The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia			
Advisory Report on the Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation Amendment Bill 2012			
House of Representatives Standing Committee on Agriculture, Resources, Fisheries and Forestry			
February 2013 Canberra			

#### © Commonwealth of Australia 2013

ISBN 978-0-642-79861-9 (Printed version)

ISBN 978-0-642-79862-6 (HTML version)

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/</a>.

# Contents

For	reword	V		
Me	mbership of the Committee	vii		
Terms of reference				
List of abbreviations				
Lis	t of recommendations	xiii		
1	Introduction	1		
	Referral and Committee Membership	1		
	The Committees' inquiry	2		
	Call for submissions and public hearing	3		
2	Background	5		
	Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation Amendment Bill 2012	5		
	The current National Registration Scheme	6		
	Reform context and development of the Bill	7		
	Australian National Audit Office report	9		
	Productivity Commission Research Report	9		
	National Framework for Chemical and Plastics Regulatory Reform	10		
	Better Regulation of Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals policy discussion paper	11		
	Key Bill provisions	13		

3	Issues and Analysis	19
	Summary of key issues	19
	New initial assessment and registration processes	19
	Risk compendium	20
	Preliminary assessment process	21
	Committee comment	23
	Mandatory re-registration and re-approval process	24
	Practical impacts of mandatory re-registration and re-approval	25
	Committee comment	29
	International trade issues	30
	Committee comment	32
	Consultation, impact analysis, transition and evaluation	33
	Impact analysis	34
	Transitional arrangements	35
	Evaluations	37
	Committee comment	38
4	Conclusion	41
5	Dissenting Report	43
	Advisory Report on the Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislatio	
	Bill 2012	
	Key issues	
	Improvement in efficiency needed	
	The Bill fails to prove improvements in efficiency	
	The case for mandatory re-registration  Conclusion	
	Conclusion	
Аp	pendix A - Submissions	55
Ар	pendix B - Exhibits	57
Ар	pendix C – Public Hearing	59

#### **Foreword**

I welcome the opportunity for the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Agriculture, Resources, Fisheries and Forestry to provide an advisory report to the House on the Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation Amendment Bill 2012. The Bill seeks to make reforms to the approval, registration and reconsideration of agricultural and veterinary (AgVet) chemicals. This will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the National Registration Scheme (NRS) for AgVet chemicals and products overseen by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA).

The Bill was referred to the Committee by the Selection Committee on 29 November 2012. The Committee subsequently adopted the inquiry and called for written submissions. A public hearing was held in Canberra on 4 February 2013 with a range of stakeholders, some of whom had concerns with the Bill.

The issues raised with the Committee included stakeholders highlighting their concerns that aspects of the Bill would impact the AgVet chemicals sector. Stakeholders highlighted areas including the proposal for a preliminary assessment process and also the proposal for a system of mandatory re-registration and reapproval for AgVet chemicals and products.

The Committee found that the proposed preliminary assessment process has been designed to increase the quality of applications provided to the APVMA. While acknowledging concerns that this process may create some costs for applicants for registration of AgVet chemical and products, the Committee stated that the process would allow the APVMA to concentrate its resources on providing more timely assessments of applications and reduce delays in evaluating deficient applications.

Over the course of the inquiry, the Committee heard that some AgVet chemicals and products had been in use in Australia for well over 40 years. The Committee was concerned that many of these chemicals and products had not been tested against contemporary standards for human, animal and plant health and safety. This underscores the importance of provisions in the Bill for a mandatory system of AgVet chemical and product re-registration and re-approval based on the risk profiles of each chemical or product as determined under guidelines published by the APVMA.

Australia needs to have a robust, systematic and efficient system of AgVet chemical and product regulation. The reforms proposed in this Bill will allow the APVMA to build on its already well-regarded regulatory credentials and ensure Australia retains its international competitiveness in the AgVet sector.

The Committee has recommended that the Bill be passed.

Hon Dick Adams MP Chair

#### **Membership of the Committee**

Chair Hon Dick Adams MP

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$ 

Members Mr Darren Cheeseman MP Mr Rob Mitchell MP

Mr George Christensen MP Mr Dan Tehan MP

Mr Geoff Lyons MP Mr Rowan RamseyMP\* (from 29/11/12)

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes supplementary member, appointed to the Committee for this inquiry only.

#### **Committee Secretariat**

Secretary Mr David Brunoro

Inquiry Secretary Mr Muzammil Ali

Research Officers Mr Nathan Fewkes

Administrative Officers Ms Jazmine Rakic

Ms Louise Goss

### Terms of reference

The Terms of Reference comprise the text of the Bill and without limiting the scope of these Terms of Reference, the Committee has resolved to target a number of key areas for consideration:

- Initial assessment and registration processes (Schedule No. 1 of the Bill), including:
  - ⇒ Factors that affect efficient regulation, including the risk assessment process;
- Re-approval and re-registration of agricultural and veterinary chemicals (Schedule No. 2 of the Bill), including:
  - ⇒ The need for re-approval / and re-registration;
  - ⇒ The process and practical effects (including the financial impacts) for all stakeholders including the regulator;
- International comparisons and trade issues, including the effects on small companies; and
- Consultation processes and outcomes:
  - ⇒ Including intergovernmental consultations.

#### List of abbreviations

AgVet Agricultural and Veterinary

ANAO Australian National Audit Office

APVMA Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority

ARFF Standing Committee on Agriculture, Resources, Fisheries

and Forestry

the Bill Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation

Amendment Bill 2012

BRCWG COAG Business Regulation and Competition Working

Group

Canadian

**PMRA** 

Health Canada - Pest Management Regulatory Agency

COAG Council of Australian Governments

Committee Standing Committee on Agriculture, Resources, Fisheries

and Forestry

DAFF Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry

MORAG Manual of Requirements and Guidelines

MoU Memorandum of Understanding

NRS National Registration Scheme

OBPR Office of Best Practice Regulations

RIS Regulation Impact Statement

US EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

VFF Victorian Farmers Federation

WWF Australia World Wildlife Fund Australia

## List of recommendations

1		
Int	roduction	1
2	5	
Ba	ckground	5
3	19	
lss	ues and Analysis	19
4	41	
Со	nclusion	41
	Recommendation 1	42
	The Committee recommends that the House of Representatives pass the Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation Amendment Bill 201 without amendment	2
5	43	
Dis	ssenting Report	43
	Recommendation 1	52
	Remove the re-registration process from the bill	52
	Recommendation 2	

	J J				
urgently evaluate and improve the internal systems within the APVMA to increase the regulators efficiency and effectiveness and the speed of review of at risk chemistries					
Append	lix B - Exhibits	.57			
Append	lix C – Public Hearing	59			