

POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Name of policy:	Support for schools and teachers		
Person requesting costing:	Senator Milne		
Date of request to cost the policy:	5 September 2013		
Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.			
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (i.e. from the Treasury or the Department of Finance and Deregulation)?	No		
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release)	2 September 2013, Senators Milne/Wright, http://penny-wright.greensmps.org.au/supporting-schools-valuing-teachers		
Description of policy:			
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Invest an additional \$1 billion in teachers and schools as outlined in policy linked to above		
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	Better support and care for teachers and students in our schools/		
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:			
Is the policy part of a package?	No		
If yes, list and outline components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.			
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?	Capped		
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy?	No		
If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?			
Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	No		

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure?	No			
If yes, which measure?				
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No			
Will the funding/program cost require indexation? If yes, list factors to be used.	No			
Expected impacts of the proposal				
If applicable, what are the estimated cobelow. Are these provided on an under				s in the table
Estimated financial implications (ou	itturn prices) ^(a)			
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Underlying each belonge (\$\m)	_	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
Onderrying cash balance (\$m)		333.23	333.23	000.20
Underlying cash balance (\$m) Fiscal balance (\$m)	-	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
. ,	er in the underlying	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive numb	er in the underlying	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive numb decrease in expenses or net capital investment. What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data	per in the underlying t in cash terms.	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive numb decrease in expenses or net capital investment. What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)? Has the policy been costed by a third	er in the underlying t in cash terms. N/A	-333.25	-333.25	-333.25
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive number decrease in expenses or net capital investment. What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)? Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this	er in the underlying t in cash terms. N/A No	-333.25 in revenue or a dec cash balance indicases	-333.25	-333.25 or net capital revenue or a
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive number decrease in expenses or net capital investment. What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)? Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions? What is the expected community	er in the underlying in cash terms. N/A No Better performi	-333.25 in revenue or a dec cash balance indicases	-333.25 rease in expenses of ates an increase in 1	-333.25 or net capital revenue or a
Fiscal balance (\$m) (a) A positive number for the fiscal balance in investment in accrual terms. A positive number decrease in expenses or net capital investment. What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)? Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions? What is the expected community impact of the policy? How many people will be affected	er in the underlying in cash terms. N/A No Better performi	-333.25 in revenue or a dec cash balance indicases	-333.25 rease in expenses of ates an increase in 1	-333.25 or net capital revenue or a

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Administration of policy:		
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Australian Government.	
Should departmental expenses associated with this policy be included in this costing?	Any additional department costs are to be absorbed by the department.	
If no, will the Department be expected to absorb expenses associated with this policy?		
If yes, please specify the key assumptions, including whether departmental costs are expected with respect to program management (by policy agencies) and additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).		
Intended date of implementation.	1 July 2014	
Intended duration of policy.	3 years	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No	
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS cat. no. 3201.0).		
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No	