care, Vacation Care)
- g. Places requested for other types of child care, by LGA and Federal Electoral Division (e.g. MACS, Multi-function centres, In-home Care, etc)

**Answer:**

- a. Unmet demand is measured by the number of places requested by services. The data is collected nationally at planning area level used by Planning Advisory Committees. Planning areas are often, but not always at local government area. Not all States/Territories collect data by Federal Electoral Division. The table below shows the number of Family Day Care places requested in each state and territory as at September 2003. Data is not readily available by Local Government Area or Federal Electoral Division

New South Wales	663
Victoria	130
Queensland	1201
Western Australia	146
South Australia	316
Tasmania	105
Northern Territory	0
Australian Capital Territory	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2561</b>

The announcement on 5 December 2003 of an additional 2,500 places for family day care across Australia, and the reallocation of a smaller number of places from a national pool will eliminate all current unmet demand.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- b. The data is collected nationally at planning area level used by Planning Advisory Committees. Planning areas are often, but not always at local government area. Not all States/Territories collect data by Federal Electoral Division.

The tables below show the number of Family Day Care and Outside School Hours Care places that are available for use in each state and territory by planning area.  
 (Source: Child Care Planning Data, June 2003)

<b>NEW SOUTH WALES</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Albury	40	185	205	430	344	774
Armidale Dumaresq	0	45	110	155	220	375
Ashfield	250	510	355	1115	244	1359
Auburn	94	233	170	497	200	697
Ballina	0	45	75	120	174	294
Balranald	0	0	0	0	33	33
Bankstown	185	535	370	1090	385	1475
Barraba	0	0	0	0	10	10
Bathurst	0	45	45	90	233	323
Baulkham Hills	592	1070	475	2137	293	2430
Bega Valley	0	65	90	155	170	325
Bellingen	15	30	45	90	120	210
Berrigan	0	0	25	25	53	78
Bingara	0	0	0	0	18	18
Blacktown	875	1395	768	3038	649	3687
Bland	0	0	0	0	74	74
Blayney	0	0	0	0	65	65
Blue Mountains	173	421	330	924	448	1372
Bogan	0	0	0	0	30	30
Bombala	0	0	0	0	12	12
Boorowa	0	0	0	0	20	20
Botany Bay	170	265	135	570	184	754
Bourke	0	0	30	30	20	50
Broken Hill	0	0	40	40	95	135
Burwood	150	240	110	500	124	624
Byron	15	110	110	235	143	378
Cabonne	0	0	0	0	118	118
Camden	90	120	105	315	214	529
Campbelltown	185	525	335	1045	495	1540

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>NEW SOUTH WALES</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Canada Bay	212	377	230	819	222	1041
Canterbury	293	532	417	1242	250	1492
Carrathool	0	0	0	0	10	10
Cessnock	50	135	150	335	130	465
Cobar	0	0	0	0	57	57
Coffs Harbour	0	145	240	385	319	704
Conargo	0	0	0	0	10	10
Coolah	0	0	0	0	10	10
Coolamon	0	0	0	0	20	20
Cooma-Monaro	30	30	30	90	104	194
Coonabarabran	0	0	0	0	40	40
Coonamble	0	0	65	65	35	100
Cootamundra	15	15	25	55	10	65
Copmanhurst	0	0	0	0	10	10
Corowa	15	15	15	45	75	120
Cowra	0	30	45	75	93	168
Crookwell	15	20	25	60	10	70
Culcairn	0	0	0	0	25	25
Deniliquin	0	0	30	30	77	107
Dubbo	0	230	180	410	230	640
Dungog	0	45	35	80	25	105
Eurobodalla	0	70	105	175	120	295
Evans	0	0	0	0	30	30
Fairfield	280	555	380	1215	434	1649
Forbes	0	15	0	15	133	148
Gilgandra	0	0	0	0	20	20
Glen Innes	15	30	30	75	67	142
Gloucester	0	0	0	0	25	25
Gosford	360	795	795	1950	486	2436
Goulburn	45	75	195	315	158	473
Grafton	0	30	60	90	209	299
Great Lakes	30	70	90	190	115	305
Greater Lithgow	0	30	30	60	72	132
Greater Taree	45	125	125	295	166	461
Griffith	25	60	85	170	125	295
Gundagai	0	0	20	20	55	75
Gunnedah	0	0	30	30	135	165
Gunning	0	30	0	30	10	40
Guyra	0	0	0	0	20	20
Harden	0	0	0	0	5	5

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>NEW SOUTH WALES</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Hastings	35	180	150	365	378	743
Hawkesbury	266	387	338	991	250	1241
Hay	0	0	0	0	10	10
Holbrook	0	0	0	0	20	20
Holroyd	427	585	265	1277	283	1560
Hornsby	990	1513	680	3183	226	3409
Hume	0	0	0	0	45	45
Hunter's Hill	30	65	35	130	7	137
Hurstville	215	305	220	740	146	886
Inverell	0	0	30	30	173	203
Jerilderie	0	0	0	0	10	10
Junee	0	0	0	0	50	50
Kempsey	25	60	70	155	275	430
Kiama	30	45	30	105	58	163
Kogarah	175	265	250	690	115	805
Ku-ring-gai	410	785	440	1635	212	1847
Kyogle	15	30	25	70	78	148
Lachlan	0	32	47	79	70	149
Lake Macquarie	340	570	555	1465	542	2007
Lane Cove	110	215	160	485	50	535
Leeton	0	40	50	90	20	110
Leichardt	285	755	345	1385	303	1688
Lismore	15	75	120	210	407	617
Liverpool	360	755	360	1475	402	1877
Lockhart	0	0	0	0	10	10
Macleay	60	60	90	210	69	279
Maitland	125	335	235	695	293	988
Manilla	0	0	0	0	10	10
Manly	95	155	265	515	104	619
Marrickville	214	416	245	875	190	1065
Merriwa	0	20	20	40	2	42
Moree Plains	0	0	45	45	150	195
Mosman	75	160	150	385	60	445
Mudgee	0	30	30	60	114	174
Mulwaree	0	0	0	0	10	10
Murray	0	0	0	0	40	40
Murrumbidgee	0	0	0	0	5	5
Murrurundi	0	0	0	0	5	5
Muswellbrook	15	30	30	75	69	144
Nambucca	0	0	30	30	130	160

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>NEW SOUTH WALES</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Narrabri	0	25	55	80	130	210
Narrandera	0	30	30	60	5	65
Narromine	0	0	0	0	69	69
Newcastle	325	765	335	1425	330	1755
North Sydney	85	295	160	540	112	652
Oberon	0	0	0	0	30	30
Orange	20	85	135	240	240	480
Parkes	0	0	30	30	155	185
Parramatta	400	650	420	1470	245	1715
Parry	0	0	0	0	40	40
Penrith	580	840	567	1987	487	2474
Pittwater	40	260	215	515	201	716
Port Stephens	105	145	185	435	245	680
Pristine Waters	0	0	0	0	47	47
Queanbeyan	50	185	220	455	235	690
Quirindi	0	0	0	0	22	22
Randwick	373	742	380	1495	135	1630
Richmond Valley	0	0	35	35	82	117
Rockdale	120	280	205	605	270	875
Ryde	425	705	335	1465	309	1774
Rylstone	10	30	30	70	31	101
Scone	0	30	30	60	89	149
Severn	0	0	0	0	14	14
Shellharbour	80	150	150	380	118	498
Shoalhaven	50	227	300	577	285	862
Singleton	30	45	60	135	115	250
Snowy River	50	65	50	165	12	177
South Sydney	225	565	145	935	73	1008
Strathfield	36	140	100	276	170	446
Sutherland Shire	1240	1680	710	3630	332	3962
Sydney	0	90	90	180	45	225
Tamworth	45	90	90	225	284	509
Temora	0	0	30	30	55	85
Tenterfield	0	0	73	73	45	118
Tumbarumba	15	30	45	90	30	120
Tumut	0	30	30	60	25	85
Tweed	35	135	230	400	395	795
Uralla	0	0	0	0	16	16
Urana	0	0	0	0	5	5
Wagga Wagga	95	205	245	545	603	1148



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>NEW SOUTH WALES</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Wakool	0	0	0	0	39	39
Walcha	0	0	0	0	13	13
Walgett	0	0	0	0	45	45
Warren	0	0	20	20	31	51
Warringah	395	759	395	1549	360	1909
Waverly	110	310	255	675	125	800
Weddin	0	0	0	0	10	10
Wellington	0	0	30	30	51	81
Wentworth	0	0	0	0	70	70
Willoughby	126	360	225	711	133	844
Wingecarribee	0	95	70	165	165	330
Wollongong	245	674	595	1514	364	1878
Wolondilly	120	120	125	365	170	535
Woollahra	160	445	295	900	20	920
Wyong	90	285	425	800	540	1340
Yallaroi	0	0	0	0	13	13
Yarrowlumla	35	70	0	105	20	125
Yass	0	0	0	0	25	25
Young	0	15	30	45	25	70
Pool				1608		1608
STATE TOTAL	14291	28818	20850	65567	23076	88643

<b>VICTORIA</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Alpine	15	95	60	170	60	230
Ararat	15	30	30	75	75	150
Ballarat	30	270	375	675	340	1015
Banyule	645	1275	360	2280	350	2630
Bass Coast	0	105	60	165	120	285
Baw Baw	83	175	0	258	215	473
Bayside	395	820	540	1755	177	1932
Boroondara	720	1455	510	2685	190	2875
Brimbank	392	765	403	1560	500	2060
Campaspe	15	110	150	275	285	560
Cardinia	210	330	195	735	350	1085
Casey	945	1140	720	2805	728	3533

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>VICTORIA</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Central Goldfields	0	0	30	30	70	100
Colac-Otway	0	45	65	110	126	236
Corangamite	0	0	0	0	100	100
Darebin	390	935	410	1735	190	1925
Delatite	0	45	15	60	148	208
East Gippsland	0	85	165	250	170	420
Frankston	265	580	480	1325	378	1703
Gannawarra	0	0	0	0	204	204
Glen Eira	610	1058	870	2538	240	2778
Glenelg	30	30	45	105	115	220
Golden Plains	0	30	0	30	50	80
Greater Bendigo	64	615	367	1046	412	1458
Greater Dandenong	325	500	315	1140	576	1716
Greater Geelong	305	880	525	1710	399	2109
Greater Shepparton	0	120	90	210	180	390
Hepburn	0	0	0	0	25	25
Hindmarsh	30	45	30	105	0	105
Hobsons Bay	115	425	190	730	270	1000
Horsham	0	45	45	90	195	285
Hume	695	1080	770	2545	550	3095
Indigo	50	90	80	220	0	220
Kingston	410	797	360	1567	356	1923
Knox	730	1332	755	2817	256	3073
Latrobe	0	80	150	230	355	585
Macedon Ranges	65	242	30	337	215	552
Manningham	370	870	240	1480	150	1630
Maribyrnong	160	345	210	715	279	994
Maroondah	435	850	365	1650	185	1835
Melbourne	75	185	215	475	0	475
Melton	195	345	225	765	230	995
Mildura	125	295	150	570	515	1085
Mitchell	75	100	120	295	258	553
Moira	15	30	30	75	160	235
Monash	794	1563	698	3055	296	3351
Moonee Valley	500	1115	310	1925	272	2197
Moorabool	65	155	110	330	200	530
Moreland	481	946	405	1832	338	2170
Mornington Peninsula	245	495	370	1110	281	1391
Mount Alexander	0	30	30	60	50	110
Moyne	15	30	30	75	0	75

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>VICTORIA</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Murrindindi	15	60	0	75	77	152
Nillumbik	295	720	315	1330	137	1467
Northern Grampians	0	60	60	120	88	208
Port Phillip	240	605	285	1130	125	1255
Pyrenees	0	0	0	0	50	50
Queenscliffe	0	30	0	30	0	30
South Gippsland	0	50	0	50	150	200
Southern Grampians	0	0	0	0	155	155
Stonnington	150	635	315	1100	60	1160
Strathbogie	0	30	0	30	65	95
Surf Coast	75	195	60	330	100	430
Swan Hill	0	60	210	270	170	440
Wangaratta	0	105	195	300	210	510
Warnambool	0	60	75	135	280	415
Wellington	45	90	90	225	250	475
Whitehorse	595	1175	575	2345	320	2665
Whittlesea	445	825	335	1605	230	1835
Wodonga	105	170	235	510	305	815
Wyndham	221	871	515	1607	430	2037
Yarra	220	785	315	1320	220	1540
Yarra Ranges	515	1325	450	2290	350	2640
STATE TOTAL	14020	30834	16728	61582	15956	77538

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Acacia Ridge	30	75	65	170	225	395
Aitkenvale	25	92	85	202	0	202
Albany Creek	115	215	155	485	0	485
Albion	0	30	0	30	0	30
Alexandra Hills	95	190	115	400	370	770
Algester	35	65	40	140	0	140
Annerley	40	75	72	187	0	187

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Arundel	15	40	35	90	0	90
Ascot	15	55	30	100	0	100
Ashgrove	35	145	125	305	0	305
Ashmore	40	75	80	195	0	195
Aspley	50	120	75	245	0	245
Atherton	0	40	40	80	180	260
Bald Hills	20	40	55	115	0	115
Balmoral	45	75	65	185	0	185
Balonne	0	40	45	85	45	130
Banana	0	30	50	80	40	120
Banyo	15	30	30	75	0	75
Barcaldine	0	0	0	0	50	50
Bardon	75	190	110	375		375
Beaudesert	85	180	135	400	220	620
Beenleigh	25	45	40	110	221	331
Belyando	0	0	35	35	0	35
Benowa	15	91	45	151	0	151
Bethania-Waterford	55	85	70	210	0	210
Biggera Waters	0	52	0	52	0	52
Birkdale	40	110	87	237	0	237
Blackall	0	0	0	0	25	25
Boonah	0	0	0	0	95	95
Boondall	20	35	55	110	0	110
Boulia	0	0	35	35	0	35
Bowen	15	60	66	141	85	226
Bracken Ridge	65	75	70	210	0	210
Bray Park	30	55	50	135	0	135
Brighton	15	50	30	95	0	95
Broadbeach	0	35	46	81	0	81
Broadbeach Waters	0	0	75	75	0	75
Broadsound	0	0	55	55	0	55
Brookfield (incl. Mt C'tha)	15	40	30	85	0	85
Browns Plains	138	252	270	660	0	660
Bundaberg	125	300	355	780	220	1000
Burdekin	0	0	50	50	100	150
Burke	0	60	25	85	0	85
Burleigh Heads	15	52	35	102	0	102
Burleigh Waters	15	60	90	165	0	165
Burnett	10	60	30	100	0	100
Burpengary-Narangba	55	75	60	190	0	190

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Caboolture	85	150	165	400	0	400
Cairns	75	1089	975	2139	582	2721
Calamvale	25	40	0	65	0	65
Calliope	0	30	0	30	0	30
Caloundra	70	272	210	552	227	779
Camp Hill	100	150	130	380	0	380
Cannon Hill	40	60	50	150	0	150
Capalaba	50	105	143	298	0	298
Cardwell		30	32	62	0	62
Carina	15	30	30	75	0	75
Carindale	85	170	80	335	0	335
Carpentaria		75	160	235	0	235
Carrara-Merrimac	17	165	75	257	568	825
Central Pine West	40	64	120	224	0	224
Chapel Hill	35	50	30	115	0	115
Charters Towers	0	0	40	40	70	110
Chermside West	35	65	50	150	179	329
Chinchilla	0	0	30	30	90	120
City	0	34	57	91	240	331
Clayfield	40	150	115	305	0	305
Cleveland	15	50	45	110	0	110
Clifton	0	0	30	30	0	30
Clontarf	20	45	38	103	0	103
Cook	15	60	60	135	40	175
Coolangatta	0	25	25	50	0	50
Cooloola	0	30	100	130	152	282
Coombabah	20	50	50	120	0	120
Coomera-Cedar Creek	15	100	110	225	0	225
Coorparoo	55	142	150	347	0	347
Corinda	0	80	40	120	0	120
Cranbrook	40	195	140	375	0	375
Crow's Nest	45	80	80	205	0	205
Croydon	0	15	30	45	0	45
Currajong	5	40	45	90	0	90
Currumbin	0	46	46	92	0	92
Currumbin Waters	20	40	50	110	0	110
Daisy Hill-Priestdale	25	30	30	85	0	85
Dakabin-Kallangur-M. Downs	100	130	145	375	0	375
Dalby	15	80	110	205	0	205

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Darra-Sumner	0	30	0	30	0	30
Deagon	0	0	0	0	210	210
Deception Bay	50	70	130	250	0	250
Doolandella-Forest Lake	100	180	130	410	0	410
Douglas	0	90	120	210	80	290
Dutton Park	0	60	0	60	0	60
Eacham	0	30	30	60	0	60
East Brisbane	50	50	40	140	175	315
Eidsvold	0	0	30	30	0	30
Eight Mile Plains	0	45	40	85	0	85
Elanora	25	90	160	275	0	275
Emerald	0	35	65	100	140	240
Enoggera	45	85	60	190	0	190
Everton Park	105	50	40	195	0	195
Ferny Grove	55	120	45	220	0	220
Fig Tree Pocket	50	118	30	198	0	198
Fitzroy	0	30	30	60	0	60
Flinders	0	0	60	60	60	120
Fortitude Valley - Remainder	45	45	30	120	0	120
Garbutt	0	50	85	135	0	135
Gatton	0	60	95	155	0	155
Gayndah	0	0	30	30	35	65
Geebung	35	90	75	200	0	200
Gladstone	15	110	70	195	185	380
Gold Coast	0	30	0	30	0	30
Goondiwindi	0	30	50	80	120	200
Graceville	40	125	108	273	0	273
Grange	30	130	105	265	0	265
Greenbank-Boronia Heights	40	50	50	140	0	140
Greenslopes	25	35	30	90	0	90
Guanaba-Currumbin Valley	50	115	150	315	0	315
Gulliver	0	40	0	40	0	40
Gumdale	30	60	50	140	0	140
Heatley	0	45	40	85	0	85
Hervey Bay	60	200	287	547	165	712
Hills District	65	115	90	270	170	440
Hinchinbrook	0	30	60	90	90	180

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Holland Park	0	65	35	100	0	100
Holland Park West	45	80	50	175	0	175
Hyde Park-Mysterton	0	45	0	45	0	45
Inala	0	0	0	0	325	325
Indooroopilly	35	170	90	295	0	295
Ipswich	490	920	769	2179	569	2748
Isis	0	30	30	60	25	85
Jamboree Heights	40	70	100	210	135	345
Jindalee	30	85	85	200		200
Johnstone	0	70	75	145	115	260
Jondaryan	30	45	45	120	0	120
Kangaroo Point	20	35	0	55	0	55
Karana Downs-Lake Manchester	35	60	45	140	0	140
Kedron	10	30	30	70	0	70
Kelvin Grove	10	30	30	70	0	70
Kenmore	65	150	80	295	0	295
Keperra	20	40	45	105	0	105
Kilcoy	15	30	30	75	0	75
Kingaroy	0	30	30	60	90	150
Kirwan	55	155	135	345	276	621
Kolan	0	0	0	0	45	45
Kuraby	20	35	0	55	0	55
Labrador	0	52	0	52	0	52
Laidley	15	30	30	75	0	75
Lawnton	0	0	30	30	284	314
Livingstone	0	120	60	180	0	180
Loganholme	0	30	0	30	0	30
Longreach	0	30	30	60	55	115
Lota	0	0	90	90	0	90
MacGregor	40	100	75	215	0	215
Mackay	60	370	390	820	358	1178
Manly	50	65	65	180	0	180
Manly West	15	30	30	75	0	75
Mansfield	35	90	90	215	0	215
Mareeba	20	110	120	250	0	250
Maroochy	185	888	922	1995	272	2267
Marsden	0	45	0	45	0	45
Maryborough	0	30	130	160	150	310
McDowall	40	130	60	230	0	230

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
McKinlay	0	25	0	25		25
Mermaid Wtrs-Clear Is. Wtrs	15	100	120	235	0	235
Middle Park	45	155	60	260	0	260
Milton	25	75	0	100	0	100
Mirani	0	0	0	0	80	80
Miriam Vale	0	0	0	0	30	30
Mitchelton	55	90	40	185	0	185
Moggill	45	45	55	145	0	145
Monto	0	30	45	75	90	165
Moorooka	40	65	50	155	0	155
Morayfield	0	0	0	0	320	320
Morningside	20	60	30	110	165	275
Mount Gravatt	40	85	70	195	0	195
Mount Gravatt East	0	0	0	0	200	200
Mount Isa	0	55	80	135	155	290
Mt Warren Park	35	65	65	165	0	165
Mudgeeraba	0	40	50	90	0	90
Mundingburra	0	139	90	229	0	229
Mundubbera	0	0	0	0	55	55
Murgon	0	45	45	90	0	90
Murilla	15	30	30	75	80	155
Murray	48	176	150	374	0	374
Murweh	0	0	40	40	25	65
Nanango	0	30	30	60	0	60
Nathan	24	45	35	104	210	314
Nerang	45	115	200	360	0	360
New Farm	15	80	65	160	170	330
Newmarket	15	70	30	115	0	115
Noosa	65	135	250	450	110	605
Norman Park	30	60	70	160	0	160
North Ward-Castle Hill	0	80	30	110	0	110
Nundah	40	75	50	165	0	165
Ooonooba-Idalia-Cluden	0	0	0	0	90	90
Ormiston	30	65	40	135	0	135
Paddington	15	30	20	65	0	65
Palm Beach	0	30	35	65	0	65
Parkinson-Drewvale	0	0	30	30	0	30
Paroo	0	30	0	30	0	30
Petrie	47	92	82	221	0	221



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Pine Rivers	105	180	120	405	0	405
Pittsworth	0	30	30	60	0	60
Pullenvale	30	30	30	90	0	90
Red Hill	0	0	0	0	225	225
Redcliffe-Scarborough	25	80	80	185	165	350
Redland Bay	15	30	0	45	0	45
Richmond	0	30	30	60	0	60
Robina	0	45	0	45	0	45
Rochedale	48	120	95	263	0	263
Rochedale South	50	130	95	275	120	395
Rockhampton	0	330	285	615	378	993
Roma	0	0	0	0	115	115
Rosalie	35	65	0	100	0	100
Rothwell-Kippa-Ring	50	115	190	355	0	355
Rowes Bay-Belgian Gardens	0	0	0	0	185	185
Runaway Bay	30	80	60	170	0	170
Runcorn	20	30	30	80	0	80
Salisbury	30	70	60	160	0	160
Sandgate	40	80	75	195	0	195
Shailer Park	80	175	80	335	0	335
Sheldon-Mt Cotton	15	35	0	50	0	50
Sherwood	0	60	0	60	0	60
Slacks Creek	100	215	130	445	175	620
South Brisbane	15	30	0	45	0	45
South Townsville	0	30	0	30	0	30
Southport	15	187	225	427	0	427
Spring Hill	0	55	0	55	0	55
Springwood	65	115	30	210	0	210
St Lucia	15	105	80	200	170	370
Stafford	55	100	65	220	0	220
Stafford Heights	15	30	0	45	0	45
Stanthorpe	15	30	35	80	70	150
Stephens	55	55	85	195	0	195
Strathpine-Brendale	20	20	20	60	0	60
Sunnybank	45	110	144	299	0	299
Sunnybank Hills	35	80	85	200	0	200
Surfers Paradise	0	70	0	70	0	70
Taigum-Fitzgibbon	30	40	0	70	0	70
Tarragindi	65	145	110	320	0	320

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>QUEENSLAND</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
The Gap (incl. Enoggera Res)	105	220	160	485	0	485
Thornlands	30	60	60	150	0	150
Thuringowa	0	165	30	195	0	315
Tingalpa	35	40	60	135	0	135
Toowong	20	70	60	150	0	150
Toowoomba	87	553	535	1175	625	1800
Torres	0	261	205	466	0	466
Upper Mount Gravatt	55	105	102	262	140	402
Victoria Point	63	110	105	278	0	278
Virginia	0	30	30	60	0	60
Warwick	45	95	80	225	100	325
Waterford West	30	50	120	200	0	200
Wavell Heights	30	55	40	125	144	269
Wellington Point	30	85	45	160	0	160
West End	50	100	70	220	120	340
Whitsunday	0	60	65	125	105	230
Windsor	40	80	65	185	0	185
Wishart	30	125	95	250	0	250
Woodridge	65	85	150	300	156	456
Wooloowin	33	56	35	124	0	124
Wynnum	35	95	0	130	323	453
Yeronga	30	95	65	190	0	190
Zillmere	15	30	30	75	0	75
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>7440</b>	<b>22073</b>	<b>19681</b>	<b>49194</b>	<b>13249</b>	<b>62443</b>

<b>WESTERN AUSTRALIA</b>						
PLANNING REGION	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Albany	0	39	65	104	367	709
Armadale	115	215	275	605	264	1472
Bassendean	20	60	60	140	17	381
Bayswater	50	205	314	569	52	1005
Belmont	0	75	130	205	50	490
Bunbury	0	45	110	155	50	477
Busselton	0	52	90	142	84	461
Cambridge	45	145	60	250	42	490

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>WESTERN AUSTRALIA</b>						
<b>PLANNING REGION</b>	<b>BS</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>PLACES</b>		<b>FDC</b>	<b>Total</b>
			<b>VAC</b>	<b>Tot OSHC</b>		
Canning	150	283	420	853	267	1911
Cockburn	40	160	150	350	184	1024
East Kimberley	0	30	40	70	5	75
Esperance	0	30	30	60	91	248
Fremantle	0	85	152	237	4	565
Geraldton	0	40	45	85	79	438
Gosnells	60	90	190	340	194	1247
Greenough	0	0	0	0	97	135
Joondalup	96	675	740	1511	457	3155
Kalamunda	76	134	154	364	108	759
Kalgoorlie	20	80	150	250	92	548
Kwinana	25	25	150	200	92	519
Lower Great Southern	0	30	30	60	42	133
Lower South West	0	30	117	147	91	470
Mandurah	60	70	130	260	175	755
Melville	85	165	300	550	181	1281
Midlands	0	0	0	0	170	373
Mundaring	0	84	190	274	118	681
Murchison	0	0	0	0	14	37
Perth	0	170	140	310	19	897
Pilbara	52	136	121	309	100	837
Rockingham	110	210	190	510	239	1505
South Perth	30	135	140	305	0	507
Stirling	135	530	550	1215	196	3108
Subiaco	30	105	105	240	7	468
Swan	275	505	616	1396	282	2565
Upper Gascoyne	0	0	0	0	7	76
Upper Great Southern	0	0	0	0	62	137
Upper South West	0	90	146	236	104	586
Victoria Park	65	210	230	505	7	864
Vincent	32	124	140	296	0	720
Wanneroo	15	250	330	595	341	1699
West Kimberley	0	45	45	90	75	350
Pool				4	64	68
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1586</b>	<b>5357</b>	<b>6845</b>	<b>13792</b>	<b>4890</b>	<b>34264</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>SOUTH AUSTRALIA</b>						
Planning Region	<b>PLACES</b>					
	<b>BS</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>VAC</b>	<b>Tot OSHC</b>	<b>FDC</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adelaide Hills	184	482	270	936	70	1006
Alexandrina	25	60	80	165	23	188
Barossa	72	120	8	272	92	364
Barunga West	0	0	0	0	20	20
Berri and Barmera	30	70	90	190	126	316
Burnside	125	304	190	619	22	641
Campbelltown	151	373	283	807	118	925
Ceduna	0	22	30	52	0	52
Charles Sturt	249	663	600	1512	337	1849
Clare and Gilbert Valleys	12	30	30	72	51	123
Cleve	0	20	20	40	30	70
Coober Pedy	0	20	20	40	0	40
Copper Coast	0	12	12	24	76	100
Elliston	0	0	0	0	5	5
Flinders Ranges	0	0	0	0	6	6
Franklin Harbor	0	0	0	0	8	8
Gawler	55	190	160	405	113	518
Goyder	8	15	15	38	5	43
Grant	12	40	50	102	0	102
Holdfast Bay	100	240	250	590	39	629
Kangaroo Island	0	0	0	0	8	8
Karoonda East Murray	0	0	0	0	7	7
Kimba	0	0	0	0	5	5
Lacepede	0	0	0	0	1	1
Le Hunte	0	0	0	0	16	16
Light	12	45	40	97	27	124
Lower Eyre Peninsula	0	0	0	0	16	16
Loxton Waikerie	12	50	50	112	53	165
Mallala	0	0	0	0	19	19
Marion	277	565	461	1303	257	1560
Mid Murray	12	20	35	67	25	92
Mitcham	308	658	390	1356	40	1396
Mount Barker	69	205	80	354	118	472
Mount Gambier	30	110	120	260	169	429
Mount Remarkable	0	0	0	0	4	4
Murray Bridge	30	70	70	170	137	307
Naracoorte and Lucindale	12	25	40	77	51	128
Northern Areas	0	0	0	0	30	30

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>SOUTH AUSTRALIA</b>						
Planning Region	<b>PLACES</b>					
	<b>BS</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>VAC</b>	<b>Tot OSHC</b>	<b>FDC</b>	<b>Total</b>
Norw. P'ham St Ptrs	165	463	195	823	48	871
Onkaparinga	667	1199	1141	3007	752	3759
Peterborough	0	0	0	0	10	10
Playford	279	557	552	1388	344	1732
Port Adel. Enfield	278	585	615	1478	515	1993
Port Augusta	12	30	30	72	88	160
Port Lincoln	0	30	50	80	77	157
Port Pirie	0	20	90	110	118	228
Prospect	67	194	179	440	30	470
Renmark Paringa	30	55	65	150	63	213
Robe	0	0	30	30	4	34
Roxby Downs	10	20	25	55	12	67
Salisbury	522	865	1036	2423	447	2870
Southern Mallee	0	20	20	40	0	40
Streaky Bay	0	0	30	30	22	52
Tatiara	0	50	50	100	12	112
Tea Tree Gully	572	1097	774	2443	326	2769
The Coorong	0	0	0	0	13	13
Tumby Bay	0	20	20	40	3	43
Unincorp. Flinders Ranges	0	0	0	0	7	7
Unley	149	382	265	796	26	822
Victor Harbor	25	65	160	250	14	264
Wakefield	0	0	0	0	6	6
Walkerville	60	135	125	320	7	327
Wattle Range	12	50	3	65	2	67
West Torrens	148	335	268	751	78	829
Whyalla	0	55	60	115	190	305
Yankalilla	12	15	25	52	3	55
Yorke Peninsula	0	0	0	0	63	63
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4793</b>	<b>10651</b>	<b>9274</b>	<b>24718</b>	<b>5404</b>	<b>30122</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>NORTHERN TERRITORY</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	Total
Alawa	0	0	85	85	0	85
Alice Springs	30	234	260	524	281	805
Bakewell	0	70	70	140		140
City	0	0	100	100	424	524
Coomalie	0	0	10	10	0	10
Cox-Finniss	0	0	0	0	10	10
Driver	0	60	65	125	0	125
Durack	15	50	50	115	0	115
Elsey - Bal	0	0	0	0	20	20
Gray	0	70	60	130	0	130
Jabiru	0	5	12	17	5	22
Jingili	0	30	30	60	0	60
Karama	0	199	109	308	0	308
Katherine	0	130	90	220	72	292
Larrakeyah	0	45	40	85	0	85
Leanyer	0	60	60	120	0	120
Litchfield	0	60	60	350	0	350
Malak	0	100	60	160	0	160
Marrara	0	20	0	20	0	20
Millner	0	30	30	60	0	60
Moil	0	20	12	32	0	32
Moulden	10	25	30	65	0	65
Nakara	0	50	30	80	0	80
Nhulunbuy	0	26	30	56	35	91
Nightcliff	0	119	96	215	0	215
Palmerston	0	0	0	0	160	160
Parap	0	80	100	180	0	180
Petermann	0	8	15	23	0	23
Stuart Park	0	70	0	70	0	70
Tennant Creek	0	0	0	0	6	6
Wagaman	0	30	0	30	0	30
Wanguri	30	140	125	295	0	295
Woodroffe	35	75	60	170	0	170
Unspecified	5	12	68	85	0	85
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>1948</b>	<b>1857</b>	<b>3930</b>	<b>1013</b>	<b>4943</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>TASMANIA</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					Total
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	
Break O'Day					6	6
Brighton	0	75	30	105	140	275
Burnie	30	100	125	255	89	469
Central Coast	20	75	75	170	52	297
Circular Head	0	30	25	55	44	124
Clarence	20	265	170	455	225	850
Derwent Valley	0	30	30	60	100	190
Devonport	0	140	163	303	102	568
Dorset	0	0	0	0	23	23
George Town	0	0	0	0	27	27
Glamorgan/Spring Bay	0	0	0	0	4	4
Glenorchy	30	77	125	232	247	604
Hobart	125	659	520	1304	165	1989
Huon Valley	15	60	58	133	110	301
Kentish	0	0	0	0	33	33
Kingborough	90	260	294	644	190	1128
Latrobe	0	0	0	0	60	60
Launceston	15	304	408	727	351	1078
Meander Valley	0	0	0	0	50	50
Northern Midlands	0	0	0	0	69	69
Sorell	0	52	28	80	87	167
Tasman	0	0	0	0	11	11
Waratah/Wynyard	0	63	30	93	62	155
West Coast	0	20	30	50		50
West Tamar	0	20	25	45	48	93
STATE TOTAL	345	2230	2136	4711	2295	8621
<b>AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY</b>						
Planning Region	PLACES					Total
	BS	AS	VAC	Tot OSHC	FDC	
Gungahlin	233	363	141	737	177	914
Nth Belconnen	104	334	122	560	358	918
Nth Canberra	85	427	328	840	122	962
Nth Tuggeranong	216	470	207	893	526	1419
Sth Belconnen	217	518	257	992	180	1172
Sth Canberra	49	420	95	564	121	685
Sth Tuggeranong	105	304	155	564	526	1090
Weston Creek	25	151	45	221	235	456
Woden Valley	10	422	253	685	235	920
STATE TOTAL	1044	3409	1603	6056	2480	8536

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- c. Northern Territory has a demand for 36 Occasional Care places broken down to 20 places in Alawa and 16 places in East Arnhem areas. No other states have requests for Occasional Care places.

Data is not collected by Local Government Area or Federal Electoral Division

- d. The tables below show the number of Occasional Care places that have been allocated by Planning region level in each State and Territory. (Source: Child Care Planning Data, June 2003)

Data is not collected by Federal Electoral Division. Data on utilisation is commercial-in-confidence and therefore not available.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Planning Region	Number	Planning Region	Number
<b>NSW</b>		<b>VIC</b>	
Albury	28	Alpine	30
Bankstown	28	Ballarat	42
Baulkham Hills	20	Boroondara	14
Blacktown	57	Casey	51
Blue Mountains	28	Darebin	10
Burwood	13	Frankston	20
Campbelltown	56	Greater Bendigo	30
Canterbury	28	Greater Dandenong	65
Cessnock	29	Greater Shepparton	40
Coffs Harbour	28	Hepburn	40
Fairfield	29	Hume	50
Gosford	48	Knox	35
Grafton	25	La Trobe	25
Gunnedah	49	Manningham	30
Hastings	28	Maroondah	61
Holroyd	30	Mildura	25
Hornsby	50	Moira	10
Ku Ring Gai	36	Moonee Valley	46
Lake Macquarie	36	Nillumbik	15
Leichhardt	26	Stonnington	65
Lismore	28	Whitehorse	36
Liverpool	55	Wodonga	30
Manly	20	Wyndham	45
Marrickville	25	Yarra Ranges	11
Newcastle	57	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>826</b>
Parramatta	28		
Penrith	29	<b>QLD</b>	
Queanbeyan	10	Belyando	21
Randwick	29	Bundaberg	30
Rockdale	28	Cairns	36
Shellharbour	28	City Core	45
Shoalhaven	28	Kenmore	30
South Sydney	15	Mackay	44
Sutherland	28	Outer Brisbane South	5
Sydney	5	Rockhampton	40
Tamworth	28	South Coast North	30
Wagga Wagga	28	South West Brisbane	34
Wollongong	28	Sunshine Coast	65
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1169</b>	Toowoomba	40
		Townsville	65
<b>SA</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>485</b>
Adelaide	23		
Burnside South West	4.2		

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

		<b>WA</b>	
Campbelltown West	4.2	Albany	28
Ceduna	18	Bayswater	38
Charles Sturt Inner	8	Cambridge	20
Cooper Pedy	15	Canning	28
Marion	12.2	Joondalup	28
Mitcham	4.2	Kalgoorlie	28
Mount Barker	12	Melville	28
Murray Bridge	20	Moora	19
Norw.P'ham St Ptrs	22.2	Northam	18
Onkaparinga	50.2	Perth (inner)	33
Playford	101	Rockingham	28
Port Adelaide	90.2	TOTAL	351
Port Augusta	4.2		
Salisbury	76	<b>TAS</b>	0
Streaky Bay	2.1		
Tea Tree Gully	16	<b>NT</b>	
Unincorp Far North	3.5	Alice Springs	10
Unley	24.2		
West Torrens	29.2	<b>ACT</b>	
Whyalla	33.4	North Belconnen	34
TOTAL	573	South Tuggeranong	34
		Weston Creek	34
		TOTAL	102

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- e. Unmet demand is measured by the number of places requested by services. The data is collected nationally at planning area level used by Planning Advisory Committees. Planning areas are often, but not always at local government area. Not all States/Territories collect data by Federal Electoral Division. The table below shows the number of Outside School Hours Care places requested in each state and territory by planning area as at September 2003.

STATES	BS	AS	VAC	TOTAL
NSW	2966	3960	4793	11719
VIC	1135	1485	979	3599
QLD	1667	3062	3102	7831
WA	130	221	354	705
SA	540	1119	1005	2664
TAS	28	133	240	401
NT	30	160	160	350
ACT	246	246	330	822
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>6742</b>	<b>10386</b>	<b>10963</b>	<b>28091</b>

- f. Utilisation information is considered commercial-in-confidence and is not able to be provided for any service types.
- g. The table below shows the number of places requested for other types of child care by State and Territory as at September 2003. The data is not collected by local government area or Federal Electoral Division.

State	Type of child care requested	No places requested
NSW	MACS	28
	In-home Care	477
QLD	In-home Care	245
TAS	In-home Care	35
ACT	In-home Care	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>795</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 165**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide the most recently available data/information in the following areas, broken down by State/Territory and Federal Electorate Division for all Commonwealth budgetary Programs or strategies: (i.e. those under the Broadband, DAS Private Provider Initiative, SNSS, SUPS, DSUPS, JET, Operational Subsidy, RTAG, and one off grants)

- a. Amount of budget allocated and the expenditure on each program
- b. Numbers services, families and children utilising each of those programs
- c. The details of any waiting lists, including changes in those lists over the financial years.
- d. Breakdown of those services using each program by ownership (i.e. community based, private, multi-owned private.)

**Answer:**

Broadband program information is not readily available by local government area or federal electoral division. Providing data in this manner would be a significant task and is not an appropriate use of the department's resources.

The Broadband information is provided in the limited categories allowed by the department's accounting systems for these financial years.

- a. The tables below outline the Broadband budgeted and actual expenditure for 2002/03 years on the basis of program type and state/territory.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

(a). Table 1: 2001-02 Broadband Actual Expenditure		(\$'000)								
	Total	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA <sup>25</sup>	WA	TAS	NT	ACT	
Operating Subsidy – Family Day Care	56,341	20,221	13,540	12,962	40	4,413	2,233	943	1,990	
Broadband Other <sup>26</sup>	59,255	16,278	12,433	12,489	5,932	5,754	1,929	3,311	1,130	
Special Needs Subsidy Scheme	22,239	7,596	7,036	3,084	1,524	1,322	552	282	843	
Cashed-out Child Care Benefit	10,272	3,444	955	3,621	1,078	972	131	72	0	
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Outside School Hours Care	7,033	1,677	1,263	1,242	1,231	537	131	936	17	
Operational Subsidy - Occasional Care	3,914	1,336	952	569	300	508	116	29	105	
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Community based Long Day Care	7,364	955	1,152	1,165	1,024	733	315	2,020	0	
Operational Subsidy - In-home Care	1,365	200	230	449	31	172	185	12	85	
Capital	2,917	390	268	345	20	90	274	1,528	4	
Program Support & Quality	7,420									
<b>Total</b>	<b>178,121</b>	<b>52,095</b>	<b>37,829</b>	<b>35,925</b>	<b>11,178</b>	<b>14,501</b>	<b>5,866</b>	<b>9,132</b>	<b>4,174</b>	

<sup>25</sup> SA funds Family Day Care from Special Purpose Payments. Budgeted expenditure for SA was \$5,542k

<sup>26</sup> Major categories of Broadband Other include:

- Rural Services
- Supplementary Services
- Family Day Care & In-home Care funding other than Operational Subsidy

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Table 2: 2002-03 Broadband Budgeted Expenditure (\$'000)									
	Total	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA <sup>27</sup>	WA	TAS	NT	ACT
Operating Subsidy - Family Day Care	54,936	19,383	14,328	12,029	41	4,281	2,297	680	1,897
Broadband Other <sup>28</sup>	56,717	14,567	10,665	11,161	6,443	6,131	1,563	5,214	973
Special Needs Subsidy Scheme	20,000	6,800	6,100	3,100	1,300	1,250	500	250	700
Cashed-out Child Care Benefits	11,730	3,835	1,075	4,559	1,250	882	129		
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Outside School Hours Care	6,330	1,747	1,497	1,252	1,206	427	155	34	12
Operational Subsidy - Occasional Care	3,740	1,225	1,004	516	302	454	118	10	111
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Community based Long Day Care	7,535	1,019	1,215	1,296	1,168	748	356	1,733	
Operational Subsidy – In-home Care	2,832	892	425	821	24	307	229	17	117
Capital	4,246	1,194	951	904	135	134	217	631	80
Program Support & Quality	12,844								
<b>Total</b>	<b>180,910</b>	<b>50,662</b>	<b>37,260</b>	<b>35,638</b>	<b>11,869</b>	<b>14,614</b>	<b>5,564</b>	<b>8,569</b>	<b>3,890</b>

<sup>27</sup> SA funds Family Day Care and Capital from Act 2 Special Purpose Payments. Budgeted expenditure for SA under Act 2 was \$6,968K<sup>27</sup>

<sup>28</sup> Major categories of Broadband Other inc:

- Rural Services
- Supplementary Services
- Family Day Care & In-home Care funding other than Operational Subsidy

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Table 3: 2002-03 Broadband Actual Expenditure									
	(\$'000)								
	Total	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA <sup>29</sup>	WA	TAS	NT	ACT
Operating Subsidy - Family Day Care	59,070	21,456	13,909	13,725	48	4,480	2,366	859	2,127
Broadband Other	59,255	14,567	12,725	12,065	5,961	5,157	1,605	4,533	1,037
Special Needs Subsidy Scheme	20,128	6,800	5,305	4,002	1,392	1,255	356	326	623
Cashed-out Child Care Benefits	11,328	3,842	964	4,159	1,163	1,070	130		
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Outside School Hours Care	6,260	1,723	1,288	1,216	1,227	469	148	178	11
Operational Subsidy - Occasional Care	4,041	1,370	996	595	310	510	120	13	127
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Community based Long Day Care	8,026	1,108	1,215	1,283	1,090	784	370	2,176	
Operational Subsidy – In-home Care	2,074	592	322	551	35	217	250	19	88
Capital	2,568	909	378	380	126	140	221	322	92
Program Support & Quality	7,425								
<b>Total</b>	<b>180,175</b>	<b>54,041</b>	<b>37,102</b>	<b>37,976</b>	<b>11,352</b>	<b>14,182</b>	<b>5,566</b>	<b>8,426</b>	<b>4,105</b>

<sup>29</sup> Family Day Care in South Australia is not funded through the Broadband. It is funded through Special Purpose Payments. Actual expenditure for South Australia was \$7,515k

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

b. The tables below outline the number of services receiving support from Broadband programs for 2001/02 and 2002/03 on the basis of service type and state. This does not include services that receive Child Care Benefit only, such as most Long Day Care Services

<b>Number of services</b>								
<b>2001-02</b>								
	<b>NSW</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>WA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>ACT</b>
Operating Subsidy – Family Day Care	109	73	115	<sup>30</sup> 1	22	12	12	7
Broadband Other	405	357	743	88	138	62	53	33
Special Needs Subsidy Scheme*	1,092	793	562	256	251	73	32	65
Cashed-out Child Care Benefit	33	11	40	10	7	1	1	
Operational Subsidy - Other	276	190	204	197	46	24	28	2
Operational Subsidy – Occasional Care	48	26	16	3	20	4	2	4
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Community based Long Day Care	37	28	34	30	26	9	16	
Operational Subsidy - In-home Care	5	15	20	3	8	3	2	2
Capital	137	33	26	<sup>31</sup> 15	15	9	11	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,142</b>	<b>1,526</b>	<b>1,760</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>121</b>

<sup>30</sup> Family Day Care funding for South Australia, not included in the Broadband expenditure, was provided to 20 services

<sup>31</sup> Capital funding for South Australia, not included in the Broadband expenditure, was provided to 5 services.

\* SNSS data here relates to the number of services with children accessing the scheme, NOT the number of children receiving SNSS support



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Number of services								
2002-03								
	NSW	VIC	QLD	SA	WA	TAS	NT	ACT
Operating Subsidy – Family Day Care	110	73	106	<sup>32</sup> 2	21	12	10	7
Broadband Other	346	317	499	75	135	43	31	30
Special Needs Subsidy Scheme*	921	733	498	255	211	81	41	63
Cashed-out Child Care Benefit	36	11	44	10	8	1	30	
Operational Subsidy - Other	309	230	220	191	47	43	16	2
Operational Subsidy – Occasional Care	47	26	16	3	20	4	1	4
Disadvantaged Area Subsidy – Community based Long Day Care	39	28	36	31	30	11	17	
Operational Subsidy - In-home Care	15	21	20	3	7	3	2	2
Capital	70	28	18	<sup>33</sup> 10	6	5	9	6
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,893</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>1,457</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>114</b>

<sup>32</sup> Family Day Care funding for South Australia, not included in the Broadband expenditure, was provided to 16 services

<sup>33</sup> Capital funding for South Australia, not included in the Broadband expenditure, was provided to 12 services

\* SNSS data here relates to the number of services with children accessing the scheme, NOT the number of children receiving SNSS support

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

c. The only waiting list maintained for a Broadband program is for SNSS. The table below outlines progress of the SNSS waiting list at points in time indicated.

		<b>NSW</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>WA</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>ACT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>FY 02/03</b>	<b>July 02</b>	126	82	56	25	24	15	9	3	<b>340</b>
	<b>Nov 02</b>	242	143	131	63	71	15	12	2	<b>679</b>
	<b>May 03</b>	487	249	202	124	107	33	17	11	<b>1230</b>
<b>FY 03/04</b>	<b>Sept 03</b>	219	129	138	76	65	12	15	6	<b>660</b>
	<b>Nov 03*</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>

\*The additional funding provided in the 2003/04 Budget has allowed all children on the waiting list since Budget night to be progressed.

d. The department does not maintain data on Broadband spending on the basis of ownership category. The process of gathering this information would be time intensive and expensive.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support ..... Question No: 169**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

Could you supply updated data regarding the take up rate by State/Territory, LGA and Federal Electoral Division?

- a. How many places are available under the JET scheme each year?
- b. How many of these are used?
- c. Is there a waiting list kept for places? How many currently on this list?
- d. How many jobseekers are there each year (both looking for work and education opportunities)? How many of these have young children?

**Answer:**

The Department does not collect LGA and Federal Electoral Division data for JET Child Care

- a. No child care places are specifically allocated for parents eligible for JET Child Care assistance.
- b. Number of children receiving JET Child Care assistance in 2002-03 by State/Territory:

<b>State/Territory</b>	<b>2002-2003</b>
<b>NSW</b>	1,399
<b>VIC</b>	3,470
<b>QLD</b>	3,180
<b>SA</b>	1,517
<b>WA</b>	2,156
<b>TAS</b>	596
<b>NT</b>	340
<b>ACT</b>	283
<b>Total</b>	<b>12,941</b>

- c. There is no waiting list kept for JET Child Care assistance. The current level of demand for assistance can be met within the annual appropriation. All applications for assistance from eligible parents are processed immediately.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- d. The Department does not collect LGA and Federal Electoral Division data for jobseekers. The Department does not collect yearly data of the total number of jobseekers or for jobseekers with young children.

Not all jobseekers or participants in training, even those with young children, have a need for child care or JET support

The number of jobseekers as at end October 2003 by State or Territory (monthly average)\*:

<i>State/Territory</i>	<i>Number of Jobseekers October 2003</i>
<b>NSW</b>	136,924
<b>VIC</b>	100,436
<b>QLD</b>	87,860
<b>SA</b>	35,625
<b>WA</b>	40,692
<b>TAS</b>	17,700
<b>NT</b>	4,626
<b>ACT</b>	3,957
<b>Total</b>	<b>427,190</b>

\* Source: Labour Market and Related Payments (FaCS)

The number of jobseekers with young children as at end October 2003 by State or Territory (point in time)\*:

<i>State/Territory</i>	<i>Number of Jobseekers with Children 0-5 October 2003</i>	<i>Number of Jobseekers with Children 6-12 October 2003</i>	<i>Total Number of Jobseekers with Young Children October 2003</i>
<b>NSW</b>	9,728	4,425	14,153
<b>VIC</b>	6,711	3,208	9,919
<b>QLD</b>	6,039	2,409	8,448
<b>SA</b>	2,285	1,025	3,310
<b>WA</b>	2,735	1,000	3,735
<b>TAS</b>	1,520	649	2,169
<b>NT</b>	352	118	470
<b>ACT</b>	182	83	265
<b>Total</b>	<b>29,552</b>	<b>12,917</b>	<b>42,469</b>

\* Source: Centrelink Datashop

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

FaCS officially defines jobseekers in its monthly Labour Market and Related Payments publication as follows:

'Jobseeker: A person on Newstart Allowance or Youth Allowance (other) who would usually be engaged in jobsearch. It is now derived for Newstart Allowance and Youth Allowance (other) customers by excluding all people who did not receive a payment due to their own and/or their partner's income, or where applicable, their parent's, and all those known not to be required to search for work. People receiving Intensive Assistance under Job Network arrangements are classified as jobseekers although they may not always be undertaking job search. These people negotiate their activity agreement with their Job Network member.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 171**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide an update on the number of places reallocated, with details by State and Territory of where they have come from and where they have been allocated to, since 1 July 2001 in:

- a. Family Day Care
- b. In-home Child Care
- c. Outside School Hours Care, broken down into After School, Before School and Vacation Care places

**Answer:**

- a. The table below provides the number of places relinquished and reallocated in Family Day Care from July 2001 until September 2003.

States	Relinquished	Reallocated
NSW	626	1356
VIC	2209	358
QLD	30	605
WA	64	191
SA	52	52
TAS	105	105
NT	0	0
ACT	210	80
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3296</b>	<b>2747*</b>

\* There are 821 places, in addition to the 2747 already reallocated, in State/Territory pools that are in the process of being reallocated. This number includes some places that were in a pool prior to the commencement of the reallocation process in July 2001.

- b. In-home Child Care.

There have been no places reallocated since 1 July 2001.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- c. The table below provides the number of relinquished and reallocated places in Outside School Hours Care, from July 2001 until September 2003.

States	Relinquished				Reallocated			
	BS	AS	VAC	ALL	BS	AS	VAC	ALL
NSW	867	1344	1186	3397	447	711	436	1594
VIC	2155	2298	853	5306	1149	2292	1634	5075
QLD	88	260	258	606	127	155	215	497
WA	148	234	166	548	79	158	311	548
SA	405	605	413	1423	173	322	910	1405
TAS	45	159	116	320	0	235	85	320
NT	0	5	0	5	0	0	5	5
ACT	60	120	107	287	31	73	83	187
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3768</b>	<b>5025</b>	<b>3099</b>	<b>11892</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>3946</b>	<b>3679</b>	<b>9631*</b>

- \* A total of 2261 places, in addition to the 9631 already reallocated, are in State/Territory pools and are in the process of being reallocated.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4**

**Question No: 256**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: CA 98**

**Senator Collins** asked:

**Family Day Care – Unmet Demand** - Please provide information in terms of the state, territory, local government and federal electoral division break-ups.

**Answer:**

Unmet demand is measured by the number of places requested by services. The data is collected nationally at planning area level used by Planning Advisory Committees. Planning areas are often, but not always at local government area. Not all States/Territories collect data by Federal Electoral Division.

The table below shows the number of Family Day Care places requested in each state and territory by planning area as at September 2003. Data is not readily available by Local Government Area or Federal Electoral Division

New South Wales	663
Victoria	130
Queensland	1201
Western Australia	146
South Australia	316
Tasmania	105
Northern Territory	0
Australian Capital Territory	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2561</b>

The announcement on 5 December 2003 of an additional 2,500 places for family day care across Australia, and the reallocation of a smaller number of places from a national pool will eliminate all current unmet demand.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 262**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: CA 115**

**Senator Collins** asked:

**Outside school hours care services** – The current demand figures represent existing services that are not currently approved – seeking approval – and existing approved services seeking additional places – Please provide a breakup.

**Answer:**

This information is not readily available.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 263**

**Topic: Child Care Support**

**Hansard Page: CA117-118**

**Senator Collins** asked:

1. Is the department aware of parent concerns at the Lane Cove West outside school hours care; about not being able to receive CCB funding, having opened the service in January 2002?
2. What has been done to provide funding to this service; where is it in the assessment process for approval?
3. Why are circumstances such that these parents must use now unsubsidised places at Lane Cove West, when they were previously happy using fully subsidised places at the Meeting Place?

**Answer:**

1. Yes. Lane Cove West opened an unapproved outside school hours care service and sought to be approved.
2. Demand for outside school hours care places exceeds supply. Places that become available are allocated to areas in order of assessed priority. In the most recent allocation round, Lane Cove was approved and allocated 20 before school care, 35 after school care and 35 vacation care places.
3. Before the establishment of the Lane Cove West service, the Meeting Place operated a bus to transport children from Lane Cove to the Meeting Place. This arrangement was no longer available after the Lane Cove West service opened. The parents are now able to access fully subsidised places at Lane Cove West.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support ..... Question No: 159**

**Topic: Child Care**

**Hansard Page: Written Question on Notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

**DAS and PPI**

Please provide detail of the spending on the Private Provider Incentive and the Disadvantage Area Subsidy and outline the following:

- a) Total expenditure by State/Territory, local government area, federal electorate division
- b) Breakdown of expenditure by service type

**Answer:**

- a) Data on expenditure on the Private Provider Incentive scheme is detailed in Table 1. Data is not readily available by Local Government Area or Federal Electoral Division for Disadvantage Area Subsidy. Data on expenditure by state for DAS is in Table 2.
- b) The Private Provider Incentive scheme only applies to Long Day Care services. Disadvantaged Area Subsidy is available to eligible Long Day Care and Outside School Hours Care services.

**Table 1**

**Private Provider Incentive Long Day Care Centres**

STATE	LGA	FEDERAL ELECTORATE	EXPENDITURE*
<b>NSW</b>			
	Crookwell	Hume	\$49,693
	Gilgandra	Gwydir	\$112,910
	Narromine	Parkes	\$78,986
	Kyogle	Page	\$418,670
	Narrabri	New England	\$474,412
	Coonabaraban	Gwydir	\$474,412
<b>QLD</b>			
	Cook	Leichardt	\$270,029
	Warwick	Maranoa	\$351,742
	Gayndah	Wide Bay	\$563,418
	Eacham	Kennedy	\$363,246
<b>SA</b>			
	Tatiara	Barker	\$500,114
	Barossa	Wakefield	\$502,081
<b>WA</b>			
	Harvey	Forrest	\$349,036
	Waroona	Canning	\$406,488

*\*This report defines total expenditure as expenditure plus commitment.*

*Note: The services listed have all commenced operation. Services that have not commenced and therefore have a commitment figure only are not listed.*

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Table 2**  
**Disadvantaged Area Subsidy payments across States and Territories 2002-03**

<b>Service Type</b>	<b>Total \$</b>	<b>ACT</b>	<b>NSW</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>QLD</b>	<b>SA</b>	<b>TAS</b>	<b>VIC</b>	<b>WA</b>
Long Day Care	5,857,861	–	936,964	320,287	1,184,409	1,071,808	369,804	1,214,995	759,593
Outside School Hours Care	5,309,113	11,088	1,723,201	73,117	1,219,349	605,665	147,776	1,305,200	223,716

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 255**

**Topic: Large Child Care Benefit Debts**

**Hansard Page: CA92-93**

**Senator Collins** asked:

How can four people have debts for CCB of over \$10,000; and another four have debts of between \$9,000 and \$9,999? How can someone accrue that level of debt in the system? Please look further at the explanations for why these cases came to be?

**Answer:**

(1) A family with 4 children can receive over \$30,000 in CCB.

(2) The maximum CCB paid in full time Long Day Care (50hrs per child):

2 children	3 children	4 children
\$14,890pa	\$23,189pa	\$30,989pa

(3) Large CCB debts are infrequent but can occur for a number of reasons.

In the majority of the cases identified, families have underestimated their income. The Family Assistance Office cannot specify the reason for the underestimation of income, as it receives only the income estimate from the family and the actual taxable income from the ATO.

While it is possible that some families may deliberately underestimate their income, there are legitimate reasons for underestimation of income by large amounts. For example, a family might receive an unexpected bonus, redundancy payment or capital gain. In particular, if the unexpected additional income came towards the end of the financial year, there is no way for the family to reduce subsequent CCB payments enough to offset the debt.

A family can incur a large overpayment if they have 3 or more children accessing a lot of care (eg 50 hours of care) and underestimate their income. For example, if a family with three or more children in full-time care estimated their income to be \$80,000, and it in fact turned out to be \$110,000, they would have a debt of \$10,500 raised.

Another reason for large overpayments is when customers have their income support cancelled. When that happens, a CCB debt is automatically raised. The reconciliation process has to recalculate CCB entitlement based on the income details. Until that process is resolved there is technically an overpayment.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 259**

**Topic: Flexibility and choice in child care**

**Hansard Page: CA106**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Is the AIFS exploring issues such as the number of places that are offered for part-time care, the number of places that are offered for short days, the number of places that are available for half days—particularly with respect to what we know is happening with work force participation.

**Answer:**

AIFS is currently undertaking a number of studies into child care, but none is specifically addressing the issue of the number or type of places available.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support .....Question No: 260**

**Topic: Flexibility and choice in child care**

**Hansard Page: CA106**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Is there any measurement of the impact of unmet demand on the flexibility available to families?

**Answer:**

No.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support ..... Question No: 257**

**Topic: In-home care program**

**Hansard Page: CA103**

**Senator Collins** asked:

**In-home care program**

Why was the estimate in funding the implementation of the in-home care program not as accurate as had initially been thought?

**Answer:**

The funding estimates for the In-home Care program were based on the Family Day Care funding model. The implementation phase of In-home Care has shown a number of anomalies between the two models.

The In-home Care model is being reviewed as part of the Broadband redevelopment.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 261**

**Topic: Planning Advisory Committees**

**Hansard Page: CA 106-107**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide:

1. the composition of each planning committee in each state
2. the dates on which they last met for the last two years
3. the recommendations that arise out of each meeting?

**Answer:**

1. The composition of the Planning Advisory Committees in each State and Territory is provided below.

**NSW** NSW Family Day Care Association  
Office of Childcare, NSW Department of Community Services  
Community Child Care Co-operative Ltd  
Network of Community Activities  
Local Government Association of NSW & Shires Association of NSW  
Child Care NSW

**VIC** Community Child Care Inc  
Victorian Private Child Care Association  
Child Care Centres Association of Victoria INC  
Victorian Family Day Care Resource Unit  
Victorian Association of Out of School Hours Services Inc  
Municipal Association of Victoria  
Victorian Department of Education and Training  
Victorian Department of Human Services (has a position on the PAC but currently not sending a representative due to lack of staff resources)

**QLD** QLD Family Day Care Association  
QLD Council of Parents and Citizens Associations  
Local Government Association of QLD  
Child Care Industry Association of QLD  
QLD Professional Child Care Centres Association Inc  
QLD Children's Activities Network  
QLD Council of Social Services  
Department of Families  
Education QLD

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- SA** Department of Education & Children's Services  
Local Government Association of SA  
SA Association of Child Care Centres  
National Association of Community Based Children's Services  
Outside School Hours Care Association  
South Australian Council of Social Services
- WA** Department of Community Development, Children's Services  
Child Care Association of WA  
Carewest  
Family Day Care Schemes WA  
WA Municipal Association  
Professional Association for School Age Child Care Services
- TAS** Department of Education  
Outside School Hours Care Association  
Family Day Care Coordinators Association  
Local Government Association of Tasmania  
Child Care Association of Tasmania  
Tasmanian Association of Children's Services
- NT** Northern Territory Health Department  
NT Outside School Hours Care Association  
NT Family Day Care Network  
Local Government Association of the NT  
Child Care Association of the NT  
Australian Early Childhood Association  
Northern Territory Education Department
- ACT** ACT Office of Child Care  
ACT Children's Services Association  
ACT Family Day Care Association  
Association of Long Day Care Directors  
Out of Schools Hours Care Association of ACT  
Community Child Care Federation of the ACT

2. The dates on which the Planning Advisory Committees met are provided below.

NSW	13/06/2002, 14/11/2002, 31/07/2003
VIC	17/7/2002, 19/9/2002, 16/10/2003
QLD	6/11/2002
SA	5/9/2002, 13/3/2003, 22/9/2003
WA	11/2001, 6/2002, 11/2002, 10/2003
TAS	24/5/2002, 23/12/2002, 18/8/2003
NT	24/7/2002
ACT	21/8/2003

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

3. The recommendations made by the Planning Advisory Committees cannot be provided as they are confidential.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 Child Care Support**

**Question No: 264**

**Topic: Planning Advisory Committee – Cap**

**Hansard Page: CA117**

**Senator Collins** asked:

**Planning Advisory Committee – Cap.** At the moment the cap is preventing families, where their services are unable to attract approval, from accessing the full level of subsidy they would otherwise be entitled to.

Provide information around the planning advisory committees to cover that issue.

**Answer:**

All eligible families that use approved child care can access the full level of subsidy to which they are entitled.

The family assistance law requires the relative needs of different areas of Australia to be taken into account in determining the areas where child care places should be made available and the relative child care needs of people in each area who have work, training or study commitments. Planning Advisory Committees in each State and Territory provide advice on these matters. Planning Advisory Committees take into account population, supply, demand and utilisation data as well as their own local and sectoral knowledge in making recommendations about the relative needs of areas.

Once places are allocated to services in areas of highest relative need, services are required to allocate them to children who themselves have the highest need. The priority categories are set out in the Priority of Access Guidelines.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 1.4 ..... Question No: 265**

**Topic: Child Care**

**Hansard Page: CA119**

**Senator Collins** asked:

**Carousel vacation and outside school hours care program.**

Provide information on the history of funding for services provided by the Carousel vacation and outside school hours care program.

Provide information on whether inclusion is always the appropriate strategy in relation to outside school hours care for disabled children.

**Answer:**

The Australian Government provided funding for the Carousel vacation and outside care program in the form of Special Needs Subsidy Scheme funds, CCB, casual support pool funds, and an establishment grant. This represented \$125,289 for the period 1 July 2002 to 28 February 2003. Carousel sought additional recurrent funding of \$120 000 per annum to enable both programs to continue to operate after 1 July 2003.

A one-off additional grant of \$44,000 was approved to enable the Carousel service to continue to operate between 1 July and 12 December 2003, while it sought to secure additional funding sources. The Carousel vacation and after school care service ceased to operate as of 12 December 2003 after failing to secure alternative sources of funding.

At present Australian Government policy considers the inclusion of children with high ongoing support needs, including those with a disability, into mainstream child care services as the appropriate developmental strategy.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 2.1 Housing Support

**Question No:** 172

**Topic:** SAAP

**Hansard Page:** Written question on notice

**Senator Collins** asked: How many young people accessed SAAP services in 2002-03?

**Answer:** During 2002-03 there were 34,400 young people up to 24 years of age who were assisted by SAAP agencies. In addition there were 53,800 children accompanying an adult or adults.

This information is sourced from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's SAAP National Data Collection Annual Report 2002-03 released on 18 December 2003.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.1 Housing Support**

**Question No: 237**

**Topic: Accessing services – homeless youth**

**Hansard Page: CA124**

**Senator Collins** asked: The ABS gathered data on homelessness as part of their last two census programs – please provide data.

**Answer to Q237:** The ABS recently released on 18 November 2003 a report “Counting the Homeless 2001”. The report, produced for the ABS by Melbourne academics Chamberlain and MacKenzie, estimates the number of homeless people in Australia on Census night 2001 was 99,900.

The ABS report titled “Counting the Homeless- Implications for Policy Development” also prepared by Chamberlain and MacKenzie and released in December 1999, estimated the number of homeless people on Census night in 1996 to be 105,300.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 32**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Is the Department concerned that the income threshold for a family to qualify for a low-income health care card has declined in real terms since 1996?

**Answer:**

The basic income limits for the low-income Health Care Card are linked to the couple rate of Newstart Allowance, an arrangement that has been in place for many years, under successive Governments. Newstart Allowance payment rates are indexed to the CPI twice a year, and so are maintained in real terms.

For singles or couples with children, an additional dependent child amount increases the income limits. This additional amount has never been indexed, but provides a form of extra assistance for families.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 33**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop asked:**

Could the department prepare a table showing the threshold for a family with 2, 3, 4 and 5 dependent children at each indexation point since 1996 expressed in 2003 dollars?

**Answer:**

The table is below, and shows that the income limits for the low-income Health Care Card have been substantially maintained in real terms.

**Real low-income Health Care Card income limits**  
**expressed in June 2003 dollars**

<b>Indexation Point</b>	<b>Real income limit for a family with:</b>			
	<b>2 children (\$ per week)</b>	<b>3 children (\$ per week)</b>	<b>4 children (\$ per week)</b>	<b>5 children (\$ per week)</b>
<b>20/09/1996</b>	640.45	680.55	720.65	760.76
<b>20/03/1997</b>	638.96	678.90	718.83	758.77
<b>20/09/1997</b>	640.67	680.64	720.61	760.57
<b>20/03/1998</b>	641.74	681.77	721.81	761.84
<b>20/09/1998</b>	638.77	678.47	718.18	757.88
<b>20/03/1999</b>	637.53	676.94	716.35	755.76
<b>20/09/1999</b>	636.60	675.88	715.17	754.45
<b>20/03/2000</b>	635.34	674.05	712.76	751.47
<b>01/07/2000</b>	655.83	694.54	733.26	771.97
<b>20/09/2000</b>	652.76	690.82	728.89	766.96
<b>20/03/2001</b>	637.09	673.68	710.27	746.86
<b>20/09/2001</b>	634.69	670.59	706.50	742.41
<b>20/03/2002</b>	633.45	668.93	704.41	739.89
<b>20/09/2002</b>	630.51	665.42	700.34	735.25
<b>20/03/2003</b>	629.01	663.45	697.89	732.33
<b>20/09/2003</b>	627.00	661.00	695.00	729.00

Note (1): Actual income limits are determined by reference to movements in the CPI to the end of the preceding 6 month period. For example, actual income limits set at 20 September 1996 were determined by reference to movements in the CPI over the 6 months to the June quarter 1996. The income limits expressed in 2003 dollars, as shown in the table, are determined by inflating the actual income limits by the growth in the CPI from the relevant 6 month period, to the CPI in the 6 months to the June quarter 2003.

Note (2): Changes to real income limits in July 2000 reflected one-off GST compensation arrangements. Allowances were increased by 4% from 1 July 2000. This GST compensation comprised a 2% increase and a 2% advance on March 2001 indexation. The advance was recovered via a 2% offset from the March 2001 CPI increase.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 34**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Could a similar comparison be done showing the actual income thresholds since 1996 and their relativity to AWE?

**Answer:**

The table is below. Actual income thresholds have been shown relative to MTAWWE, the measure of average earnings most relevant to income support indexation.

**Actual low-income Health Care Card income limits expressed relative to MTAWWE**

Indexation Point	Income limit for a family with:			
	2 children	3 children	4 children	5 children
20/09/96 (actual - \$ per week)	543.00	577.00	611.00	645.00
% June 1996 MTAWWE	81	86	91	96
20/03/97 (actual - \$ per week)	544.00	578.00	612.00	646.00
% Dec 1996 MTAWWE	80	85	90	95
20/09/97 (actual - \$ per week)	545.00	579.00	613.00	647.00
% June 1997 MTAWWE	79	84	89	94
20/03/98 (actual - \$ per week)	545.00	579.00	613.00	647.00
% Dec 1997 MTAWWE	77	82	86	91
20/09/98 (actual - \$ per week)	547.00	581.00	615.00	649.00
% June 1998 MTAWWE	77	81	86	91
20/03/99 (actual - \$ per week)	550.00	584.00	618.00	652.00
% Dec 1998 MTAWWE	76	81	86	90

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Indexation Point</b>	<b>Income limit for a family with:</b>			
	<b>2 children</b>	<b>3 children</b>	<b>4 children</b>	<b>5 children</b>
<b>20/09/99 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	551.00	585.00	619.00	653.00
<b>% June 1999 MTAW</b>	75	80	84	89
<b>20/03/00 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	558.00	592.00	626.00	660.00
<b>% Dec 1999 MTAW</b>	75	80	84	89
<b>01/07/00 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	576.00	610.00	644.00	678.00
<b>% March 2000 MTAW</b>	77	81	86	90
<b>20/09/00 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	583.00	617.00	651.00	685.00
<b>% June 2000 MTAW</b>	77	81	86	90
<b>20/03/01 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	592.00	626.00	660.00	694.00
<b>% Dec 2000 MTAW</b>	77	81	86	90
<b>20/09/01 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	601.00	635.00	669.00	703.00
<b>% June 2001 MTAW</b>	76	80	85	89
<b>20/03/02 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	607.00	641.00	675.00	709.00
<b>% Dec 2001 MTAW</b>	75	79	83	87
<b>20/09/02 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	614.00	648.00	682.00	716.00
<b>% June 2002 MTAW</b>	74	78	83	87
<b>20/03/03 (actual - \$ per week)</b>	621.00	655.00	689.00	723.00
<b>% Dec 2002 MTAW</b>	73	77	81	85

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>20/09/03(actual - \$ per week)</b>	<i>627.00</i>	<i>661.00</i>	<i>695.00</i>	<i>729.00</i>
<b>% June 2003 MTAW</b>	<i>72</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>84</i>

Note (1): Changes to real income limits in July 2000 reflected one-off GST compensation arrangements. Allowances were increased by 4% from 1 July 2000. This GST compensation comprised a 2% increase and a 2% advance on March 2001 indexation. The advance was recovered via a 2% offset from the March 2001 CPI increase.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support ..... Question No: 35**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Has the department prepared advice to the Government about this issue?

**Answer:**

The Department has made no policy recommendations in this area.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 36**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Does the Department believe it needs to be addressed?

**Answer:**

The issue of setting card income limits is a matter for policy and decision making by the Government.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 37**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Does the Department have an estimate showing the number of low-income families with dependent children who have been forced off the card due to the real cut in eligibility?

**Answer:**

There has been a reduction in the number of families with children who hold a low-income Health Care Card due to people finding jobs or earning higher incomes, thus resulting in them no longer qualifying.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support ..... Question No: 38**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Isn't it the case that the number of dependents listed on the low-income health care card have declined by over 20% between 2000-2001 and 2002-2003?

**Answer:**

There has been a decline in the number of children covered by the low-income Health Care Card in that period. Refer to Question No. 37 for further information.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 39**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

What are the reasons for the real decline in the income threshold?

**Answer:**

As outlined in the response to Question No. 32 and Question No. 33, the income limits for the low-income Health Care Card have been substantially maintained in real terms over recent years.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 2.2 Community Support**

**Question No: 40**

**Topic: Low-income Health Care Card**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

As the threshold is linked to the cut-out point for a couple allowance recipient, wasn't the Government's decision to de-link the couple allowance and pension rate in 1996 to blame for this problem? (the couple pension rate is pegged to MTAW).

**Answer:**

The Government has maintained the long-standing policy to adjust the low-income Health Care Card limits in line with allowance payment rates.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.1 Labour Market Assistance**

**Question No: 274**

**Topic: Personal Support Programme**

**Hansard Page: 17**

**Senator Bishop asked:**

What is the average waiting time in days or weeks for people seeking access to the PSP? What is the average waiting time in days or weeks for people seeking access to the PSP in non-metro areas?

**Answer:** The average waiting time in days using the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Area classification (RRMA) are:

**RRMA**

Capital	18.14
Other Metropolitan	20.86
Large Rural	16.66
Small Rural	15.44
Other Rural	11.98
Remote	9.9

Wait times are calculated on the difference between the date the Centrelink assessment is recorded as completed and the day on which the person is referred to a vacancy in a PSP provider's caseload.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.1 Labour Market Assistance**

**Question No: 275**

**Topic: Personal Support Programme**

**Hansard Page: CA64**

**Senator Bishop asked:**

**Could you provide a breakdown of PSP participants during 2002-03 by age, payment type and the agenda?**

**Answer:**

**Males**

<b>Payment Type</b>	<b>15-20</b>	<b>21-25</b>	<b>26-30</b>	<b>31-35</b>	<b>36-40</b>	<b>41-45</b>	<b>46-50</b>	<b>51+</b>	<b>Totals</b>
ABSTUDY	1	0	3	5	3	3	0	1	<b>16</b>
Age Pension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	<b>3</b>
AUSTUDY	0	0	11	6	3	1	6	0	<b>27</b>
Carer Payment	4	8	17	20	29	23	37	39	<b>177</b>
Community Development Project	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Disability Support Pension	24	74	127	186	228	234	220	349	<b>1442</b>
Newstart Mature Age	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	<b>80</b>
Newstart Allowance	0	1976	2706	2823	2295	1975	1471	1816	<b>15062</b>
Parenting Payment Partnered	1	9	6	13	5	9	4	3	<b>50</b>
Parenting Payment Single	1	23	36	59	50	32	21	8	<b>230</b>
Partner Allowance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	<b>13</b>
Sickness Allowance	0	2	2	4	3	2	1	1	<b>15</b>
Special Needs Subsidy	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
Special Benefit	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	<b>3</b>
Widow Allowance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Youth Allowance	521	193	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>714</b>
Non-Allowee Youth	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>3</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>2286</b>	<b>2909</b>	<b>3117</b>	<b>2617</b>	<b>2279</b>	<b>1760</b>	<b>2314</b>	<b>17836</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Females**

<b>Payment Type</b>	<b>15-20</b>	<b>21-25</b>	<b>26-30</b>	<b>31-35</b>	<b>36-40</b>	<b>41-45</b>	<b>46-50</b>	<b>51+</b>	<b>Totals</b>
ABSTUDY	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	1	<b>9</b>
Age Pension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	<b>1</b>
AUSTUDY	0	0	11	6	1	0	1	2	<b>21</b>
Carer Payment	3	6	4	6	8	19	23	36	<b>105</b>
Community Development Project	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
Disability Support Pension	23	44	42	74	82	117	123	130	<b>635</b>
Newstart Mature Age	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	<b>31</b>
Newstart Allowance	0	960	882	787	704	953	1061	954	<b>6301</b>
Parenting Payment Partnered	15	44	21	14	13	10	5	0	<b>122</b>
Parenting Payment Single	51	135	87	76	76	45	31	9	<b>510</b>
Partner Allowance	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	44	<b>51</b>
Sickness Allowance	0	2	1	1	0	1	2	1	<b>8</b>
Special Needs Subsidy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Special Benefit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
Widow Allowance	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	136	<b>145</b>
Youth Allowance	416	115	2	0	0	0	0	0	<b>533</b>
Non-Allowee Youth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
<b>Totals</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>1306</b>	<b>1052</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1262</b>	<b>1345</b>	<b>8473</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Group: 3.1 Labour Market Assistance**

**Questions Nos: 277-281**

**Topic: Special Employment Advance**

**Hansard Page: CA 66-67**

**Senator Mark Bishop asked:**

*Special Employment Advance (SEA):*

- 277 a) How many people have applied for the SEA each month since its inception?  
 b) How many people were granted SEA each month since its inception?  
 c) What is the average amount of SEA granted?
- 278 What is the total annual cost of the payment since its inception?
- 279 How much was paid as SEA last (2002/03) financial year?
- 280 Please provide the number of SEAs granted by payment type, and the average duration of payment received by those applying, and those granted SEAs.
- 281 a) How is the SEA marketed to customers? Is it done by word of mouth?  
 b) Is the SEA advertised on Centrelink's web site and promotional material?

Answer:

277 a) and b): The numbers of grants, rejections and total applications for the SEA each month since inception are:

Month & Year	Grants	Rejections	Total Applications
Nov-99	12	0	12
Dec-99	131	0	131
Jan-00	113	0	113
Feb-00	111	0	111
Mar-00	217	8	225
Apr-00	268	25	293
May-00	353	36	389
Jun-00	356	33	389
Jul-00	344	73	417
Aug-00	363	99	462
Sep-00	316	91	407
Oct-00	330	90	420
Nov-00	343	97	440
Dec-00	305	103	408
Jan-01	398	128	526
Feb-01	342	112	454
Mar-01	414	126	540
Apr-01	269	88	357
May-01	335	94	429
Jun-01	330	111	441
Jul-01	305	95	400
Aug-01	325	91	416
Sep-01	333	83	416
Oct-01	669	165	834

Month & Year	Grants	Rejections	Total Applications
Nov-01	572	154	726
Dec-01	328	81	409
Jan-02	477	125	602
Feb-02	462	126	588
Mar-02	494	105	599
Apr-02	429	114	543
May-02	435	118	553
Jun-02	374	79	453
Jul-02	446	102	548
Aug-02	425	97	522
Sep-02	442	99	541
Oct-02	441	110	551
Nov-02	361	83	444
Dec-02	277	89	366
Jan-03	415	104	519
Feb-03	379	91	470
Mar-03	415	100	515
Apr-03	320	68	388
May-03	399	94	493
Jun-03	345	97	442
Jul-03	353	109	462
Aug-03	332	88	420
Sep-03	354	94	448
Oct-03	360	117	477

	Grants	Rejections	Total Applications
<b>Total November 1999 - October 2003</b>	<b>16,917</b>	<b>4,192</b>	<b>21,109</b>

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

277 c): The average amount of SEA granted from implementation in November 1999 to October 2003 inclusive is \$366.18.

278 and 279: The information requested about the cost of SEA advances is not available, as the total annual cost of the payments would be the amount of SEA not finally recovered and written-off as debt. The SEA payment is an advance payment of the person's income support. The SEA payment is repayable through direct deduction from ongoing income support payments at the rate of 14% of maximum basic rate. If a customer loses entitlement to their income support payment the SEA payment is recovered in the same manner as all other debts. The total annual amount advanced as SEA since inception is as follows:

<b>Year</b>	<b>Total cost</b>
1999/2000*	\$659,720.51
2000/2001	\$988,994.85
2001/2002	\$1,921,745.28
2002/2003	\$1,692,476.20

\*1999/2000 is November to June only.

280: The number of SEAs granted by payment type is tabled below. The average duration of payment receipt of those applying for and granted SEAs is not readily available. To obtain this data would require a significant diversion of the Department's resources.

<b>Payment Type</b>	<b>Number of SEAs</b>
Austudy payment	41
Carer Payment	19
Disability Support Pension	459
Mature Age Allowance	1
Newstart Mature Age Allowance	16
Newstart Allowance	13,074
Parenting Payment (Single)	754
Widow Allowance	29
Wife Disability Pension	3
Youth Allowance	2511
Unknown	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,917</b>

281 a): Information about the SEA is available from Centrelink Service Centres and Call Centres. When customers notify Centrelink of their return to work, they are advised of the availability of the SEA. However, as SEA is an advance that is recoverable, it is not attractive to all customers. In the context of a review of work efforts or in discussions with their Personal Adviser, customers may be made aware of the advance, where it seems that availability of tools, protective clothing, car registration and so on, may be precluding them from looking for work in certain occupations or from gaining employment.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

281 b): The promotional product “Are You Looking for Work?,” which can be downloaded from the Centrelink website, contains the following reference to SEA:

*”If you get a payment from Centrelink and you find a job, you may be able to get an advance to pay for things you need to start your job. This money is an interest free loan and has to be repaid.”*

The preamble to the Newstart Allowance claim form also contains information about the SEA.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.1 Labour Market Assistance..... Question No: 266**

**Topic: Mature Age Allowance and Partner Allowance**

**Hansard Page: CA19**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

that the committee be provided with a copy of the guidelines or directives given to Centrelink officers at the local level regarding the closure of partner allowance and mature age allowance from 20 September 2003.

**Answer:**

Training for Centrelink staff about the closure of Mature Age and Partner Allowances was conducted via an interactive television broadcast in June 2003. The attached information sheets, which include appropriate messages for customers, were given to staff to accompany the training.

Sen 266

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**INFORMATION SHEET  
A FAIR GO FOR MATURE AGE PEOPLE - CEN SESSION**

### A Fair Go For Mature Age People

The first Phase of *A Fair Go For Mature Age People* commenced on 20 September 2002.

As part of this Phase, all customers receiving **Mature Age Allowance (NMA)**, **Widow Allowance (WDA)**, or **Partner Allowance (PTA)** who were aged between 50 years and Age Pension age on that date were invited to attend ongoing **voluntary** interviews with a Personal Adviser. At these interviews, customers are assisted to develop a Participation Plan to help them to increase their economic and social participation.

Additional places were made available in services to assist mature age people (e.g. FaCS funded disability employment services).

This Phase of *A Fair Go For Mature Age People* was not compulsory, and **will not become compulsory** for these customers.

Customers who opted into the program during September 2002 to December 2002 will be eligible for assistance under this measure until 30 June 2005. However, depending on available resources and demand, the reviews may continue to be conducted beyond this date, or may finish earlier if resources have been exhausted.

### What is contained in Phase Two?

Phase Two of *A Fair Go For Mature Age People* commences on **20 September 2003**.

From this date, there will be **no new entrants to Mature Age or Partner Allowance**.

Customers who are in receipt of these payments on that date **will be saved** under their current arrangements.

People who would have formerly been eligible for these payments will instead need to claim and qualify for another income support payment. For those under age pension age, the most appropriate payment will usually be Newstart Allowance. Customers will need to satisfy all qualification requirements, including compulsory participation requirements, and will have full access to support services and programs to increase their economic and social engagement.

In recognition of the new customer groups who will be claiming Newstart Allowance (NSA) from this date, this Phase will introduce a **new participation framework for NSA customers aged between 50 years and Age Pension age** which maintains the current focus on economic participation for those with the capacity to undertake paid work, but has the flexibility to accommodate those with limited prospects of employment in the short to medium term.

As part of this new framework, all **current** Newstart Allowance (NSA) customers who are aged 50 or over on 20 September 2003 will be required to attend **ongoing participation planning interviews with a Personal Adviser** to discuss and develop a Participation Plan, which will include a Participation Agreement.

The new arrangements will also apply to:

- ✓ All NSA customers who are aged 50 or over **when they claim**, and
- ✓ All NSA customers who **turn 50** after 20 September 2003.

It is likely that new claimants will not have their first participation planning interview at the new claim interview itself, but on the same day where possible.

Also from 20 September 2003, **new claimants of Widow Allowance** will be required to attend **participation planning interviews** with a Personal Adviser **at least once every 12 months**, and encouraged to develop a Participation Plan to help them increase their social and economic participation. Participation in the activities outlined on the plan will not be compulsory for these customers.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**What will happen to customers already in receipt of NMA or PTA?**

Savings provisions will apply to customers who are receiving Mature Age Allowance or Partner Allowance on 19 September 2003.

In order to be saved, the customers' payments of NMA or PTA **must be payable to them before 20 September 2003**.

The usual savings provisions apply:

- ⇒ Customers can remain on either NMA or PTA unless their payment is cancelled. The system will allow for customers who are cancelled in error to be restored.
- ⇒ Customers who subsequently reclaim will need to claim another income support payment. For those below age pension age, this will generally be NSA and will be subject to compulsory but flexible participation requirements.

**Communication**

There will be publicity messages to advise current and potential Centrelink customers of the introduction of Phase Two of *A Fair Go For Mature Age People*.

More specifically, customers who claim Mature Age or Partner Allowance whose payments would **not be payable before 20 September** will receive a **formal rejection advice**.

Rejection letters will also be sent to customers who have claimed NMA or PTA and who have a status of "assessed" at the June release, if their date of commencement has been calculated to be **on or after 20 September 2003** - for example: where their payments are subject to an Income Maintenance Period. This letter will advise customers to contact Centrelink to discuss claiming a different payment.

From the June release, **the system will automatically notify CSOs** when the date of commencement for NMA or PTA is calculated as on or after 20 September 2003, and the claim will therefore be rejected. This will provide CSOs with the opportunity to discuss this with the customer and suggest the most appropriate alternative payment, or suggest that they remain on the payment that they are already receiving (if applicable).

Other advices that will be changed are the automatic invitation letter sent out to customers who are about to become eligible for Mature Age Allowance; and the grant letter for both Partner and Mature Age Allowance.

The **automatic invitation letter** will now advise customers that they must lodge their claim by 19 September to be able to test their eligibility for Mature Age Allowance. This letter will no longer be produced from 22 August 2003 onwards, due to the closure of access to this payment.

The **updated grant letter** will advise all new NMA and PTA customers of the close of access to these payments from 20 September 2003, and also that there will be no changes to their payments as long as they remain current.

**Two targeted brochures** will be mailed out to customers.

The first will be sent in June/July to all customers who are currently receiving Mature Age or Partner Allowance to advise them of the changes resulting from the close of access to NMA and PTA.

The second will be sent in September 2003 to Newstart Allowance customers and others who normally receive the job seeker flyer (formerly known as "Employment Update"). This will primarily advise customers of the new participation framework for NSA customers aged 50 and over, but will also have some information on the closure of access to NMA & PTA.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Articles have been, or will be included in the following publications:

- ✓ Employment Update
- ✓ Disability and Carer Connections
- ✓ Multicultural Update
- ✓ Age Pension News
- ✓ Rural News
- ✓ Life Events products; and
- ✓ Centrelink Community Information Handbook

A **Fact Sheet** has been created (AH886: *Changes to Mature Age Allowance and Partner Allowance*). This will be available on Centrenet with the other AWT Fact Sheets.

**How will CSCs and Call Centres be affected as a result of this change?**

As advices are sent out to customers, and fact sheets and other publications are available, there may be an increase in queries to both Customer Service Centres and Call Centres.

It will be important that staff be able to confidently answer enquiries about the closure of access to Mature Age Allowance and Partner Allowance, and reassure customers about the benefits of participation as well as what Centrelink can do to assist customers to increase their participation in the workforce or in the community.

Many of these customers will contact Centrelink with questions as to 'why have these changes been made?' and 'what is expected of me?' It is vital that staff in Call Centres and Customer Service Centres have an understanding of why the government has initiated these changes and that customers are given the appropriate messages.

**Appropriate message for customers who are currently receiving NMA and PTA**

- There will be no changes to your payments if your payments remain current.
- If your Mature Age or Partner Allowance stops after 20 September 2003 and you need to reclaim income support in the future, you will need to claim a different income support payment.
- Newstart Allowance (NSA) customers aged 50 & over will have new and more flexible activity testing arrangements than currently apply to people receiving NSA. (Training on these more flexible activity test requirements will be provided in September 2003).
- NSA is paid at the same rate as the payment you are currently getting.

Please check the PAES screen and advise customers who are in an AWT eligible target group that they are currently eligible for assistance from a PA. These customers should be offered assistance and an appointment scheduled.

**Appropriate messages for customers who will not be eligible for NMA or PTA prior to 20 September 2003**

- Older Australians are valuable members of the community. They have many skills that remain relevant to the workforce.
- Prolonged periods on income support in the years prior to retirement can lead to erosion of skills and assets, lower incomes and poorer health in retirement.
- Economic and social participation will increase your connection with the community and may lead to paid work.
- The new participation requirements for mature age people on NSA will be flexible and sensitive to individual circumstances.
- On Newstart Allowance, you will have early access to more help, with places made available in services to support you in achieving your goals.
- You may also be able to talk to a Personal Adviser at Centrelink, who can help you to develop a structured return to work plan, and participate more in your local community.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with Disability**

**Question No: 174**

**Topic: Business service wage assessment tool**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

How much funding was spent on the unsuccessful tender process for the Wage Assessment Tool (as discussed at Senate Estimates, 5 June 2003, CA 675)?

**Answer:**

A total of \$36,743 (GST Exclusive).

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability.**

**Question No: 176**

**Topic: Young People in Residential Aged Care**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What action is the Government taking to assist young people with disabilities living in aged care facilities to move to more appropriate accommodation?

**Answer:**

Provision of accommodation support for people with disabilities is a state responsibility under the Commonwealth State Territory Disability Agreement (CSTDA).

However, the Australian Government has taken action to assist these young people.

The *Aged Care Act 1997* specifies that younger people with disabilities will be accepted into residential aged care “where there is no other alternative”. The Department of Family and Community Services has worked with the Department of Health and Ageing to:

- tighten admission guidelines to ensure that admission is a last resort; and
- identify strategies that might obviate the need for such admissions in the future, and improve outcomes for those people with a disability currently in residential aged care facilities.

The Australian Government is taking a lead role in working with the states and territories to better service the needs of young people with a disability who are currently living in residential aged care facilities, or who require levels of support which place them at risk of being admitted to a residential aged care facility.

This approach has contained a number of co-ordinated elements:

- Australian Government contributions to the states and territories to assist with their areas of responsibility under the current CSTDA have increased to \$2.8 billion over five years, \$890 million more than under the previous Agreement, providing the states and territories with additional resources to address supported accommodation needs for people with a disability.
- Bilateral agreements negotiated with each state or territory under the current CSTDA commit the parties to exploring alternative support models for younger people in residential aged care.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

- In 2002-03, the Department of Health and Ageing offered Innovative Pool funding to explore ways in which people ageing with a disability could be supported to 'age in place', rather than having to transfer to residential aged care. This offer was open to projects for young people with a disability.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 177**

**Topic: Disability Employment in the Public Service**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

1. How many people with disabilities have been employed in the Public Service since 1996 (number and percentage, per year)?
2. Why has there been a decrease in the number and proportion of people with disabilities employed by the Public Service?
3. What is the Government doing to increase the number and proportion of people with disabilities employed by the Public Service?

**Answer:**

Q1. The following table outlines the number and proportion of people with a disability (ongoing employees only) who have been employed in the Australian Public Service (APS) since 1996.

	<b>1996</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>1998</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>
<b>Number</b>	6896	6162	5437	4776	4439	4241	4138	4287
<b>%</b>	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.7	4.3	3.9	3.7	3.6

Source: Australian Public Service Employment Database

- Q2. The absolute number of people with a disability in the APS increased from 4138 in 2002 to 4287 in 2003. This increase however, was smaller than the overall increase of employees in the APS. As a result, the overall proportion of people with a disability decreased slightly.
- Disclosure of a disability for the purpose of equal employment opportunity (EEO) recording is voluntary. This is likely to result in an under-reporting of actual numbers of employees with a disability in the APS. Agencies are encouraged to review their EEO reporting procedures, and to periodically re-survey existing employees.
- The reduction in the number of APS 1–2 positions, where the employment of people with a disability has historically been concentrated, may also be a reason for the decrease in the proportion of people with a disability.
- Q3. The Commonwealth Disability Strategy aims to assist Australian Government departments to improve access for people with disabilities to their policies, programs, services and employment opportunities. All Australian Government departments and statutory authorities are required to report their progress in implementing the Strategy in their annual report.
- The APS Commission facilitates a Disability Network comprising of APS HR professionals and people with a disability, which is aimed at information sharing and developing initiatives to encourage the employment and retention of people with a disability in the APS. The APS Commission is planning a number of HR Summits over the next year, which will be



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

complemented by workshops with a special focus on the employment and retention of people with a disability and Indigenous employees.

To encourage the recruitment of people with an intellectual disability to the APS, Direction 4.2(6)(b) allows agency heads to identify particular employment opportunities as open only to people with an intellectual disability. In addition, an increasing number of APS agencies are using contracted services provided by supported employment services for people with an intellectual disability.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with Disability**

**Question No: 179**

**Topic: National Council for Intellectual Disability**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins asked:**

**National Council for Intellectual Disability**

1. When did the Government commence funding for the National Council for Intellectual Disability?
2. How much funding has the Government provided to the National Council for Intellectual Disability (per year)?
3. What are the Terms of Reference or goals of the National Council for Intellectual Disability?
4. What accountability mechanism are in place for any perceived outcomes from the National Council for Intellectual Disability?

**Answer:**

1. The Department of Family Community Services commenced funding the National Council for Intellectual Disability under the National Secretariat Program in 1998/99.
2. Currently under the National Secretariat Program the National Council for Intellectual Disability receives funding of \$132,000 per annum.
3. The National Council for Intellectual Disability is the national consumer focused peak body for intellectual disability and ensures that people with intellectual disability and their families have direct input into policy formation. The National Council for Intellectual Disability works towards an Australian community in which people with an intellectual disability and their families are involved and accepted as equals.
4. National Secretariat Program funding is provided through a standard funding agreement that requires two progress reports, audited financial statements and an annual report to be completed by the National Council for Intellectual Disability and forwarded to the Department of Family and Community Services.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability      Question No: 286 and 287**

**Topic: Disability Support Pension - Age Breakdown of Grants and Rejections in 2002/03 and Age Breakdown of Total Disability Support Pension Population**

**Hansard Page: CA68 and CA69**

**Senator Lees** asked: Do you have any age breakdown of those who were rejected or comments on reasons for rejection?

In terms of those who have been successful, particularly looking at your annual report and the breakdown by age group of those who are actually on now, is there a different pattern for those who are coming on?

**Answer:** Please see the following tables.

The first table shows the age breakdown of customers claiming Disability Support Pension, by rejections and grants (those who are coming onto payment) compared to existing DSP customers.

The second table details the reasons for claims being rejected.

Analysis of the first table shows that for 20 to 60 year olds, the age breakdown for new grants closely mirrors existing customers. The higher proportion of 16 to 19 year olds in the new grants compared to the existing population reflects the minimum entry age for DSP eligibility of 16 years and customers with conditions since birth, or from a young age, that transfer to DSP at 16 years. The higher proportion of 60+ year olds in the existing population reflects the ageing of customers already on payment.

For new DSP customers granted in 2002-03, the main medical conditions are musculo-skeletal (39.3%); psychological/psychiatric (24.5%); and intellectual/learning (5.9%).

The main medical conditions of the DSP population are musculo-skeletal and connective tissue conditions (33.7%); psychological/psychiatric conditions (24.7%); and intellectual/learnings difficulties (10.5%).

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Age Breakdown of DSP Claims Processed and Existing DSP Customers

AGE	Outcome of New Claims Processed from 14 June 2002 to 13 June 2003				Existing DSP customers (as at June 2003)	
	Number Rejected	%	Number Granted	%	Total Number	%
0-15	90	0.2%	92	0.1%	0	0.0%
16-19	2,511	6.1%	5,284	7.4%	16,082	2.4%
20-24	2,264	5.5%	2,435	3.4%	26,951	4.0%
25-29	2,537	6.1%	3,038	4.2%	30,013	4.5%
30-34	3,350	8.1%	4,304	6.0%	40,284	6.0%
35-39	3,722	9.0%	5,199	7.2%	49,372	7.3%
40-44	4,754	11.5%	7,044	9.8%	65,591	9.7%
45-49	5,302	12.8%	8,517	11.9%	78,783	11.7%
50-54	5,925	14.3%	10,722	14.9%	98,725	14.7%
55-59	6,995	16.9%	15,607	21.8%	137,763	20.5%
60-64	3,787	9.2%	9,415	13.1%	125,692	18.7%
65+	131	0.3%	69	0.1%	4,078	0.6%
Unknown	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>41,370</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>71,726</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>673,334</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: Cognos Customer Events Database 14/6/02 to 13/6/03 and Department of Family and Community Services, Characteristics of Disability Support Pension Customers June 2003, p22

New Claims Rejected by Reason 14 June 2002 to 13 June 2003

REJECTION REASON	Total
Less than 20% impaired *auto	16,640
Disability is short term	7,751
Fail to reply to correspondence	4,898
Other	2,918
>20% impaired/can work full time	1,508
Fail to attend assessment/med exam	1,251
Withdrawn/voluntary surrender	990
Compo preclusion period *auto	799
Excess income *auto	732
Precluded due to periodic compo *auto	648
Not residentially qualified	593
>20% impaired/can be reskilled	509
Assets over limit *auto	466
Fail to undergo medical exam	408
Not Coded	388
Fail to attend interview	290
Proof of identity not provided *auto	175
Failed agreement specific rules	112
Doesn't meet age requirements *auto	93
DSP excess inc/assets-no medical exam	82
Incapacitated/blinded overseas	48
Not permanently blind	32
Whereabouts unknown *auto	22
Claim lodged early	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>41,370</b>

Source: Department of Family and Community Services, Characteristics of Disability Support Pension Customers June 2003, p25

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 288**

**Topic: People moving off Disability Support Pension and onto Newstart Allowance**

**Hansard Page: CA69**

**Senator Lees** asked: Would those back on Newstart have been reassessed for DSP and found to be no longer able to fulfill the requirements?

**Answer:** In most cases yes, though a DSP customer can elect to transfer to Newstart Allowance. It is not possible to identify such individual cases.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 3.2 Support for People with a Disability

**Question No:** 289

**Topic:** Reasons for DSP exits

**Hansard Page:** CA70

**Senator Lees** asked: In terms of your reassessments and the periodic reassessment for the DSP, how many people are falling off the DSP for that reason? Will that show up in the figures you are about to table?

**Answer:** Centrelink advise that the system is unable to identify those customers.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability .....**      **Question No: 290**

**Topic: Commonwealth State Territory Disability Agreement**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide a copy of the agreement.

**Answer:**

All states and territories, with the exception of New South Wales, have signed the third Commonwealth State Territory Disability Agreement (CSTDA) with the Australian government. A satisfactory funding offer has now been agreed with NSW. It is expected that NSW will sign the Agreement in the near future.

A copy of the Agreement will be provided once NSW has signed it.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 291**

**Topic: SPRC Research Paper, ‘Characteristics of Disability Support Pension Inflows and Outflows’**

**Hansard Page: CA73**

**Senator Collins** asked: Please provide the committee with a copy of the research report entitled ‘Characteristics of disability support pension inflows and outflows’ listed in the 2001-02 *Research and evaluation digest* to be completed by the SPRC.

**Answer:** The requested research report is not yet finalised.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 292**

**Topic: DSP customers suspended due to failure to report income**

**Hansard Page: CA73**

**Senator Bishop** asked: How many people currently receiving DSP have had their payments suspended or delayed as a result of failure to report income under the new reporting changes that took place from 20 September of this year?

**Answer:** In the three fortnights following the introduction of the new reporting arrangements there were 9628 DSP customers who were late in reporting their income and therefore had their payments suspended or delayed. Of these, 4459 (46%) were only one day late in reporting and received their payment the day after their usual date. A further 3852 (40%) were between 2 and 7 days late in reporting, and therefore experienced delays in payment of no more than a week.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group:** 3.2 Support for People with a Disability

**Question No:** 293

**Topic:**

**Hansard Page:** CA73

**Senator Bishop** asked: 1. Do you know how many ECB payments, emergency payments, have been made as a result of the new policy?

2. Could you ask Centrelink to provide month on month ECB payments for the last two years?

**Answer:** Centrelink does not currently keep this information. This information will be collected from January 2004.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 294**

**Topic: Income Earnings – DSP recipients**

**Hansard Page: CA73-74**

**Senator Bishop** asked: Earned income table shows that at June 2003 people who had earnings of less than \$50 a week, which is roughly equivalent to the income-free area for pensions, was at around 17 per cent.

Do you notice any change in the months after June in those percentage figures?

**Answer:** Between June 2003 and September 2003 the proportion of DSP customers with earnings of less than \$50 per week fell by 0.5%.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 295**

**Topic: Print Disability Subsidy Program**

**Hansard Page: CA75**

**Senator Bishop asked:**

1. Does the Royal Blind Society of New South Wales, the Vision Australia Foundation and the Royal Victorian Institute for the Blind pass on money to the National Information Library Services, NILS.
2. What proportion of the grants that they have received from the Commonwealth is passed on. Please provide figures for the last four years.

**Answer:**

1. The National Library Information Service is a sub-contractor of the three organisations.
2. The Department does not require organisations to supply details of financial arrangements between themselves and their sub-contractors and as such does not collect this information.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with Disability**

**Question No: 296**

**Topic: Print Disability Subsidy Program**

**Hansard Page: CA75**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

I am informed that NILS is the largest provider of transcription services in Australia. My concern is that the funds provided by those three organisations to NILS are being used by NILS for cross-subsidising other materials that it produces.

1. I would like you to take on notice to let us know whether NILS is doing that. If it is, would you let us know, firstly, whether you are aware it is doing that; and
2. Secondly, whether that is consistent with the purpose of the original grants going to those three organisations?
3. Also, if NILS is doing it and it is not consistent with the original grant purpose, would you let us know what can be done to ensure that, in future, funds granted for a particular purpose are adhered to and not used to subsidise other areas of commercial activity?

**Answer:**

1. The Department does not have a direct funding relationship with NILS.
2. It is a legal obligation of the organisation directly funded by the Department to spend Commonwealth money in accordance with the Terms and Conditions of the funding agreement. When a direct-funded organisation sub-contracts to a third party, the direct-funded organisation remains accountable for ensuring that their funding is used for the purposes for which they were given, as outlined in their funding agreement with the Department. Direct-funded organisations must acquit their funding every year and provide a statement by their Authorised Officer and an independent auditor that the funding has only been used in accordance with the funding agreement.
3. The Department does not have a direct funding relationship with NILS and there is no legal requirement for them to notify the Department of their commercial activities.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 297**

**Topic: Expenditure on the CSTDA III**

**Hansard Page: CA77-78**

**Senator Collins** asked: How does the increase in contributions compare in real terms? Please advise us on what the increases are in real terms between actual expenditure over the last agreement and promised expenditure during the life of this agreement. Give an explanation of the methodology and the complications of what information is available.

**Answer:**

The estimated increase in contributions in real terms between the previous and current CSTDA is approximately \$3.5 billion. The comparison uses 2002-2003 as the base year, which is the beginning of the third CSTDA.

The value of the CSTDA II and CSTDA III in 2002-03 dollar terms is shown in the table below. The Australian Bureau of Statistics Gross Domestic Product price deflator has been used. This methodology is used by the Productivity Commission when reporting on the CSTDA in its publication 'Report on Government Services 2004'.

**CSTDA II and CSTDA III government contributions comparison in 2002-03 dollar terms (estimated):**

	<u>CSTDA II</u> \$m	<u>CSTDA III</u> \$m	<u>Increase</u> \$m
Actual (Nominal)	11,034.861	16,166.791	5,131.930
Adjusted (Real Government Expenditure)	11,927.915	15,407.896	3,479.981

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with Disability**

**Question No: 298**

**Topic: Auslan interpreting services project**

**Hansard Page: CA78**

**Senator Collins** asked for a copy of the report when it becomes available.

**Answer:**

The Department will submit the project report to Minister Patterson as soon as it has been finalised. Public release of the report will be a matter for Government consideration.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with Disability**

**Question No: 314**

**Topic: Auslan interpreting services project**

**Hansard Page: CA86/Written question on notice**

**Senator Greig** asked to see the broader methodology used by the consultancy.

**Answer:**

The methodology used by ORIMA Research involved:

- national written surveys of deaf Auslan users and Auslan interpreting service providers;
- interviews with representatives of state deaf societies and territory Auslan interpreting agencies;
- telephone surveys of Auslan user family members and Auslan interpreters in all states and territories;
- interviews with representatives of national peak bodies; and
- a written survey and telephone interviews with relevant government departments in all states and territories.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.2 Support for People with a Disability**

**Question No: 299**

**Topic: Disability Employment Assistance**

**Hansard Page: CA80/Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

With regard to the case based funding pricing review for employment maintenance and open employment and the funding classification review, my question is: how many reviews are being undertaken in relation to disability employment? We are aware of the review on case based funding pricing and the review of the funding classifications, how many other reviews are there?

**Answer:**

FaCS is currently undertaking three reviews in relation to the Budget announcement for case based funding for disability employment assistance:

- The Case Based Funding Classification Review;
- The Business Services' Demonstration Project Case Based Funding Pricing Review; and
- The Open Employment Maintenance Funding Review.

**Senator Collins** asked:

2. To the extent that you have them, can we have the details of the terms of reference and the budget allocations for each of the reviews?

**Answer:**

***The Case Based Funding Classification Review***

This project is a post-implementation review, development and refinement of the funding classification tools used to determine the case based funding levels for FaCS-funded disability employment assistance.

A total of \$133,037 has been allocated for this project.

***The Business Services Demonstration Project - Case Based Funding Pricing Review***

This review will inform decisions on the funding model and price for business services to apply from July 2004. The Review aims to ensure that the final model for business services is based on payments linked to individuals' direct support needs and to quantify the under-recovery of overhead costs incurred by business services whose workforce is predominantly people with disabilities. The Review will look at three aspects of business services support to employees – overhead costs, worker productivity and support needs.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

The funding allocated for this project is \$1,006,320.

***The Open Employment Maintenance Funding Review***

The purpose of this project is to benchmark the cost of support, per worker, of employment maintenance in open employment disability employment assistance services, based on a retrospective collection of data on direct support hours for over 300 workers.

The amount allocated for this project is \$9,460.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers..... Question No: 173**

**Topic: Access to Carer Payment**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Harradine** asked:

I refer to a report in *The Australian* (22 September, page 2) "Left to Poverty, couple feel forced to part" in which Peter Kryzworenska is divorcing his wife Lisa in order for her to receive \$50 extra in disability payments, a Government appointed full time carer and which would allow Mr Kryzworenska to work as much as he liked without losing his Carers Allowance. What is the Department doing to relieve this kind of desperation where a couple would consider it better to divorce than to struggle without the additional help they require but cannot access?

**Answer:**

Carer Payment, Carer Allowance and Disability Support Pension are indexed regularly according to the Consumer Price Index. In addition, Carer Payment and Disability Support Pension must meet or, exceed the Male Total Average Weekly Earnings.

It is a legislative requirement of Carer Payment that a carer cannot work more than 20 hours a week without losing eligibility for payment. This 20 hour rule is the measure required by legislation to ensure that a carer continues to provide constant care.

Carer Allowance is not subject to the 20 hour rule nor is it subject to income or assets tests.

Current income limits allow a partnered customer to earn up to \$212 a fortnight without their pension being affected, and up to \$2116.50 a fortnight before the pension ceases altogether.

Married and de facto couples who live together are both subject to the partner income test for income support purposes. If Mr Kryzworenska were to divorce his wife but remain living with her in a marriage-like relationship, his income from employment may still affect both his own income support entitlements and the amount of disability payments that his wife receives.

The Government recognises that life can be difficult for carers and so makes a range of other services available to them. These include the Commonwealth Carer Resource Centres established around Australia to provide information to carers about community services, respite, counselling, and possible financial entitlements. Commonwealth Carer Respite Centres have also been established to provide carers with information about the availability of respite care (in-home, community, and residential).

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers.....Question No: 175**

**Topic: Young Carers**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

What action has the Government taken on the recommendations outlined in the Young Carers Research Project Final Report which was published in 2001?

**Answer:**

The Government is responding to the recommendations of the Young Carers Research Project Final Report in a number of ways.

The Government has established the National Family Carers Voice. The National Family Carers Voice will provide the Government with advice on family carers issues and will produce a final report in late 2005. Membership of the National Family Carers Voice includes young carers.

The Government has resolved to sponsor a national young carers forum during 2004. The forum will provide an opportunity for young carers, government, service providers, and key professionals to exchange information and discuss options for addressing the issues faced by young carers.

The Government has asked the Department of Family and Community Services to examine other options for assisting young carers.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers..... Question No: 178**

**Topic: National Family Carers Voice**

**Hansard Page: CA written/Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

1. Why was the National Family Carers Voice established?
2. What are the Terms of Reference or goals of the National Family Carers Voice?
3. How does it differ from the goals of Carers Australia?
4. How much funding is being provided for the National Family Carers Voice?

**Answer:**

1. The former Minister for Family and Community Services, the Hon Amanda Vanstone, hosted a meeting of carers in Adelaide during 2001 to discuss issues of importance to them. One concern identified was that the families of people with disabilities did not believe that they had an effective voice to Government. The Minister therefore established a steering group to provide her with advice on this and other family carer issues. The steering group's final report recommended that the National Family Carers Voice be established to provide the Government with advice and options for addressing the longer term needs of family carers.

2. The Terms of Reference are:

To work at a grassroots level to gather broader information than is currently available on:

- who are family carers; where are they located; what are their needs; what services and information networks are available; and,
- what are the service gaps and unmet needs.

To evaluate that information and make recommendations for policy direction.

To provide informed on-going advice to the Minister and communicate feedback to families at the grassroots.

To develop options for the future of the National Family Carers Voice.

3. The National Family Carers Voice provides the Minister for Family and Community Services and the Australian Government with advice on the longer-term, systemic issues that affect family carers. Carers Australia is funded by the Department of Health and Ageing to be the peak body representing carers. As such it performs an important advocacy function. Carers Australia has two representatives on the National Family Carers Voice.

4. Funding is \$98,000 over each of two years.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers..... Question No: 300**

**Topic: Young Carers Research Project**

**Hansard Page: CA87/Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked: What action has been taken to implement the recommendations of the Young Carers Research Project final report published in 2001?

**Answer:**

The Government is responding to the recommendations of the Young Carers Research Project Final Report in a number of ways.

The Government has established the National Family Carers Voice. The National Family Carers Voice will provide the Government with advice on family carers issues and will produce a final report in late 2005. Membership of the National Family Carers Voice includes young carers.

The Government has resolved to sponsor a national young carers forum during 2004. The forum will provide an opportunity for young carers, government, service providers, and key professionals to exchange information and discuss options for addressing the issues faced by young carers.

The Government has asked the Department of Family and Community Services to examine other options for assisting young carers.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3**

**Question No: 301**

**Topic: Review of the Carer Allowance Lists of Recognised Disabilities**

**Hansard Page: CA87 /Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked: Can you outline the terms of reference of the current review of the list of automatic qualifying conditions for Carers allowance (Child).

**Answer:**

The **Terms of Reference** for the Carer Allowance Lists of Recognised Disabilities are:

The Reference Group will meet once and will review the continuing effectiveness of the Lists of Recognised Disabilities. In doing so the Reference Group will take into account the criteria for including disabilities and medical conditions on the lists [see below]. Specifically, the Reference Group will:

- determine whether the disabilities and medical conditions on the Lists are appropriate given:
  - developments in treatment and technology
  - accepted definitions in the medical community;
- determine whether any disability or medical condition should be added to the Lists;
- review the listed disabilities or medical conditions that can be short-term but where the primary diagnosis may not in itself qualify the customer for Carer Allowance. For example, where a child is at the end-stage of organ failure and is awaiting a transplant and their condition requires a high level of care and attention on a daily basis. Once this child undergoes organ transplant and recovers following the surgery, he or she will no longer require the same level of care and attention.

Criteria for Including Disabilities and Medical Conditions in the Child Disability Assessment Determination Lists of Recognised Disabilities

The criteria for including a disability on the Lists of Recognised Disabilities are:

- The condition is consistently associated with moderate, severe, or profound disability of an intellectual, physical, or psychiatric nature;
- The condition is consistently associated with a disability that is permanent or likely to be permanent; and
- There are clear diagnostic criteria for the condition which are widely accepted; and the condition is reasonably prevalent to the extent that justifies its inclusion on what is intended to be a concise list.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

The criteria for including a chronic medical condition on the Lists of Recognised Disabilities are:

- Although the condition does not consistently result in a moderate, severe, or profound disability of an intellectual, physical, or psychiatric nature that is permanent, it does consistently require medical treatment and medical supervision of the child for a period of at least 12 months (or for a shorter period in the case of a child in receipt of palliative care); and
- The condition consistently and significantly restricts the child's normal activities. For example, through repeated hospitalisation and absences from school; persistent inability to play with other children due to the child's physical incapacity or high risk of serious injury or infection; and
- The child consistently requires significant levels of skilled personal care by the child's parent or carer, regardless of the child's age.

Consideration should be given to whether the provision of care can prevent the onset of functional disability. Where this is thought to be so the listing of the condition or disability should be considered.



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Question 301 Attachment**

**RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION ABOUT QON 301**

The Terms of Reference cited in the proposed answer to QON 301 are the Terms of Reference for the review. The Reference Group will be guided by them.

The Terms of Reference are contained in the review's project plan and in its discussion paper. The discussion paper is publicly available. It is important to note, however, that the Terms of Reference in the discussion paper are slightly different to those in the project plan. The Terms of Reference in the discussion paper do not cite particular disabilities or medical conditions. This is because we wanted to keep the Terms of Reference comprehensible – we did not want to clutter them with technical details or the names of medical conditions. We also wanted to avoid the risk of 'leading' or 'misleading' potential submission writers - citing particular disabilities or medical conditions might have encouraged some to think that these conditions were likely to be added to the Lists while others were not.

In summary, the Terms of Reference for the review are cited in the proposed answer to QON 301. The Terms of Reference are in the public domain.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers**

**Question No: 302**

**Topic: Carer Allowance Saved Cases Review**

**Hansard Page: CA88**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Can you give me breakdowns by disability or condition type? I am interested across the board, to see if there is any high representation of any particular groups.

**Answer:**

Centrelink provided the following data, as at 13 November 2003, outlining continuing eligibility and cancellations resulting from the review of Carer Allowance (CA) saved cases:

<b>Medical Conditions</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>Continuation of CA &amp; HCC</b>	<b>HCC* Only</b>	<b>Total</b>
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	1663	4882	911	7456
Asthma	3397	1208	2792	7397
Learning Disability	1294	3959	352	5605
IQ <55	31	5091	0	5122
Autism	120	4013	0	4133
Severe Multiple Disability - Mobility	13	2030	0	2043
Partial Hearing Loss	164	1456	89	1709
Insulin Dependent	117	732	659	1508
Down's Syndrome	14	1460	0	1474
Other Congenital Abnormalities	335	795	278	1408
Cerebral Palsy	140	793	85	1018
Other Speech Disorder	370	446	93	909
Asperger's Syndrome	66	714	46	826
Cystic Fibrosis	9	724	0	733
Blindness - Both Eyes	5	563	0	568
Eczema	193	53	219	465
Depression	14	426	10	450
Other Musculoskeletal and Connective Tissue Disorders	104	178	89	371
Behaviour Disorder	80	213	48	341
Simple Seizure	47	231	31	309
Congenital Heart Disease	73	126	101	300
Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease	5	196	✧	✧
Absence Seizure - Petit Mal (Epilepsy)	35	139	16	190

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Medical Conditions</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>Continuation of CA &amp; HCC</b>	<b>HCC Only</b>	<b>Total</b>
Kidney Disorders	41	88	45	174
Complex Seizure (Epilepsy)	35	124	8	167
Grand Mal Seizure (Tonic - Clonic) (Epilepsy)	21	138	8	167
Haemophilia	4	157	4	165
Duchenne	◇	154	0	◇
Other Respiratory System	46	58	48	152
Other Nervous System	44	74	24	142
Spina Bifida	19	108	15	142
Complete Hearing Loss	21	120	0	141
Multiple Congenital Abnormalities	19	93	26	138
Traumatic Brain Injury	24	104	10	138
Rectal Disorder	38	60	36	134
Leukaemia - Acute	112	10	9	131
Hemiplegia	21	95	14	130
Oesophageal Disorder	46	37	39	122
Other Circulatory (e.g. Vasculitis)	38	42	41	121
Immunodeficiency	◇	115	0	◇
Congenital limb Deformity	31	53	32	116
Phenylketonuria	◇	113	0	◇
Other Arthritis	34	39	35	108
Other Skin Disorders and Burns	29	26	52	107
Prader-Willi Syndrome	0	105	0	105
Williams Syndrome	◇	101	0	◇
Lower Limb Disorder	40	41	18	99
Urinary Tract Disorders (including Bladder)	31	47	19	97
Low Vision - Both Eyes	35	38	24	97
Oppositional Defiant Disorder	17	64	13	94
Angelman Syndrome	◇	92	0	◇
Childhood Cancers	◇	91	0	◇
Coeliac Disease	22	19	49	90
Other Cancer/Tumour	56	22	11	89
Burns and their Effects	18	67	◇	◇
Other Endocrine (e.g. Addison's Disease)	9	44	30	83
Anxiety	19	46	17	82
Renal tract Disorders	27	34	21	82
Deafness - Blindness	5	73	0	78
Spinal Muscular Atrophy	0	76	0	76
Fragile X Syndrome -Children	0	74	0	74
Rett's Syndrome	◇	72	0	◇
Unspecified Eye Anomaly	24	32	12	68

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Medical Conditions</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>Continuation of CA &amp; HCC</b>	<b>HCC Only</b>	<b>Total</b>
Stomach Disorder (e.g Ulcer)	27	19	18	64
Liver Disorders (including Cirrhosis of the Liver, But not Hepatitis)	10	27	24	61
Epidermolysis Bullosa Dystrophica	◇	53	0	◇
Child Disintegrative Disorder	0	51	0	51
Enuresis	18	16	17	51
Thalassaemia	◇	48	◇	◇
Transplant - Organ Failure	0	51	0	51
Unspecified Visual Loss	16	19	16	51
Brain Cancer	9	30	9	48
Encopresis	20	25	◇	◇
Pompe's Disease	◇	45	0	◇
Other Spinal Disorder	10	21	15	46
Autosomal Recessive Muscular Dystrophy	0	45	0	45
Blindness - One Eye	25	13	7	45
Tourette's Syndrome	◇	38	◇	◇
Rheumatoid Arthritis	18	12	13	43
Cataracts	19	14	9	42
Combined sensory disorder	0	41	0	41
Cri Du Chat Syndrome	0	40	0	40
Obsessive Compulsive Disorder	11	20	9	40
Shoulder and Upper Arm Disorder	9	20	9	38
Other Psychiatric Condition	22	11	4	37
Myoclonic Seizure	6	25	◇	◇
Irritable Bowel Syndrome	9	20	4	33
Lactose Intolerance	11	11	10	32
Chronic Pulmonary Heart Disease	7	13	4	24
Multiple Chemical Syndrome	7	10	5	22
Hypothyroidism	6	12	◇	◇
Post Traumatic Stress Disorder	◇	16	◇	◇
Leukaemia - Chronic	16	◇	0	◇
Low Vision - One Eye	8	6	4	18
Non-Insulin dependent	◇	8	9	◇
Emotional Disturbance Childhood/adolescent			◇	◇
Specific	12	◇		
Paraplegia	◇	14	◇	◇
Amputation-Below Elbow	4	9	◇	◇
Bronchitis	7	4	4	15
HIV/AIDS Category 4	◇	14	0	◇
Stuttering	12	◇	◇	◇
Crohn's Disease	4	◇	8	◇

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Medical Conditions</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>Contin- uation of CA &amp; HCC</b>	<b>HCC Only</b>	<b>Total</b>
Psoriasis	7	◇	6	◇
Edward's Syndrome	0	13	0	13
Diplegia Paraplegia	4	8	0	12
Glaucoma	◇	6	4	◇
Microcephaly	◇	11	0	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis 3	0	12	0	12
Amputation-Below Knee	◇	9	◇	◇
Klinefelter's Syndrome	0	10	◇	◇
Other Myopathy	◇	5	4	◇
Sarcoma Cancer	8	◇	◇	◇
Coronary Artery Disease	◇	5	◇	◇
Metachromatic Leukodystrophy	◇	8	0	◇
Motor Neurone Disease	◇	7	0	◇
Fractures and Crush Injuries	◇	4	◇	◇
Hodgkin's/Non Hodgkins Disease	8	0	0	8
Ulcerative Colitis	◇	0	6	◇
Bone Cancer	5	0	◇	◇
Chronic Fatigue Syndrome	0	6	◇	◇
Curvature of the Spine	◇	4	0	◇
Liver Cancer	6	0	◇	◇
Hernia	◇	◇	0	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis 2	0	6	0	6
Pancreatic Disorders	◇	◇	◇	◇
Quadriplegia	◇	5	0	◇
Renal Cancer	◇	◇	◇	◇
Toxic Brain injury (e.g. alcohol)	0	5	◇	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis 1	◇	4	0	◇
Amputation-Above Knee	◇	◇	◇	◇
CHARGE Syndrome	0	4	0	4
Diverticular Disease	◇	◇	◇	◇
Friedrich's Ataxia	0	4	0	4
Hyperthyroidism	0	◇	◇	◇
Lupus	0	◇	◇	◇
Monoplegia	◇	◇	◇	◇
Other Nerve Root Compression	◇	◇	0	◇
Patau Syndrome	0	4	0	4
Amputation-Above Elbow	0	◇	◇	◇
Arnold-Chiari Syndrome	◇	◇	◇	◇
Bi Polar Effective Disorder	◇	◇	◇	◇

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

Medical Conditions	Cancelled	Continuation of CA & HCC	HCC Only	Total
Complete loss of Speech	◇	◇	◇	◇
Fibromyalgia	◇	0	◇	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis 4	0	◇	0	◇
Neck Disorder	◇	◇	0	◇
Other Reproductive System	0	◇	◇	◇
Personality Disorder	◇	◇	0	◇
Spondylosis	◇	◇	◇	◇
Vertigo	◇	0	◇	◇
Anorexia Nervosa	0	◇	◇	◇
Bowel Cancer	◇	◇	0	◇
Hepatitis A	0	0	◇	◇
Hepatitis C	◇	0	0	◇
Lung Cancer	◇	0	0	◇
Myocardial Infarction (Heart Attack)	0	0	◇	◇
Osteomyelitis	0	◇	0	◇
Osteoarthritis	◇	◇	0	◇
Phobias	0	0	◇	◇
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0	◇	◇	◇
Tuberculosis	0	◇	0	◇
Unknown	◇	0	0	◇
Alcohol Dependence	0	◇	0	◇
Influenza	0	0	◇	◇
Fragile X Syndrome-Adults	0	◇	0	◇
Hepatitis B	0	0	◇	◇
Hepatitis D - H	0	0	◇	◇
Inherited Disorders	0	◇	0	◇
Krabbe's Disease	0	◇	0	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis	0	0	◇	◇
Malabsorption Syndrome	0	◇	0	◇
Mucopolysaccharidosis 6	0	◇	0	◇
Multiple Sclerosis	0	◇	0	◇
Other Gynaecological Disorder	0	◇	0	◇
Porencephaly	◇	0	0	◇
Complications of Pregnancy	◇	0	0	◇
Psychotic	0	◇	0	◇
Skin Cancer	◇	0	0	◇
Stomach Cancer	0	0	◇	◇
Synovitis, Tenosynovitis and Occupational Overuse Syndrome	◇	0	0	◇
Tay-Sachs Disease	0	◇	0	◇

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

<b>Medical Conditions</b>	<b>Cancelled</b>	<b>Continuation of CA &amp; HCC</b>	<b>HCC Only</b>	<b>Total</b>
Tinnitus	0	◇	0	◇
No medical condition <sup>#</sup>	7564	4	0	7568
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17217</b>	<b>34406</b>	<b>6766</b>	<b>58389</b>

\* HCC is Health Care Card

<sup>#</sup> In these cases the child's medical condition was not recorded as the payment was cancelled because of non-medical reasons (for example, the child no longer lives with the carer).

◇ To protect customer privacy, where less than four customers were affected the exact number has not been specified.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers**

**Question No: 303**

**Topic: Amendment of the Lists of Recognised Disabilities**

**Hansard Page: CA89/Written question on notice**

**Senator Collins** asked:

In relation to the amendments to the Lists of Recognised Disabilities, can you tell me the number of cases captured by the decision to list the six conditions?

**Answer:**

A total of 3,185 customers caring for children with the six conditions continue to receive payment of Carer Allowance while a further 69 customers receive a Health Care Card only (at 13 November 2003).



**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.3 Support for Carers**

**Question No: 304**

**Topic: Carer Allowance Co-residency**

**Hansard Page: CA90**

**Senator Collins** asked:

Please provide the number of claims for Carer Allowance (Adult) that have been rejected in 2001-02 and in 2002 -03 which met all criteria apart from the co-residency requirement?

**Answer:**

Centrelink has advised that information pertaining to the number of claims for Carer Allowance (Adult) that have been rejected because of co-residency, is not collected and therefore cannot be provided.

**Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTFOLIO**  
**2003-04 Supplementary Budget Estimates, 6 November 2003**

**Output Group: 3.4 Support for the Aged**

**Question No: 61**

**Topic: Pension Bonus Scheme**

**Hansard Page: Written question on notice**

**Senator Bishop** asked:

Please provide a copy of the evaluation of the Pension Bonus Scheme

**Answer:**

The evaluation of the Pension Bonus Scheme is ongoing.