, Secretary frini-stlecading Committer on sectoral matters Parliament Rouse Canberra ACT 2600 Dear Sire,


I received a comprehensive reply, from senator The Hen Brie Abet in reply or a letter of mine concerning lempulsory voting and preferential voting in which he concludes with the advice that showed 9 wish $x$ pursue the matter 9 showed corile 8 you. The subject of compulsory voting need not concern us further as I whole hearledey support that policy.
what 2 object- to is compulsang voting on preferences.
As it stands the system is open A manipulation by Curly strategist, which is an whealky component of an atherasise second s none method of election.

The individual vales shewed have the absolute right $\&$ decide on preferences or not.

Provided a voting paper tears the figure No against a candidate's name that vote should be valid, irrespective of how many candidates are standing.

Take for example a seat for which teandidates are standing.
 of the 5 , being unsupporive of te other 2 , 9 shone be allowed $x$ vole $1,2,3$ of any acceptable candidates a leave blank the other, iso.

If am a particularly strong Party voter 9 showed be allowed to vote ' for the candidate representing the Laxly 9 support and ignore the rest. If, on thither hand 9 do have a distinet-order of preferences, 9 shaved be allowed to complete the 5 spaces accordingly.

In effect the chore e shame be wholly mine as an independent-voer: This system would eliminate at least $50 \%$ of previously iurald vales.

It would eliminate the "odious "sweetheart deals" between Parties and the "jaggery popery" That precedes elestioters, casting an mupleasaat
whiff in the background.
It would eliminate the deplorable waste of effort and funds on "Now do Vote" cards which one witnesses at every election - later with one hard and cast int the spot ark the other.

There would, of course, be absolutely no objection thaties publishing the in seapective "How Lo Vote" Preferences in the press. All volersneed do, showmen they arid, is cur our the appropriate section What i- the voting toot witt item.

I ask, 11 Cone this system be subject to abuse? If so, how?
(2) Is it and logically just in ats simplicity?
(3) As it nor fired than the present system, giving the individual voter his/her due right -t an independent preference or not?

Yours sincerely
Per Nay

Committee Secrelaing,
Point Slañaing Committer on Electoral mattes,
Parliament-Atowse
Canberra. ACT 2600
Dear Sir
Re: Induing ins the conduct get 2001 federal Election
2 Thank you for your letter of te 4 At inst.
Please accept ny letter, already held by yon, as mug submission the Inquiry
thank you
yours sincerely
Bobstay.


