Australian Parliament Joint Standing Committee on Migration Submission no. 252

Immigration, Multiculturalism, Inclusion.

This is a most difficult matter. There is 'theory' which is always ideal, and there is 'practice' which is real world.

Our ADL reference point is that we have a predominantly white country of British background. It was founded on that principle.

Our national character is Christian by tone and essense. We open our parliament with the Lords prayer and our constitution preamble has the words "Humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God"

The "God" referred to there is clearly the God of the Bible, the Christian God.

Migration is a useful tool in nation building. To put it simply, like any tool, or weapon, it can be used for law enforcement, or... lawbreaking.

It boils down to a fundamental understanding of how real world people are, and not resorting to some ideological principle which came from some character like Karl Marx.

In the real world, people tend to seek after and enjoy the company of their own kind. If we accept too many people from too many different places, especially places where there are historical conflicts in the

hearts and minds of the people wishing to migrate to Australia, then we are inviting problems and trouble. I think the Serbs and Croats are aiming to re-name the Tennis in Melbourne to the "Serb/Croat annual punch up".

Even the Macedonians went beserk their one year.

As our former Prime minister said "We shall determine the circumstances of when and how we will accept people into this country" and of course this is only obvious and reasonable. Anyone who would choose a different course can only have an ulterior and sinister motive behind them.

Speaking as a white Christian (married to an indigenous Asian lady) with 3 mixed race Children, I believe it is still to be preferred to be more open to people who share our traditional values and cultural norms.

It might seem like pushing poo uphill and beating a dead horse, but we are adamant that "Islam" is not welcome in Australia. Yes, I know. "bigot/xenophobe/racist" ettttcetera.. heard them all before, and utterly reject them.

My reasoning is simple and clear.. would Australia welcome large scale migration from former Nazi's? Somehow I think our 'non discriminatory' immig policy would suddenly become 'very selective'. Let's face it..they are simply "German" and they hold a 'political ideology' which is called 'National Socialism'... but the holocaust aspects are not part of NS ideology in the sense of it's political methodology as far as I can

see. They were 'socialist' but based on the preservation and promotion of German racial superiority.

Now.. let's take a 'worst case' scenario..where we believe that a National Socialist would be 'not a fit and proper person' to have in Australia..because we can assume they still wish to embark on a genocide against Jewish people.

Let me quote from the Islamic hadith (authentic)

Book 041, Number 6985:

Abu Huraira reported Allah's Messenger (may peace be upon him) as saying: The last hour would not come unless the **Muslims will fight against the**

Jews and the Muslims would kill them until the Jews would hide themselves behind a stone or a tree and a stone or a tree would say: Muslim, or the servant of Allah, there is a Jew behind me; come and kill him; but the tree Gharqad would not

servant of Allah, there is a Jew behind me; come and kill him; but the tree Gharqad would not say, for it is the tree of the Jews.

This is a point where the words of the Lord Jesus Christ have bearing. "Having eyes do you not see".. well.. DO you? (the person reading this) They are the words of Muhammad. Now.. let's argue "But they don't all interpret it literally" ok.. so those National Socialist Germans are happy to admit "Hitler went a bit too far.. we don't share his view on Jews"

Would that make you happy? Some how, I think not.

Bottom line, the idealism of "Non discriminatory Immigration policy" is absurd and ludicrous.

I am all for a 'colorblind' immigration policy, but not a VALUES blind policy. It would be patently ridiculous to invite all and sundry here without reference to their value system.

Let's take another example for Islam.

Surah 4:34 in the Quran

SHAKIR: Men are the maintainers of women because Allah has made some of them to excel others and because they spend out of their property; the good women are therefore obedient, guarding the unseen as Allah has guarded; and (as to) those on whose part you fear desertion, admonish them, and leave them alone in the sleeping-places and **beat them**; then if they obey you, do not seek a way against them; surely Allah is High, Great.

Now..again I ask "Having eyes...do you not see"?

I'll just about guarantee that as you read such verses, your brain will experience some cognitive dissonance..and you will resolve it by assuring yourself that the politically correct social narrative is in fact "correct". This is why a bunch of "persons unknown" in an area in Sydney with a high Muslim population shot the blith out of a Hindu Temple in Auburn recently.

No.. the politically correct social narrative is NOT correct, it is flawed, bent and as useful for

a ruler as a dogs hind leg.

Social harmony by bringing in diametrically opposed value systems simply will not occur.

So..that covers 'incitement to murder' and 'domestic spouse abuse'.. let's try for 'child sexual abuse'?

Quran 65:4 speaks about

065.004

YUSUFALI: Such of your women as have passed the age of monthly courses, for them the prescribed period, if ye have any doubts, is three months, and for **those who have no courses** (it is the same): for those who carry (life within their wombs), their period is until they deliver their burdens: and for those who fear Allah, He will make their path easy.

PICKTHAL: And for such of your women as despair of menstruation, if ye doubt, their period (of waiting) shall be three months, along with **those who have it not.** And for those with child, their period shall be till they bring forth their burden. And whosoever keepeth his duty to Allah, He maketh his course easy for him.

SHAKIR: And (as for) those of your women who have despaired of menstruation, if you have a doubt, their prescribed time shall be three months, and of those too who have not had their courses; and (as for) the pregnant women, their prescribed time is that they lay down their burden; and whoever is careful of (his duty to) Allah He will make easy for him his affair.

This is speaking about PRE-PUBESCENT female children. According to this they can be ...

- 1/ Married
- 2/ Sexually consummated
- 3/ Divorced.

IF you have doubts about point 2, I suggest you explore the following Islamic commentary, which I will quote

http://www.quranenglish.com/tafheem_quran/065.htm

scroll to where it shows 65:4 with some arabic writing. scroll further to paragraph/point *13 and the last part of the paragraph says THIS!

*13 They may not have menstruated as yet either because of young age, or delayed menstrual discharge as it happens in the case of some women, or because of no discharge at aII throughout life which, though rare, may also be the case. In any case, the waiting-period of such a woman is the same as of the woman, who has stopped menstruation, that is three months from the time divorce was pronounced.

Here, one should bear in mind the fact that according to the explanations given in the Qur'an the question of the waiting period arises in respect of the women with whom marriage may have been consummated, for there is no waiting-period in case divorce is pronounced before the consumation of marriage. (Al-Ahzab: 49). Therefore, making mention of the waiting-period for the girls who have not <u>yet</u> menstruated, clearly proves that it is not only permissible to give away the girl in marriage at this age but it is also <u>permissible for the</u>

<u>husband to consummate marriage with her.</u> Now, obviously no Muslim has the right to forbid a thing which the Qur'an has held as permissible.

Again I ask.."Do you have eyes...but yet not see?"

We can go much further.. you will observe that what has been provided here is not 'opinion' but verified fact and scholarly conclusion.. Islamic scholars.

All I can say, is that if you welcome more and more Muslims to this country, we will undoubtedly have more and more trouble. Feel free to see how the English Defense League came about, and how it is growing.

http://www.englishdefenceleague.org/

They say you can lead a horse to water, but not make him drink. We can show that the whole of Europe is about to explode.. or implode.. and there will be trouble and strife from a coalition of Left wing/Union/Anarchist and MUSLIMS... working together for the same goal, but for different reasons. Mark this day..and mark these words..and then 'watch'......

The ADL plans to take every lawful action and use every lawful means to inhibit Islam in Australia. We know the destination...and it's not the one in the mind of the politically correct. We would prefer to get off the train before it reaches that point of no return.

Hope this assists you in your planning.