Non-government business

As a means of analysing how the time of the House is occupied the following categorisation may be used:

**Government business**—government sponsored legislation and motions, and ministerial statements.

**Business of the House**—petitions, Question Time, presentation of papers, privilege matters, personal explanations, motions to refer business to the Main Committee and the presentation of reports from the Committee, messages from the Senate, dissent motions, announcements of ministerial arrangements, motions to appoint committees, statements and debate on committee and parliamentary delegation reports, motions for addresses, motions of condolence, motions for leave of absence and special adjournment motions.

**Private Members’ business**—bills and motions sponsored by private Members.

**Other opportunities for private Members**—adjournment and grievance debates, Members’ statements, discussion of matters of public importance, and debate on the Address in Reply.

Most of the time of the House is occupied in the consideration of government business, a situation which is common to most Westminster-style Parliaments. At the time of Federation a Government’s right to reserve a significant part of the time of the House for its own purposes had, from necessity, already become established. The increasing need for Governments to control House time, assisted by the growth of strong party loyalty, led to a steady curtailment of opportunities for private Members to initiate bills and motions, and procedures to expedite the consideration of government business. Private Members frequently objected to the limits placed on opportunities to raise matters in the House, and to encroachments on their relatively few opportunities to have issues of their own choosing debated. The current procedures for private Members’ business, in effect since 1988, have ameliorated this situation.

The private Member has the opportunity, provided by the standing orders, to participate in all House activity, including government business and business of the House. The rights of the private Member have long been preserved in respect of lodging a petition, the giving of a notice and the asking of questions. Other procedures which permit private Members to raise and draw attention to issues which they consider to be important are the adjournment debate, grievance debate, Members’ statements, discussion of matters of public importance and debate on the Address in Reply. Members also have an opportunity to raise matters of their own choosing during debate on the second reading of the main appropriation and supply bills and, subject to the relevancy rule, in the consideration of the proposed expenditures of government departments. While these opportunities are important to private Members, none of them...
enables a Member to initiate debate on a topic of his or her own choice in a form which could enable a distinct vote of the House on it, or to initiate legislative proposals. The private Members’ business procedures provide such opportunities.

PRIVATE MEMBERS’ MONDAYS

Routine of business on Mondays

Most of the time available on each sitting Monday is reserved for non-government business. The standing orders provide for the following routine:

1. Presentation of, and statements on, reports from parliamentary committees and delegations.
2. Orders of the day for the resumption of debate on motions moved in connection with committee and delegation reports.
3. Private Members’ business (debate to be interrupted at 1.45 p.m.).
4. Members’ statements (at approximately 1.45 p.m.).
5. Questions without notice (at 2 p.m.).
6. Presentation of petitions.
7. Private Members’ business (in continuation for 1 hour).
8. Grievance debate (debate to continue for 1 hour and 20 minutes.).

The normal daily routine then resumes with notices and orders of the day (i.e. government business). To enable Members to be assured of a significant period free of interruptions, any division called for in the House during the consideration of private Members’ business, on a question other than a motion moved by a Minister, is deferred until after the grievance debate has concluded or been postponed.

Since the introduction of the modern form of private Members’ business in 1988, only in the most unusual circumstances has other business been given priority at the time for private Members’ business—and this has always been by agreement, not merely by government decision. Sometimes, when the House has not met on a Monday of a sitting week, special arrangements have been made to enable some or all of the items normally dealt with on a Monday to be considered later in the week.

Selection Committee

The timetable and order of business for the presentation and consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members’ business is the responsibility of the Selection Committee, appointed at the commencement of each Parliament. The committee has 11 members, including the Deputy Speaker, the Chief Government Whip, the Chief Opposition Whip, the Third Party Whip, four government Members, and three opposition or other non-government Members. The quorum is five.

Private Members’ business to be accorded priority on private Members’ Mondays, the order of consideration and the times allotted for debate on each item must be approved

---

4 S.O. 101.
5 S.O. 193.
8 S.O. 331.
by a majority of the members of the Selection Committee present at a meeting of the committee and in accordance with general principles adopted by the House. The committee reports its determinations to the House in sufficient time for its decisions to be published on the Notice Paper of the sitting Thursday prior to the Monday to which the determinations apply. Such reports of the committee are deemed to be adopted when laid upon the Table, and they are printed in Hansard.9

The Selection Committee must give notices by private Members of their intention to present bills priority over other notices and orders of the day.10

In its selection and programming of private Members’ business the Selection Committee is guided by general principles adopted by the House (after report by the committee) at the start of each Parliament.11 The guidelines adopted in recent Parliaments12 have provided that:

(1) In formulating the priority to be given to items of private Members’ business the Selection Committee shall have regard to:
   (a) the importance of the subject;
   (b) the current level of interest in the subject;
   (c) the extent of the current discussion on the subject both in the Parliament and elsewhere;
   (d) the extent to which the subject comes within the responsibility of the Commonwealth Parliament;
   (e) the probability of the subject being brought before the House by other means within a reasonable time; and
   (f) whether the subject is the same, or substantially the same, as another item of business which has been debated or on which the House has already made a decision in the same period of sittings and, if so, whether new circumstances exist.

(2) The Committee shall accord priority to private Members’ business:
   (a) with regard to the numbers of Members affiliated with each party in the House;
   (b) in a way which ensures that a particular Member or the Members who comprise the Opposition Executive do not predominate as the movers of the items selected; and
   (c) in a way which seeks to ensure balance is achieved over each period of sittings.

(3) When a private Member has the responsibility for the carriage of a Bill transmitted from the Senate for concurrence, the Bill shall be accorded priority following the question for the second reading being put to the House in the same way as a private Member’s Bill originating in the House is accorded priority by standing order 104A.

(4) Priority shall not be accorded to any item of private Members’ business if the matter should be dealt with by the House in another, more appropriate, form of proceeding.

(5) The general principles set out above shall be observed by the Selection Committee but nothing in the general principles shall be taken to prevent the Selection Committee departing from those general principles in order to meet circumstances, which, in its opinion, are unusual or special.

CONSIDERATION OF COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

The first period following Prayers (at 12.30 p.m.) on Monday is reserved for the presentation of parliamentary committee and delegation reports and for the resumption of debate on orders of the day relating to reports previously presented, the presentation of reports taking priority. Subject to any determination by the Selection Committee, the Member presenting a report and other Members may each speak for a maximum of 10 minutes. The Member presenting the report may then move without notice a specific motion in connection with the report, whereupon the debate on the question is adjourned

9 S.O. 331.
10 S.O. 104A.
11 S.O. 331(c).
to a future day to be determined by the Selection Committee. Since 1999 there has been no set time for the end of this period. Before then, with private Members’ business due to start at 1.15 p.m., standing orders were sometimes suspended, by leave, to allow the time for the presentation of reports and statements on them to be extended. In addition, despite determinations by the Selection Committee, Members have been permitted to speak again by leave and Members have been given an extension of time.

Following presentation of reports, orders of the day are debated according to the order of priority and times allotted for debate determined by the Selection Committee. Each Member may speak for a maximum of 10 minutes or for any lesser period determined by the Selection Committee. If the consideration of any question has not concluded by the time appointed by the Selection Committee, the debate is interrupted and the resumption of debate made an order of the day for a future day. If debate concludes before the time allocated for the item has expired, and the Selection Committee has determined that consideration is to continue on a future day (the usual practice), the Chair informs the House that the resumption of debate will be made an order of the day for the next sitting Monday.

After presentation of a report in the House and the moving of a motion to take note of the report, the order of the day for the resumption of debate on the motion may be referred to the Main Committee. Main Committee consideration is not subject to Selection Committee determination.

**PRIVATE MEMBERS’ BUSINESS**

There is no longer a fixed time for the start of the private Members’ business period. This depends on the amount of preceding committee business.

For the purposes of private Members’ business, a private Member is defined by the standing orders as any Member of the House other than the Speaker, a Minister or a Parliamentary Secretary. This definition serves to clarify the meaning of the term for these important purposes and, indirectly, provides additional opportunities to opposition leaders.

During the private Members’ business period notices and orders of the day relating to private Members’ business are called on by the Clerk in the order in which they appear on the Notice Paper—that is, as previously determined by the Selection Committee. Standing and sessional orders have been suspended to allow other Members to move motions in the absence of the Members who had given notices accorded priority by the Selection Committee.

Priority must be given to notices of intention to present private Members’ bills.

---

14 S.O. 102C amended by sessional order 3.12.98.
16 VP 1990–92/884.
17 VP 1990–92/566.
18 S.O. 102C, e.g. VP 1993–95/1343.
20 Prior to 1999 the period started at 1.15 p.m. S.O. 102C amended by sessional order 3.12.98.
21 S.O. 331(g). Minister is taken to include Parliamentary Secretary (resolution of the House, VP 1993–95/25). For further discussion of the definition of private Member see Ch. on ‘Members’
23 S.O. 104A.
A Member may withdraw a notice of motion or of intention to present a bill even though it has been accorded priority by the Selection Committee, and may alter the date in respect of which a notice has been given after it has been given priority by the Selection Committee.

When the time allotted by the Selection Committee for an item has expired, at the end of the private Members’ business period, or when debate concludes, debate is interrupted by the Chair. The question before the Chair may be put. However, the general practice of the committee is to determine that private Members’ business should be the subject of further consideration and, therefore, not determined by the House after the initial debate. When the Selection Committee has determined that consideration of a matter should continue on a future day, debate having concluded, or been interrupted by the Chair at the time fixed for interruption, the Chair informs the House that the resumption of debate will be made an order of the day for the next sitting.

Any private Members’ business not called on, or any private Members’ business the consideration of which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the Selection Committee, on any of the next eight sitting Mondays, is removed from the Notice Paper (if a matter has been referred to the Main Committee, this provision does not apply unless the matter is referred back to the House).

Consideration at other times

From time to time, standing orders are suspended to permit specified items of private Members’ business to be called on and considered during government business time. This course has been taken to permit immediate consideration of a matter of which notice has just been given, such as a want of confidence or censure motion, to initiate debate on a matter of particular significance to the Parliament or to the community or to bring on a matter when the time factor is significant, such as a motion for the disallowance of delegated legislation (regulations, ordinances and so on). Such action may also be taken when the Government has decided to support a private Member’s bill, to provide time for further debate and facilitate speedy passage. A suspension of standing orders for any of these purposes is usually a government initiative and attempts by private Members alone to obtain precedence to a particular item of business without government support have invariably been unsuccessful.

Private Members’ motions

The procedures applying to the moving of motions are described in the Chapter on ‘Motions’. The procedures for private Members’ motions are the same as for motions moved by a Minister except that motions are required to be seconded. Theoretically, under standing order 91 the speech of the mover of a motion is limited to 20 minutes and of other Members to 15 minutes, but in practice the Selection Committee normally determines the times to be allotted to Members—for example the mover of a motion and...
the Member next speaking may be allotted 10 minutes each, and other Members five minutes each. Amendments are sometimes moved to private Members’ motions. By decision of the Selection Committee, the usual practice is that motions considered during the time available under the private Members’ business provisions are not voted on at that time, the debate being adjourned and made an order of the day for the next sitting (in practice, usually a subsequent private Members’ day). Action has been taken in order to secure a vote on some private Members’ motions or bills by having them called on outside of the times reserved for private Members’ business. When the Selection Committee has determined that debate on a motion should continue on a future day, the motion cannot be voted on (unless standing orders are suspended), and for that reason the debate cannot be closed.

Some private Members’ motions brought before the House involve issues of social and/or moral significance, often referred to as matters of conscience, such as euthanasia, abortion or homosexuality, or issues concerning the parliamentary institution. By arrangement within the parties, when such motions have been voted on these have generally been decided by a free vote or conscience vote. Outright government support for a private Member’s motion, in its original form, is less common when the motion is put forward by an opposition Member.

If a private Member’s motion is agreed to, the Government does not necessarily consider itself bound by its terms. For example, in 1965 the House agreed to the following motion:

That as the Canberra Advisory Council is but part elected and believing that the citizens of Canberra have a right to say whether or not they want fluoridation of their water supply this House is of opinion that a referendum on the question should be held.

No action was taken by the Government in the terms of the resolution.

Private Members’ bills

The procedures which apply to the processing of private Members’ bills are substantially the same as those for government bills described in the Chapter on ‘Legislation’. Upon the respective notice being called on by the Clerk, the Member in whose name the notice stands presents the bill and may speak for a period not exceeding five minutes in its support (extended to 15 minutes by sessional order in the 39th Parliament). The Member may present an explanatory memorandum on the bill and leave is not required for this. The bill is then read a first time and the second reading made an order of the day for the next sitting. The occasion for the moving and consideration of the bill’s second reading is a matter for the Selection Committee to determine.

34 VP 1996–98/112.
37 H.R. Deb. (9.3.98) 780–81.
39 E.g., a motion to determine the proposed site for the new and permanent Parliament House, VP 1973–74/289–90, 476; and see ‘Free votes’ in Ch. on ‘Routine of business and the sitting day’ for other examples.
40 E.g., a motion for the establishment of the Select Committee on Specific Learning Difficulties initiated by the Leader of the Opposition was agreed to, VP 1976–77/286–7.
41 VP 1964–66/251.
43 H.R. Deb. (17.3.88) 1055.
moved by a private Member, in practice a seconder is called for only on the second reading when the principles of the bill are under consideration. If a private Member’s bill passes the second reading stage, a seconder is not called for when motions such as that for the third reading are moved, the House having already affirmed its support for the bill.\(^{44}\) Under standing order 91, in theory, the mover of a motion for the second reading of a private Member’s bill may speak for 30 minutes, and other Members ‘leading’ for the Government or the Opposition may also speak for 30 minutes, with any other Member able to speak for 20 minutes. In practice, the Selection Committee would be expected to determine the times available to Members, and has done so.\(^{45}\) If the further consideration of a bill were to be referred to the Main Committee, in the absence of any other determination the provisions of standing order 91 would apply.\(^{46}\)

If the motion for the second reading of any private Member’s bill is agreed to by the House, further consideration is accorded precedence of other private Members’ business and the Selection Committee may allot times for consideration of the remaining stages of the bill.\(^{47}\)

As with private Members’ motions, private Members’ bills have sometimes related to matters of social and/or moral significance, such as euthanasia\(^{48}\) and superannuation entitlements of same-sex couples.\(^{49}\) The extent of government support in respect of successful private Members’ bills has varied. In the case of the Matrimonial Causes Bill 1955, the Member who initiated the bill remained in charge of it through all stages in the House.\(^{50}\) In the case of the National Measurement (Standard Time) Amendment Bill 1991, the Member who initiated the bill having moved the second reading, a Parliamentary Secretary moved the third reading.\(^{51}\) In the case of the Parliament Bill 1974, the Member who initiated the bill having moved the second reading, another Member moved the third reading. The bill was amended at the committee (consideration in detail) stage on the motion of a Minister.\(^{52}\) On the bill being returned from the Senate with amendments, it was taken over by the Government and was listed on the Notice Paper under government business.\(^{53}\)

When a private Member’s bill has passed the House and been transmitted to the Senate, its sponsorship in the Senate may be by either a private Senator\(^{54}\) or a Minister in the Senate.\(^{55}\) Similarly, bills which originated in the Senate have been sponsored in the House by a private Member\(^{56}\) or a Minister.\(^{57}\) The principles adopted by the House to guide the Selection Committee in respect of private Members’ business include a provision that when a private Member has responsibility for the carriage of a bill transmitted from the Senate, the bill is to be accorded priority (following the question for

---

45 H.R. Deb. (9.5.91) 3433 (bill considered in the House).
46 The motion referring the Euthanasia Laws Bill 1996 to the Main Committee for the remainder of the second reading debate allotted 10 minutes for each speaker, VP 1996–98/551.
47 S.O. 104A.
51 VP 1990–93/919
53 NP 30 (30.10.74)2840; VP 1974–75/426–8.
the second reading being put to the House) in the same way as a private Member’s bill originating in the House is accorded priority by standing order 104A.58

The term ‘private Member’s bill’ should not be confused with the term ‘private bill’. Private bills, as known in the United Kingdom, conferring powers or benefits on individuals or bodies of persons, do not feature in the Commonwealth Parliament.

Financial initiative

A private Member may not initiate a bill imposing or varying a tax or requiring the appropriation of revenue or moneys. This would be contrary to the constitutional and parliamentary principle of the financial initiative of the Executive—that is, that no public charge can be incurred except on the initiative of the Government.59

The financial initiative in regard to appropriation is expressed in section 56 of the Constitution, and is extended in standing order 292 as follows:

No proposal for the appropriation of any public moneys shall be made unless the purpose of the appropriation has in the same session been recommended to the House by message of the Governor-General, but a bill, except an Appropriation or Supply Bill, which requires the Governor-General’s recommendation may be brought in by a Minister and proceeded with before the message is announced. No amendment of such proposal shall be moved which would increase, or extend the objects and purposes or alter the destination of, the appropriation so recommended unless a further message is received.

It would not be possible for a private Member to obtain the Governor-General’s recommendation for an appropriation. Furthermore, standing order 292 provides that, of those bills requiring a Governor-General’s message, only those brought in by a Minister may be introduced and proceeded with before the message is announced. Therefore, only a Minister may bring in a bill which appropriates public moneys.

The financial initiative in regard to taxation, which restricts private Members from initiating taxing bills, is expressed in, and given effect by, standing order 293:

A proposal for the imposition, or for the increase, or alleviation, of a tax or duty, or for the alteration of the incidence of such a charge, shall not be made except by a Minister. No Member, other than a Minister, may move an amendment to increase, or extend the incidence of, the charge defined in that proposal unless the charge so increased or the incidence of the charge so extended shall not exceed that already existing by virtue of any Act of the Parliament.

In 1988, following presentation of an Income Tax Assessment Amendment Bill initiated by a private Member, the Chair noted that the bill sought to restore an earlier interpretation of a provision of the Act. The Chair understood that the bill did not seek to increase or alleviate tax, although it could be argued that a reduction would occur in the sum a person might pay because of the restored interpretation. The Chair stated that it was felt appropriate to permit the Member to initiate the proposal, although its validity in procedural terms was not clear.60 Private Members’ bills have sought to amend the Customs and Excise Tariff Acts to introduce mechanisms by which a decrease in duty could be effected by subsequent parliamentary action. 61

Drafting

Officers of the House are the principal source of assistance to private Members in these matters. The assistance of a consultant drafter may be obtained in cases of particular difficulty or technical complexity. Private Members have traditionally also had some access to the Office of Parliamentary Counsel for help in such matters, although

---

59 This principle and its significance is discussed in the Ch. on ‘Financial Legislation’.
60 H.R. Deb. (10.11.88) 2790–1; VP 1987–89/875.
61 H.R. Deb. (5.3.2001) 24900, 24904.
the primary and overriding priority of that office is to serve the Government. Any dealings between a Member and a parliamentary drafter are regarded as confidential. In 1975 private Members’ access to assistance from Office of Parliamentary Counsel drafters was an issue on which the House and the Senate could not agree. The Senate amended the Parliamentary Counsel Bill 1975 to provide that a section of not less than two professional staff from the Office of Parliamentary Counsel be seconded to draft proposed laws, amendments and instruments at the request of private Members of Parliament in priority to services for Ministers.62 The House disagreed to the amendment in view of the fact that the existing arrangements were considered to be more satisfactory to Members and the Government than the arrangements proposed.63 Each House stood its ground and the bill was finally laid aside.64

In 1905 the Life Assurance Companies Bill, a private Member’s bill which had originated in, and had been passed by, the House in 1904, was passed by the Senate and sent to the Governor-General for assent. The Governor-General returned the bill recommending amendments. Commenting on the proposed amendments the Minister indicated that they were ‘purely verbal’ and did not affect the purpose of the bill. He pointed out that the initiator of the bill had not had the Parliamentary Draftsman’s assistance in drafting it and had not understood the full significance of certain words he had used in the bill.65

**Impact**

Bills initiated by private Members are a small proportion of the legislation dealt with by the House, although the introduction of new procedures for private Members’ business in 1988 has seen a significant increase in their number. Private Members introduced 59 bills between 1901 and 1987 (not including bills originating in the Senate). By the end of 2000 this figure had risen to 170—that is, about 8 or 9 a year since 1988.

Table 8 lists all private Members’ bills which have passed into law since Federation. Eighteen non-government bills have passed into law—seven initiated by private Members, eight by private Senators and three by the Speaker and the President. In addition, the provisions of other private Member’s bills have become law by being incorporated into government legislation. Some notable examples of legislation passed by the Parliament have resulted from the actions of private Members or Senators, for example:

- In 1924 the Electoral (Compulsory Voting) Bill, which introduced compulsory voting at Federal elections, was initiated in the Senate by a private Senator, and when transmitted to the House was sponsored by a private Member.
- In 1957 a private Member initiated in the House the Matrimonial Bill to provide for uniform divorce laws. The bill passed the second reading but then lapsed. The objects of the measure were incorporated in the Government’s Matrimonial Causes Bill which was passed in 1959.66
- In 1974 a private Member introduced the Parliament Bill which was similar to a bill previously introduced by a private Senator which had not proceeded beyond the

---

63 VP 1974–75/774.
65 H.R. Deb. (25.10.05) 4048.
66 Act No. 104 of 1959; and see H.R. Deb. (14.5.59) 2223.
first reading stage in the Senate before lapsing. The bill’s primary purpose was to determine the site of the new Parliament House, an issue which had defied final resolution for many years. The 1974 bill ultimately passed both Houses, in an amended form, and became law.

- The Government’s Industrial Relations Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 2) of 1992 included provisions to amend the *Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1904* in respect of a matter addressed in a private Members’ bill introduced three times between 1990 and 1992.\(^{67}\)

- In 1995 the Parliament passed the Anzac Day Bill 1994. This bill was initiated by the Government, but the Government’s actions followed the actions of one private Member in moving a motion on the subject\(^{68}\) and of another in preparing and giving notice of his intention to introduce a private Member’s bill.\(^{69}\)

- In 1995 the Parliament passed the Government’s Sydney Airport Curfew Bill 1995, which took up in amended form the objects of a private Member’s bill, the Sydney Airport Curfew (Air Navigation Amendment) Bill 1995,\(^{70}\) after a report on that bill by the Standing Committee on Transport, Communications and Infrastructure.

### TABLE 8 PRIVATE MEMBERS’ BILLS PASSED INTO LAW 1901–2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Initiator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life Assurance Companies 1904 (Lapsed in Senate at second reading stage; proceedings resumed in Senate in 1905—Act No. 12 of 1905)</td>
<td>Mr L. E. Groom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conciliation and Arbitration 1908 (Lapsed in Senate at committee stage; proceedings resumed in Senate in 1909—Act No. 28 of 1909)</td>
<td>Senator Needham*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electoral (Compulsory Voting) 1924 (Act No. 10 of 1924)</td>
<td>Senator Payne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defence (No.2) 1939 (Act No. 38 of 1939)</td>
<td>Mr Curtin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply and Development (No. 2) 1939 (Act No.40 of 1939)</td>
<td>Mr Curtin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrimonial Causes 1955 (Act No. 29 of 1955)</td>
<td>Mr Joske</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Capital Territory Evidence (Temporary Provisions) 1971 (Act No. 66 of 1971)</td>
<td>Senator Murphy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Telegraphy Amendment 1980 (Act No. 91 of 1980)</td>
<td>Senator Rae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Elections (Queensland) 1982 (Act No. 31 of 1982)</td>
<td>Senator Colston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax Assessment Amendment 1984 [No. 2] (Act No. 115 of 1984—assented to as Income Tax Assessment Amendment (No. 5) 1984)</td>
<td>Senator Evans*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking and Tobacco Products Advertisements (Prohibition) 1989 (Act No. 181 of 1989)</td>
<td>Senator Powell*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliamentary Presiding Officers Amendment 1992 (Act No. 163 of 1992)</td>
<td>Senator Colston*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adelaide Airport Curfew 1999 (Act No. 29 of 2000)</td>
<td>Mrs Gallus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* sponsored by the Government in the House of Representatives.

---

68 VP 1993-95/1058.
69 H.R. Deb (12.5.94) 876. The notice was later withdrawn.
70 Introduced by the Leader of the Opposition, VP 1993-95/2228-9. The second reading debate and referral to the committee occurred during government business time, VP 1993-95/2286-87.
One of the most important non-government bills ever passed was the Parliamentary Privileges Bill which was assented to in 1987, having been sponsored by President McClelland and Speaker Child. Other bills introduced by the Presiding Officers have related to the administration of the Parliament. In 1999 the Parliamentary Service Bill, which had been presented by Speaker Andrew, was assented to. It provided a new legislative framework for the parliamentary departments and paralleled changes sponsored by the Government in respect of the public service generally.

### TABLE 9
BILLS SPONSORED BY THE SPEAKER AND PASSED BY HOUSE 1901–2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill-initiator in House</th>
<th>Bill</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Child</td>
<td>Public Service (Parliamentary Departments) Amendment 1988 Passed House only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Martin</td>
<td>Public Service (Parliamentary Departments) Amendment 1993 Passed House only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Halverson</td>
<td>Parliamentary Service 1997 Passed both Houses (amended in Senate). House did not agree to Senate amendments; bill laid aside.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Halverson</td>
<td>Parliamentary Service (Consequential Amendments) 1997 Passed into law (Act No. 189 of 1997).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Sinclair</td>
<td>Parliamentary Service 1997 [No. 2] Passed both Houses (amended in Senate). House did not agree to Senate amendments; bill laid aside.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**GRIEVANCE DEBATE**

**Origins**

The grievance debate is derived from the centuries old financial procedures of the House of Commons. The traditional insistence of the Commons on considering grievances before granting supply to the Crown found expression in the practice of prefacing consideration in Committee of Supply by the motion ‘That Mr Speaker do now leave the Chair’. The question now proposed in the House of Representatives is ‘That grievances be noted’. It is because of the procedural origins of the grievance debate that it is listed on the Notice Paper as an order of the day under government business, rather than private Members’ business.

**Programming of the debate**

The first order of the day, government business, on each sitting Monday, is grievance debate. It is called on following private Members’ business. The question proposed by the Chair is ‘That grievances be noted’, to which question any Member may address the Chair. If consideration of the question has not concluded after one hour and twenty

---

71 See Ch. on ‘Parliamentary privilege’. 
minutes, the debate is interrupted and the question put by the Chair. In addition, when the House has not met on a Monday, sometimes arrangements have been made to enable a grievance debate to take place on another day. The House may postpone the order of the day, or agree to the question ‘That grievances be noted’ without debate, if it desires to progress to other business.

Scope of the debate

Any Member may address the House on, or move an amendment to, the question ‘That grievances be noted’ but, in practice, Ministers rarely participate in order to give more private Members the opportunity to speak. A Member’s speech is limited to 10 minutes and it is the traditional practice for the first speaker to be called from the Opposition. The grievance debate is regarded by private Members as a most useful opportunity to raise matters in which they have a particular interest or to ventilate complaints of constituents. A wide-ranging debate, similar in scope to that which may occur on the motion for the adjournment of the House, may take place. A matter which has been the subject of a debate earlier in the session may be referred to, but the earlier debate itself may not be revived unless the allusion is relevant to a new aspect or matter which the Member is raising. This restriction does not prevent reference to previous grievance or adjournment debates.

The scope of an amendment to the question ‘That grievances be noted’ is practically unlimited and debate may then cover both the main question and the amendment. The purpose of an amendment is primarily to seek a resolution of the House or to focus attention on a particular subject. In 1908 a Member who had placed a notice of motion under general business on the Notice Paper subsequently withdrew the notice and moved it in the form of an amendment to the grievance motion. The amendment procedure was frequently used in the House until about 1924 but is now very rarely used. Only three amendments have been agreed to, two of them involving amendments to proposed amendments.

MEMBERS’ 90 SECOND STATEMENTS

During this 15 minute period (from 1.45 until 2 p.m. on Mondays), any Member other than a Minister (or Parliamentary Secretary) may be called by the Chair to make a statement on any topic of concern for a period not exceeding 90 seconds. The call is alternated between government and non-government Members, subject to the proviso that Members who have not received the call are given priority over Members who have already spoken. Independent Members have been given the call with the frequency appropriate to their representation in the House. Opposition frontbench Members do not receive precedence. If no other Member rises to make a statement, a Member who has already spoken may speak again. The calling of quorums, the raising of spurious points

---

72 S.O. 106.
75 VP 1974–75/452.
76 S.O. 91.
77 H.R. Deb. (20.9.73) 1333.
78 NP 111(8.4.08) 527; VP 1907–08/377.
81 S.O. 106A.
of order and other disruptive tactics are not in accord with the spirit of the procedure and have not been tolerated.\(^{82}\) The normal speech timing clocks are not used—the time taken by each Member is monitored with a stopwatch or digital timer.

When called, as well as being able to make a statement to the House, a Member may give notice of intention to move a motion or present a bill by stating its terms to the House and delivering its terms in writing to the Clerk at the Table.\(^ {83}\) However, the giving of notices openly in this way is very rare.

A Member may present a petition during this period, provided he or she has written the number of signatories on it, endorsed it with his or her name and electoral division and obtained certification that it complies with the standing orders.\(^ {84}\)

When the House has not met on a Monday (for example, at the commencement of a period of sittings) arrangements have sometimes been made to allow Members’ statements to be made on another day.\(^ {85}\)

**MEMBERS’ STATEMENTS IN THE MAIN COMMITTEE**

Periods are reserved for statements by Members at the start of Main Committee proceedings on Wednesdays and Thursdays when the usual time for the committee to meet is 9.40 a.m. This opportunity only occurs if the Committee meets before 10 a.m. and lasts for 18 minutes or until 10 a.m., whichever is the earlier. Any Member other than a Minister, but including Parliamentary Secretaries, may speak for up to 3 minutes.\(^ {86}\) The procedure has operated since 1998, initially on Thursdays only but was extended to Wednesdays from May 1999. Provision for Parliamentary Secretaries to speak was also introduced at that time.\(^ {87}\)

A Member may present a petition during this period subject to the same requirements as apply to presentation during 90 second statements in the House.\(^ {88}\)

**ADJOURNMENT DEBATE**

 Debate on the question ‘That the House do now adjourn’ is specifically exempted from the normal rules of relevance applying to other debates,\(^ {89}\) and by this means the adjournment debate provides Members with an opportunity to speak on any matter they wish to raise. The opportunities provided in adjournment debates in terms of the total time available to private Members are not inconsiderable. Because of this, and because an adjournment debate, even if abbreviated, takes place on a majority of sitting days, the adjournment debate is particularly valued by Members.

Although, technically, Ministers are not excluded from participation in the adjournment debate, in practice the period is regarded as an opportunity for private Members. Objection has been raised when Parliamentary Secretaries have participated.\(^ {90}\)

\(^ {82}\) H. R. Deb. (17.3.88) 983; H.R. Deb. (6.5.93) 289.
\(^ {83}\) H.R. Deb. (7.9.89) 1155.
\(^ {84}\) S.O. 117.
\(^ {86}\) S.O. 275A
\(^ {87}\) H.R. Deb. (31.3.1999) 4898.
\(^ {88}\) S.O. 117.
\(^ {89}\) S.O. 81.
\(^ {90}\) H.R. Deb. (30.9.97) 8832—3—point of order objecting to Parliamentary Secretary’s participation disallowed.
The Speaker has stated that he would give preference for the call to backbenchers over front benchers from the same side of the House.\(^91\)

The standing orders provide for a half hour adjournment debate to take place at the end of every sitting day. The detailed arrangements for the moving of the motion or the proposing of the question for the adjournment of the House are described in the Chapter on ‘Routine of business and the sitting day’. In brief, when the motion for the adjournment is not moved by a Minister, the automatic adjournment provisions apply as follows:

- the Speaker interrupts proceedings to propose the question ‘That the House do now adjourn’ at 10.30 p.m. on Mondays and Tuesdays, at 7.30 p.m. on Wednesdays and at 5.30 p.m. on Thursdays;
- a Minister may require the question to be put forthwith without debate. If the question is then negatived, the House resumes its proceedings at the point at which they were interrupted;
- if the question is not put immediately, it may be debated. No amendment can be moved to the question.\(^92\)

In all cases, whether the adjournment debate has been initiated by a Minister or by the Chair, if debate is still continuing at 11 p.m. on Mondays and Tuesdays, at 8 p.m. on Wednesdays, or at 6 p.m. on Thursdays, it is automatically interrupted by the Chair. At this point a Minister may require that the debate be extended for 10 minutes to enable Ministers to speak in reply to matters raised in the debate. If no such extension is sought, or after the extension or on the earlier cessation of the debate, the Speaker automatically adjourns the House until the time of its next meeting.\(^93\) Standing and sessional orders have been suspended, by leave, to enable the debate to extend beyond the normal time.\(^94\)

**Time limits**

Except for the limitation imposed by the automatic interruption by the Chair at the specified time, or such other times in special circumstances as may be specified, each Member receiving the call on the adjournment motion may speak for five minutes.\(^95\) No extension of time may be granted. If no other Member from any part of the House rises, a Member who has already spoken to the motion may speak a second time for a period not exceeding five minutes.\(^96\) Similar time limits apply to Ministers, with the exception that when a Minister’s speech commences just prior to the interruption the Minister may conclude his or her five minutes after the interruption by requiring the debate to be extended. The Minister may then speak for a second period of five minutes, if no other Minister rises.

---

\(^91\) H.R. Deb. (11.3.98) 1040, 1042.
\(^92\) S.O. 48A.
\(^93\) S.O. 48A.
\(^94\) E.g. VP 1993–95/2567–8; VP 1998–2001/712, 1063.
\(^95\) Standing orders have been suspended to enable Members speaking in the debate to speak for one period of 10 minutes, VP 1993–5/1723; VP 1996–98/1054.
\(^96\) S.O. 91.
Debate

Subject to the general rules of debate, matters irrelevant to the motion may be debated. This means that the scope of debate is practically unlimited and provides the private Member with an opportunity to raise matters of his or her choosing.

Through the application of the general rules of debate a Member may not anticipate discussion of any notice or order of the day on the Notice Paper, but the Speaker has regard to the probability of a matter coming before the House within a reasonable time. Debates of the current session may not be revived unless the allusion is relevant to a new aspect or matter which the Member is raising. A passing reference may be made to a previous debate. A Member may reply to matters raised in a previous debate to correct a misrepresentation by way of a personal explanation. Remarks cannot be based on a question asked earlier in the day, but the facts may be stated without dealing with the question. Provided that no other rules of debate are contravened, matters before State Parliaments may be discussed, as may be activities of another Member of the Parliament. Members have customarily advised other Members if they proposed to make remarks concerning them in the adjournment debate, although there is no formal requirement for them to do so.

Call of the Chair

The practice of the House is that an opposition Member receives the first call on the motion to adjourn the House. Subsequently, if Members are rising on both sides, the Chair alternates the call in the normal way, calling the Member who, in the Chair’s opinion, first rose. The call is not alternated if it would lead to a Member who has already spoken being called again in preference to a Member who has not spoken.

On one occasion in 1952, the Speaker gave preference to Members desiring to speak on a particular subject and on a later occasion stated that, although Members were at liberty to debate their chosen subjects, as he had been warned of two subjects he would hear them first. On a later occasion the Speaker required assurances from Members that they proposed to debate certain matters already raised before he gave them the call. These practices were not continued.

Adjournment debate in the Main Committee

Since 1998 a half-hour adjournment debate has also taken place on Thursdays in the Main Committee. The Chair proposes the question ‘That the Committee do now adjourn’ at 12.30 p.m. or on the earlier completion of business and interrupts debate after 30 minutes or at 1.30 p.m., whichever first occurs. The adjournment debate may

97 See Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
98 S.O. 81.
99 See also Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
100 H.R. Deb. (23.3.72) 1196.
102 H.R. Deb. (21.2.52) 256.
103 H.R. Deb. (25.11.53) 529–30.
104 H.R. Deb. (25.10.50) 1395.
105 H.R. Deb. (10.5.73) 2041.
106 See Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
107 S.O. 91.
108 H.R. Deb. (14.5.52) 342.
110 S.O. 274.
also be initiated by the motion ‘That the committee do now adjourn’, which may be moved by any Member. The 30 minute/1.30 p.m. interruption also applies in these circumstances.112 If debate is interrupted by a suspension of the Main Committee because of a division in the House, the duration of the suspension is not counted as part of the 30 minutes.113

The rules applying to the adjournment debate in the House apply, as appropriate. However, any Member (rather than only a Minister) may require the question ‘That the Committee do now adjourn’ to be put forthwith without debate.114 If that question is unresolved, it is deemed to have been resolved in the affirmative.115

MATTERS OF PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

The routine of business provides for discussion of a matter of public importance (MPI) on every sitting day, except Mondays, after the first day of meeting of a Parliament.116 The MPI takes place following the presentation of papers and ministerial statements, shortly after Question Time.

Although a maximum of two hours is provided for the discussion,117 the accepted practice is that by arrangement between the parties, two Members from each side are listed to speak and the discussion lasts for about 50 minutes. On occasions there have been more than four speakers, but this is rare. The subject matter of the discussion does not attract a vote of the House as there is no motion before the Chair.

The MPI is one of the principal avenues available to private Members to initiate immediate debate on a matter which is of current concern. However, although Members on both sides of the House are entitled to propose a matter for discussion, it now appears taken for granted that the opportunity is, on the whole, a vehicle for the Opposition. In practice the great majority of matters discussed are proposed by members of the opposition executive and are usually critical of government policy or administration (or such criticism is made in the discussion itself).

The matter of public importance procedure developed from a provision in the standing orders adopted in 1901,118 which permitted a Member to move formally the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance. The historical development of the modern procedure provided by present standing order 107 is outlined in earlier editions.

Technically, while any Member may initiate a matter for discussion, it is not a procedure which would be used by Ministers as there are other avenues available to them to initiate debate on a particular subject; for a Minister to use the procedure would be regarded as an intrusion into an area recognised as the preserve of shadow ministers and backbench Members.

Proposal of matter to Speaker

Matters are usually proposed to the Speaker by letter in the following form:

---

113 H.R. Deb. (3.6.99) 6086.
114 S.O. 274A(a), e.g. VP 1998–2001/273, 892.
115 S.O. 276.
116 S.O. 101.
117 S.O. 91.
118 S.O. 38.
Dear Mr/Madam Speaker,

In accordance with standing order 107, I desire to propose that [today] [tomorrow] [on Tuesday, . . . ] the following definite matter of public importance be submitted to the House for discussion, namely:

[terms of matter]

Yours sincerely,

[signature of Member]

The proposed matter must be received by 12 noon of the day of the discussion. On occasions when a matter proposed for discussion has not been presented to the Speaker by the time specified, standing and sessional orders have been suspended to allow the matter to be called on.119

The terms of a matter of public importance to be proposed to the House are made known through the Table Office to the Leader of the House or the Manager of Opposition Business, as the case may be, some time after 12 noon on the sitting day in question.

Discretionary responsibility of the Speaker

Standing order 107 invests the Speaker with the power to determine whether a matter of public importance is in order. A Member must present to the Speaker a written statement of the matter proposed to be discussed. In the absence of the Speaker, the practice of the House is that the Deputy Speaker determines whether matters are in order and determines priority, if necessary, before the House meets. The Deputy Speaker performs this function even though, on a particular day, he or she is not empowered to perform the duties of Speaker until the House, pursuant to standing order 14, is informed by the Clerk of the Speaker’s absence.120 In the event of the absence of both the Speaker and Deputy Speaker the Second Deputy Speaker could perform the function.

On two occasions following the resignation of a Speaker, when the House was not due to elect a new Speaker until after the 12 noon deadline, proposed matters of public importance were processed and included on the Daily Program in anticipation of the new Speaker’s approval (the approval of the Member expected to be elected Speaker having been first ascertained).121

A matter is put before the House only if the Speaker has determined that it is in order122 and the Speaker is not obliged to inform the House of matters determined to be out of order.123 Members cannot read to the House (or table) matters determined to be out of order or not selected for discussion.124

The decision of the Speaker is regarded as a decision that cannot be challenged by a motion of dissent, as the Speaker does not make a ruling but exercises the authority vested in the Speaker by the standing order.125 However, on one occasion when two matters were proposed and the Speaker made a choice, a point of order was taken that the matter selected by the Speaker did not contain an element of ministerial responsibility and did not comply with standing order 107. In response to the point of

119 E.g. VP 1985–87/1713.
123 H.R. Deb. (30.9.54) 1767.
order the Speaker ruled that he had exercised his responsibility of selecting a matter which he had determined to be in order. A motion of dissent from the Speaker’s determination that the matter selected was in order under standing order 107 was then moved.126

Members are sometimes requested by the Speaker to amend the wording of their proposed matter in order to make it accord with the standing orders, and Members often consult with the Clerk on the terms of proposed matters. A proposed matter determined to be in order and granted priority appears on the Daily Program if it has not already been issued. If the Daily Program has been issued, a separate notification of the proposed matter is distributed in the Chamber.

More than one matter proposed

In the event of more than one matter being proposed for discussion on the same day (up to five have been so proposed127), the Speaker gives priority to the matter which, in his or her opinion, is the most urgent and important. No other proposed matter may be read to the House that day.128 It had been ruled that such a matter could not even be read as part of a motion,129 but there is a precedent for a motion to suspend standing orders to enable a Member to bring on ‘for discussion a matter of public importance in the following terms: . . .’, the terms being those of a matter submitted but not given priority.130 A matter determined to be in order but not accorded priority has been accepted and accorded priority on a later occasion.131

The Speaker, in judging which is the most urgent and important matter for submission to the House, does so against the background that a principal function of the modern House is to monitor and publicise the actions and administration of the Executive Government. The Speaker cannot be required to give reasons for choosing one matter ahead of another.132

Criteria for determining a matter in order

In deciding whether a matter is in order the following aspects of the proposed matter must be considered:

**Matter must be definite**

The requirements of the House are that a proposed matter must be definite—that is, single, specific and precise in its wording. Prior to 1952 formal adjournment motions had been ruled out of order on the grounds that they were not definite.133 Nowadays a Member would be asked to amend a proposed matter seen as too general or indefinite, before acceptance by the Speaker. The modern view is that the intent and spirit of the standing order is contravened by including diverse topics in the matter, the underlying reasons being:

- that notice of the discussion is limited and, therefore, it is impracticable to prepare for a wide-ranging debate; and

---

128 S.O. 107.
131 Matter not accorded priority on 22 May 1979 was accorded priority the next day, VP 1978–80/792, 806.
133 VP 1932–34/938 (the motion also anticipated an order of the day); VP 1943–44/101; H.R. Deb. (17.3.44) 1562.
• the time limit for discussion is strictly limited and does not thereby allow for an adequate discussion of several disparate matters.

Public importance

In 1967 the Speaker directed that a matter be amended before presentation to the House partly because it dealt with procedure and proceedings of the House which were of domestic concern and could not be considered as appropriate for discussion as ‘a definite matter of public importance’. However, more recent interpretation would allow any matter relating to or concerning any subject in respect of which the House has an authority to act or a right to discuss.

Ministerial responsibility

The Speaker of the House of Commons, in determining whether a matter of urgency is proper to be discussed, is expected to have regard to the extent to which the matter concerns the administrative responsibilities of Ministers or could come within the scope of ministerial action. The Speaker of the House of Representatives will pay regard to these factors in determining whether a matter of public importance is in order. As a reflection of this, standing order 91 (time limits for speeches), prior to 1972, presupposed that a matter would fall within areas of ministerial responsibility by providing that a Minister was given the same speaking time as the proposer in order to reply to the proposer’s speech. The standing order was subsequently amended to take account of those cases where a matter is proposed by a government Member, and now provides for equal speaking time to the Member next speaking after the proposer, whether it be a Minister or a Member of the Opposition.

Anticipation

The rule relating to anticipation provides that no Member may anticipate the discussion of any subject which appears on the Notice Paper and a matter on the Notice Paper must not be anticipated by another matter contained in a less effective form of proceeding, although the Speaker must have regard to the probability of the matter anticipated being brought before the House within a reasonable time. A notice of motion has been withdrawn prior to discussion of a matter of public importance on the same subject.

This rule has somewhat of a qualified application in judging whether a matter proposed is in order. After a long period of sittings the Notice Paper may contain notices and orders of the day on many aspects of government responsibility, so that strict application of the rule could rule out a large proportion of matters proposed. In a statement in May 1986 Speaker Child who had, at the previous sitting, accepted a matter which dealt with a subject covered in legislation listed for debate as an order of the day, indicated that, in her view, the discretion available to the Speaker should be used in a very wide sense. Where the topic of an MPI has been very similar to the subject matter of a bill due for imminent debate, the discussion has been permitted, subject to the

---

135 May, 22nd edn. p. 310.
137 S.O.s 82, 163; and see ‘Anticipation’ in Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
138 VP 1962–63/483; NP 85 (16.5.63) 1467.
139 VP 1985–87/975, 977; H.R. Deb. (26.5.86) 3919.
proviso that the debate on the bill should not be canvassed\textsuperscript{140} or that the bill not be referred to in detail.\textsuperscript{141} An MPI relating to the content of the Budget has been discussed at the next sitting after the presentation of the Budget and prior to the Leader of the Opposition’s reply to the Budget speech.\textsuperscript{142}

\textbf{Current committee inquiries}

A matter of public importance encompassing a subject under consideration by a committee of the Parliament has been permitted.\textsuperscript{143}

\textbf{Sub judice}

There is no specific difference between the application of the sub judice rule to matters of public importance and that which applies to debate generally.\textsuperscript{144} The Chair has ruled that part of a proposed matter was sub judice but allowed discussion to take place on the remainder of the subject.\textsuperscript{145} The Speaker has also upheld a point of order that the latter part of a matter was sub judice. Dissent from the ruling was negatived and the House then proceeded to discuss the matter with the latter part omitted.\textsuperscript{146} In 1969 discussion of a matter before the Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitration Commission was ruled to be in order on the ground that it was not before the Commonwealth Industrial Court.\textsuperscript{147}

\textbf{Matter discussed previously}

The Speaker has the discretion to disallow any motion or amendment which is the same in substance as any question which has been resolved during the same session.\textsuperscript{148} The same principle may be applied to matters of public importance and the Speaker has privately disallowed a matter that was substantially the same as one discussed earlier in the session.\textsuperscript{149} However, more recent thinking has been that a subject can continue to be one of public importance and that the Opposition should not be restricted in bringing it forward again with different wording. Thus matters are submitted and discussed on the same subject as ones previously discussed, the Chair having ruled privately that new, different or extenuating circumstances existed.\textsuperscript{150} It has also been ruled that the scope of a matter was wider than the previous one, debate thus being permitted provided it did not traverse ground covered in the previous matter,\textsuperscript{151} although this would be almost impossible to enforce.

\textsuperscript{140} H.R. Deb. (5.5.92) 2358. The MPI was on the control of entry for permanent settlement and the bill, the first order of the day on the Notice Paper, was the Migration Amendment Bill 1992.
\textsuperscript{141} H.R. Deb. (10.3.98) 845. The MPI was on aged care and the bill was the Aged Care Amendment Bill 1998—debate on bill did not resume until 2 weeks later.
\textsuperscript{142} H.R. Deb. (14.5.97) 3545.
\textsuperscript{143} The subject under inquiry was wastage and the defence force (N.P. (26.4.88) 2171) and this subject was canvassed during discussion on a matter drafted in wider terms (H.R. Deb. (26.4.88) 2056–64); see also VP 1993–95/753 (community cultural, recreation and sporting facilities—an issue subject to an inquiry by the Standing Committee on Environment, Recreation and the Arts).\textsuperscript{144} See ‘Sub judice convention’ in Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
\textsuperscript{145} VP 1974–75/169–70; H.R. Deb. (18.9.74) 1460.
\textsuperscript{146} VP 1962–63/297–8; H.R. Deb. (15.11.62) 2462–74.
\textsuperscript{147} H.R. Deb. (16.4.68) 1145.
\textsuperscript{148} S.O. 169.
\textsuperscript{149} Matter submitted on 23 August 1971 was amended before submission to House so as not to be identical to matter previously discussed on 7 April 1971, VP 1970–72/514, 666–7.
\textsuperscript{151} H.R. Deb. (1.11.50) 1718.
It is normal practice that matters on which no effective discussion has taken place may be resubmitted and allowed during the same session.152

Matters involving legislation

It has been the practice of the House to allow matters involving legislation to be discussed, provided that no other criterion is transgressed. In 1967, however, the Speaker privately ruled that certain words in a proposed matter were out of order. The matter proposed was:

The Government’s failure to maintain the purchasing power of repatriation payments and general benefits and its abuse of legislative processes to prevent debate and voting on the adequacy of Repatriation entitlements.

The italicised words were ruled out of order on the grounds that their primary purpose was to draw attention to the way in which the Repatriation Bill 1967 had been drafted with a restricted title which limited debate to pensions payable to children of a deceased member of the Forces. When the bill was debated at the second reading, an amendment dealing with a wider range of repatriation matters had been ruled out of order as not being relevant to the bill.153 A motion of dissent from the ruling was negatived. The words were also ruled out of order as, by inference, there was a criticism of the Chair, and a reflection upon the vote (S.O. 73) which negatived the motion of dissent. It might also be noted that the wording proposed was deficient in that it tended to raise more than one matter. The matter was submitted and discussed in its amended form.154

Subject that can only be debated upon a substantive motion

A matter of public importance is similar to a motion in that words critical of the character or conduct of a person whose actions can only be challenged by means of a substantive motion, should not be included in the matter proposed.155 A formal adjournment motion has been ruled out of order as it reflected on the conduct of the Speaker which could only be questioned by means of a substantive motion.156 In 1972 the Speaker ruled privately that a matter of public importance should not be the vehicle for the use of words critical of the conduct of a Member of the House.157 It was ruled privately in 1955 that the committal to prison of Messrs Fitzpatrick and Browne, after being found guilty of a breach of privilege, could not be discussed as an urgency matter.

In 1922 the Speaker allowed a formal adjournment motion criticising the judgment and award of a judge in the Commonwealth Court of Conciliation and Arbitration. He ruled that discussion must be confined to the award and such matters as did not involve criticism and reflection on the judge. In giving reasons for his ruling the Speaker saw the matter as one of some doubt which ‘must depend largely on the tone and scope of the discussion’. He had regard to the fact that the Member was debarred from moving a substantive motion because precedence had been given to government business, and he did not feel justified in ruling the motion out of order ‘provided it is clearly understood that, under cover of this motion, no attack or personal reflection can be made upon the Judge or the Court, nor can the conduct of the Judge be debated’.158

152 VP 1977/302, 308.
155 S.O. 75; and see Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
156 VP 1944–45/58.
157 On 7 April 1971 a matter accusing a Minister of ‘provocative behaviour’ was altered. The matter discussed on 21 March 1972 had been altered at the Speaker’s suggestion as it originally contained expressions critical of the conduct of a Member.
Matter proposed withdrawn

Matters proposed which have been accepted and included on the Daily Program have been withdrawn, by the proposer notifying the Speaker in writing. The Speaker has informed the House of this fact when the time for discussion was reached. A matter has also been withdrawn after its announcement to the House. Reasons for withdrawal have included:

- coverage of the subject of the discussion in earlier debate that day; \(^{160}\)
- late commencement of the discussion prior to the imminent start of the Budget speech; \(^{161}\)
- a government motion in the same terms as the matter proposed for discussion; \(^{162}\)
- general agreement to extend the preceding debate; \(^{163}\)
- ‘in the interest of the better functioning of the House’ following debate of a censure motion; \(^{164}\)
- following debate of a censure motion in similar terms; \(^{165}\)
- to make way for motions moved by the Prime Minister \(^{166}\) and Leader of the Opposition; \(^{167}\) and
- to enable discussion of a different matter proposed by another Member. \(^{168}\)

Discussion

Matter read to House and supported

If a matter has been proposed within the specified time, accepted as in order, and accorded priority if more than one matter has been proposed, the Speaker reads it to the House before the calling on of notices and orders of the day. Only one proposed matter may be read to the House each day.

After reading the matter to the House the Speaker calls on those Members who approve of the proposed discussion to rise in their places. The proposed discussion must be supported by at least eight Members, including the proposer, rising in their places as indicating approval. The Speaker then calls upon the proposer to open the discussion.

On occasions matters have not been further proceeded with because of the absence of the proposer \(^{169}\) or because they lacked the necessary support. \(^{170}\) The Member who proposes a matter for discussion must, under the standing orders, open the discussion in the House. However, on one occasion standing orders were suspended to enable another Member to act for the Member who had proposed a matter for discussion. \(^{171}\) On another occasion, when the Member who had proposed the approved discussion had been

---

160 H.R. Deb. (4.11.77) 2901.
161 H.R. Deb. (21.8.84) 2662, 2679.
162 H.R. Deb. (21.8.90) 1153, 1155.
163 H.R. Deb. (20.9.90) 2333.
165 H.R. Deb. (26.5.98) 3701, 3717.
166 H.R. Deb. (24.9.97) 8340. Standing orders suspended to permit the second proposal to be submitted and discussed forthwith.
169 VP 1962–63/463. The Member’s plane had been delayed by fog. H.R. Deb. (7.5.63) 1043.
171 VP 1962–63/463. The Member’s plane had been delayed by fog. H.R. Deb. (7.5.63) 1043.
suspended from the service of the House prior to opening the discussion, standing orders were suspended to permit another Member to move a motion on a related subject.\(^{172}\)

The action of Members rising in their places does not necessarily indicate approval of the subject matter in any way, but simply indicates approval to a proposed discussion taking place. Once a proposed discussion commences the only relevant provision concerning the number of Members present in the House is that relating to a quorum, and there is no requirement that all or any of the supporting Members remain.\(^ {173}\)

**Matter amended**

No amendment can be moved to a matter being discussed as it is not a motion before the House, although, as mentioned earlier, matters proposed are often amended on the suggestion of the Speaker or the Clerk before being accepted by the Speaker. In addition, the Speaker may not be aware when approving a matter for discussion that the matter, or part of the matter, is sub judice. Part of a matter has been ruled out of order in the House on this ground on several occasions.\(^ {174}\)

**Speaking times**

The proposer and the Member next speaking are each allowed 15 minutes to speak and any other Member 10 minutes.\(^ {175}\) A Member may be granted an extension of time by the House.

The proposer of a matter of public importance has no right of reply although a proposer has spoken again by leave\(^ {176}\) and following the suspension of standing orders.\(^ {177}\)

**Interruptions**

Discussion has been interrupted temporarily, following suspension of standing orders, to enable the Budget and associated bills to be introduced\(^ {178}\) and, by leave, to allow a ministerial statement to be made.\(^ {179}\) A discussion has been interrupted by a motion to suspend standing orders to enable a motion to be moved relating to the subject matter under discussion. No such motion has been successful, discussion often continuing after the motion to suspend standing orders has been negatived,\(^ {180}\) but in such circumstances a motion that the business of the day be called on has also been moved.\(^ {181}\) A motion to suspend the standing orders temporarily supersedes discussion of a matter of public importance but the discussion remains as a proceeding still before the House and, as a result, the time taken up by the motion, or any other form of interruption, forms part of a Member’s speech time and part of the period of two hours allotted for the discussion.\(^ {182}\)

**Termination of discussion**

The time allowed for discussion of a matter is limited to two hours. At the expiration of the allotted two hours the discussion is automatically concluded. The House has

---

172 VP 1987–89/527–8; see also VP 1987–89/1273.
175 S.O. 91.
176 VP 1964–66/139.
178 VP 1968–69/489–90, 491.
182 VP 1970–72/920–2; and see Ch. on ‘Control and conduct of debate’.
extended the time for discussion, 183 and further extended the time, 184 by suspending standing orders. The discussion cannot be adjourned and the motion ‘That the question be now put’ is not in order. 185 The motion that a Member speaking ‘be not further heard’ may however be moved. 186

Discussion may be concluded prior to the two hour time limit if no Member rises to speak on the matter and this is now the usual method of ending the discussion. It has become the practice in recent times to limit the number of Members participating in a discussion, by arrangement between the parties, to two (or occasionally three) Members from each side, which means that the discussion is concluded well before the expiration of the allotted two hours and generally in about 50 minutes.

**Motion to call on business of the day**

At any time during the discussion any Member may move a motion ‘That the business of the day be called on’, which question is put forthwith and decided without amendment or debate. 187 The term ‘business of the day’ has been given a wide interpretation to include ministerial statements, announcements of messages from the Senate and the Governor-General, and so on—the motion is in effect a closure. Such motions are, from time to time, moved immediately the proposer has been called by the Chair to open the discussion. It has become common in recent years for the Leader of the House or other Minister to take this action following occasions when the House has spent time earlier in the day on unscheduled opposition initiated debate (for example, censure motion, motion to suspend standing orders to debate a matter, motion of dissent from ruling of the Chair).

**Suspension of MPI procedure**

As well as the premature termination of the discussion by use of the motion to call on the business of the day, priority to other business may be provided by the suspension of standing orders.

Standing orders have been suspended to enable matters to be discussed at a later hour 188 and standing order 107 itself has been suspended until a certain bill has been disposed of. 189

In 1993 the House suspended standing order 107 for several weeks to allow more time for the debate of legislation (in the context of a Senate deadline for the receipt of bills for consideration during the same period of sittings). 190

---

184 VP 1968–69/417.
185 S.O. 107 (there being no question before the House).
187 S.O. 107.