



**POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A
GENERAL ELECTION**

Name of policy:	Clean Energy Roadmap
Person requesting costing:	Senator Milne
Date of request to cost the policy:	14 August 2013
<i>Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.</i>	
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (i.e. from the Treasury or the Department of Finance and Deregulation)?	No
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release)	1 July 2013. Senator Milne http://greensmps.org.au/sites/default/files/clean_energy_roadmap_2page.pdf
Description of policy:	
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Increase the Renewable Energy Target to 90% by 2030. Increase funding of the Clean Energy Finance Corporation (CEFC) to \$30 billion over ten years, that is \$3billion a year.
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	To increase investment in renewable energy.
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:	
Is the policy part of a package? If yes, list and outline components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	This policy is related to our “Connecting Clean Energy” policy. The Greens have a policy to oppose the Government’s decision to move to a floating carbon price from 1 July 2014.
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?	Capped in the case of the increased CEFC funding.
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy? If yes, is the Australian	The RET is managed by the Clean Energy Regulator. The Clean Energy Finance Corporation is an independent statutory authority.

**PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR
A GENERAL ELECTION**

Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?				
Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	No			
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure? If yes, which measure?	Relates to the existing RET scheme and the existing CEFC.			
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No			
Will the funding/program cost require indexation? If yes, list factors to be used.	No			
Expected impacts of the proposal				
If applicable, what are the estimated costs each year? If available, please provide details in the table below. Are these provided on an underlying cash balance or fiscal balance basis?				
Estimated financial implications (outturn prices) ^(a)				
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	-7.7	2.2	45.7	100.9
Fiscal balance (\$m)	-148.9	-108.2	-45.4	18.3
(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.				
What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)?	PBO costing of a higher RET (30 April 2013) concluded there would be no budget impact over the forward estimates.			
Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	CEFC policy costed by the PBO, 4 July 2013.			

**PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR
A GENERAL ELECTION**

<p>What is the expected community impact of the policy? How many people will be affected by the policy? What is the likely take up? What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?</p>	<p>Greater investment in renewable energy.</p>
<p>Administration of policy:</p>	
<p>Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc.)?</p>	<p>The RET is managed by the Clean Energy Regulator. The Clean Energy Finance Corporation is an independent statutory authority.</p>
<p>Should departmental expenses associated with this policy be included in this costing? If no, will the Department be expected to absorb expenses associated with this policy? If yes, please specify the key assumptions, including whether departmental costs are expected with respect to program management (by policy agencies) and additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).</p>	<p>Any Departmental costs should be absorbed.</p>
<p>Intended date of implementation.</p>	<p>2013/14 financial year</p>
<p>Intended duration of policy.</p>	<p>CEFC – 10 years Renewable Energy Target is ongoing.</p>
<p>Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS cat. no. 3201.0).</p>	<p>NA</p>

**PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR
A GENERAL ELECTION**

Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No
---	----

NOTE:

Please note that:

- *The costing will be on the basis of information provided in this costing request.*
- *The PBO is not bound to accept the assumptions provided by the requestor. If there is a material difference in the assumptions used by the PBO, the PBO will consult with the requestor in advance of the costing being completed.*