A CLEAR PERSPECTIVE ON MULTI-CULTURALISM

For clarity, definitions on just 3 KEY WORDS here (from Google's first entry under "dictionary websites"), copied and pasted.

(1) multi- (mul'ti, -tə, -tē, -tī)

having, consisting of, or affecting many: multifold more than two, or, sometimes, more than one: multilateral, multiparous

many times more than: multimillionaire

Origin: L < multus, much, many < IE base *mel-, strong, big > Gr mala, very

Webster's New World College Dictionary Copyright © 2010 by Wiley Publishing, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio. Used by arrangement with John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

NOTE: a clear elucidation of >1 but (most likely) **NOT ALL**.

(2) dis-crimi-nate (di skrim'i nāt'; for adj., -nit)

transitive verb <u>discriminated</u> --nat'ed, discriminating --nat'-ing

to constitute a difference between; differentiate to recognize the difference between; distinguish

Origin: < L discriminatus, pp. of discriminare, to divide, distinguish < discrimen, division, distinction < discernere: see discern

intransitive verb

to see the difference (*between* things); distinguish to be discerning

to make distinctions in treatment; show partiality (in favor of) or prejudice (against)

adjective

involving discrimination; distinguishing carefully

Webster's New World College Dictionary Copyright © 2010 by Wiley Publishing, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio. Used by arrangement with John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

NOTE: Regular activity by everyone seeking the best: sport team selections, "best person for the job" in work, selecting a life partner, etc., nothing pejorative... the exact words (as above) are "differentiate", "distinguish", "to be discerning".

(3) in-fi-del (in'fə del', -dəl) noun

a person who does not believe in a particular religion, esp. the prevailing religion

a person who holds no religious belief

a person who does not accept some particular theory, belief, etc.

Origin: ME < MFr *infidèle* < L *infidelis*, unfaithful (in LL(Ec), unbelieving) < *in*-, in- + *fidelis*: see fidelity

<u>Webster's New World College Dictionary</u> Copyright © 2010 by Wiley Publishing, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio. Used by arrangement with John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

NOTE: Uniquely (of any belief system) this word is specifically used in each version of Islam to define nonbelievers (of their EXACT belief) as deviant and without rights, except the right to expect persecution /death, as Imams, Mullahs and Muftis in Australia have in the past / do now publicise "as being required by Allah" as per **Quran / Hadith: see internet sites / mosque sermon** transcripts. Each Muslim group is a mono-cultural hegemony intent on to what extent possible, exclusively acting separate from anything multi-cultural, to which the rest of us may well espouse (alas fallaciously) for ALL. **INCUMBENTS OF SUCH MONO-CULTURALISM DO NOT QUALIFY AND SHOULD BE PRECUDED - AS LOGICALLY THEY CANNOT CO-EXIST - IN A MULTI-CULTURAL SOCIETY!** People other than their *exact* Islamic group – being "Infidels" – are an offense to them and definitely (where possible) - if not now - at some future time - are to be shunned /persecuted, just like they / their forebears were in their homelands, previously.

Everyone / every organisation practices differentiation / discrimination all the time. Asylum seekers / boat people (here) discriminated in favour of coming to "non-Muslim Australia" Vs ANY other country (including ALL "Muslim countries"). This is totally understandable for a NON-Muslim, but if a Muslim, surely a favoured destination would have to be a "Muslim country", and one of your persuasion (e.g. a Shiite surely should head to a "Shiite-Muslim country"). This DOES NOT HAPPEN. Why? Well it is simply the case that NO MUSLIM COUNTRY allows in / offers what "Infidel Australia" does for (would be) emigrant Muslims: QUITE AN AMAZING SCENARIO!

An excerpt from Greg Sheridan's "How I lost faith in multiculturalism ("The Australian", April 2, 2011...

"It would be absurd to suggest, at the official level at least, that Britain has not had an officially welcoming attitude to immigrants. London, with New York, is one of the great, diverse metropolises of the world. There are two obvious, logical flaws in the way Bowen treats immigration into Europe.

The first is that he puts the entire burden for the success or failure of an immigrant community's experience down to the attitude of the host society and places absolutely no analytical weight at all on the performance and behaviour of the immigrants themselves.

Second, the problems that Bowen is talking about are problems with Muslim immigrants, not with immigrants generally. Chinese and non-Muslim Indian immigrants have been immensely successful in Britain."

Alarmingly, emigrated Muslims here hold to all their traditions, including requiring that ALL senior religious leaders are from overseas, the majority of whom have backgrounds from and promote RADICAL JIHAD...HERE IN OZ...INCITING MARGINALISED MUSLIM YOUTH!

Muslim asylum—seekers are not necessarily "persecuted good people", they were just the wrong Islamic ilk in their country of origin and their best resort is "Infidel Australia", where all of us here — not of their exact Islamic persuasion — are Infidel outcasts, to be shunned, as exacted by local Islamic leaders, whom they condone! The permission of such people into our society is anathema to good governance and (very obviously) bodes against the future good welfare of the indigenous and many other people of Non–Muslim ("infidel") cultures.

WE CURRENTLY HAVE "MUSLIM COUNTRIES" FROM WHERE ALMOST ALL ASYLUM-SEEKERS COME, AND (ON THE OTHER HAND) NON-MUSLIM (THE "FREE WORLD") COUNTRIES TO WHERE ALMOST ALL MUSLIM ASYLUM-SEEKERS COME. IF AUSTRALIA (PLUS EUROPE AND USA) ALSO END UP AS BEING "MUSLIM COUNTRIES" TOO – A "WHOLE-WORLD-ISLAMIC-NIRVANA-CALIPHATE", WHERE THEN IS ANY ASYLUM-SEEKER GOING TO BE ABLE TO GO TO? A SERIOUS QUESTION!!

Is it not possible that THEN a Biblical prediction of Jesus will be fulfilled: Luke 23: 30 "Then they will say to the mountains, "Fall on us!" and to the hills, "Cover us!"