To the members of the Standing Committee on Migration

I would like to tend this submission on the economic, social and cultural impacts of migration in Australia and a need for a new policy.

It is my view that Multiculturalism is a failing policy. I agree with Mr David Cameron, Prime Minister of Great Britain, Nicolas Sarkozy, president of France and Angela Merkel, chancellor of Germany that Multiculturalism has failed their respective countries. All three of these national leaders are significantly concerned about the negative impacts of past multiculturalism policies. They all have sincere concerns about the future cultural make-up of their countries and I believe these concerns should be understood.

The primary concern with these leaders is the assimilation of the Islamic community. It is insufficient to simply declare this as racism, hatful or something similar that pertains to religion, however, there are some very real issues that the Islamic communities bring with them. One thing to note is the economic, social and cultural climate of the Islamic nations from where Islamic immigrants have come from. It is wise to genuinely ask "What is their reason for immigrating?" If it is to come to a lucky country, a land of plenty and opportunity, of equality and the great Australian way of life, then we accept this as good and we expect assimilation. If however, they have a hidden agenda to proselytise us to their way of life, then I'm sorry, but their acceptance is declined. And this agenda needs to be sought for as it is hidden yet it can be found with open eyes and mind.

We need to describe and identify the Australian way of life, our history and our culture. Included in this, as even the pre-amble to our constitution alludes to with the words, "with the blessing of almighty God," is the simple fact that we are a Christian nation, of Christian heritage and values. Adelaide, the city where I live, is known as the City of Churches. Not synagogues but churches of Christian persuasion. It does not mean we must promote the Christian church but it does mean that we accept and recognise our history and culture that is steeped in Christian heritage. For those among you who are anti-Christian, I expect you to be objective enough to accept these facts and not deny them. In fact, the single most predominant influence in every developed Western civilisation is the presence of the Christian church and that is no accident. The Christian church preceded the development of our Western civilization. It is the foundation upon which our cultures stand. It is the strongest influence and the identifying factor. The developed Western world is a Christian world.

Christian culture, as is all Western culture, is abhorrent to fundamental Islam. Their holy book does say to slay the infidels (Sura 9:5,29,41) Many Muslims argue that Muslims are peace-loving and consider themselves moderate however their recent history and all modern examples everywhere betrays this argument. There is no country on earth that has any moderate number of Muslims that does not have fundamentals among them, and Islamic fundamentalism breeds extremism and terrorism. A fundamental Muslim will take their word literally and that says to slay the infidel. The fundamental Christian says to love your neighbour. The difference is as far as east is from west; truth and pun intended.

It concerns me that Muslims want to come to Australia but don't want to assimilate. This is not right. Migrants of previous post-war eras, while having a tendency to congregate in close proximity, did assimilate, especially the second generation. Muslims do not assimilate. In fact, they hold a greater affinity for their religious identity than an Australian identity and therein lies the problem.

You can't legislate assimilation but we can legislate to minimize non-assimilation. It is important for immigrants to have an Australian education. For those immigrants who have a Judeo-Christian background, they would be happy to attend an independent school of a Christian persuasion. We must be as wise as serpents and peaceful as doves. Islamic education breads non-assimilation and fundamentalism. If an immigrant wishes to come to Australia, then they need to forgo the things that are incompatible with Australian culture, and fundamental Islam is incompatible with all Western culture, as they want to kill the infidels. We are the infidels. If they were to deny Sura 9:5,29 & 41, then they can be accepted as Australians. However it is blind and foolish to let into this great country a people group who are determined to change us to their way of life when their way of life is un-Australian.

Any Islamic immigrants need to attend a state school and included in that attendance is any teaching on our Christian heritage. They cannot deny learning about our single most common denominator. The girls especially must be afforded every opportunity to gain advanced education.

Sharia law must be denied. You cannot have two laws in one country. The law defines a country. To have two concurrent laws is accepting two concurrent nationalities. This is arguably a significant problem with Britain, France & Germany. Once you legislate to cater for a different law specific to a minority people group you have just created by legislation the right for that nation to exist. The law must be no respecter of persons. This would be a massive mistake for Australia to do this.

I urge you all to read with openness and discuss this submission without dismissive bias.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this submission and for taking the time to read and consider the thoughts of an Australian.

APPENDIX

Multiculturalism policies in Britain a failure, says PM David Cameron

From: AFP February 05, 2011 9:50PM, accessed 7 April, 2011

Read more: http://www._news.com.au/world/multiculturalism-policies-in-britain-a-failure-says-pm-david-cameron/story-e6frfkyi-1226000767708#ixzz1IpsyOQu7

PRIME Minister David Cameron has condemned Britain's long-standing policy of multiculturalism as a failure, calling for better integration of young Muslims to combat home-grown extremism.

In a speech to the Munich Security Conference, Mr Cameron signalled a marked change in policy towards Britain's ethnic and religious minorities, saying the "hands-off tolerance" of those who reject Western values has failed.

He urged a "more active, muscular liberalism" where equal rights, the rule of law, freedom of speech and democracy are actively promoted to create a stronger national identity.

"If we are to defeat this threat, I believe it's time to turn the page on the failed policies of the past," he said.

It was Mr Cameron's first major speech on Islamist extremism, an issue of major concern for British governments ever since four home-grown suicide bombers attacked the London transport system in 2005, killing 52 people.

The Prime Minister, who took power last May, argued that "under the doctrine of state multiculturalism, we have encouraged different cultures to live separate lives, apart from each other and the mainstream".

He said this had resulted in a lack of national identity in Britain which had made some young Muslims turn to extremist ideology.

"Frankly, we need a lot less of the passive tolerance of recent years and much more active, muscular liberalism," Mr Cameron said.

"A passively tolerant society says to its citizens: as long as you obey the law, we will leave you alone. It stands neutral between different values.

"A genuinely liberal country does much more. It believes in certain values and actively promotes them.... It says to its citizens: this is what defines us as a society."

Mr Cameron clearly distinguished between Islam the religion and the political ideology of Islamist extremism, saying they "are not the same thing".

But he argued that non-violent organisations which present themselves as a gateway to the Muslim community but are ambiguous on Western values should no longer receive state funding, and should be banned from university campuses.

His speech echoed controversial remarks made by German Chancellor Angela Merkel last year, when she also called multiculturalism a failure, saying Germany had not devoted enough attention to the integration of immigrants.

"What I mean to say is that for years, for decades, the approach was that integration was not something that needed to be addressed, that people would live side-by-side and that it would sort itself out," Ms Merkel said in November.

"This turned out to be false."