

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

The Constitution vests the legislative power of the Commonwealth in the Federal Parliament, consisting of the Queen represented by the Governor-General, the Senate and the House of Representatives.¹

The making of a law may be subject to complicated parliamentary and constitutional processes but its final validity as an Act of Parliament is dependent upon the proposed law being approved in the same form by all three elements which make up the Parliament.²

The Parliament has power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to those matters defined by section 51 of the Constitution. Other constitutional provisions extend, limit, restrict or qualify this power, so that a full understanding of the Parliament's legislative power can only be gained from the Constitution as a whole. The Constitution in its wording concentrates on the Parliament's legislative power and does not detail in the same manner Parliament's other areas of jurisdiction and functions of substantial importance.³

The Constitution contains certain provisions which affect a Parliament's legislative process, for example, the provisions relating to:

- financial or money bills (see Chapter on 'Financial legislation');
- assent to bills (see page 386);
- bills to alter the Constitution (see page 373); and
- disagreements between the Houses (see Chapter on 'Disagreements between the Houses').

Another constitutional provision of direct relevance to the legislative process is section 50 which grants each House of the Parliament the power to make rules and orders with respect to the order and conduct of its business and proceedings and which, for the purposes of this chapter, gives authority for the standing orders which prescribe the procedures to be followed in the introduction and passage of bills.

BILLS—THE PARLIAMENTARY PROCESS

The normal flow of the legislative process is that a bill⁴ (a draft Act, or, in the terminology of the Constitution, a proposed law) is introduced into one House of

¹ Constitution, ss. 1 and 2—see also Ch. on 'The Parliament and the role of the House'.

² An Act to alter the Constitution must also have the approval of the electors (Constitution, s. 128). See Ch. on 'The Parliament and the role of the House'.

 $^{{\}it 3~See}~particularly~Constitution,~ss.~49,~50,~52~and~Ch.~on~`The~Parliament~and~the~role~of~the~House'.$

^{4 &#}x27;Bill' is thought probably to be a derivative of medieval Latin 'Bulla' (seal) and meaning originally a written sealed document, later a written petition to a person in authority and, from the early 16th century, a draft Act. The process of petitioning the King preceded Parliament. However the increasing part played by the Commons in making statutes was affected by a development of the procedure relating to petitions: the King's reply was entered on the back of the petition and judges turned into statutes such of the Commons requests as were suitable by combining a petition with its response. See Lord Campion, An Introduction to the Procedure of the House of Commons, 3rd edn, Macmillan, London, 1958, pp. 10–14, 22–25.

Parliament, passed by that House and agreed to (or finally agreed to when amendments are made) in identical form by the other House. At the point of the Governor-General's assent a bill becomes an Act of the Parliament.⁵ (The legislative process is presented in diagrammatic form on the back inside cover.)

In the House of Representatives all bills are treated as 'public bills'—that is, bills relating to matters of public policy. The House of Representatives does not recognise what in the United Kingdom and some other legislatures are called 'private bills'6—that is, bills for the particular interest or benefit of any person or persons, public company or corporation, or local authority. Hence there is also no recognition of what are termed 'hybrid bills'—that is, public bills to which some or all of the procedures relating to private bills apply.⁷

On average, about 220 bills are introduced into the Parliament each year. Of these roughly 80 per cent originate in the House of Representatives. Approximately 70 per cent of all bills introduced into the Parliament finally become Acts. The consideration of legislation takes up some 50 per cent of the House's time.

Provided the rules relating to initiation procedures are observed any Member of the House may introduce a bill. Until more recent times there were only limited opportunities for private Members to introduce bills, but in March 1988 new arrangements were adopted and more opportunities became available (*see* Chapter on 'Non-government business').

Form of bill

The content of a bill is prepared in the exact form of the Act it is intended to become. Bills usually take the form described below, although it should be noted that not all the parts are essential to every bill. The parts of a bill appear in the following sequence:

Long title

Every bill begins with a long title which sets out in brief terms the purposes of the bill or may provide a short description of the scope of a bill. The words commencing the long title are usually either 'A Bill for an Act to . . .' or 'A Bill for an Act relating to . . .'. The term 'long title' is used in distinction from the term 'short title' (*see* page 334). A procedural reference to the 'title' of a bill, without being qualified, may be taken to mean the long title. The long title is part of a bill and as such is capable of amendment and must finally be agreed to by each House. The long title of a bill is procedurally significant. Standing orders require that the title of a bill must agree with its notice of presentation, and no clause may be included in any bill not coming within its title. In 1984 a bill was withdrawn as not all the clauses fell within the scope of the bill as

⁵ The text of Acts (the laws of the Commonwealth) are to be found in annual volumes, in consolidations in pamphlet form, and in electronic form—the Attorney-General's Department's SCALEplus database is accessible on the Internet.

⁶ As distinct from a private Member's bill.

⁷ May, 22nd edn, pp. 459.

⁸ Due principally to the fact that the majority of Ministers are Members of the House and also to the House's constitutional predominance in financial matters.

⁹ For the number of bills introduced and Acts passed by Parliament 1901–2000 see Appendix 17. The high level of legislation of the Australian Parliament compared, for example, with the United Kingdom and Canadian Parliaments, is due in part to the constitutional requirement (s. 55) of separate taxing bills for each subject of taxation and the federal nature of the Parliament. For details of bills introduced but not passed into law see Bills not passed into Law and Bills which originally lapsed but subsequently passed, sessions 1901–02 to 1983–84, Department of the House of Representatives, AGPS, 1985.
10 E.g. VP 1993–95/1936:VP 1996–98/258, 2062.

¹¹ S.O. 213. In the case of an appropriation bill, the long title must also agree with the title cited in the Governor-General's message recommending appropriation, see Ch. on 'Financial legislation'.

defined in the long title.¹² Difficult questions can arise in this area.¹³ The long title of a bill also has significance in relation to relevance in debate on the bill (*see* page 349) and to the nature of amendments which can be moved to the bill (*see* page 363).

Preamble

Like the long title, a preamble is part of a bill, but is a comparatively rare incorporation. The function of a preamble is to state the reasons why the enactment proposed is desirable and to state the objects of the proposed legislation.

The *Australia Act 1986* contains a short preamble stating that the Prime Minister and State Premiers had agreed on the taking of certain measures (as expressed in the Act's long title) and that in pursuance of the Constitution the Parliaments of all the States had requested the Commonwealth Parliament to enact the Act. ¹⁴ The *Norfolk Island Act 1979*, the *Native Title Act 1993*, and the *Natural Heritage Trust of Australia Act 1997* are examples of Acts with longer preambles.

Some bills contain objects or statement of intention clauses, which can serve a similar purpose to a preamble—*see* for example clause 3 of the Space Activities Bill 1998. Section 15AA of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901* provides that in the interpretation of an Act a construction that would promote the purpose or object underlying the Act, whether expressly stated or not, must be preferred (*and see* page 399).

Enacting formula

This is a short paragraph which precedes the clauses of a bill. The current words of enactment are as follows:

'The Parliament of Australia 16 enacts:'

The words of enactment have changed several times since 1901. Prior to October 1990 they were:

'BE IT ENACTED by the Queen, and the Senate and the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Australia, as follows:'

Commenting on the original enacting formula, *Quick and Garran* stated:

In the Constitution of the Commonwealth the old fiction that the occupant of the throne was the principal legislator, as expressed in the [United Kingdom] formula, has been disregarded; and the ancient enacting words will hereafter be replaced by words more in harmony with the practice and reality of constitutional government. The Queen, instead of being represented as the principal, or sole legislator, is now plainly stated [by section 1 of the Constitution] to be one of the co-ordinate constituents of the Parliament.¹⁷

Clauses

Clauses may be divided into subclauses, subclauses into paragraphs and paragraphs into subparagraphs. Large bills are divided into Parts which may be further divided into Divisions and Subdivisions. When a bill has become an Act, that is, after it has received assent, clauses are referred to as sections.

¹² VP 1983-84/903-4.

¹³ H.R. Deb. (18.5.88) 2511–18.

¹⁴ Act No. 142 of 1985.

¹⁵ And see D. C. Pearce and R. S. Geddes, Statutory Interpretation in Australia, 4th edn, Butterworths, 1996, pp. 118–9.

¹⁶ For bills with a preamble, the word 'THEREFORE' is inserted here.

¹⁷ Quick and Garran, p. 386. The enacting formula in use in the United Kingdom since the 15th century has been: 'Be it enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:'.

¹⁸ The heading of a Part is printed in capitals and includes a subject summary.

Short title

The short title is a convenient name for the Act, a label which assists in identification and indexing. Clause 1 of a bill usually contains its short title, and this clause describes the measure in terms as if the bill had been enacted, for example, 'This Act may be cited as the 'P Crimes at Sea Act 1999'. Since early 1976 a bill amending its principal Act or other Acts has generally included the word 'Amendment' in its short title. When a session of the Parliament extends over two or more calendar years and bills introduced in one year are not passed until an ensuing year, the year in the citation of the bill is altered to the year in which the bill finally passes both Houses. This formal amendment may be effected before transmission to the Senate after the passing of the bill by the House (when there may be a need to reprint the bill because it has been amended by the House) or before forwarding for assent.

It is not uncommon for more than one bill, bearing virtually the same short title, to be introduced, considered and enacted during the same year.²¹ In this situation the second bill and subsequent bills are distinguished by the insertion of '(No. 2)', '(No. 3)', and so on, before the year in the short title.²² Bills dealing with matters in a common general area may be distinguished with qualifying words contained in parenthesis within the short title.²³ In both these cases the distinguishing figures or words in the short title flow to the Act itself and its citation.

On other occasions a bill may, for parliamentary purposes, carry '[No. 2]' after the year of the short title to distinguish it from an earlier bill of identical title. This is so, for example, when it is known that the earlier bill will not further proceed in the parliamentary process to the point of enactment or when titles are expected to be amended during the parliamentary process.²⁴ This distinction in numbering also becomes necessary for bills subject to inter-House disagreement, in the context of the constitutional processes required by sections 57 and 128 of the Constitution.

Commencement provision

In most cases a bill contains a provision as to the day from which it has effect. Sometimes differing commencement provisions are made for various provisions of a bill (and see below). Where a bill has a commencement clause, it is usually clause 2, and the day on which the Act comes into operation is usually described in one of the following ways:

- the day on which the Act receives assent;
- a date or dates to be fixed by proclamation (requiring Executive Council action). The proclamation must be published in the Gazette. This method is generally used if it is necessary for preparatory work, such as the drafting of regulations, to be done before the Act can come into force. Proclamation may be dependent on the meeting of specified conditions;²⁵
- a particular date (perhaps retrospective) or a day of a stipulated event (e.g. the day of assent of a related Act); or

¹⁹ Note that 'the' is not part of the short title.

²⁰ See definition in Ch. on 'The Parliamentary calendar'.

²¹ For the numbering of appropriation and supply bills see Ch on 'Financial legislation'

²² E.g. the Broadcasting Services Amendment Bill 1999 was followed by the Broadcasting Services Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1999.

²³ E.g. A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Bill 1998 and A New Tax System (Personal Income Tax Cuts) Bill 1998.

²⁴ E.g. Public Service Bill 1997 and Public Service Bill 1997 [No. 2].

²⁵ E.g. Carriage of Goods by Sea Act 1991 (proclamation postponed until Minister had consulted industry representatives).

• a combination of the above (e.g. sections/schedules 1 to 6 to come into operation on the day of assent, sections/schedules 7 to 9 on a date to be proclaimed).²⁶

Unusual commencement dates have included:

- the day after the day on which both Houses have approved regulations made under the Act;²⁷
- a 'designated day', being a day to be declared by way of a Minister's statement tabled in the House.²⁸

Since 1989 it has been the general practice with legislation commencing by proclamation for commencement clauses to fix a time at which commencement will automatically take place, notwithstanding non-proclamation. Alternatively, the commencement clause may fix a time at which the legislation, if not proclaimed, is to be taken to be repealed.²⁹

In the absence of a specific provision, an Act comes into operation on the 28th day after the day on which the Act receives assent. 30 This period acknowledges the principle that it is undesirable for legislation to be brought into force before copies are available to the public. Modern practice is to include an explicit commencement provision in each bill. Acts to alter the Constitution, unless the contrary intention appears in the Act, come into operation on the day of assent.

An Act may have come into effect according to its commencement clause, yet have its practical operation postponed, for example pending a date to be fixed by proclamation.³¹ It is also possible for provisions to operate from a day to be declared by regulation. As regulations are subject to potential disallowance by either House, this practice may not commend itself to Governments. The Australia Card Bill 1986, having passed the House, was not further proceeded with following the threat of such a disallowance in the Senate.³²

Activating clause

When provisions of a bill are contained in a schedule to the bill (see page 336), they are given legislative effect by a provision in a preceding clause. Current practice is for the insertion of an 'activating' clause at the beginning of the bill (usually clause 3) providing that each Act specified in a schedule is amended or repealed as set out in the schedule and that any other item in a schedule has effect according to its terms.

Definitions

A definitions or interpretation clause, traditionally located early in the bill, sets out the meanings of certain words in the context of the bill. Definitions may also appear elsewhere in a bill and for 'amending' bills will be included in schedules. At the end of

²⁶ E.g. where legislation licenses a certain activity, it may be necessary to have sections authorising the issue of licences to have effect to enable licences to be obtained before the sections prohibiting the activity without a licence come into effect. And see VP 1996-98/2033-4.

²⁷ Therapeutic Goods Act 1989

²⁸ Sales Tax (Customs) (Wine-Deficit Reduction) Act 1993, VP 1993–95/396.

²⁹ Office of Parliamentary Counsel Drafting Instruction No. 2 of 1989. There was previously no requirement for a proclamation to be made within any particular time limit, see S. Deb. (24.11.88) 2774–80. The Senate has passed an order of continuing effect requiring details of unproclaimed provisions of Acts to be regularly tabled, J 1987–89/1205.

³⁰ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 5 (except Acts assented to on or before 31 December 1937 which, unless the contrary intention appears in the Act, are deemed to have come into operation on the day of assent).

³¹ E.g. Broadcasting and Television Amendment Act 1982, s. 24; Gazette S298 (29.11.83).

³² H.R. Deb. (6.10.87) 749.

some bills there may be a 'dictionary' clause defining asterisked terms cited throughout the bill.

Substantive provisions

Traditionally, the substantive provisions of bills were contained in the remaining clauses. This is still the practice in respect of 'original' or 'parent' legislation. In the case of bills containing amendments to existing Acts, the modern practice is to have only minimal provisions in the clauses (such as the short title and commencement details) and to include the substantive amendments in one or more schedules.

Schedule

Historically schedules have been used to avoid cluttering a bill with detail or with material that would interfere with the readability of the clauses. In earlier times amending bills commonly included schedules setting out amendments that, because of their nature, could more conveniently be set out in a schedule rather than in the clauses of a bill. During the 37th Parliament the practice started of including in schedules all amendments to existing Acts, whether amendments of substance or of less important detail. Office of Parliamentary Counsel Drafting Direction No. 1 of 1996 made it the standard practice in respect of government bills for all amendments and repeals of Acts to be made by way of numbered items in a schedule. Other items may be included in an amending/repealing schedule (e.g. transitional provisions). Other examples of the types of material to be found in schedules are:

- the text of a treaty to be given effect by a bill;
- a precise description of land or territory affected by a bill; and
- detailed rules for determining a factor referred to in the clauses (for example, technical material in a bill dealing with the construction of ships and scientific formulas in a bill laying down national standards).

While a schedule may be regarded as an appendix to a bill, it is nevertheless part of the bill, and is given legislative effect by a preceding clause (or clauses) within the bill. Schedules are referred to as 'Schedule 1', 'Schedule 2', and so on.

Associated documentation

Bills may also contain or be accompanied by the following documentation which, although not part of the bill and not formally considered by Parliament, may be taken into account by the courts, along with other extrinsic material, in the interpretation of an Act (*see* page 399):

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Since 1995 a table of contents has been provided for all bills.³³ This table lists section/clause numbers and section/clause headings under Part and Division headings. The Table of Contents remains attached to the front of the Act.

HEADINGS AND NOTES

Footnotes (if used), end notes, marginal notes and clause headings (Part, Division and Subdivision headings are deemed to be part of the bill).

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

An explanatory memorandum is a separate document presenting the legislative intent of the bill in terms which are more readily understood than the bill itself. A

³³ Office of Parliamentary Counsel Drafting Direction No. 9 of 1995.

memorandum usually consists of an introductory 'outline' of the general purposes of the bill and 'notes on clauses' which explain the provisions of each clause. When a number of interrelated bills are introduced together their explanatory memorandums may be contained in the one document. From 1986 it was the practice that an explanatory memorandum was presented to the House by a Minister at the conclusion of the second reading speech. Since 1994 the presentation of explanatory memorandums for all bills presented by Ministers (other than appropriation and supply bills) has been a requirement of the standing orders, and the Minister or Parliamentary Secretary presenting the bill has been required to sign the explanatory memorandum. Section 15AB of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1901* provides, among other things, that in the interpretation of a provision of an Act, consideration may be given to an explanatory memorandum.

Preparation of bills—The extra-parliamentary process

Government bills usually stem either from a Cabinet instruction that legislation is required (that is, Cabinet is the initiator) or from a Minister with the advice of, or on behalf of, his or her department seeking (by means of a Cabinet submission) approval of Cabinet. The pre-legislative procedure in the normal routine, ³⁶ regardless of the source of the legislative proposal, is that within five working days of Cabinet approval for the legislation being received by the sponsoring department, or within 10 working days if Cabinet has required major changes to be made to the original proposals, final drafting instructions must be lodged with the Office of Parliamentary Counsel³⁷ by the sponsoring department. Parliamentary Counsel drafts the bill and arranges for its printing.³⁸

A copy of the draft bill is provided to the sponsoring department for its clearance, in consultation with other interested departments and instrumentalities, and the Minister's approval. During these processes government party committees may be consulted. The procedures for such consultation vary, depending on the party or parties in government. When a proposed bill is finally settled, Parliamentary Counsel orders the printing of sufficient copies of the bill in the form used for presentation to Parliament and arranges for their delivery under embargo to officers of the House or the Senate. On occasion, when there has been insufficient time for a bill to be printed, Parliamentary Counsel has faxed a copy of the bill to the House, where photocopies have been made for the Minister to present and for circulation to Members.³⁹

The Government's *Legislation Handbook* states that draft bills and all associated material are confidential to the Government and may not be made public before their introduction to the Parliament, unless disclosure is authorised by Cabinet or the Prime

³⁴ SO 217

³⁵ And see D. C. Pearce and R. S. Geddes, Statutory Interpretation in Australia, 4th edn, Butterworths, 1996, pp. 50–66.

³⁶ In the case of emergency or urgent legislation the normal steps in the extra-parliamentary legislative process may not be observed. For further information on the pre-legislative process see Legislation Handbook, Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Canberra, 1999.

³⁷ The Office of Parliamentary Counsel, under the Parliamentary Counsel Act 1970, is under the control of the First Parliamentary Counsel who is within the Attorney-General's portfolio. The office is responsible for the drafting of bills for introduction into either House of the Parliament and amendments of bills, and other related functions.

³⁸ Bills may be printed in a variety of forms from the inception of a draft bill to its presentation for assent. Some draft bills never proceed beyond the 'proof' stage. The authority to use the material in relation to a bill rests with Parliamentary Counsel until the bill is introduced in Parliament, when it passes to the Clerk of the House while the bill is before the House of Representatives and the Clerk of the Senate while the bill is before the Senate.

³⁹ E.g. Remuneration and Allowances Bill 1990, Remuneration and Allowances Amendment Bill 1990 and Remuneration and Allowances (Amendment) Bill 1990—VP 1990–93/123–4; 129–30; 133–4.

Minister.⁴⁰ Occasionally the Government may publish a draft bill and explanatory memorandum as an 'exposure draft' prior to its introduction to the Parliament.⁴¹

Synopsis of major stages

The stages through which a bill normally passes are treated in detail in the pages which follow. Procedures for the passage of bills provide for the following stages:

- Initiation (S.O.s 211–214).
- First reading 42 (S.O.s 215 and 216).
- Possible referral to a committee for advisory report or to the Main Committee for second reading and consideration in detail stages (S.O.s 217A–217B).
- Second reading (S.O.s 217–220).
- Proceedings following second reading (including possible reference to a select committee) (S.O. 221).
- Consideration in detail (S.O.s 222–233).
- Report from Main Committee and adoption (for bills referred to Main Committee) (S.O. 234–236A).
- Reconsideration (possible) (S.O. 236B).
- Third reading (S.O.s 237–242).
- Transmission to the Senate for concurrence (S.O. 243).
- Transmission⁴³ or return of bill from the Senate with or without amendment or request (S.O.s 244–262).
- Presentation for assent (S.O.s 265–269).

Each of the stages of a bill in the House has its own particular function. The major stages may be summarised as follows:

Initiation: Bills are initiated in one of the following ways:

On notice—The common method of initiating a bill is by the calling on of a notice
of intention to present the bill. The notice is prepared by the Office of Parliamentary
Counsel, usually concurrently with the preparation of the bill. The notice follows a
standard form:

I give notice of my intention to present, at the next sitting, a Bill for an Act [remainder of long title].

The long title contained in the notice must agree with the title of the bill to be introduced. The notice must be signed by the Minister 44 who intends to introduce the bill or by another Minister on his or her behalf. As with all notices, the notice of presentation may be given by delivering a copy to the Clerk at the Table, or in the case of Members other than Ministers, stating its terms to the House during the period for Members' statements on Mondays, and delivering a copy to the Clerk at the Table. 45

⁴⁰ Legislation Handbook, Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Canberra, 1999, p. 38.

⁴¹ E.g. Exposure Draft, New Business Tax System (Consolidation) Bill 2000.

⁴² The origin of the practice of reading a bill three times is obscure. Campion states that by 1580 it was already the usual (but not uniform) practice of the House to read a bill three times. Lord Campion, An Introduction to the Procedure of the House of Commons, 3rd edn, Macmillan, London, 1958, p. 22.

⁴³ A bill coming a first time from the Senate proceeds through all stages in the House as if it were a bill originating in the House.

⁴⁴ As elsewhere in this text, in relation to procedures of the House, unless otherwise stated the term 'Minister' includes Parliamentary Secretary.

⁴⁵ S.O. 211(*b*)(ii). Until 1984 all notices could be given orally.

- Without notice—In accordance with the provisions of standing order 291, appropriation or supply bills or bills (including tariff proposals) dealing with taxation may be submitted to the House by a Minister without notice—see Chapter on 'Financial legislation'.
- On granting of leave by the House—On occasions a bill may be introduced by the simple granting of leave to a Minister to present the bill.
- Senate bills—A bill introduced into and passed by the Senate is conveyed to the
 House under cover of a message transmitting the bill for concurrence. The bill is, in
 effect, presented to the House by the Speaker's action of reading the message to the
 House.

Standing order 211 also provides for initiation by order of the House or by a motion for leave to bring in the bill. These procedures are no longer used.⁴⁷

First reading: This is a formal stage only. On presentation of a bill the long title only is read immediately by the Clerk, and no question is proposed.⁴⁸

Second reading: This is the stage primarily concerned with the principle of the legislative proposal. Debate on the motion for the second reading is not always limited to the contents of a bill and may include, for example, reasonable reference to relevant matters such as the necessity for, or alternatives to, the bill's provisions. Debate may be further extended by way of a reasoned amendment.

Consideration in detail: At this stage, the specific provisions of the bill are considered and amendments to the bill may be proposed or made.

Third reading: At this stage the bill can be reviewed in its final form after the shaping it may have received at the detail stage. When debate takes place, it is confined strictly to the contents of the bill, and is not as wide-ranging as the second reading debate. When a bill has been read a third time, it has passed the House. 49

Classification of bills

Bills introduced into the House may, for procedural purposes, be described as follows:

- Bills, by which no appropriation is made or tax imposed ('ordinary' bills);
- Bills containing special appropriations;
- Appropriation and supply bills;
- Bills imposing a tax or charge;
- Bills to alter the Constitution;
- Bills received from the Senate.

The procedures in the House for all bills have a basic similarity. The passage of a bill is, unless otherwise ordered, always in the stages of first reading, second reading, consideration in detail and third reading. For the purposes of this text procedures common to all classes of bills are described in detail under ordinary bills. As is evident in Table 7, significant variations or considerations apply to bills in other categories and they are described when that category is examined.

⁴⁶ VP 1978-80/1502; VP 1996-98/351.

⁴⁷ Background information on these earlier provisions may be found in previous editions.

⁴⁸ A Member presenting a private Member's bill may make a short statement at this time, see p. 373.

⁴⁹ S.O. 240.

TABLE 7 PROCEDURES APPLYING TO DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF BILLS

Description	Special nature	Provisions of Constitution and standing orders relevant to class ¹	Major stages followed in respect of class ²
ORDINARY Examples Acts Interpretation Bill, Trade Practices Bill, Parliamentary Papers Bill.	Bills that: (a) do not contain words which appropriate the Consolidated Revenue Fund; (b) do not impose a tax; and (c) do not have the effect of increasing, or altering the destination of, the amount that may be paid out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund under existing words of appropriation in the principal Act to be amended or in another Act.	Constitution ss. 53, 57, 58, 59, 60. S.O.s 211–252, 264–269.	Initiation on notice of intention to present; sometimes by leave; bills dealing with taxation may be presented without notice. First reading moved; Clerk reads title; no debate allowed. Second reading moved immediately (usually); Minister makes second reading speech and presents explanatory memorandum; debate adjourned to a future day. Bill may be referred to Main Committee for remainder of second reading and detail stage. Second reading debate resumed; reasoned amendment may be moved; second reading agreed to; Clerk reads title. Consideration in detail immediately following second reading. Amendments may be made. (Report by Main Committee to House, if bill referred; House adopts report) Third reading moved; may be debated; agreed to; Clerk reads title. Message sent to Senate seeking concurrence. NOTE: Detail stage is often by-passed.
SPECIAL APPROPRIATION Examples (a) States Grants Bill; (b) An amending Judiciary Bill to alter the remuneration of Justices as stated in the principal Act.	Bills that: (a) contain words which appropriate the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the extent necessary to meet expenditure under the bill; or (b) while not in themselves containing words of appropriation, would have the effect of increasing, or altering the destination of, the amount that may be paid out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund under existing words of appropriation in the principal Act to be amended or in another Act.	Constitution ss. 53, 56. S.O.s 221(<i>a</i>), 292, 294, 296–8.	Initiation on notice of intention to present, sometimes by leave. Proceedings same as for ordinary bills except that immediately following second reading— Message from Governor-General recommending appropriation for purposes of bill is announced and if required in respect of anticipated amendments to be moved during detail stage, a further message for the purposes of the proposed amendment is announced. Subsequent proceedings same as for ordinary bills.

APPROPRIATION AND SUPPLY Examples Appropriation Bills (No. 1) and (No. 2) Supply Bills (No. 1) and (No. 2).	Appropriation Bills appropriating money from the Consolidated Revenue Fund for expenditure for the year. If necessary, Supply Bills appropriating money from the Consolidated Revenue Fund to make interim provision for expenditure for the year pending the passing of the Appropriation Bills.	Constitution ss. 53, 54, 56. S.O.s 81(<i>b</i>), 220, 221(<i>a</i>), 226(<i>a</i>), 262, 291–2, 295–8.	Message from Governor-General recommending appropriation announced prior to introduction. If required a further message for the purposes of proposed amendments is announced prior to consideration in detail. Initiation without notice. Proceedings otherwise same as for ordinary bills other than for sequence in detail stage.
TAXATION	Bills imposing a tax or a charge in the	Constitution ss. 53, 55.	Initiation without notice.
	nature of a tax.	S.O.s 226(<i>b</i>), 262, 291, 293.	Proceedings same as for ordinary bills.
Examples Income Tax Bills and			Only Minister may move amendments to increase or extend taxation measures.
Customs and Excise Tariff Bills.			NOTE: Governor-General's message is not required.
CONSTITUTION ALTERATION	Bills to alter the Constitution.	Constitution s. 128. S.O. 263	Same as for ordinary bills but with additional requirement for bill to be passed by absolute majority.
Example			
Constitution Alteration (Establishment of Republic) 1999.			
SENATE INITIATED	Same as for ordinary bills.	Constitution s. 53. S.O.s 253–61.	Message from Senate reported transmitting bill to House for concurrence.
Examples			First reading; second reading moved; debate adjourned.
Same as for ordinary bills.			Subsequent proceedings same as for ordinary bills. (Senate bills sometimes referred to Main Committee before moving of second reading)
			Message sent to Senate notifying House agreement or, if amended, seeking Senate concurrence in amendments.

^{1.} Sections 57 to 60 apply to all categories and standing orders relevant to ordinary bills generally apply to all categories.

^{2.} Regular or normal proceedings.

ORDINARY BILL PROCEDURE

'Ordinary' bills for procedural purposes are those which:

- do not contain words which appropriate the Consolidated Revenue Fund;
- do not have the effect of increasing, or altering the destination of, the amount that may be paid out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund under existing words of appropriation in an Act; and
- do not *impose* a tax (an ordinary bill may 'deal with' taxation without imposing it see Chapter on 'Financial legislation').

Initiation and first reading

Ordinary bills are usually introduced by notice of intention to present or sometimes by leave. Ordinary bills 'dealing with taxation' may be introduced without notice. 50 When the notice of intention to present the bill is called on by the Clerk, the Minister (or Parliamentary Secretary⁵¹) in charge of the bill rises and says 'I present the [short title of bill]'. The Minister then hands a signed⁵² copy of the bill to the Clerk. This copy becomes the 'original' or 'model' copy of the bill.

It is the practice of the House that another Minister may present a bill for a Minister who has given notice.⁵³ When the notice is called on by the Clerk, the Minister who is to present the bill rises and says 'On behalf of the ..., I present the [short title]'. 54

There is no requirement for a Minister (or any Member) introducing a bill to present a printed copy. The standing order requires only that a 'fair copy' signed by the Minister be presented to the House. Nevertheless printed copies are usually available when the bill is introduced.

The Clerk, upon receiving the copy from the Minister and without any question being put,⁵⁵ formally reads the bill a first time by reading its long title.⁵⁶ Once a bill is presented, it must be read a first time.⁵⁷ The long title of the bill presented must agree with the title used in the notice of intention to present, and no clause may be included in the bill which does not come within its title.⁵⁸ Any bill presented and found to be not prepared according to the standing orders shall be ordered to be withdrawn.⁵⁹

Bills have been discharged because:

- the long title did not agree with the long title given on the notice of presentation;⁶⁰
- several clauses did not come within its long title;⁶¹ and

⁵¹ As in other procedures of the House (except those relating to questions) all references to a Minister in the following text can be taken to include a Parliamentary Secretary.

⁵² S.O. 212.

⁵³ VP 1998-2001/925.

⁵⁴ A Minister has presented a bill for another Minister to whom leave had been given, VP 1932-34/895. On 8 September 1932 the Prime Minister moved a notice for leave to bring in a bill on behalf of the Minister for Commerce, VP 1932–34/304. When the bill was brought up in May 1933 the Minister for Commerce had resigned from the Ministry, and a third Minister presented the bill, VP 1932-34/665.

⁵⁵ Prior to 1963, under superseded procedures, a question was put on the first reading. The question could be decided on division and there is an instance of the first reading being negatived on division, VP 1940-43/483

⁵⁶ S.O. 216.

⁵⁷ H.R. Deb. (28.3.73) 809.

⁵⁸ S.O. 213; VP 1983-84/904

⁵⁹ S.O. 214; VP 1985-87/520.

⁶⁰ VP 1983-84/903-4.

⁶¹ VP 1985-87/520.

• the long title described in the Governor-General's message recommending appropriation did not agree with the long title. 62

A bill is not out of order if it refers to a bill that has not yet been introduced, ⁶³ and a bill may be introduced which proposes to amend a bill not yet passed. ⁶⁴

As no question is proposed or put, no debate can take place at the first reading stage. However, special provisions apply to the first reading of private Member's bills and the Member presenting the bill may make a statement at this time—*see* page 373.

Immediately after presentation, the usual practice is that the Minister moves that the bill be read a second time and presents the bill's explanatory memorandum. Copies of the bill and the explanatory memorandum are made available to Members in the Chamber. A bill is treated as confidential by the officers of the House until it is presented, and no distribution is made until that time. Leave has been given for the presentation of a replacement copy of a bill after it was learnt that there were printing errors in the copy presented originally.⁶⁵

The application of the same question rule to bills

The Speaker has the discretionary power under standing order 169 to disallow any motion the same in substance as another resolved during the same session. Proceedings on a bill are taken to be 'resolved' in this context when a decision has been made on the second reading, and the rule does not prevent identical bills merely being introduced. Sections 57 (double dissolution) and 128 (constitution alteration) of the Constitution, relating to the resolution of disagreements between the Houses, provide for the same bills to be passed a second time after an interval of three months. These provisions over-ride the standing order. These

In using his or her discretion in respect of a bill the Speaker would pay regard to the purpose of the rule, which is to prevent obstruction or unnecessary repetition, and the reason for the second bill. Hence, in addition to the cases provided for in the Constitution, a Speaker might not seek to apply the rule to cases arising from Senate disagreement, and in the normal course of events it is only at such times that a bill would be reintroduced in the House and passed a second time. For example, there have been occasions when the Senate has rejected, or delayed the passage of, bills transmitted from the House and the House has again passed the bills without waiting the three months period. In one case standing order 169 was suspended, although in view of the Speaker's discretion in this matter the suspension may not have been necessary. It is also possible that a bill could seek to reintroduce provisions of a bill previously passed by the House but subsequently deleted from the bill by Senate amendment.

⁶² VP 1934–37/306–9. The States Grants (Administration of Controls Reimbursement) Bill 1951 was not introduced as intended on 26 September 1951, as a check indicated that the long title did not agree with the terms of the Administrator's message. A new message was prepared and the bill introduced on the next day, VP 1951–53/86, 106.

⁶³ H.R. Deb. (26.9.24) 4846.

⁶⁴ E.g. the Conciliation and Arbitration Bill (No. 2) 1951, 'A Bill for an Act to amend the Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1904–1950, as amended by the Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1951', which was introduced in the House on 14 March 1951 (VP 1950–51/327), when the Conciliation and Arbitration Bill 1951 was with the Senate (passed by the House on 9 March, VP 1950–51/319–20, and introduced in the Senate on 15 March, J 1950–51/226).

⁶⁵ VP 1993-95/2241.

⁶⁶ In each case, the second time a bill is presented it may in certain circumstances include amendments made or agreed to.

⁶⁷ VP 1950-51/189.

⁶⁸ Post and Telegraph Rates Bill 1967 [No. 2], VP 1967–68/123. The second bill was not returned from the Senate.

⁶⁹ In 1975 the main appropriation bills were passed and sent to the Senate three times. The Senate eventually passed the original bills, VP 1974–75/953–6, 1015–21, 1067–70.

⁷⁰ VP 1967-68/123

⁷¹ Health Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 3) 1982; H.R. Deb. (10.11.82) 2998.

Although there is no record of a motion on a bill being disallowed under the same question rule, in some circumstances the operation of the rule would be appropriate. In 1982 two identical bills were listed on the Notice Paper as orders of the day, one a private Member's bill and the other introduced from the Senate. Had either one of the bills been read a second time, or the second reading been negatived, any further consideration of the other bill would have been preventable under the same question rule, but in the event neither bill was proceeded with.⁷²

A number of private Members' bills which have lapsed pursuant to the provisions of standing order 104B have been put forward again. As no resolution had been reached on the previous occasion, standing order 169 was not applicable. ⁷³

Referral to Main Committee or standing committee

At least seven days after the first reading and before the debate on the motion for the second reading is resumed, a motion may be moved without notice 'That this bill be referred to the Main Committee for the remainder of the second reading and consideration in detail stages' or 'That the bill be referred to the . . . [committee] . . . for consideration and an advisory report'. In the case of government bills a Minister may present a list of bills proposed to be referred and (if seven days have elapsed since the first readings of all the bills on the list) move a single motion, without notice, that the bills be referred in accordance with the list. In practice bills are normally referred by leave of the House, or by motion on notice, before the end of the seven day period. The Chief Government Whip, pursuant to powers bestowed by resolution of the House in relation to the conduct of business, rather than a Minister, usually moves the relevant motions. An amendment has been moved to a motion of referral.

When these procedures were first introduced in 1994, referral to the Main Committee or to a committee for an advisory report occurred between the first and second reading stages. The standing order was revised in 1996 to allow, but not compel, referral following the Minister's second reading speech, and this has become the usual practice. In cases where the second reading has not been moved immediately following the first reading (e.g. bills introduced from the Senate), bills have continued to be referred between the first and second reading stages, and Ministers' second reading speeches on these bills have been delivered in the Main Committee.

Proceedings in the Main Committee

The Main Committee is an extension of the Chamber of the House, operating in parallel to allow two streams of business to be debated concurrently. It is an alternative venue rather than an additional process. For a description of Main Committee procedures generally *see* Chapter on 'Motions'.

In respect of legislation, proceedings in the Main Committee are substantially the same as they are for the same stage in the House. A significant difference, stemming from the lack of opportunity in the Committee for divisions, is the provision for the 'unresolved question'. Proceedings on a bill may be continued regardless of unresolved

⁷² Institute of Freshwater Studies Bills, 1981 and 1982.

⁷³ E.g. VP 1990–92/1358, 1782.

⁷⁴ S.O. 217A.

⁷⁵ VP 1998–2001/109, 915.

⁷⁶ VP 1996–98/253; VP 1996–98/843.

⁷⁷ VP 1993-95/2456-7.

⁷⁸ On occasion bills have been referred following the speech of the opposition spokesperson

questions unless agreement to an unresolved question is necessary to enable further questions to be considered, in which case the bill is returned to the House.

At the conclusion of the bill's consideration in detail the question is put, forthwith and without debate, 'That this bill be reported to the House, without amendment' or 'with (an) amendment(s)' ('and with (an) unresolved question (s)'), as appropriate.⁸⁰ If the Committee does not desire to consider the bill in detail it may grant leave for the question 'That this bill be reported to the House without amendment' to be moved forthwith, immediately following the second reading.⁸¹

A bill may be returned to the House at any time during its consideration by the Main Committee by any Member moving, without notice or the need for a seconder, 'That further proceedings be conducted in the House'. 82 A bill may also be recalled to the House at any time by motion moved in the House. 83

Advisory report by standing committee

Pursuant to standing order 217A a bill may be referred to a committee for an advisory report. The motion of referral may specify a date by which the committee is to report to the House. Bills are referred to the general purpose standing committee, or to the committee formed of House of Representatives members of a joint standing committee, 84 most appropriate to the subject area of the bill. The participation of Members who are interested in the bill but not on the committee is facilitated by the provision that, for the purpose of consideration of bills referred for advisory reports, one or more members of the committee may be replaced by another Member. In addition the normal provision for the appointment of two supplementary members to a standing committee for a particular inquiry also applies. 85 Standing and sessional orders have been suspended to enable a private Member's bill to be referred to a standing committee for an advisory report.86

Committee proceedings on a bill are similar to proceedings on other committee inquiries; the committee may invite submissions, and it may hold public hearings before reporting its recommendations to the House. The report is presented in the same manner as other committee reports, with committee members expecting to be able to make statements. Motions to take note of the report are not moved however, as opportunity for debate will occur during subsequent consideration of the bill if it is proceeded with.

After the committee has presented its report, and if the bill is to be proceeded with, the (remainder of the) second reading and the consideration in detail stages will follow in the House, or the bill may be referred for these stages to the Main Committee.⁸⁷ Although on occasion a formal government response may be tabled, 88 the Government's response to an advisory report is usually given by the Minister in speaking to the bill. If the Government accepts changes to the bill recommended by the advisory report, these

⁷⁹ S.O. 277.

⁸⁰ S.O. 234.

⁸¹ S.O. 222(c)

⁸² S.O. 270. The motion is successful even if opposed. VP 1993–95/2477–8; 2470 (motion that further proceedings be conducted in the House moved immediately after second reading speech).

⁸³ VP 1998-2001/371.

⁸⁴ These 'deemed' committees operate according to the provisions applying to the general purpose standing committees,

⁸⁵ S.O. 324.

⁸⁶ VP 1993-95/2286-7.

⁸⁷ S.O. 217B.

⁸⁸ VP 1993-95/1151.

are incorporated into government amendments moved during the consideration in detail stage.

Although the standing orders provide for bills to be referred, without notice, to a committee at least seven days after the first reading and before the resumption of debate on the motion for the second reading, referral at other times (e.g. before the seven days expires or during debate on the second reading) may occur by motion on notice⁸⁹ or following the suspension of standing orders.⁹⁰ A bill cannot be referred after the completion of its consideration in detail.⁹¹

Standing and sessional orders have been suspended to enable bills to be referred to a joint committee for an advisory report. 92

BILL REFERRED DIRECTLY BY MINISTER

Standing order 324 establishing the general purpose standing committees provides for the referral, by the House or a Minister, of any matter, including a pre-legislation proposal or bill, for standing committee consideration. Recently it has become common for bills to be referred to a committee by a Minister directly (that is, without action in the Chamber), prior to 93 or even after 4 its introduction to the House, rather than through the advisory report mechanism provided by standing order 217A.

Second reading

The second reading is arguably the most important stage through which a bill has to pass. The whole principle of the bill is at issue at the second reading stage, and is affirmed or denied by a vote of the House.

Moving and second reading speech

Copies of a bill having been made available in the Chamber, the second reading may be moved immediately after the first reading (the usual practice) or, by leave of the House, at a later hour that day. If the second reading is moved at a later hour, debate may not proceed immediately unless leave of the House is obtained. The arrangements for private Members' bills provide that after the first reading, the motion for the second reading shall be set down on the Notice Paper for the next sitting.⁹⁵

On the infrequent occasions when copies of the bill are not available, leave may be granted for the second reading to be moved forthwith, ⁹⁶ or at a later hour that day. ⁹⁷ If leave is refused, the second reading is set down for the next sitting. ⁹⁸ Alternatively standing orders may be suspended to enable the second reading to be moved forthwith. ⁹⁹ It is the practice at the commencement of a new session for a Minister to place a contingent notice of motion on the Notice Paper as follows:

Contingent on any bill being brought in and read a first time: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the second reading being made an order of the day for a later hour. 100

```
89 VP 1996-98/843.
```

⁹⁰ VP 1993–95/921–2.

⁹¹ S.O. 224.

⁹² VP 1993–95/2678; VP 1996–98/265, 2919.

⁹³ H.R. Deb. (9.2.95) 835.

⁹⁴ H.R. Deb. (13.5.99) 5420.

⁹⁵ S.O. 104A.

⁹⁶ VP 1968-69/583 (copies of the National Health Bill 1969 not available for distribution).

⁹⁷ VP 1950–51/151.

⁹⁸ VP 1956-57/49.

⁹⁹ Either without notice, VP 1951–53/443; or pursuant to contingent notice, VP 1956–57/109.

¹⁰⁰ First given regularly, NP 27 (9.5.56) 138.

A motion pursuant to this contingent notice, only once moved in the House to date, ¹⁰¹ requires the concurrence of only a simple majority to be effective.

If the second reading is not to be moved forthwith, a future day is appointed for the second reading. The House appoints, on motion moved by the Minister, the day (that is, the next sitting or some later date) for the second reading to be moved. The motion is open to amendment and debate. An amendment must be in the form to omit 'the next sitting' in order to substitute a specific date or day. Debate on the motion or amendment is restricted to the appointment of a day on which the second reading is to be moved, and reference must not be made to the terms of the bill. The second reading is set down as an order of the day on the Notice Paper for the next sitting or a specific date.

During the 37th Parliament the House adopted the practice of having bills presented together with explanatory memorandums, with the second reading not being moved immediately following the first reading but being made an order of the day for the next sitting. When the order was called on on a later day, the Minister moved the second reading, delivered his or her second reading speech, and further debate followed immediately. This practice was discontinued on the recommendation of the Procedure Committee, which felt that it helped Members to have the terms of the Minister's second reading speech available when preparing their own speeches. ¹⁰⁶

There may be reasons, other than the unavailability of printed copies of the bill, for the second reading to be set down for a future day. The Government may want to make public the terms of proposed legislation, with a view to enabling Members to formulate their position over a period in advance of the Minister's second reading speech and the second reading debate. ¹⁰⁷

The common practice, however, is for the second reading to be moved immediately after the bill has been read a first time. The terms of the motion for the second reading are 'That this bill be now read a second time' and in speaking to this motion the Minister makes the second reading speech, explaining, inter alia, the purpose and general principles and effect of the bill. This speech should be relevant to the contents of the bill. ¹⁰⁹ The time limit for the Minister's second reading speech (for all bills except the main appropriation bill for the year) is 30 minutes. ¹¹⁰ A second reading speech plays an important role in the legislative process and its contents may be taken into account by the courts in the interpretation of an Act (*see* page 399). Ministers are expected to deliver a second reading speech even if the speech has already been made in the Senate. It is not accepted practice for such speeches to be incorporated in Hansard. ¹¹¹

¹⁰¹ VP 1985-87/1071; H.R. Deb. (20.8.86) 288 (moved after the second reading speech).

¹⁰² S.O. 217.

¹⁰³ VP 1956-57/50.

¹⁰⁴ H.R. Deb. (9.6.03) 587.

¹⁰⁵ NP 46 (11.2.75) 5085.

¹⁰⁶ PP 108 (1995), pp. 3-4.

¹⁰⁷ H.R. Deb. (12.2.75) 134.

¹⁰⁸ S.O. 217.

¹⁰⁹ The Deputy Speaker explained to a Minister whose second reading speech was ranging beyond the contents of a bill that a certain latitude was allowed during a second reading speech. However when the second reading debate occurred it would be difficult for the Chair to rule against speeches made in reply to the subjects raised by the Minister, H.R. Deb. (22.2.72) 38–41.

¹¹⁰ S.O. 91.

¹¹¹ For an exception to this rule see H.R. Deb. (27.8.80) 804–13. This instance preceded the comprehensive position set down by Speakers Snedden and Jenkins on the incorporation of material in Hansard (H.R. Deb. (21.10.82) 2339–40; (10.5.83) 341–2. On one occasion, instead of a second reading speech being made in the normal manner Members were referred to the Senate Hansard (H.R. Deb. (30.11.95) 4447), and on another a brief summary of the provisions was given and Members then referred to the Senate Hansard (H.R. Deb. (12.11.92) 3359). On one occasion, by leave, a Minister tabled the second reading speech to a Senate bill without reading it, VP 1996–98/1824–5, H.R. Deb. (27.6.97) 6623.

When the second reading has been moved forthwith pursuant to S.O. 217, it is mandatory¹¹² for debate to be adjourned after the Minister's speech, normally on a formal motion of a member of the opposition executive. There can be no division on the adjournment of the debate under these circumstances.¹¹³ A question is then put in the form 'That the resumption of the debate be made an order of the day for the next sitting'. This motion is open to amendment and debate, although neither is usual. Debate on the motion or amendment is restricted to the appointment of the day on which debate on the second reading is to be resumed and reference must not be made to the terms of the bill. An amendment must be in the form to omit 'the next sitting' in order to substitute a specific day or date, for example, 'Tuesday next', 114 or '11 December 1989'. 115

Resumption of debate

Debate may not be resumed for some time, depending on the Government's legislative program, and during this time public and Members' attitudes to the proposal may be formulated.

An order of the day set down for a specified day is not necessarily order of the day No. 1 for that day, nor does it necessarily mean that the item will be considered on that day. 116

The fixing of a day for the resumption of a debate is a resolution of the House and may not be varied without a rescission (on seven days' notice) of the resolution. However, a rescission motion could be moved by leave or after suspension of standing orders. In 1973 the order of the House making the second reading of a bill an order of the day for the next sitting was rescinded on motion, by leave, and the second reading made an order of the day for that sitting. The purpose of fixing 'the next sitting' or a specific future day ensures that, without subsequent action by the House, the order of the day will not be called on before the next sitting or the specified day.

On occasions debate may ensue, with the leave of the House, immediately after the Minister has made the second reading speech. ¹¹⁹ By the granting of leave, the mandatory provision of standing order 217 concerning the adjournment of the debate no longer applies, and a division may be called on any subsequent motion for the adjournment of the debate. ¹²⁰ Alternatively, after the second reading speech, debate may, by leave, be adjourned until a later hour on the same day that the bill is presented. ¹²¹ If leave is refused in either of these cases, the same effect can be achieved by the suspension of standing orders. The contingent notice described above has been moved to this end after the Minister's second reading speech.

If the second reading has been set down for a future sitting day, on that day the Minister makes the second reading speech when the order of the day is called on, and

¹¹² The mandatory requirement is a provision which ensures that the House will have some time to study the bill before it is proceeded with. This provision does not apply to a second reading moved pursuant to contingent notice, as standing orders have been suspended.

¹¹³ VP 1968-69/117.

¹¹⁴ VP 1970-72/596-8.

¹¹⁵ VP 1978-80/1473.

¹¹⁶ NP 45 (5.12.74) 4942. For example the House resolved on 28 November 1974 to make resumption of the second reading debate on the Family Law Bill 1974 an order of the day for 11 February 1975, VP 1974–75/383–4. The item was listed as order of the day No. 3 but was not called on, NP 46 (11.2.75) 5085.

¹¹⁷ S.O. 170.

¹¹⁸ VP 1973-74/243.

 $^{119\;\; \}text{E.g. VP } 1978-80/1188; \text{VP } 1990-92/1963, 2001; \text{VP } 1996-98/3173.$

¹²⁰ H.R. Deb. (21.3.72) 906.

¹²¹ VP 1968-69/312.

debate may be adjourned by an opposition Member¹²² in the normal way. The second reading debate may proceed forthwith however, as the provision concerning the mandatory adjournment of debate when the second reading has been moved immediately after the first reading does not apply.

As with all adjourned debates, when an adjourned second reading debate is resumed, the Member who moved the adjournment of the debate is entitled to the first call to speak. 123 However, usually it is the opposition spokesperson on the bill's subject matter who resumes the debate, and this may not be the same Member who obtained the adjournment of the debate. On resumption of the second reading debate the Leader of the Opposition, or a Member deputed by the Leader of the Opposition—in practice a member of the opposition executive—may speak for 30 minutes. The Member so deputed, generally the shadow minister, is usually, but not necessarily, the first speaker when the debate is resumed.

Nature of debate—relevancy

The second reading debate is primarily an opportunity to consider the principles of the bill and should not extend in detail to matters which can be discussed at the consideration in detail stage. However, it is the practice of the House to permit reference to amendments proposed to be moved at the consideration in detail stage. The Chair has ruled that a Member would not be in order in reading the provisions of a bill seriatim and debating them on the second reading, ¹²⁴ and that it is not permissible at the second reading stage to discuss the bill clause by clause; the second reading debate should be confined to principles. 125

However, debate is not strictly limited to the contents of the bill and may include reasonable reference to:

- matters relevant to the bill;
- the necessity for the proposals;
- alternative means of achieving the bill's objectives;
- the recommendation of objectives of the same or similar nature; and
- reasons why the bill's progress should be supported or opposed.

However, discussion on these matters should not be allowed to supersede debate on the subject matter of the bill.

When a bill has a restricted title and a limited subject matter, the application of the relevancy rule for second reading debate is relatively simple to interpret. The For example, the Wool Industry Amendment Bill 1977, the long title of which was 'A Bill for an Act to amend section 28A of the Wool Industry Act 1972', 127 had only three clauses and its object was to amend the Wool Industry Act 1972 so as to extend the statutory accounting provisions in respect of the floor price scheme for wool to include the 1977-78 season. Debate could not exceed these defined limits.¹²⁸ The Overseas Students Tuition Assurance Levy Bill 1993 was a bill for an Act to allow levies to be imposed by the rules of a tuition assurance scheme established for the purposes of section 7A of the

¹²² VP 1974-75/449.

¹²³ S.O. 88; H.R. Deb. (16.9.58) 1251.

¹²⁴ H.R. Deb. (24.11.20) 6906.

¹²⁵ H.R. Deb. (22.11.32) 2601.

¹²⁶ H.R. Deb. (29.3.35) 541-2.

¹²⁷ VP 1977/149. Act No. 43 of 1977.

¹²⁸ H.R. Deb. (26.5.77) 1941.

Education Services for Overseas Students (Registration of Providers and Financial Regulation) Act 1991, and contained only three clauses, thus allowing only a limited scope for debate.

To a lesser extent, the relevancy rule is easily interpreted for a bill with a restricted title to amend named parts of the principal Act, even though the bill may contain a greater number of clauses than the above examples. The Speaker ruled that the scope of debate on the States Grants (Special Financial Assistance) Bill 1953 should not permit discussion of the ways in which the States might spend the sums granted, that the limits of the debate were narrow and that he would confine the debate to whether the sums should be granted or not. The Speaker's ruling was dissented from, following which the Speaker stated that the expenditure methods of the States were clearly open for discussion.¹²⁹ Good examples of amending bills with restricted titles were the Ministers of State Amendment Bill 1988, the long title of which was 'A Bill for an Act to amend section 5 of the Ministers of State Act 1952', 130 and the Veterans' Entitlements Amendment (Male Total Average Weekly Earnings) Bill 1998, its long title being 'A Bill for an Act to amend section 198 of the Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986 to allow increases in the rate of pension payable under paragraph 30(1)(a) of that Act to the widow or widower of a deceased veteran to take account of Male Total Average Weekly Earnings'.

When a bill has an unrestricted title, for example, the Airports Bill 1995, whose long title was 'A Bill for an Act about airports' and which contained a large number of clauses, the same principles of debate apply, but the scope of the subject matter of the bill may be so wide that definition of relevancy is very difficult. However, debate should still conform to the rules for second reading debates. General discussion of a matter in a principal Act which is not referred to in the amending bill being debated has been prevented.¹³¹

Second reading amendment

An amendment to the question 'That this Bill be now read a second time' may be moved by any Member (but generally would be moved by an opposition Member). Known as a second reading amendment, it may only take one of two forms—that is, a '6 months' amendment (see page 355) or a 'reasoned amendment'. 132

A reasoned amendment enables a Member to place on record any special reasons for not agreeing to the second reading, or alternatively, for agreeing to a bill with qualifications without actually recording direct opposition to it. It is usually declaratory of some principle adverse to or differing from the principles, policy or provisions of the bill. It may express opinions as to any circumstances connected with the introduction or prosecution of the bill or it may seek further information in relation to the bill by committees or commissions, or the production of papers or other evidence.

RELEVANCY AND CONTENT

The standing orders¹³³ specify rules governing the acceptability of reasoned amendments. An amendment must be relevant to the bill. 134 In relation to a bill with a

¹²⁹ VP 1951-53/714; H.R. Deb. (8.10.53) 1170.

¹³⁰ H.R. Deb. (14.4.88) 1635

¹³¹ H.R. Deb. (19.11.35) 1768-9

¹³² S.O. 220.

¹³⁴ For general examples of amendments ruled out of order as not being relevant see VP 1967-68/18; VP 1970-72/1144.

restricted title, an amendment dealing with a matter not in the bill, nor within its title, may not be moved. ¹³⁵ In relation to a bill with an unrestricted title, an amendment dealing with a matter not in the bill, but which is relevant to the principal Act or to the objects of the bill as stated in its title, may be moved even though the clauses have a limited purpose.

For example, the Apple and Pear Stabilization Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1977 had as a long title 'A Bill for an Act to amend the *Apple and Pear Stabilization Act 1971*' and the object of the bill was to extend financial support to exports of apples and pears made in the 1978 export season. The bill dealt with extension of time of support only, not with the level of the support. ¹³⁶ A second reading amendment to the effect that the bill be withdrawn and redrafted to increase the level of support was in order as the level of support was provided in the principal Act. ¹³⁷ Even though a bill may have a very broad title, an amendment must still be relevant to the subject matter of the bill. ¹³⁸ Reference may be made to the Minister's second reading speech and the explanatory memorandum to clarify the scope of the bill.

The case of the Commonwealth Electoral Bill 1966 provides a good example of acceptable and unacceptable second reading amendments. The long title was 'A Bill for an Act to make provision for Voting at Parliamentary Elections by Persons under the age of Twenty-one years who are, or have been, on special service outside Australia as Members of the Defence Force'. A second reading amendment was moved to the effect that, while not opposing the passage of the bill, the House was of the opinion that the vote should be given to all persons in the 'call-up' age group. The amendment was ruled out of order by the Speaker as the broad subject of the bill related to voting provisions for members of the defence forces under 21 years, whereas the proposed amendment, relating to all persons in the 'call-up' age group regardless of whether or not they were members of the defence forces, was too far removed from the subject of the bill as defined by the long title to be permissible under the standing orders and practice of the House. Dissent from the ruling was moved and negatived. ¹³⁹ Another Member then moved an amendment to the effect that, while not opposing the passage of the bill, the House was of the opinion that the vote should be given to all persons in the Defence Force who had attained the age of 18 years. 140 This amendment was permissible as the practice of the House is to allow a reasoned amendment relevant to the broad subject of

The incorporation of an extensive quotation in a second reading amendment is not allowed. Speaker Halverson ruled that a second reading amendment should not be accepted by the Chair if, when considered in the context of the bill, and with regard to the convenience of other Members, it could be regarded as of undue length, and that it is not in order for a Member to seek effectively to extend the length of his or her speech by

¹³⁵ An amendment proposed by the Leader of the Opposition to the Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitration Bill 1949 was ruled out of order by the Deputy Speaker as it was outside the specific proposals set forth in the long title of the bill, VP 1948-49/344, 358.

¹³⁶ VP 1977/380; H.R. Deb. (1.11.77) 2609.

¹³⁷ VP 1977/422.

¹³⁸ The long title of the Child Care Payments Bill 1997 was 'A bill for an Act to provide for payments in respect of child care and related purposes'. An amendment proposed by the Leader of the Opposition was ruled out of order when the Chair upheld point of order that the amendment did not come within the title and was not relevant to the bill, VP 1996–98/1984–6.

¹³⁹ VP 1964-66/603; H.R. Deb. (12.5.66) 1812.

¹⁴⁰ VP 1964-66/604.

¹⁴¹ H.R. Deb. (28.11.88) 3368.

¹⁴² Private ruling.

moving a lengthy amendment, without reading it, but relying on the fact that the amendment would be printed in Hansard. The Chair has directed a Member to read out a lengthy second reading amendment in full and for the time taken to do so to be incorporated into the time allocated for his speech, giving as the reason that the amendment was larger than that which would normally be accommodated and that he did not want lengthy amendments to become the norm. ¹⁴³

ANTICIPATION OF DETAIL STAGE AMENDMENT

A reasoned amendment may not anticipate an amendment which may be moved during consideration in detail. Following a Member's explanation that an amendment had been drafted not with reference to the clause but with reference to the principle of the bill, an amendment which could possibly have been moved in committee (i.e. the former consideration in detail stage) was allowed to be moved to the motion for the second reading. The principle underlying an amendment, which a Member may not move during consideration in detail, may be declared by means of a reasoned amendment. A second reading amendment to add to the question an instruction to the former committee of the whole was ruled out of order on the ground that the bill had not yet been read a second time.

ADDITION OF WORDS

A reasoned amendment may not propose the addition of words to the question 'That this Bill be now read a second time'. ¹⁴⁷ The addition of words must, by implication, attach conditions to the second reading. ¹⁴⁸ The Senate has not adopted this rule, on the basis that as a House of review, it should be allowed every opportunity to project viewpoints. ¹⁴⁹

DIRECT NEGATIVE

In addition to the rules in the standing orders governing the contents of reasoned amendments, it is the practice of the House that an amendment which amounts to no more than a direct negation of the principle of a bill is not in order.

FORM OF AMENDMENT

The usual form of a reasoned amendment is to move 'That all words after "That" be omitted with a view to substituting the following words: . . .' Examples of words used are:

- the bill be withdrawn and redrafted to provide for . . .
- the bill be withdrawn and a select committee be appointed to inquire into . . .
- the House declines to give the bill a second reading as it is of the opinion that . . .

¹⁴³ H.R. Deb. (7.12.1998) 1503, 1509. An extension of time was agreed to permit the Member to read out the amendment.

¹⁴⁴ S.O. 220; VP 1920–21/90. There is a sound reason for this rule because, if the wording of a second reading amendment is similar to the wording of a detail amendment and the second reading amendment is defeated, the moving of the detail amendment could be prevented by the application of the 'same question' rule (S.O. 109).

¹⁴⁵ VP 1951–53/246; H.R. Deb. (29 and 30.11.51) 3140. The Speaker accepted a second reading amendment, some aspects of which could have been moved in committee, as it was the wish of the House (it was felt preferable to have one clear-cut issue than to be involved in numerous discussions in committee), H.R. Deb. (10.9.52) 1214–16; and see H.R. Deb. (28.9.54) 1666. See also VP 1978–80/727—in this case the proposals of the Opposition were so complicated that resources were not available to draft committee amendments. Following an assurance that the amendments would not be moved in committee, the proposals were incorporated into a second reading amendment.

¹⁴⁶ The amendment was also ruled out of order on the ground of irrelevancy, VP 1912/143.

¹⁴⁷ S.O. 220; VP 1940/87. Until a change in the standing orders in 1965 this prohibition was not explicit and attempts to move amendments seeking to add words to the motion for the second reading were ruled out of order on the basis of House of Commons practice.

¹⁴⁸ May, 22nd edn, p. 505. However, other kinds of amendment with conditional wording have been accepted by the House ('... will not decline to give the bill a second reading if ...', VP 1993–95/1777–8).

¹⁴⁹ J 1977/399; Odgers, 5th edn, p. 309.

- the House disapproves of the inequitable and disproportionate charges imposed by the bill . . .
- the House is of the opinion that the bill should not be proceeded with until . . .
- the House is of the opinion that the... Agreement should be amended to provide...
- whilst welcoming the measure of relief provided by the bill, the House is of the opinion that . . .
- the House notes with approval that, in response to public pressure, the Government has introduced this limited bill, but deplores . . .
- whilst not opposing the provisions of the bill, the House is of the opinion that . . .
- whilst not declining to give the bill a second reading, the House is of the opinion that . . .

MOVING OF AMENDMENT

The fact that the moving of a reasoned amendment permits Members who have already spoken to the second reading to speak again to the amendment may influence the use or timing of the procedure.

Following the suspension of standing orders to enable a number of bills to be considered together and one question to be put on any amendments moved to motions for the second readings, second reading amendments have been moved to six bills in one motion. ¹⁵¹

DEBATE AND QUESTIONS PUT

Immediately the Member moving the second reading amendment has finished his or her speech, the Speaker calls for a seconder. If the amendment is not seconded, there may be no further debate on the amendment and no entry relating to the proposed amendment is made in the Votes and Proceedings. When seconded, the Speaker states that 'The original question was "That this bill be now read a second time", to which the honourable Member for . . . has moved, as an amendment, that all words after "That" be omitted with a view to substituting other words'. The Speaker then proposes the immediate question 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand part of the question'. This question is open to debate. By convention, if the Member has allowed sufficient time, copies of the terms of a reasoned amendment are duplicated and circulated in the Chamber.

A Member who moves an amendment or a Member who speaks following the moving of an amendment, is deemed to be speaking to both the original question and the amendment. A Member who has spoken to the original question prior to the moving of an amendment may again be heard, but shall confine his or her remarks to the amendment. A Member who has spoken to the original question may not second an amendment subsequently moved.

A Member who has already spoken in the second reading debate can only move a second reading amendment by leave of the House. ¹⁵⁴ The time limits for speeches in the debate are 20 minutes for a Member speaking to the motion for the second reading or to

¹⁵⁰ VP 1998–2001/207.

¹⁵¹ VP 1998-2001/233-5

¹⁵² S.O. 160, e.g. H.R. Deb. (10.12.98) 1857—time expired under guillotine before amendment seconded.

¹⁵³ S.O. 176. The question being put in this way aids the division process, government and opposition Members remaining on their respective side of the House.

¹⁵⁴ VP 1987-89/570.

the motion and the amendment, including a Minister or Parliamentary Secretary speaking in reply. A limit of 15 minutes applies for a Member who has spoken to the motion and is addressing the amendment.¹⁵

A Member may amend his or her amendment after it is proposed with the leave of the House (for example, to correct an error in the terms of the words proposed to be substituted). 156 A Member has been given leave to add words to an amendment moved by a colleague at an earlier sitting. 157 An amendment may only be withdrawn by leave of the House. 158

If the question 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand part of the question' is resolved in the affirmative, the amendment is disposed of. 159 Debate may then continue on the motion for the second reading. No amendment may be moved to any words which the House has resolved shall stand part of a question, 160 so it is not possible for a further second reading amendment to be moved.

If the question 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand part of the question' is resolved in the negative, another question shall be put 'That the words proposed to be inserted [the words of the amendment] be so inserted'. 161 If this question is agreed to, a final question 'That the motion, as amended, be agreed to' would then be put.¹⁶⁷

EFFECT OF AGREEING TO REASONED AMENDMENT

As the House has never agreed to a reasoned amendment, it has no precedent of its own to follow in such circumstances. Although it seems unlikely, if a reasoned amendment were carried, that any further progress would be made, it could be argued that the amendment would not necessarily arrest the progress of the bill, as procedural action could be taken to restore the bill to the Notice Paper and have the second reading moved on another occasion.

This view was taken by the Chair during consideration of the Family Law Bill 1974, on which a free vote was to take place, when the effects of the carriage of an amendment expressing qualified agreement were canvassed in the House. 163 The amendment proposed to substitute words to the effect that, whilst not declining to give the bill a second reading, the House was of the opinion that the bill should give expression to certain principles. 164

On that occasion a contingent notice of motion was given by a Minister that on any amendment to the motion for the second reading being agreed to, he would move that so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent a Minister moving that the second reading of the bill be made an order of the day for a later hour that day. 165 Subsequently the Chair expressed the view that the contingent notice would enable the second reading to be reinstated. If the contingent notice was called on and agreed to, the second reading of the bill would be made an order of the day for a later hour of the day. It would then be up to the House as to when the order would be considered (perhaps immediately). If the motion 'That this bill be now read a second time' were to proceed, it

¹⁵⁵ S O 91

¹⁵⁶ VP 1978–80/239; VP 1996–98/1237. 157 VP 1996–98/2913.

¹⁵⁸ VP 1937-40/369

¹⁵⁹ S.O. 176.

¹⁶⁰ S.O. 181; VP 1940/86-7.

¹⁶¹ S.O. 176.

¹⁶² S.O. 186.

¹⁶³ H.R. Deb. (12.2.75) 180; H.R. Deb. (13.2.75) 320.

¹⁶⁴ VP 1974-75/449

¹⁶⁵ NP 56 (4.3.75) 6006.

would be a completely new motion for that purpose and open to debate in the same manner as the motion for the second reading then before the House. ¹⁶⁶

Any determination of the effect of the carrying of a second reading amendment in the future may well depend upon the wording of the amendment. If the rejection is definite and uncompromising, the bill may be regarded as having been defeated. However wording giving qualified agreement could be construed to mean that the second reading may be moved on another occasion.

On the other hand it could be argued that the House may be better advised to follow the practice that, after a reasoned amendment of any kind has been carried, no order is made for a second reading on a future day. This would be consistent with the practice in cases of the second reading being negatived. This is the modern practice in the House of Commons. However, in the House of Commons reasoned amendments record reasons for not agreeing to the second reading and amendments agreeing to the second reading with qualifications are not the practice.

Reasoned amendment in the Main Committee

The view has been taken that an unresolved question on a second reading amendment prevents further consideration of a bill in the Main Committee. ¹⁶⁹

'6 months' amendment

A '6 months' amendment¹⁷⁰ is in the form 'That the word "now" be omitted from, and the words "this day 6 months" be added to the question'. ¹⁷¹ No amendment may be moved to this amendment. The question proposed upon such an amendment is 'That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the question', and if this question is decided in the affirmative, the amendment is defeated and the question on the second reading is then restated. Debate may then continue on the motion for the second reading. The acceptance by the House of such an amendment would mean that the bill has been finally disposed of.¹⁷² This form of amendment is rarely used as, from a debating and political viewpoint, it suffers by comparison with a reasoned amendment. On the last occasion it was moved on the motion for the second reading, the mover proposed to add 'this day six months in order that the Government may confer . . . '¹⁷³ Although the amendment was permitted by the Chair, the inclusion of the additional words was strictly out of order.

Determination of question for second reading

When debate on the motion for the second reading has concluded, and any amendment has been disposed of, the House determines the question on the second reading 'That this bill be now read a second time'. On this question being agreed to, the Clerk reads the long title of the bill.

¹⁶⁶ H.R. Deb. (28.2.75) 934-5.

¹⁶⁷ May, 22nd edn, p. 506.

¹⁶⁸ May, 22nd edn, p. 505.

¹⁶⁹ VP 1993-95/2504-5, 2516; VP 1996-98/363.

¹⁷⁰ S.O. 219; VP 1945–46/419. This form of amendment is identical to the form of a third reading amendment.

¹⁷¹ This procedure originated as a way of avoiding the direct negative, the assumption being that within the time specified the session would be over and the bill would lapse. Josef Redlich, *The Procedure of the House of Commons*, vol. III, Archibald Constable, London, 1908, p. 89.

¹⁷² S.O. 219.

¹⁷³ VP 1961/51.

Only one government bill has been negatived at the second reading stage in the House of Representatives, 174 but there have been a number of cases in respect of private Members' bills. ¹⁷⁵ The accepted practice of the House has been that, in cases where the second reading has been negatived the motion for the second reading has not been moved again.

The modern practice of the House of Commons is that defeat on second reading is fatal to a bill. ¹⁷⁶ In the Senate rejection of the motion that a bill be read a second time does not prevent the Senate from being asked subsequently to grant the bill a second reading.17

Bill reintroduced

Should the Government wish to further proceed with a bill, the second reading of which has been negatived or subjected to a successful amendment, the appropriate course to take would be to have the bill redrafted in such a way and to such an extent that it becomes a different bill including, for example, a different long title. Alternatively, standing orders could be suspended to enable the same bill to be reintroduced, but this would be a less desirable course.

Bill not proceeded with

From time to time a bill will be introduced and remain on the Notice Paper until the reactions of the public to the proposal are able to be made known to the Government and Members generally. As a result of these representations, following an advisory report on the bill from a committee, or for some other reason ¹⁷⁸ the Government may wish to alter the bill substantially from its introduced form. This may not always be possible because the proposed amendments may not be within the title of the bill or relevant to the subject matter of the bill and may therefore be inadmissible under the standing orders. ¹⁷⁹ In this case, and sometimes in the case where extensive amendments would be involved, a new version of the bill may be introduced. If this is done, the Government either allows the order of the day in respect of the superseded bill to remain on the Notice Paper until it lapses on dissolution or prorogation, or a Minister or Parliamentary Secretary moves for the discharge of the order of the day. 180 The new version of the bill is proceeded with notwithstanding the existence or fate of a previous similar bill. Discharge of a bill may occur before the presentation of the second version, ¹⁸¹ or after the second version has passed the House. 182

Proceedings following second reading

Immediately after the second reading of a bill has been agreed to, standing order 221 determines that:

¹⁷⁴ VP 1922/207.

¹⁷⁵ E.g. VP 1937-40/496; VP 1976-77/130, 442-3, 487.

¹⁷⁶ May, 22nd edn, p. 504.

¹⁷⁷ Odgers, 9th edn, p. 253.

¹⁷⁸ E.g. following the report of a joint select committee the Telecommunications (Interception) Amendment Bill 1986 was replaced by another bill incorporating many of the committee's recommendations, VP 1985-87/1029, 1343, 1608 179 S.O. 227.

¹⁸⁰ S.O. 191; VP 1974-75/534. See Bills not passed into Law and Bills which originally lapsed but subsequently passed, sessions 1901-02 to 1983-84, Department of the House of Representatives, AGPS, 1985.

¹⁸¹ VP 1973-74/190 H R Deb (16 5 73) 2219-20

¹⁸² VP 1976-77/512, 524; H.R. Deb. (1.12.76) 3083

- (a) a message recommending an appropriation of revenue and/or moneys in connection with the bill may be announced (this applies to special appropriation bills only);
- (b) a motion 'That this bill be referred to a select committee' may be moved; and
- (c) an instruction of which notice has been given may be moved.

Proceedings on the basis of paragraph (b) or (c) of standing order 221 are rare. If no such action occurs the next stage of the bill (consideration in detail or agreement to by-pass consideration in detail) follows immediately in the case of government bills.

If the motion for the second reading of a private Member's bill is agreed to, further consideration must be accorded precedence over other private Members' business and the Selection Committee may allot times for consideration of the remaining stages (*see* Chapter on 'Non-government business').

Reference to select committee

When a motion is moved immediately after the second reading, under standing order 221, 'That this bill be referred to a select committee', ¹⁸³ the motion is moved without notice, and requires a seconder if moved by a private Member. The motion may be debated, ¹⁸⁴ but debate on the motion should not continue a discussion in the nature of a second reading debate, ¹⁸⁵ nor should the merits of the bill be discussed. ¹⁸⁶ Similarly amendments to be proposed to a bill should not be discussed until the bill reaches the detail stage. ¹⁸⁷ Such a motion has included the names of the members of the proposed committee and procedural matters in relation to its appointment. ¹⁸⁸

Standing order 223 provides that, when a bill has been referred to a select committee and reported, a time shall be fixed, on the motion without notice of the Member in charge of the bill, for the consideration of the bill as reported.

A motion to refer a bill to a select committee may not be moved after the House has considered the bill in detail. The principle involved is that, the House having completed its detailed consideration of the bill, the bill should not be referred for consideration by a lesser body. The moving of a referral motion is not prevented while the bill is being considered in detail. This occurred (following the suspension of standing orders) on one of the two occasions when a bill was referred to a select committee. On the other occasion (following the suspension of standing orders), a bill was referred during the second reading stage, immediately following the Minister's second reading speech, to a joint select committee. The House has not to date agreed to a motion to refer a bill to a select committee immediately after the second reading under standing order 221.

To enable motions to be moved to refer bills to standing committees following the second reading, it has been considered necessary to move the suspension of standing orders, ¹⁹² as standing order 221 was judged not to apply in that the committees were not select committees.

```
183 VP 1974–75/266.
184 H.R. Deb. (24.10.74) 2897–900.
185 H.R. Deb. (18.5.20) 2160–1.
186 H.R. Deb. (21.8.25) 1651–6.
187 H.R. Deb. (18.5.20) 2160–1.
188 VP 1925/66.
189 S.O. 224.
190 VP 1901–02/455, 519–20.
191 VP 1985–87/1029; 1343, 1608—see 2nd edn, p. 392.
```

192 VP 1987-89/225-7: VP 1993-95/2399

Current procedures under standing order 217A provide for the reference of a bill to a general purpose standing committee for an advisory report following its first reading (see page 345). This has meant that for practical purposes the provision under standing order 221 for reference to a select committee is now only likely to be used in the most exceptional circumstances.

A proposal to refer a bill to a select or joint select committee established for that purpose, or in practice any existing committee, may be moved by means of a second reading amendment. 193 Such amendments have on all occasions been rejected by the House.

Instructions to a committee

An instruction empowers a committee, including the Main Committee, to consider matters not otherwise referred to it. 194

Instructions may be 'permissive' to empower the committee to do something which it could not otherwise do, or 'mandatory' to define the course of action which the committee must follow. Before 1950 the House had a standing order enabling an instruction for the division or consolidation of bills 195 under which the following 'mandatory' instruction was unsuccessfully moved: 'That, as the inclusion in a single measure of more than one substantive amendment of the Constitution is unjust . . . it be an instruction to the Committee to divide the Bill into four Bills, so as to allow each proposed alteration to be dealt with as a separate measure'. 196 A 'mandatory' instruction has also been proposed in the following terms: 'That it be an instruction to the

An example of a 'permissive' instruction is: 'That the Committee be instructed by the House that it has power to consider the taking of a census of military service of all kinds and in all places'. This motion was moved pursuant to contingent notice and negatived but may well have been ruled out of order as it proposed to widen the scope of the bill. 198

The standing orders provide that no instruction can be given to a committee to do that which it is already empowered to do, or, in the case of a select committee, to deal with a question beyond the scope of the bill as read the second time. 199 An instruction to the Main Committee requires notice and can only be moved before the Committee has met to consider the bill.²⁰⁰ Examples of such notices (relating to the former committee of the whole) have been notices contingent on the bill in question being read a second time.²⁰¹ A motion which a Member was proposing to move, pursuant to contingent notice, was ruled out of order on the ground that such a motion could not be moved by a Member other than the Member who had given notice of the proposed instruction. ²⁰² The motion of instruction is open to debate, ²⁰³ and an amendment may be moved.

¹⁹³ VP 1959-60/155, 261; VP 1961/133-4.

¹⁹⁴ S.O. 299.

¹⁹⁵ This standing order was omitted from the standing orders adopted on 21 March 1950, VP 1950-51/36.

¹⁹⁶ VP 1910/186

¹⁹⁷ VP 1917-19/278. This proposed instruction was ruled out of order not because of its 'mandatory' nature, nor because it was beyond the scope of the bill (although the clause it proposed to be inserted was ruled out of order as being outside the scope of the bill when it was moved) but because a Member other than the Member who had given notice proposed to move it.

¹⁹⁹ S.O. 300.

²⁰⁰ S.O. 301.

²⁰¹ VP 1937-40/408; NP 45 (3.10.22) 201 (not moved).

²⁰² VP 1917-19/278

²⁰³ H.R. Deb. (7.6.39) 1421-6.

The only instruction to which the House has agreed was in the terms 'That the Committee be instructed that they have power to take into consideration an amendment to allow . . . '. The bill was agreed to in committee with a new clause, added under the power conferred by the instruction of the House.²⁰⁴ However, as in this case the new clause fell within the unrestricted title of the bill in question, the need for the instruction was doubtful.

At the time of the abolition of the committee of the whole, an instruction to the committee had not been attempted for many years. In the modern practice of the House both the necessity for and the practicality of the procedure may be regarded as questionable.

Reference to legislation committee

Thirteen bills were considered by legislation committees pursuant to sessional orders operating from August 1978. Sessional orders were adopted in March 1981 for the 32nd Parliament;²⁰⁵ however no bills were referred. The sessional orders provided that, immediately after the second reading or immediately after proceedings under standing order 221 had been disposed of, the House could (by motion on notice carried without dissentient voice) refer any bill, excluding an appropriation or supply bill, to a legislation committee (in effect, for its consideration in detail stage).²⁰⁶

Leave to move third reading/report stage forthwith

If the House does not refer a bill to a select committee, or if an instruction is not moved and agreed to, the standing orders provide that, at this stage, the House may dispense with the consideration of the bill in detail and proceed immediately to the third reading. If the Speaker thinks Members do not desire to debate the bill in detail, he or she asks if it is the wish of the House to proceed to the third reading forthwith. If there is no dissentient voice, the detail stage is superseded and the Minister moves the third reading immediately. One dissentient voice is sufficient for the bill to be considered in detail. For a bill referred to the Main Committee the equivalent by-passing of the detail stage is achieved by the granting of leave for the question 'That the bill be reported to the House without amendment' to be put forthwith.²⁰⁷

The detail stage is by-passed in the consideration of approximately 75% of bills.

Former committee of the whole

The words 'committee stage' found in earlier publications about the procedures of the House, and also in descriptions of the practice of the Senate and other legislatures, refer to what the House now knows as the 'detail stage' (described below).

Prior to 1994 the consideration in detail stage in the House of Representatives was taken in a committee of the whole—that is, a committee composed of the whole membership of the House (apart from the Speaker). Committee of the whole consideration took place (in the Chamber) at the same place in proceedings as the current detail stage and procedures were similar to current procedures—the essential practical differences being the title (Chairman or Deputy Chairman) and seating position

²⁰⁵ VP 1978-80/321-4; VP 1980-81/133-4.

²⁰⁶ For a description of the operation of legislation committees see pages 331-2 and 341-2 of the 1st edition. See also comments by the Procedure Committee in its About time report, PP 194 (1993) 6.

²⁰⁷ E.g. VP 1993-95/2658-9; VP 1996-98/335; VP 1998-2001/922.

²⁰⁸ Described in earlier editions. The origin of the committee of the whole is covered at p. 233 of the 2nd edition

(between the Clerks at the Table) of the occupant of the Chair, and the time limits applying to speeches.

The abolition of the committee of the whole was one of the reforms flowing from the 1993 Procedure Committee report *About Time: Bills, Questions and Working Hours*, ²⁰⁹ and accompanied other changes to the legislative process, including the provision for bills to be referred to committees for advisory reports, and the establishment of the Main Committee.

Rulings and precedents relating to the consideration of bills in the committee of the whole, where appropriate, have continuing application to the consideration in detail stage, whether in the House or the Main Committee. ²¹⁰

Consideration in detail

After the bill has been read a second time, and if it is the wish of the House or Main Committee, the House or Committee proceeds to the detailed consideration of the bill. The function of this stage is the consideration of the text of the bill, if necessary clause by clause and schedule by schedule, ²¹¹ the consideration of amendments, and the making of such amendments in the bill as are acceptable to the House or Committee. The powers of the House or Committee at this stage are limited. For instance, the decision given on the second reading in favour of the principle of a bill means that, at the detail stage, the bill should not be amended in a manner destructive of this principle, and an amendment which is outside the scope of the bill is out of order. ²¹²

While the House or Main Committee should not amend a bill in a manner destructive of the principle affirmed at the second reading, they may negative clauses the omission of which may nullify or destroy the purposes of the bill. They may also negative clauses and substitute new clauses, such a procedure being subject to the rule that any amendment must be within the title or relevant to the subject matter of the bill, and otherwise in conformity with the standing orders of the House. ²¹³

In the detail stage the title and the preamble (if any) stand postponed without any question being proposed.²¹⁴ The reason for postponing the title is that an amendment may be made in the bill which will necessitate an amendment to the title.²¹⁵ The purpose of postponing the preamble is that the House or Main Committee has already affirmed the principle of the bill on the second reading, and therefore has to settle the clauses first, and then consider the preamble in reference to the clauses only. The preamble is thus made subordinate to the clauses instead of governing them. The words of enactment at the head of the bill are not put,²¹⁶ as these words are part of the framework of the bill.

If a bill is to be considered clause by clause, ²¹⁷ the text of the bill is considered in the following order:

- clauses as printed and new clauses, in their numerical order;
- schedules as printed and new schedules, in their numerical order;

²⁰⁹ PP 194 (1993) 7-8.

²¹⁰ VP 1993-95/807.

²¹¹ S.O. 226. The House or Main Committee may decide to examine the bill in greater detail, e.g. paragraph by paragraph.

²¹² May, 22nd edn, p. 525.

²¹³ S.O. 227. See VP 1974–75/863 for a proposed new clause ruled out of order as it did not come within the title nor was it relevant to the subject matter of the bill.

²¹⁴ S.O. 225.

²¹⁵ S.O. 231.

²¹⁶ S.O. 225.

²¹⁷ In practice, in the majority of cases the bill is taken as a whole or groups of clauses or schedules are taken together, by leave of the House (see p. 366).

- postponed clauses (not having been specially postponed until after certain other clauses);
- preamble (if any), and
- title. 218

In the case of an amending bill—that is, a bill whose principal purpose is to amend an existing Act or Acts²¹⁹—the schedules contain the amendments. The schedules are considered in their numerical order before the clauses, and items within a schedule are considered in their numerical order. Consecutive items which amend the same section of an Act are considered together, unless the House otherwise orders. Schedules are also considered before the clauses in the case of taxation and appropriation and supply bills.

Moving of motions and amendments during consideration in detail

A motion (including an amendment) moved during consideration in detail need not be seconded.²²⁰ Although there is no requirement for notice to be given of proposed amendments, the Speaker has appealed to Members to have proposed amendments in the hands of the Clerk at least one hour before they are to be moved, ²²¹ to allow time to ensure that they are in order and to prepare the appropriate announcements for the questions to be put, and in time for them to be printed and circulated to Members before they are considered. Members are encouraged in the practice of circulating amendments as early as possible so as to enable the Minister or Parliamentary Secretary in charge of the bill and other Members to study the effect of the amendments before they are put for decision. Amendments which the Government or Opposition may wish to move only in certain circumstances-for example, depending on developments in the House or negotiations between parties—may be held under embargo by the Clerk until their release is authorised by the Minister or other Member responsible. Where amendments have been printed and circulated, it is acceptable for a Member to move 'the amendment (or 'amendment No. . . .') circulated in my name' rather than read the terms of the amendment in full. In reply to a Member's request that a lengthy amendment be read, the Chair has stated that it is quite customary for amendments to be taken as read when they have been circulated.²²²

In debate on any question during consideration in detail each Member may speak any number of periods each not exceeding five minutes.²²³ If no other Member rises the Member who has just spoken may speak again immediately, after being recognised by the Chair. An extension of time may be agreed to, the extension not to exceed two and a half minutes. However, as there is no limit on the number of opportunities to speak, it is unlikely that an extension would be sought.

Debate must be confined to the subject matter of the clause, schedule or amendment before the House or Main Committee, ²²⁴ and cannot extend to other clauses or schedules which have been, or remain to be, dealt with. Discussion of matters relating to an amendment ruled out of order is not permitted. ²²⁵ When the question before the Chair is that a particular clause be agreed to, the limits of discussion may be narrow. When a bill

²¹⁸ S.O. 226.

²¹⁹ The majority of bills are now of this type.

²²⁰ S.O. 232.

²²¹ H.R. Deb. (24.8.84) 398.

²²² H.R. Deb. (22.11.51) 2633.

²²³ S.O. 91.

²²⁴ S.O. 228

²²⁵ H.R. Deb. (14.9.61) 1195-6.

is considered, by leave, as a whole, the debate is widened to include any part of the bill. However, discussion must relate to the clauses of the bill, and it is not in order to make a general second reading speech. 227

If an amendment is moved to a clause (schedule, or so on) upon which the House or Committee wishes to vote, the Chair may propose a question in one of the following forms:

- When the amendment is to omit words, the question proposed is 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand'. ²²⁸
- When the amendment is to omit words and substitute or add others, the question is 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand'. If this question is agreed to, the amendment is disposed of; if negatived, a further question is proposed 'That the words proposed to be inserted (added) be so inserted (added)'.
- When the amendment is to insert or add words, the question proposed is 'That the words proposed to be inserted (added) be so inserted (added). ²³⁰

(In these illustrations the word 'words' may be replaced by 'paragraph', 'subparagraph', 'subclause', 'section', 'schedule', and so on.) An advantage of the question being put in the form 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand', is that it enables Members to vote from their normal seats in the Chamber—that is, the 'ayes' who go to the right of the Speaker on a division can usually be presumed to be government Members.

If no Member objects, the question may be proposed in the form 'That the amendment be agreed to' in any of the above contingencies, and this is now common practice whether or not there is to be a vote on the question. This form of putting the question is in any case necessary when two proposed amendments to omit words and insert others occur at the same place; otherwise the negativing of the first amendment by agreeing to the question 'That the words proposed to be omitted stand' will preclude the moving of the second amendment.

If a clause (or schedule, and so on) is amended, a further question is proposed 'That the clause (schedule, and so on) as amended, be agreed to'. ²³² If the bill is being considered as a whole, the further question proposed is 'That the bill, as amended, be agreed to'. If the title is amended, the further question proposed is 'That the title, as amended, be the title of the bill'. ²³³

INADMISSIBLE AMENDMENTS

Examples of amendments ruled out of order by the Chair have been amendments that were held to be:

- not relevant to the clause under consideration: ²³⁴
- not within the scope of the bill;²³⁵
- outside the scope of the bill and the principal Act;²³⁶

```
226 H.R. Deb. (25.10.55) 1856.227 H.R. Deb. (16.5.61) 1903.228 S.O. 175.
```

²²⁹ S.O. 176.

²³⁰ S.O. 177.

²³⁰ S.O. 177. 231 S.O. 178.

²³¹ S.O. 176. 232 S.O. 229.

²³³ S.O. 231.

²³⁴ VP 1961/291 (two proposed amendments).

²³⁵ VP 1946-48/527.

²³⁶ VP 1961/76-7.

- not consistent with the context of the bill;²³⁷
- ironical;²³⁸
- not in conformity with the standing orders; ²³⁹ or
- in conflict with the Constitution. 240

If the title of the bill is unrestricted, an amendment dealing with a matter not in the bill, but which is relevant to the principal Act or to the objects of the bill as stated in its title, may be moved, even though the clauses have a limited purpose. An amendment to add further Acts to a schedule of Acts to be amended by a Statute Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Bill has been permitted, the long title of the bill being '... to make various amendments to the statute law of the Commonwealth ...'

If the title is restricted, an amendment dealing with a matter not in the bill, nor within its title, may not be moved.

It is the practice of the House that amendments may not be moved to a schedule containing an Agreement to be given effect by the bill in which it is contained, but an amendment to the clauses of the bill for the purposes of withholding legislative effect from the Agreement is in order, as is an amendment moved to the approval clause of the bill.²⁴³

No amendment, new clause or schedule shall be at any time moved which is substantially the same as one already negatived, or which is inconsistent with one that has already been agreed to, unless a reconsideration of the bill has intervened.²⁴⁴

An amendment which purports to omit a clause or schedule is not in order as the correct course, if a clause/schedule is opposed, is to vote against the question 'That the clause/schedule be agreed to'.

NEW CLAUSES

The procedure for dealing with proposed new clauses is to consider them in their numerical order²⁴⁵—that is, at the point of consideration at which the new clause is to be inserted in the bill²⁴⁶—or at the end of the bill in the case of a proposed addition.²⁴⁷ A proposed new clause can be amended in the same manner as an existing clause.²⁴⁸ A new clause may be out of order for many of the same reasons as an amendment (*see above*), and in particular will not be entertained if it:

• is beyond the scope of the bill;

²³⁷ VP 1945–46/278.

²³⁸ Amendments designed to alter the short title of the Government Preference Prohibition Bill 1914 to (a) the Anti-Trades and Labour Unions Bill 1914, (b) the Government Preference to Contractors, Lawyers, Doctors, and Others Bill 1914, and (c) the Government Preference to the Bar Association, to the British Medical Association, to the Contractors' and Employers' Associations, etc. Bill 1914, were ruled out of order, VP 1914/48–9. Similarly amendments proposing to substitute 'Reduciary', 'Reductionary' and 'Inflationary' for 'Fiduciary' in the Fiduciary Notes Bill 1931 (on the ground of being outside the scope of the bill), VP 1929–31/503.

²³⁹ VP 1945-46/420. An amendment to the Wheat Export Charge Bill 1946 proposed to add a subclause to the effect that the bill should not be submitted for assent until approved by a majority of wheat growers at a postal ballot. The Chair ruled the amendment was not in order as the standing orders required a bill which had passed both Houses to be forwarded for assent, and a committee of the whole, by amendment to a bill, could not alter the operation of the standing orders.

²⁴⁰ VP 1946–48/527; but enforcement of the standing orders is the main concern of the Chair, who may not be in a position to judge constitutional implications.

²⁴¹ S.O. 227; H.R. Deb. (31.5.28) 5400.

²⁴² VP 1983-84/145-6.

²⁴³ VP 1934-37/484; VP 1940/74.

²⁴⁴ S.O. 233. See e.g. VP 1964–66/491, where an amendment to a proposed new clause was ruled out of order by the Chair as the amendment was substantially the same as a proposed amendment to an earlier clause negatived.

²⁴⁵ S.O. 226.

²⁴⁶ VP 1978-80/1210-13; VP 1993-5/2146.

²⁴⁷ VP 1974-75/193; VP 1993-5/2047-8.

²⁴⁸ VP 1983-84/689.

- is inconsistent with clauses agreed to or substantially the same as a clause previously negatived; or
- should be moved as an amendment to an existing clause in the bill.

If more than one new clause is proposed to a bill, each is treated as a separate amendment. However, several proposed new clauses, which may comprise a new Part or Division, may be moved together by leave. ²⁴⁹ New Parts or Divisions may only be moved together by leave.

CLAUSES

Proceedings on the detailed consideration of a bill begin by the Chair calling the number of the clause, for example, 'Clause 1', and stating the question 'That the clause be agreed to'. ²⁵⁰ If it is the wish of the House or Main Committee to consider a group of clauses together, for example, clauses 1 to 4, the Chair states the question 'That the clauses be agreed to'. The question is proposed without any motion being moved. A clause may be divided: a clause has been ordered to be considered by Divisions, ²⁵¹ by proposed sections ²⁵² and by paragraphs. ²⁵³ It has also been ordered that clauses be taken together for leave to be obtained. Leave is necessary if a Member wishes to move, as one amendment, to omit more than one clause and substitute another Part.

An amendment may be moved only when the clause to be amended is before the House or Main Committee. When a clause has been amended, the Chair proposes a further question 'That the clause(s) as amended, be agreed to' before proceeding to the next part of the bill.

CLAUSES POSTPONED

A clause,²⁵⁶ clauses which have been taken together by leave,²⁵⁷ a clause and an amendment moved to the clause,²⁵⁸ or a clause which has been amended,²⁵⁹ may be postponed. The postponement may be specific, for example, 'until after clause 6'.²⁶⁰ If not specific, postponed clauses are considered after schedules and before the title, or if there is a preamble, before the preamble.²⁶¹ Part of a clause may also be postponed.²⁶²

A postponement of a clause is regarded as a motion, not an amendment. The motion to postpone a clause may be debated. Debate is limited to the question of postponement, and the bill or the clause may not be discussed. In relation to the Family Law Bill 1974 the House agreed to a procedural motion which, inter alia, postponed

251 VP 1962–63/342. Consideration of the clause had begun before it was ordered to be considered by divisions and the first question following the order was 'That the clause to the end of Division 1 be agreed to' (thereafter 'That Division 2 be agreed to' etc.).

²⁴⁹ VP 1980–83/914; VP 1983–84/86, 91.

²⁵⁰ S.O. 226.

²⁵² VP 1960–61/270. The clause proposed to insert new sections in the principal Act. Consideration of the clause had begun and the first question was 'That the clause to the end of proposed section 24 be agreed to'.

²⁵³ VP 1959–60/264. The clause had been debated before the order and the first question after the order was 'That the clause to the end of paragraph (a) be agreed to' (thereafter 'That paragraph (b) be agreed to' etc.).

²⁵⁴ VP 1932–34/260, 332.

²⁵⁵ S.O. 229.

²⁵⁶ VP 1974-75/583.

²⁵⁷ VP 1970-72/975.

²⁵⁸ VP 1970–72/1294. 259 VP 1956–57/192.

²⁶⁰ VP 1970–72/975.

²⁶¹ S.O. 226.

²⁶² VP 1970-72/771.

²⁶³ VP 1962-63/28; H.R. Deb. (27.2.62) 222-34.

clauses 1 to 47 until after clause 48, 264 the clause that was attracting the attention of most Members. On occasions a motion has been moved that a clause be postponed 'as an instruction to the Government that . . .' 265 or 'so that the Government may redraft it to provide . . .'. 266 The proposed instruction was not recorded in the Votes and Proceedings. SCHEDULES

With the exception of a schedule containing an Agreement to be given effect by a bill in which it is contained, a schedule to a bill can be amended²⁶⁷ or omitted and another schedule substituted²⁶⁸ and is treated in the same manner as a clause. Traditionally, the questions proposed were 'That the schedule (or 'Schedule 2', for example) be agreed to'.²⁶⁹ When a schedule has been amended, the further question is put 'That the schedule, as amended, be agreed to'.²⁷⁰

With the introduction of the practice of including substantive amendments to existing Acts in schedules (*see* page 336), some schedules became very extensive, possibly consisting of hundreds of items. In such cases amendments could be moved to individual items, items could be omitted, or omitted and other items substituted, and items could be inserted or added.²⁷¹

In the case of amending bills, schedules are considered in their numerical order before the clauses, and items within a schedule are considered in their numerical order. Consecutive items which amend the same section of an Act are considered together, unless the House otherwise orders.²⁷²

PREAMBLE

When all clauses and schedules have been agreed to, the preamble is considered. A preamble may be debated and amended.²⁷³ The questions proposed from the Chair are 'That the preamble be agreed to' and, where appropriate, 'That the preamble, as amended, be agreed to'.

TITLE

Where a bill is considered clause by clause, the long title is the last part of the bill to be considered. The title is amended²⁷⁴ if a clause has been altered beyond the terms of a bill's title as read a second time, as every clause within the bill must come within the title of the bill.²⁷⁵ The title may also be amended if a bill is amended in such a way as to reduce its scope.²⁷⁶ When a title is amended, the Chair proposes the question 'That the title, as amended, be the title of the bill'. When the amendment of the title occurs in the Main Committee the amendment would need to be specially reported to the House.²⁷⁷

```
264 VP 1974-75/639-40.
```

²⁶⁵ H.R. Deb. (18.5.56) 2269.

²⁶⁶ H.R. Deb. (18.5.56) 2294.

²⁶⁷ See for example VP 1974–75/227; VP 1993–95/2390–1 for alteration of terms within a schedule; VP 1976–77/555 for an amendment proposing to add a part at the end of a schedule.

²⁶⁸ VP 1956-57/199-200.

²⁶⁹ VP 1993-95/2394.

²⁷⁰ VP 1993–95/2342;VP 1996–98/321.

²⁷¹ E.g. VP 1996-98/319-332, 453-7; VP 1998-2001/378-9.

²⁷² S.O. 226, as amended in February 1997 following Procedure Committee recommendations in response to concerns that the new drafting practice, in conjunction with the practice of taking schedules as a whole, had removed the right of Members to debate and vote on individual amendments. Standing Committee on Procedure, Bills—Consideration in Detail: Review of the Operation of Standing Order 266.

²⁷³ VP 1929-31/929.

²⁷⁴ VP 1976–77/269.

²⁷⁵ S.O. 213.

²⁷⁶ VP 1996–98/258.

²⁷⁷ S.O. 231; VP 1976-77/270; VP 1993-95/1417, 1405.

RECONSIDERATION

Parts of the bill may be reconsidered while it is still being considered in detail, with the leave of the House or Main Committee. A clause has been reconsidered, by leave, immediately after it has been agreed to, ²⁷⁸ shortly after the clause has been agreed to ²⁷⁹ and after the title has been agreed to. ²⁸⁰ A clause, previously amended, has been reconsidered, by leave, and further amended, ²⁸¹ and a new clause previously inserted has been reconsidered, by leave. ²⁸² Two clauses have been reconsidered together, by leave.

BILL CONSIDERED AS A WHOLE, OR BY PARTS

In the majority of instances leave is granted for the bill to be considered as a whole. The Chair asks 'Is it the wish of the House (Committee) to consider the bill as a whole'. If there is no dissentient voice, the Chair then proposes the question 'That the bill be agreed to'. If a clause is to be opposed, the question on that clause is put separately and the bill cannot be taken as a whole.

Amendments may be moved to any part of the bill when the bill is considered as a whole but they are taken in the order in which they occur in the bill. In the case of more than one amendment, the amendments may, by leave, be moved together. This course may be consistent with the objectives of taking the bill as a whole. Although Members may be willing to have groups of amendments moved together by leave, it is not always possible for this to be done in the way desired. An example would be where there were both government and opposition amendments in the same area, in which case the amendments would be taken in a way which did not result in a decision on one amendment making the other redundant, if possible. When an amendment is made to a bill taken as a whole, the further question is proposed 'That the bill, as amended, be agreed to'.

On occasions parts of the bill may be considered together, by leave. The Chair may be aware, because of circulated amendments or personal knowledge, that a Member wishes to move amendments to particular clauses, for example, clauses 10 and 19. If the House or Main Committee does not wish to consider the bill as a whole and have the Member move the amendments together, by leave, it may, for example, be willing to consider clauses 1 to 9 together, clause 10 (to which the Member may move an amendment), clauses 11 to 18 together, and then the remainder of the bill (at which stage the Member will move the second amendment). Schedules have been taken together, ²⁸⁵ the clauses and the schedule have been taken together, ²⁸⁶ and a bill has been considered by Parts (clause numbers shown). ²⁸⁷ In each instance leave was required.

On one occasion, to allow debate on the bill as a whole to continue without interruption by divisions on amendments, after each amendment had been moved and debated, the House agreed to the motion that consideration of the amendment be postponed. Debate then continued on the bill as a whole, including amendments moved

²⁷⁸ VP 1976-77/289

²⁷⁹ VP 1973-74/154.

²⁸⁰ VP 1974-75/676.

²⁸¹ VP 1977/152.

²⁸² VP 1974-75/690

²⁸³ VP 1961/30.

²⁸⁴ VP 1978–80/198 (opposition amendments and proposed new clause not agreed to); VP 1978–80/287 (government amendments made); VP 1996–98/194; 257; VP 1998–2001/379.

²⁸⁵ VP 1956–57/198–200.

²⁸⁶ VP 1960-61/333.

²⁸⁷ VP 1948–49/268.

up to that point. At the conclusion of the consideration in detail stage the question was then put on each postponed amendment in the order in which they had been moved.²⁸⁸

Report stage (for bills considered by Main Committee)

If a bill has been considered in detail by the Main Committee, when the bill has been fully considered, the question is put 'That this bill be reported to the House, without amendment' or 'with (an) amendment(s)' ('and with (an) unresolved question(s)'), as appropriate. After this question has been agreed to, a copy of the bill certified by the Clerk of the Committee, together with schedules of any amendments made by the Committee and any questions which the Committee was unable to resolve, is transmitted to the Speaker for report to the House. ²⁸⁹

The Speaker reports the bill to the House at a time when other business is not before the House. ²⁹⁰ If a bill is reported from the Main Committee without amendment or unresolved question, the question may be put at once 'That the bill be agreed to'. This is the usual practice, although the House, if it wishes, may appoint a different time for the question to be put. In either case no debate or amendment is allowed to this question. ²⁹¹

If a bill is reported with amendments or with questions which the Main Committee had been unable to resolve, the report may be considered immediately if copies of the schedules have already been circulated among Members, ²⁹² and this is the usual practice. Otherwise the standing orders provide that a future time shall be appointed for taking the report into consideration and that the schedules of amendments or unresolved questions shall in the meantime be printed. However, the report may still be considered at once by leave of the House, or, if leave is not granted, following the suspension of standing orders. Since the establishment of the Main Committee the following contingent notice of motion has appeared on the Notice Paper:

Contingent on any report relating to any bill being received from the Main Committee: Minister to move—That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the remaining stages being passed without delay.

The motion is effective if agreed to by a simple majority.

When the report is considered, the House deals first with any unresolved questions²⁹³ (these are generally proposed amendments to the bill, but unresolved second reading amendments are also possible). Separate questions, open to debate or amendment, are put on each unresolved matter, but by leave, unresolved questions may be taken together.²⁹⁴ The House then deals with any amendments made by the Main Committee. A single question is put 'That the amendments made by the Main Committee be agreed to'. No debate or amendment to this question is permitted. No new amendments to the bill may be moved except if necessary as a consequence of the resolution by the House of any question left unresolved by the Main Committee. Finally, the question is put 'That the bill (or the bill, as amended) be agreed to'. Once again, no debate or amendment of this question is allowed.²⁹⁵

²⁸⁸ This action was taken in relation to amendments to the Native Title Amendment Bill 1997 with the prior agreement of Members arranged by the Whips (such prior arrangement is advisable to avoid divisions on the postponement motions). H.R. Deb. (29.10.97) 10011–36 10098–152; VP 1996–98/2213–18, 2227–69.

²⁸⁹ S.O. 234, e.g. VP 1996-98/146, 4679

²⁹⁰ S.O. 234, e.g. VP 1996–98/467–9;VP 1998–2001/930–1.

²⁹¹ S.O. 236A.

²⁹² S.O. 235, e.g. VP 1996-98/467-9; VP 1998-2001/930-1.

²⁹³ E.g. VP 1996–98/146.

²⁹⁴ VP 1993-95/1524-5.

²⁹⁵ E.g. VP 1993–95/1286; 1998–2001/931.

Reconsideration

At any time before the moving of the third reading, on motion without notice by any Member, a bill may be reconsidered in detail, in whole or in part, by the House.²⁹⁶

In the days of the former committee of the whole this practice was known as recommittal—the bill being returned to the committee for reconsideration. Precedents relating to the recommittal of bills, where appropriate, have continuing relevance to reconsideration.

The motion for reconsideration must be seconded if not moved by a Minister.²⁹⁷ Motions have been moved to reconsider clauses to a certain extent, 298 for the reconsideration of certain amendments²⁹⁹ or to enable further amendments to be moved.³⁰⁰ Clauses can be reconsidered in any sequence which the House approves.³⁰¹ An amendment to alter the scope of reconsideration may be moved to the motion to reconsider—that is, by adding other clauses or schedules to those proposed to be reconsidered or by omitting certain clauses or schedules proposed to be reconsidered.³⁰² If a bill is ordered to be reconsidered without limitation, the entire bill is again considered in detail. A bill, or that part of the bill reconsidered, may be further amended.303 In the case of a partial reconsideration, only so much of the bill as is specified in the motion for reconsideration may be considered.³⁰⁴ Several bills which have been taken together have been reconsidered in order that an amendment could be moved to one of the bills.³⁰⁵

The motion for reconsideration may be debated³⁰⁶ but debate is confined to the reasons for reconsideration. On the motion for reconsideration, details of a proposed amendment should not be discussed,³⁰⁷ nor can the general principles of the bill and the detail of its clauses be debated.³⁰⁸ A Member moving for reconsideration can give reasons but cannot revive earlier proceedings.³⁰⁹ A Member who has moved for the reconsideration of a clause is in order in speaking to a motion to reconsider another clause moved by another Member, but is not in order in moving the reconsideration of a further clause as the Member has exhausted his or her right to speak.³¹⁰

There is no limit on the number of times a bill may be reconsidered, and there are precedents for a bill being reconsidered a second time, 311 a third time 312 and a fourth time.³¹³

```
296 S.O. 236B.
```

²⁹⁷ H.R. Deb. (15.11.73) 3459

²⁹⁸ VP 1905/95.

²⁹⁹ VP 1906/114.

³⁰⁰ VP 1906/114.

³⁰¹ H.R. Deb. (27.9.05) 2836

³⁰² VP 1917-19/85-6.

³⁰³ VP 1917-19/84.

³⁰⁴ H.R. Deb. (27.9.05) 2832

³⁰⁵ VP 1962-63/360.

³⁰⁶ H.R. Deb. (8.11.73) 3040-5.

³⁰⁷ H.R. Deb. (2.8.07) 1379; H.R. Deb. (4.7.23) 640.

³⁰⁸ H.R. Deb. (5.9.17) 1661.

³⁰⁹ H.R. Deb. (31.3.20) 1094.

³¹⁰ H.R. Deb. (27.10.09) 5070.

³¹¹ VP 1917-19/83-4, 85-6; VP 1914-17/458, 464.

³¹² VP 1911/164, 199 (2); VP 1903/44 (2), 47.

³¹³ VP 1901-02/150, 151, 166, 175.

Third reading and final passage

When a bill has been agreed to by the House at the consideration in detail stage, the standing orders provide that the Speaker shall notify the House and for a future day to be fixed, on motion, for the bill's third reading. 314 This procedure is, however, rarely used in practice in order to minimise unnecessary delay. The procedure for moving the third reading is based on one of the following alternatives, in order of frequency:

- in the case of the detail stage being by-passed, the House grants leave for the third reading to be moved forthwith after the second reading (see page 359);
- following the adoption by the House of a Main Committee report on a bill, leave is usually granted for the third reading to be moved forthwith; or
- if leave is not granted for the third reading to be moved forthwith, a Minister may move a contingent notice of motion which usually appears on the Notice Paper. The motion is traditionally in the following form:

I move, pursuant to contingent notice, That so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the motion for the third reading being moved without delay.

This motion is effective if agreed to by a simple majority.

The motion moved on the third reading is 'That this bill be now read a third time'. 315 The motion may be debated, 316 although such debates are not common. The scope of debate is more restricted than at the second reading stage, being limited to the contents of the bill—that is, the matters contained in the clauses and schedules of the bill. It is not in order to re-open or repeat debate on matters discussed on the motion for the second reading or during the detail stage, and it has been held that the debate on the motion for the third reading is limited to the bill as agreed to by the House to that stage.³¹⁷ Clauses may not be referred to in detail in the third reading debate, ³¹⁸ nor may matters already decided during the detail stage be alluded to. The time limits are as for a debate not otherwise provided for—that is, 20 minutes for the mover and 15 minutes for other Members. In practice, the opportunity to speak at this time may be taken by a Member who for some reason has been unable to participate in earlier debate (perhaps because of a guillotine), or, unacceptably, by a Member attempting to continue earlier debate.

A reasoned amendment cannot be moved to the motion for the third reading. ³²⁰ The only amendment which may be moved to the motion for the third reading is 'That the word "now" be omitted from, and the words "this day six months" be added to, the question', which question, if carried, finally disposes of the bill.³²¹ The question proposed by the Chair on the moving (and seconding) of such an amendment is 'That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the question', which, if agreed to, disposes of the amendment. Debate may then continue on the motion for the third reading. If the question on the amendment is negatived, a further question would be proposed 'That the words proposed to be added be so added, 322 which, if agreed to, would be followed by

³¹⁴ S.O. 237.

³¹⁵ S.O. 238.

 $^{316\} E.g.\ VP\ 1978-80/273;\ H.R.\ Deb.\ (31.5.78)\ 2886-7;\ VP\ 1996-98/264;\ VP\ 1998-2001/710.$

³¹⁷ H.R. Deb. (7.11.35) 1418.

³¹⁸ H.R. Deb. (3.12.18) 8637.

³¹⁹ H.R. Deb. (4.5.60) 1381.

³²⁰ The Speaker ruled out of order a proposed amendment 'That all words after "That" be omitted with a view to inserting the following words in place thereof: "the Bill be postponed for six months in order that a referendum of the Australian people might be taken to determine the acceptability or otherwise of the measure" ', VP 1951–53/272. An attempt has been made to suspend standing orders to allow a Member to move a reasoned amendment at this stage, VP 1996-98/2839.

³²¹ S.O. 239; VP 1974-75/344 (not carried).

³²² S.O. 176.

the question 'That the motion, as amended, be agreed to'. If no Member objects, the question 'That the amendment be agreed to' may be put instead of the question 'That the word proposed to be omitted stand part of the question'. 323 A third reading amendment is rare and one has never been agreed to by the House.

When the question on the third reading is agreed to, the bill is read a third time by the Clerk reading its long title.³²⁴ At this point the bill has passed the House and no further question shall be put.³²⁵ The bill, as soon as administratively possible, is then transmitted by message to the Senate seeking its concurrence (see page 372).

Rescission of third reading

The House has, on occasions, rescinded the third reading resolution. In 1945 standing orders were suspended to enable the rescission of the resolution relating to the third reading of the Australian National Airlines Bill, and to enable the third reading of the bill to be made an order of the day for a later hour. Subsequently a message from the Governor-General recommending an appropriation in connection with the bill was announced and the bill was read a third time.³

The vote on the third reading of the Constitution Alteration (Simultaneous Elections) Bill 1974, which did not attract an absolute majority as required by the Constitution, was rescinded following a suspension of standing orders. Due to a malfunction, the division bells had not rung for the full period and several Members had been prevented from participating in the division on the third reading. The question on the third reading was put again, and passed by an absolute majority.³²

The resolution on the third reading of the National Health Bill 1974 [No. 2], which had been passed on the voices, was rescinded, by leave, immediately following the third reading, and the question put again, as opposition Members desired a division on the question.³²⁸

The second and third readings of the Customs Administration (Transitional Provisions and Consequential Amendments) Bill 1986 were rescinded by leave, following the realisation that the second reading had not been moved, and the order of the day was called on again. 329

The recorded decisions of the committee of the whole and the House on the committee (detail) stage, report and third reading of the Copyright Amendment Bill 1988 were rescinded on motion following the suspension of standing orders, a misunderstanding having occurred during the previous consideration.³³⁰

The recorded decision of the House on the third reading of a bill has been rescinded on motion following the suspension of standing orders. The bill was then considered in detail and amended, and the question on the third reading put again. At the previous sitting leave had been given for the third reading to be moved forthwith (i.e. omitting the detail stage) and intended government amendments to the bill had not been moved.³³¹ (See also 'Rescission of agreement to Senate amendments' in Chapter on 'Senate amendments and requests'.)

³²³ S.O. 178.

³²⁴ The 'reading' of the bill by the Clerk has been taken to be a necessary formality, H.R. Deb. (30.10.13) 2789.

³²⁵ S.O. 240.

³²⁶ VP 1945-46/213

³²⁷ VP 1974/28-9; H.R. Deb. (6.3.74) 131-5.

³²⁸ VP 1974-75/467; H.R. Deb. (19.2.75) 474

³²⁹ VP 1985-87/893; H.R. Deb. (30.4.86) 2774.

³³⁰ VP 1987-89/925

³³¹ VP 1993-95/1803-4

ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

Printing and distribution

Once a government bill has been drafted and approved for presentation to Parliament the Office of Parliamentary Counsel orders the printing of copies of the bill which are forwarded to the appropriate parliamentary officers. A bill is kept under embargo until it is introduced, when the custody of copies and the authority to print passes to the Clerk of the House while the bill is before the House and to the Clerk of the Senate while the bill is before the Senate.

The role of officers of the House in the distribution of bills was recognised early in the history of the House. In 1901 Speaker Holder drew the attention of Members to the fact that copies of a circulated bill had not passed through the hands of officers of the House, and expressed the view that it would be well in the future if the distribution of bills took place through the recognised channel. Prime Minister Barton stated that he would take particular care that in future all necessary distribution was done through the officers of the House. A few days later the Speaker repeated that the distribution of bills was a matter for the officers of the House, and one for which they accepted full responsibility.332

Introduced copy

A Minister or Parliamentary Secretary on presenting a bill hands a signed copy to the Clerk at the Table. The title of the responsible Minister's portfolio is shown on the first page of the bill. If there are any typographical errors in this copy, the errors are corrected by the Office of Parliamentary Counsel and initialled in the margin of the bill by the Minister (or Parliamentary Secretary). Similarly, private Members sign and present a copy of bills they introduce and initial any necessary corrections. 333 All future prints of the bill are based on this introduction copy. Copies of a bill are circulated in the Chamber immediately after presentation.

Third reading print

If a bill has been amended at the detail stage, a 'third reading print', incorporating the amendment(s), is produced. The copies of the third reading print also have printed on the top left hand corner the Clerk's certificate recording the agreement of the House to the bill and certifying that it is ready for transmission to the Senate. It is the responsibility of officers of the House to arrange for a bill's reprinting. This may take some days in the case of a sizeable bill which has been heavily amended. The third reading print is checked carefully to ensure that the copy of the bill transmitted to the Senate accurately reflects all changes made to the bill by the House. This unavoidable delay is a factor of some importance in the programming of business in the closing stages of a period of sittings or on other occasions when it is the desire of the Government for a bill to be passed by both Houses expeditiously.

Deputy Speaker's amendments

Amendments of a verbal or formal nature may be made, and clerical or typographical errors may be corrected, in any part of a bill by the Clerk acting with the authority of the

³³² H.R. Deb. (19.6.01) 1247; H.R. Deb. (26.6.01) 1618.

³³³ A private Member has presented a replacement copy of a bill, after a line of type had been omitted from the bill presented previously, VP 1993–95/2241.

Deputy Speaker.³³⁴ In practice only bills introduced in the House are so amended. The Office of Parliamentary Counsel often asks for such correction, but where the matter has not been initiated by that office, its advice is first obtained as to whether or not any such amendment should be made. This type of correction is normally made prior to the transmission of the bill to the Senate but has also been made after the bill has been returned from the Senate.³³⁵

Clerk's certificate and transmission to the Senate

Immediately a bill has been passed by the House without amendment, a certificate, to be signed by the Clerk of the House, is affixed to an introduced copy of the bill. The certificate is in the following form:

This Bill originated in the House of Representatives; and, having this day passed, is now ready for presentation to the Senate for its concurrence. 336

[Signature]

Clerk of the House of Representatives

House of Representatives [Date bill passed House]

A copy of the bill bearing the Clerk's certificate is placed inside a folder known as a message to the Senate. ³³⁷ When a bill has been amended in its passage through the House, a copy of the third reading print, which has the Clerk's certificate printed on it rather than affixed, is placed in the message for transmission to the Senate, instead of a copy of the unamended bill. The message takes the following form:

Message No. []

Mr/Madam President

The House of Representatives transmits to the Senate a **Bill for an Act** [remainder of long title]; in which it desires the concurrence of the Senate.

[Signature]

Speaker

House of Representatives

[Date of despatch]

[Short title]

The message to the Senate is signed by the Speaker or, if the Speaker is unavailable, by the Deputy Speaker. Because of the unavailability of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker, a Deputy Chairman (the former equivalent of a member of the Speaker's panel) as Deputy Speaker has signed messages to the Senate transmitting bills for concurrence.

In cases where standing orders are suspended to enable related bills to be considered together, the bills are transmitted to the Senate by means of one message. For example, in 1965, 32 bills relating to decimal currency, which were together read a third time in the House,³⁴⁰ were transmitted to the Senate within the one message.³⁴¹ Similarly, on

³³⁴ S.O. 241. The Senate Chairman of Committees has similar authority.

³³⁵ Such corrections are not made in the House to Senate amendments to the bill.

³³⁶ S.O. 242.

³³⁷ S.O. 243.

³³⁸ S.O. 370.

³³⁹ J 1968–69/207–8.

³⁴⁰ VP 1964-66/472, 515.

³⁴¹ J 1964–66/452–3.

other occasions, nine Sales Tax Assessment Amendment Bills have been transmitted to the Senate in the one message. 342

It is the responsibility of the Serjeant-at-Arms to obtain the Clerk's signature on the certified copy of the bill and the Speaker's signature on the message and, if the Senate is sitting, to deliver the message to the Bar of the Senate, where a Clerk at the Table accepts delivery. If the Senate is not sitting, the Serjeant-at-Arms delivers the message to the Clerk of the Senate. Senate practice is that the bill is reported by the President when the Senate Minister representing the Minister responsible for the bill in the House indicates that the Government is ready to proceed with the bill.³⁴³

PROCEDURAL VARIATIONS FOR PASSAGE OF BILLS

Private Members' bills

When the notice for a private Member's bill is called on by the Clerk, the Member presents the bill and may speak in support of it for a period not exceeding five minutes.³⁴⁴ It is then read a first time. The allocation of time for debate on the bill's second reading on a subsequent private Members' day is determined by the Selection Committee. If the second reading is agreed to by the House, further consideration of the bill is given priority over other private Members' business.³⁴⁵

A private Member's bill may be considered during time normally reserved for government business following the suspension of standing orders.

(See also Chapter on 'Non-government business')

Constitution alteration bills

The passage of a bill proposing to alter the Constitution is the same as for an ordinary bill, with the exception that the third reading must be agreed to by an absolute majority. Such a bill may be initiated in either House.

Absolute majority

Section 128 of the Constitution provides that a bill proposing to alter the Constitution must be passed by both Houses, or by one House in certain circumstances (*see below*), by an absolute majority. If, on the vote for the third reading, no division is called for and there is no dissentient voice, the Speaker draws the attention of the House to the constitutional requirement that the bill must be passed by an absolute majority and directs that the bells be rung. The bells having ceased ringing the Speaker again states the question and, if no division is called for and there is no dissentient voice, the Speaker directs that the names of those Members present agreeing to the third reading be recorded by the tellers in order to establish that the third reading had been carried by an absolute majority. If a bill initiated in the House is amended by the Senate and that amendment is agreed to by the House, thus causing a change to the bill, the question on the amendment must also be agreed to by the House by an absolute majority. It follows that an absolute majority is not required in the case of the House disagreeing to

³⁴² J 1983-84/1066-7; 1985-87/536; 1990-92/94-5.

³⁴³ Odgers, 9th edn, p. 248.

³⁴⁴ Period extended to 15 minutes by sessional order adopted in 1999, VP 1998-2001/1167.

³⁴⁵ S.O. 104A.

³⁴⁶ VP 1976-77/597-600.

³⁴⁷ VP 1917–19/556;VP 1998–2001/770–1.

an amendment of the Senate, as there is no change to the bill as agreed to by the House. 348

There was some uncertainty in the past as to whether a bill proposing to alter the Constitution required an absolute majority on the second reading as well as on the third reading.³⁴⁹ In 1965 the Attorney-General expressed the following opinion:

My own view is that the Second Reading of a Bill is no more than the process through which the Bill passes before it reaches the stage at which the House can decide whether or not to pass it; the passing of the Bill occurs when the question on the Third Reading is agreed to. The fact that amendments can be made in the Committee stage after the Second Reading, and that the Bill can be refused a Third Reading, or re-committed before the Third Reading is agreed to, confirms this view. I am accordingly of the opinion that an absolute majority is not required at the Second Reading stage and that there is no need to record such a majority at that stage. 350

This opinion is supported by standing order 240, which states 'After the third reading no further question shall be put, and the bill shall have passed the House'. In recent years the practice has been to establish the existence of an absolute majority only on the third reading—that is, the final act in the passage of the bill through the House.

If a bill does not receive an absolute majority on the third reading, it is laid aside and cannot be revived during the same session. However, in the case of the Constitution Alteration (Simultaneous Elections) Bill 1974, the bill failed to gain an absolute majority on the third reading because of a malfunction of the division bells. On the same day the House agreed to a suspension of standing orders to enable the vote to be rescinded and taken again. The question 'That this bill be now read a third time' was then put again and, on division, was agreed to by an absolute majority.

Disagreements between the Houses

Section 128 of the Constitution provides for the situation where there is a deadlock between the Houses on constitution alteration bills. It is possible under certain conditions for a constitution alteration bill twice passed by one House to be submitted to referendum (and hence, if approved, assented to and enacted) even though not passed by the other House—see 'Constitution alteration bills passed by one House only' in the Chapter on 'The Parliament and the role of the House'.

Senate bills

The form of bills introduced into the Senate is governed by the limitations, imposed on the Senate by the Constitution, that a proposed law appropriating revenue or moneys, or imposing taxation, shall not originate in the Senate³⁵⁶ (*see* Chapter on 'Financial legislation'). Bills received from the Senate are therefore either ordinary bills or constitution alteration bills. Only a minority of bills introduced into the House (about 10%) are in fact received from the Senate.

³⁴⁸ VP 1973-74/609-10;VP 1998-2001/768-9.

³⁴⁹ H.R. Deb. (9-10.4.46) 1216-17.

³⁵⁰ Opinion of Attorney-General, dated 17 August 1965.

³⁵¹ S.O. 263; J 1974/55.

³⁵² VP 1974/19.

³⁵³ VP 1974/26.

³⁵⁴ VP 1974/28.

³⁵⁵ VP 1974/29.

³⁵⁶ Constitution, s. 53.

Introduction and first reading

A bill introduced into and passed by the Senate is conveyed to the House under cover of a message transmitting the bill for concurrence. The message takes the following

The Senate has passed a Bill for "An Act [remainder of long title]", and transmits it to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

If the House is sitting, the message is delivered to the Chamber by the Usher of the Black Rod where it is received at the Bar by a Clerk at the Table (in practice, by the Serjeant on duty); if the House is not sitting, the message is delivered to the Clerk of the House³⁵⁷ or other officers.

Inside the Senate message is a copy of the bill bearing the certificate of the Clerk of the Senate:

THIS bill originated in the Senate; and, having this day passed, is now ready for presentation to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

At a convenient time in the day's proceedings the Speaker reads the terms of the message to the House. The action of reading the message in effect presents the bill to the House. The bill is then read a first time without any question being put³⁵⁸ and, to the extent necessary, is proceeded with as if it was a bill originating in the House. 359 The bill thereafter proceeds in the same manner as for an ordinary bill. A message has been received from the Senate asking the House to consider immediately a bill earlier transmitted from the Senate. Consideration was not made an order of the day.³⁶⁰

Subsequent proceedings

If the second reading is to be moved forthwith, copies of the bill must be available for distribution in the Chamber. Stocks of the bill are usually received from the Senate when the message transmitting the bill is sent to the House. ³⁶ Should copies of the bill not be available, leave is required to move the second reading forthwith.³⁶²

It is common, following the first reading of a bill brought from the Senate, for a motion to be moved that the second reading be made an order of the day for the next sitting instead of moving the second reading forthwith.³⁶³ The order of the day for the second reading of a Senate bill may be referred to the Main Committee. When, on a future sitting day, the order of the day is called on (either in the House or the Main Committee), the second reading is moved and the second reading speech made. The debate may then be adjourned to a future day.³⁶⁴ However, the second reading debate may proceed immediately³⁶⁵ as the mandatory provision concerning the adjournment of the debate when the second reading has been moved immediately after the first reading does not apply. When copies of the bill are available, it may be the wish that the second reading be moved at a later hour rather than immediately. Leave is required to move 'That the second reading be made an order of the day for a later hour this day'. When the second reading is moved in these circumstances at a later hour, the debate may not proceed immediately unless leave is obtained.

³⁵⁷ S.O. 372.

³⁵⁸ S.O. 215.

³⁵⁹ S.O. 253.

³⁶⁰ VP 1998-2001/1343.

³⁶¹ VP 1993-95/138.

³⁶² VP 1974-75/383

³⁶³ VP 1996-98/302; VP 1998-2001/532

³⁶⁴ VP 1977/256

³⁶⁵ VP 1996-98/257-8; VP 1998-2001/124

It is usual for a contingent notice to be on the Notice Paper enabling a Minister to move the suspension of standing orders to permit a bill received from the Senate to be passed through all its stages without delay.³⁶⁶ In the case of a Senate bill for which a private Member has responsibility for carriage, it has been considered that subsequent proceedings should follow the procedures for private Members' bills (*see* Chapter on 'Non-government business').

If the bill is not amended by the House, the Clerk's certificate is attached to the top right hand corner stating that 'This Bill has been agreed to by the House of Representatives without amendment'. It is returned to the Senate by message in the following form: 368

The House of Representatives returns to the Senate the Bill for an Act [remainder of long title], and acquaints the Senate that the House of Representatives has agreed to the Bill without amendment.

When a bill originating in the Senate has been amended by the House, the bill is returned with a schedule of amendments certified by the Clerk.³⁶⁹

The further procedural steps involved when the Senate returns the bill with any of the amendments made by the House disagreed to, or further amendments made, are covered in the Chapter on 'Senate amendments and requests'.

All stages without delay

Previous discussion on processes concerning bills has concentrated on the ordinary passage of legislation, that is, procedures applying when the standing orders of the House are being observed. On occasions, the House may consider it expedient to pass a bill through all its stages without delay, either by granting leave to continue consideration at each stage when consideration would normally be adjourned until the next sitting day, or by suspension of the standing orders to enable its immediate passage.

By leave

When it is felt necessary or desirable to proceed immediately with a bill which would normally require introduction on notice, a Minister (or Parliamentary Secretary) may ask leave of the House to present it. If there is no dissentient voice, the Minister presents the bill. If copies of the bill are available, the second reading may then be moved. If copies of the bill are not available, the Minister must obtain the leave of the House to move the second reading forthwith. The second reading debate may then ensue, by leave. At the conclusion of the debate and any proceedings immediately following the second reading, the House may grant leave for the third reading to be moved forthwith. Alternatively, after the detail stage has been completed, the remaining stages may proceed immediately, with the leave of the House.

Suspension of standing orders

When it is wished to proceed with a bill as a matter of urgency, but it is not considered desirable or expedient to seek leave at the appropriate stages, or leave has been sought

³⁶⁶ VP 1993–5/92, H.R. Deb. (13.5.93) 892–4.

³⁶⁷ S.O. 254.

³⁶⁸ J 1977/282

³⁶⁹ S.O. 255.

³⁷⁰ VP 1993-95/118.

³⁷¹ VP 1974–75/383.

³⁷² VP 1976-77/492.

³⁷³ VP 1974-75/424-5, 536.

and refused,³⁷⁴ the standing orders may be suspended with the concurrence of an absolute majority if the suspension is moved without notice, or a simple majority if moved on notice, to enable the introduction and passage of a bill through all its stages without delay. It is usual for a set of contingent notices for the suspension of standing orders to be on the Notice Paper, to avoid the need for an absolute majority in these circumstances. Once the standing orders have been suspended, leave is not necessary to proceed to the various stages of the bill.³⁷⁵ Standing orders have been suspended to enable the introduction and passage of a bill though all stages without delay by a specified time.³⁷⁶

Bills considered together

It is not unusual, to meet the convenience of the House, for standing orders to be suspended to enable related bills to be considered together. A motion for the suspension of the standing orders may, depending on the particular circumstances, provide as follows:

- For:
 - (a) a number of bills to be presented and read a first time together;
 - (b) one motion being moved without delay and one question being put in regard to, respectively, the second readings, the detail stage, and the third readings, of all the bills together; and (if appropriate)
 - (c) messages from the Governor-General recommending appropriations for some of the bills to be announced together.³⁷⁷

This procedure facilitates consideration by the House of, for example, related taxation bills such as the Wool Tax (Nos 1 to 5) Amendment Bills, ³⁷⁸ where, because of the constitutional requirement that laws imposing taxation shall deal with one subject of taxation only, ³⁷⁹ a number of separate but related bills are presented. Such a motion to suspend standing orders used to be moved early in each session in relation to sales tax bills. The Minister normally explained to the House in moving the motion that no immediate introduction of sales tax legislation was contemplated. By agreeing to the motion then, speculation as to the anticipated introduction of sales tax bills, which might have resulted if the motion were introduced later, was avoided. ³⁸⁰

- For the calling on together of several orders of the day for the resumption of debate
 on the motion for the second reading of a number of bills, with provision that they
 may be taken through their remaining stages together.
- For the calling on together of several orders of the day for resumption of debate on the motion for the second reading of a number of bills, with provision for:
 - (a) a motion being moved 'That the bills be now passed'; and
 - (b) messages from the Governor-General recommending appropriations in respect of some of the bills being then announced together.³⁸¹

³⁷⁴ VP 1977/336

³⁷⁵ VP 1978–80/365–6.

³⁷⁶ VP 1998-2001/752.

³⁷⁷ VP 1976–77/433.

³⁷⁸ VP 1993-95/28.

³⁷⁹ Constitution, s. 55.

³⁸⁰ VP 1987–89/613; H.R. Deb. (3.6.88) 3252; VP 1993–95/26–7.

³⁸¹ VP 1970-72/1033.

In such a case as the group of 32 bills dealing with decimal currency³⁸² and in other cases where the passing of a number of related bills is a formal matter, this form of procedure is of great advantage in avoiding unnecessary use of the time of the House

A suspension of standing orders to enable a number of related bills to be guillotined in the one motion has also included provisions to allow groups of the bills to be taken together.³⁸³

Cognate debate

When there are two or more related bills before the House, it frequently suits the convenience of the House, by means of the cognate debate procedure, to have a general debate on the bills as a group rather than a series of separate debates on the individual bills. A proposal for a cognate debate is usually put to the House by the Chair, seeking the agreement of the House to the proposal. The debate on the second reading of the first of the bills is then permitted to cover the other related bills, and the questions on the second reading of the subsequent bills are put (usually) without further debate. Apart from this, normal procedures apply and separate questions are put as required on each of the bills. When a Member wishes to move a second reading amendment to a bill encompassed by a cognate debate other than the order of the day initially called on, the amendment may only be moved when the relevant order of the day is called on. The House has allowed the subject matter of 16 bills to be debated on the motion for the second reading of one of those bills. A group of bills relating to different subjects, but all Budget measures, has been debated cognately.

In 1994 a standing order was introduced providing formal procedures for the cognate debate of related bills.³⁸⁷ This standing order was omitted in 1996 after experience with the new provisions had found them to be unduly prescriptive, and the traditional informal arrangements were reverted to.

Bills declared urgent

There is no set period of time for the length of debate on any stage of a bill during its passage through the House. The length of time for debate on each stage of a bill's passage may be influenced by such factors as:

- its subject matter—whether the bill is of a controversial nature, whether it has the general agreement of the House, or whether it is of a 'machinery' kind;
- the nature of the Government's legislative program;
- the urgency connected with the passage of the bill;
- agreement reached between Government and Opposition; and
- the number of Members from each side who wish to speak on the bill.

In some cases, however, the Government may wish to curtail or limit one or more stages of debate on a bill and finds it necessary to move the closure motion (the 'gag'), which has the effect of curtailing debate on the question immediately before the

³⁸² VP 1964-66/472, 510.

³⁸³ VP 1998–2001/206 (16 'A New Tax System' bills).

³⁸⁴ H.R. Deb. (21.11.79) 3323. For more discussion see Ch. on 'Control and conduct of debate'.

³⁸⁵ H.R. Deb. (28.9.88) 1009.

³⁸⁶ H. R. Deb. (23.5.2001) 26841

³⁸⁷ VP 1993-95/762, S.O. 217C.

House.³⁸⁸ On other occasions the Government may resort to the use of the procedure for the limitation of debate (the 'guillotine'), prescribed in detail by standing order 92. A guillotine motion is usually passed prior to the commencement of the debate it proposes to limit.

The guillotine procedure was introduced to the House in 1918.³⁸⁹ The original standing order, adopted by a division on party lines, was essentially similar to present standing order 92, but with an hour being permitted for debate on the motion for the allotment of time and ten minutes for individual speeches. These time limits were reduced in 1931 and 1950 to those currently applying (that is, 20 minutes and five minutes respectively). There was also the requirement that the declaration of urgency be approved by an affirmative vote of not less than 24 Members (which in effect then meant that at least a quorum of Members, including the occupant of the Chair, had to be present). This requirement was removed in 1950 on the grounds that it was unconstitutional.³⁹⁰

It was not until 1958 that the guillotine was applied to more than one bill in the same declaration of urgency, following the suspension of standing orders.³⁹¹ These were related bills debated cognately. The first occasion the procedure was used for unrelated bills was in 1971—strong objection was taken and even government Members spoke against the action.³⁹² However, this was to become a common occurrence. Statistics for the number of bills declared urgent each year since 1918 are given at Appendix 17. It can be seen that this figure increased considerably, to a record of 132 bills in 1992. The increase was attributed by Governments to the imposition from 1986 of Senate deadlines for the receipt of legislation from the House.³⁹³

The use of the guillotine declined significantly after the provision of increased debating time with the establishment of the Main Committee.³⁹⁴ Another contributing factor to the decline in the 37th Parliament was that with the introduction of three sitting periods each year instead of two, the Government could introduce bills during one period with the expectation that they would not pass until the next.

The preparation of the documentation necessary for use in the Chamber for the process of declaring bills urgent and allotting time and their subsequent passage, requires great care and can be very time-consuming. Also, because of the desirability of giving Members reasonable notice of government intentions in such matters, it is imperative that detailed advice of such intentions be given well in advance.

The guillotine may not be moved in the Main Committee, but, having been agreed to in the House, may be applied to bills considered in the Main Committee. However, because of the delay involved in moving business to and from the Main Committee, it is likely that in normal circumstances bills needing urgent consideration would be taken in the House.

Declaration of urgency

The first step is for a Minister to declare that the bill is an urgent bill and this declaration may be made:

³⁸⁸ For discussion of the closure motion see Ch. on 'Control and conduct of debate'

³⁸⁹ H.R. Deb. (4.10.18) 6682-5; H.R. Deb. (9.10.18) 6715-53; H.R. Deb. (16.10.18) 6967-78.

³⁹⁰ Section 40 of the Constitution states that questions in the House 'shall be determined by a majority of votes'.

³⁹¹ VP 1958/27.

³⁹² VP 1971/577-83; H.R. Deb. (4.5.71) 2477-2508

³⁹³ H.R. Deb. (21.11.89) 2558-64

³⁹⁴ H.R. Deb. (9.11.94) 2950.

- (1) on the reading of a message from the Governor-General recommending an appropriation in connection with any bill (no precedent for this);
- (2) on the calling on of a motion for leave to introduce a bill, a procedure which has fallen into disuse; ³⁹⁵
- (3) on the calling on of a notice of presentation (no precedent for this);
- (4) on the consideration of any motion preliminary to the introduction of a bill, a procedure which has fallen into disuse;³⁹⁶
- (5) at any stage of a bill; or
- (6) on the consideration of Senate amendments or requests for amendments to a bill. 397

If it is desired to apply a guillotine to a bill prior to the occurrence of any of the above options (1) to (4) it is necessary to suspend standing orders to enable this to be done.³⁹⁸

Standing orders must be suspended if it is desired to include more than one bill in the declaration of urgency and to move one motion for the allotment of time in respect of the bills; as many as 67 bills have been dealt with together in this way.³⁹⁹ If the time for consideration of a bill is to continue beyond the time fixed by the standing or sessional orders for the adjournment of the House, it is necessary to include in the motion for suspension of standing orders a provision to suspend standing order 48A (automatic adjournment) for the sitting in order to avoid an interruption at that time.⁴⁰⁰ Also, if two or more bills are to be included in the declaration of urgency, and the allotment of time will provide for one or more of them to be called on and considered after 11 p.m., a provision to suspend the eleven o'clock rule⁴⁰¹ for the sitting must be included in the motion to suspend standing orders. The motion to suspend standing orders has also included other provisions—for example, permitting bills to be taken together and setting reduced speech time limits.⁴⁰²

The question 'That the bill be considered an urgent bill' is put forthwith, no debate or amendment being permitted. 403 A declaration of urgency has been withdrawn, by leave, when the House was proceeding to a division on the question. 404

When a bill has been declared urgent, the declaration is also taken to apply to Senate amendments and requests, and a motion for allotment of time may be moved in respect of them without a further declaration of urgency.

Allotment of time

407 VP 1917-19/531.

On the declaration of urgency being agreed to, a Minister may move a motion or motions specifying the times to be allotted for debate to the various stages of the bill, but it is not necessary to cover every stage. 406 Examples are:

• For the initial stages of the bill⁴⁰⁷ (up to, but not inclusive of, the second reading of the bill), until . . . (rarely used).

```
395 VP 1917–19/531.
396 VP 1959–60/208–9.
397 VP 1917–19/554. This declaration was unnecessary as the bill had previously been declared urgent, VP 1917–19/531.
398 VP 1974–75/17.
399 VP 1990–93/1838–43.
400 VP 1978–80/783–5.
401 Or such other time as may be set. S.O. 103.
402 VP 1998–2001/206-7 (16 bills).
403 A Member has spoken by indulgence at this time; H.R. Deb. (3.6.88) 3235–6.
404 VP 1961/127.
405 E.g. VP 1950–51/142–3; VP 1993–95/560–5, 663 (amendments). VP 1993–95/287–8, 359 (requests).
406 H.R. Deb. (5.12.35) 2669.
```

- In relation to the detail stage:
 - (a) For the detail stage ⁴⁰⁹ (or the remainder of the detail stage, ⁴¹⁰ if consideration in detail has commenced), until . . . , or
 - (b) For the detail stage:
 - (i) to the end of clause \dots , until \dots (and so on, clauses or parts separately or in groups)

. . .

- (v) remainder of the detail stage, until . . ., 411 or
- (c) For the detail stage (Appropriation Bill (No. 1)):
 - (i) Schedule

 Department of ..., until ...

 Department of ..., until ...
 - (ii) Remainder of bill until ... 412
- \bullet For the remaining stages, until . . . 413
- For all stages, until . . . ⁴¹⁴
- In respect of Senate amendments (or requests):
 - (a) For the consideration of the Senate's amendments and for the remaining stages until \dots , ⁴¹⁵ or
 - (b) For No. 1 etc., until . . . 416
 - (c) For Group 1—Amendments $1 \dots$ For Group 2—Further Amendments \dots^{417}

The above are examples of terminating the stages of a bill at a fixed time but there are instances where it is more practicable to express the allotment of time in hours. This is the case when a bill is to be debated over a number of days and it is desirable that other business should intervene during that period. While this method has generally fallen into disuse in respect of an ordinary bill, ⁴¹⁸ it has often been used for the Appropriation Bills (Nos 1 and 2). ⁴¹⁹ On an occasion when the estimates were declared urgent and times had been fixed for their consideration, and a point of order was raised that the estimates had priority of other business until disposed of, it was ruled that the times fixed were terminating times, and that, although the estimates had been declared urgent, the House should not be prevented from conducting other business. ⁴²⁰ Terminating times expressed in hours for a group of bills have been changed to fixed times.

```
408 VP 1974–75/1068.
409 VP 1974–75/107.
410 VP 1974–75/1091.
411 VP 1956–57/244.
412 VP 1993–95/529–30.
413 VP 1993–95/330.
414 VP 1978–80/785.
415 VP 1987–89/600—in this case the motion also encompassed bills being considered for the first time.
416 VP 1950–51/142–3.
417 VP 1993–95/1886.
418 VP 1970–72/581; VP 1934–37/489–90.
419 VP 1970–72/298–300.
420 VP 1946–48/289.
421 VP 1970–72/581, 613.
```

The allotment of time for a group of bills may provide for their consideration over more than one sitting day. In this case the ordinary routine of business may be followed at the commencement of proceedings on the second sitting day before consideration of the outstanding bills is resumed.⁴²²

It has been the practice in recent years for the Minister to move an allotment of time in respect of 'all stages of the bills' when several bills are under guillotine together and the second reading debate on the first of the bills has not been resumed. Where standing orders have been suspended to enable one motion for the allotment of time to be moved for several bills, the details may vary depending on whether amendments are to be moved—where there are no amendments provision may be made for 'the remaining stages', but where there are amendments the allotment would allow for a consideration in detail stage. The reporting of a message from the Governor-General recommending an appropriation is not necessarily included in the motion for allotment of time.

A Minister may move the allotment of time for a bill which has been declared urgent, either immediately, as is usual, or at any time during any sitting of the House but not so as to interrupt a Member who is speaking.

The allotment of time may break up the detail stage, ⁴²⁵ for example:

- (1) groups of clauses;⁴²⁶
- (2) parts, groups of clauses (with exceptions), postponed and excepted clauses, new clauses, Schedule, remainder of detail stage; 427
- (3) clause 1 (clause 2 to be considered postponed), groups of articles in the schedule, schedules of the schedule, postponed clause 2 and remainder of detail stage; 428
- (4) to the end of a particular Part, remainder of detail stage; 429 or
- (5) section of a clause, remainder of clause, new clauses, groups of clauses, remainder of detail stage. 430

An allotment of time may be varied by motion without notice without an additional declaration of urgency. 431

Debate on the motion for the allotment of time may not exceed 20 minutes, each Member speaking being allowed five minutes. Time taken to deal with a motion of dissent from a ruling of the Chair is counted as part of the 20 minutes. An amendment may be moved to the motion for allotment of time, and it has been found necessary, when midnight has intervened during consideration of the motion, for the word tomorrow to be omitted from the motion and the word today substituted. When the time allotted for consideration of the second reading of a bill has expired during the debate on the motion for allotment of time, the Chair has ruled that it was in order to put the questions on the allotment of time and on the second reading.

 $^{422\ \} VP\ 1993-95/330,\ 337-346; VP\ 1998-2001/210-11,\ 216-20,\ 225.$

⁴²³ VP 1993-95/329-30.

⁴²⁴ VP 1958/28-9; VP 1993-95/330.

⁴²⁵ Referred to as 'committee stage' in examples cited.

⁴²⁶ VP 1951-53/587.

⁴²⁷ VP 1937–40/134–5.

⁴²⁸ VP 1932–34/476–7.

⁴²⁹ VP 1954–55/154. 430 VP 1960–61/276.

⁴³¹ VP 1970–72/613–15; VP 1987–89/880, 881; VP 1993–95/663.

⁴³² H.R. Deb. (20.6.50) 4547.

⁴³³ VP 1978-80/1075

⁴³⁴ VP 1923-24/165-6

⁴³⁵ H.R. Deb. (4.11.52) 4100-5.

has been extended for the second reading,⁴³⁶ for the second reading and the detail stage,⁴³⁷ and has been extended and further extended for the detail and remaining stages.⁴³⁸ In the consideration of Appropriation Bill (No. 1) a motion may be moved, without notice, to vary the order of consideration of proposed expenditures,⁴³⁹ and the time allotted for the consideration together of the proposed expenditures for two departments has been varied to allow the proposed expenditures to be considered separately for stated times.⁴⁴⁰

Proceedings under guillotine

If an allotment of time is in the form 'for the remaining stages', at the expiry of time the immediate question before the Chair is put and then any further question is put which is needed to dispose of the business before the House—for example, the question 'That the remaining stages of the bill be agreed to'. However, if there are government amendments (which have been circulated at least two hours before the expiration of the allotted time) to be taken into account in such circumstances and the time for the remaining stages of the bill has expired before the detail stage has been reached, or when time has been allotted for the completion of the detail stage but it has expired, the House determines immediately the question 'That the bill and the amendments (and/or new clauses) circulated by the Government be agreed to. The final question is then put 'That the bill be now read a third time'.

When the time for each stage expires in accordance with the allotment of time, the debate is interrupted and the Chair puts (1) the question immediately before the Chair and (2) any other question necessary to conclude proceedings for that stage. At the expiration of time for the detail stage, the immediate question is put by the Chair and a further question is then put on the remainder of the bill (including postponed clauses) and any amendments, new clauses and schedules, copies of which have been circulated by the Government among Members at least two hours before the expiration of the allotted time, 444 even though such amendments have not been moved.

By resolving that particular stages of certain bills should conclude at specified times, the House overrides, by deliberate decision, the requirement in the standing orders for a motion for a future day to be fixed for the third reading. It is therefore in order for the Minister to move that the bill be read a third time without the grant of leave. Even when debate concludes before the expiry of time, the current practice is that leave is not required. However, where it is the wish of the House to proceed to the third reading forthwith (that is, to by-pass consideration in detail), leave is required for this.

If the allotment of time agreed to relates to the remaining stages of the bill, and the time expires during the second reading debate, and there are circulated government amendments to be taken into account, the following sequence is followed:

```
436 VP 1934-37/335-6.
```

⁴³⁷ VP 1948-49/342.

⁴³⁸ VP 1920–21/242, 252. 439 VP 1968–69/542.

⁴⁴⁰ VP 1968–69/550.

⁴⁴¹ VP 1993-95/89.

⁴⁴² VP 1970–72/619–25.

⁴⁴³ VP 1978-80/445; VP 1998-2001/248.

⁴⁴⁴ S.O. 92(e); VP 1983-84/716.

⁴⁴⁵ VP 1987–89/886 (two bills) and 1990–92/359–61 (three bills)—but see also VP 1985–87/1286 (three bills); VP 1998–2001/248

- question—That the words proposed to be omitted stand part of the question (if there is a second reading amendment);
- question—That the bill be now read a second time;
- message(s) from the Governor-General to be announced;
- question—That the bill and the amendments (new clauses and schedules) circulated by the Government be agreed to;
- question—That the bill be now read a third time. 446

When the expiry of time has prevented the Opposition from moving intended amendments which had been circulated, the Chair has allowed the unmoved amendments to be incorporated in Hansard so that the intentions of the Opposition could be recorded. 447

The closure motion cannot be moved while any proceedings in respect of which time has been allotted are being considered. This prohibition also applies to a motion for reconsideration of a bill, as such a motion is considered to come within 'the remaining stages of the bill'. However, the closure can be moved on the motion for allotment of time. The closure can also be moved on a motion moved after the second reading to refer the bill to a select committee, if it has not been included in the motion for allotment of time. Such a motion would not be considered to be included in the motion for allotment of time if the bill is considered in the following stages: (1) second reading; (2) detail stage; (3) remaining stages. It would be considered to be included if the bill is considered as follows: (1) second reading; (2) remaining stages.

A motion to reconsider the bill may be moved at the appropriate time during consideration of the remaining stages of a bill. 451

LAPSED BILLS

When the House is dissolved or prorogued all proceedings come to an end and all bills on the Notice Paper lapse. If it is desired to proceed with a bill that has lapsed following a dissolution a new bill must be introduced, as there is no provision for proceedings to be carried over from Parliament to Parliament. However, both Houses have provisions for the resumption of business that has lapsed due to a prorogation of Parliament. 452

Any bill which lapses by reason of a prorogation before it has reached its final stage may be proceeded with in the next session at the stage it had previously reached, provided that a periodical election for the Senate or a general election has not taken place between two such sessions. (The proviso in relation to a general election is necessary because on occasions the House has been prorogued prior to it being dissolved for the purposes of an election.) The procedure is as follows:

• If the bill is in the possession of the House in which it originated and has either not been sent to the other House 453 or, if it has been sent, has been returned by

⁴⁴⁶ VP 1970–72/620–5; and see, for a more limited number of questions, VP 1990–92/361–2; VP 1993–95/381–2.

⁴⁴⁷ H.R. Deb. (11.4.86) 2129–2; H.R. Deb. (6.9.93) 932–3, 934–5.

⁴⁴⁸ S.O. 92(g).

⁴⁴⁹ VP 1937–40/428–9.

⁴⁵⁰ VP 1934–37/481–3.

⁴⁵¹ VP 1923-24/175.

⁴⁵² S.O. 264; Senate S.O. 136. See Ch. on 'The parliamentary calendar' for the effect of prorogation and dissolution.

⁴⁵³ The Financial Corporations Bill 1973 lapsed at second reading stage at prorogation in 1974, VP 1974/32.

message, 454 it may be proceeded with by a resolution of the originating House, restoring it to the Notice Paper. For example, the Financial Corporations Bill 1973 was restored to the Notice Paper of the House. 455 In the Senate examples are the Estate Duty (Termination) Bill 1973 [1974] and the National Health Bill (No. 3) 1973 [1974] (both private Senators' bills). 456 The stage which the bill had reached at prorogation may be made an order of the day for the next sitting 457 or for a specified future day. 458 Speaker Holder, in a private ruling, held that a bill cannot be proceeded with on the day of the resolution to restore, as it must first be restored to and printed on the Notice Paper. 459 More recently, a bill has been proceeded with immediately after the House has agreed to a motion that the proceedings be resumed forthwith at the point where they were interrupted. 460

• If the bill is in the possession of the House in which it did not originate, it may be proceeded with by resolution of the House in which it is, restoring it to the Notice Paper, if a message has been received from the originating House requesting resumption of consideration. Following prorogation of the 1st Session of the 28th Parliament on 14 February 1974 the House requested the Senate to resume consideration of the Australian Industry Development Corporation Bill 1973 and the National Investment Fund Bill 1973, 461 and the Senate requested resumption of consideration of the Legislative Drafting Institute Bill 1973 and the Parliament Bill 1973. 462 The House orders consideration of messages requesting resumption of consideration to be made an order of the day for the next sitting (the most common practice) or for a specified future day.

Bills appropriating revenue and moneys are deserving of special consideration in this context. The Constitution provides: 463

A vote, resolution, or proposed law for the appropriation of revenue or moneys shall not be passed unless the purpose of the appropriation has in the same session been recommended by message of the Governor-General to the House in which the proposal originated.

On occasions when the House has agreed to resume consideration of a lapsed bill appropriating revenue or moneys which, of constitutional necessity, originated in the House, and in respect of which a message from the Governor-General recommending an appropriation had been announced in the previous session, a new message is announced.464 This has occurred before the motion to resume proceedings was moved, 465 and immediately after the motion to restore was agreed to. 466 None of the bills on which the House has asked the Senate to resume consideration has involved an appropriation, but the matter has been canvassed in the Senate. 467 Senate requests for

⁴⁵⁴ The Papua (British New Guinea) Bill 1904 lapsed at the stage of consideration in committee of Senate amendments, VP 1905/21.

⁴⁵⁵ VP 1974/32.

⁴⁵⁶ J 1974/24.

⁴⁵⁷ VP 1974/32; NP 4 (12.3.74) 110.

⁴⁵⁸ VP 1908/17.

⁴⁵⁹ VP 1908/12: NP 3 (22.9.08) 12.

⁴⁶⁰ VP 1993-95/2353-4; 2360-2.

⁴⁶¹ VP 1974/32.

^{462.} VP 1974/45

⁴⁶³ Constitution s 56

⁴⁶⁴ VP 1905/18: VP 1908/33.

⁴⁶⁵ VP 1905/21

⁴⁶⁶ VP 1908/33

⁴⁶⁷ S. Deb. (30.8.05) 1628-34.

resumption of consideration do not relate to appropriation bills (or taxation bills) as they are bills which the Senate may not originate.

Motions to resume proceedings on bills interrupted by prorogation and motions to request the Senate to resume consideration may be debated. Any bill so restored to the Notice Paper is proceeded with in both Houses as if its passage had not been interrupted by a prorogation, and, if finally passed, is presented to the Governor-General for assent. Should the motion for restoration to the Notice Paper be not agreed to by the House in which the bill originated, the bill may be re-introduced and proceeded with in the ordinary manner. 468

In 1990 the Senate, following suspension of its standing orders, sent a message requesting the House to resume the consideration of a bill which had lapsed in the House at the dissolution of the previous Parliament. The House returned a message to the Senate to the effect that the request was irregular in that it requested action prevented by the standing orders of the House and accepted parliamentary practice, and suggesting that the Senate should introduce the bill again and transmit it to the House in accordance with normal procedures. The Senate subsequently acted as suggested. 469

PRESENTATION OF BILLS FOR ASSENT

The Constitution provides that on the presentation of proposed laws for assent, the Governor-General declares, according to his discretion but subject to the Constitution, that he assents in the Queen's name, or that he withholds assent, or that he reserves assent for the Queen's pleasure, or he may recommend amendments. Before assenting, the Governor-General formally receives written advice from the Attorney-General as to whether there are any amendments that the Governor-General should recommend, and as to whether the Governor-General should, in the Attorney-General's opinion, reserve the bill for the Queen's pleasure. This advice, known as the 'Attorney-General's Certificate', is prepared by the Office of Parliamentary Counsel.

Preparation of bills for submission for assent

When a bill which originated in the House of Representatives has finally passed both Houses in identical form, the assent copies of the bill are printed, incorporating any amendments not yet incorporated and some minor adjustments, including a special cover and the addition to the back page of the Clerk's certificate stating that the bill originated in the House and has finally passed both Houses. The Clerk's certificate in the circumstances of the passage of a normal bill is:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this Bill originated in the House of Representatives and has been finally passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives.

On the back page of the assent copy of a bill are printed the words of assent used by the Governor-General as follows:

IN THE NAME OF HER MAJESTY, I assent to this Act.

Governor-General [Date]

⁴⁶⁸ S.O. 264

⁴⁶⁹ VP 1990-92/172, 196.

⁴⁷⁰ Constitution, s. 58. Assent is given by the Governor-General signing the bill.

⁴⁷¹ S.O. 265. For bills which originate in the Senate, assent arrangements are the responsibility of the Senate (Senate S.O. 137).

If a bill were to be reserved for assent, the Governor-General would cross out these words and write in the following:

I reserve this proposed law for Her Majesty's pleasure.

Governor-General [Date]

The question has been raised as to whether it would be more correct to use the word 'bill' or the constitutional expression 'proposed law' instead of 'Act' in the words of assent. The Parliamentary Counsel has expressed a view for the retention of the word 'Act', on the ground that the Governor-General assents to the bill and converts it into an Act, *uno ictu*.

Four copies of bills are presented to the Governor-General for assent.⁴⁷² When assented to, two copies are returned, one for the originating House and one for the other House.⁴⁷³ The Governor-General's Office retains one copy and forwards another to the Office of Parliamentary Counsel.

It is desirable to have bills available for the Governor-General's assent before a Parliament is prorogued or the House is dissolved. This may mean that there is not sufficient time for the specially printed assent copies of the bill to be prepared, and ordinary copies (that is, a print of the bill with manuscript amendments) may have to be submitted to the Governor-General. Where this occurs, the normal assent copies are obtained as soon as possible and forwarded to the Official Secretary to the Governor-General with a note seeking the Governor-General's signature for permanent record. This procedure may also be adopted in other circumstances where a clearly demonstrable need for urgent assent exists.

The Governor-General advises each House by message of the assent to bills, and the messages are announced in each House. 475

Presentation of first bill for assent

It has become the practice for the first bill to be assented to by a newly-appointed Governor-General to be presented by the Speaker in person, accompanied by the Clerk of the House. The Attorney-General has sometimes been present also, and, as a formal procedure, at the Governor-General's request, provided advice as to the desirability of assent. The Speaker informs the House accordingly.⁴⁷⁶

Governor-General's assent forthwith

Other than on rare occasions the Governor-General, in the Queen's name, is pleased to assent to the bill forthwith. The Queen may disallow any law within a year from the Governor-General's assent, an action which has never been taken. Such disallowance on being made known by the Governor-General by speech or message to each of the Houses of Parliament, or by proclamation, would annul the law from the day when the disallowance was made known.

⁴⁷² S.O. 265.

⁴⁷³ Joint Standing Order 1.

⁴⁷⁴ See Ch. on 'The parliamentary calendar'.

⁴⁷⁵ VP 1998–2001/279; J 1998–2001/437.

⁴⁷⁶ VP 1978-80/70; VP 1987-89/1061; VP 1996-98/235.

⁴⁷⁷ Constitution, s. 59. The Constitution Alteration (Removal of Outmoded and Expended Provisions) Bill 1983 proposed to remove this section, but the bill was not submitted to referendum.

Bills reserved for the Queen's assent⁴⁷⁸

Resulting from the Statute of Westminster in the United Kingdom in 1931 and the passing of the Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1942⁴⁷⁹ by the Australian Parliament, the necessity was removed of reserving for the Queen's assent certain shipping and related laws. The Constitution⁴⁸⁰ provides that proposed laws containing any limitation on the prerogative of the Crown to grant special leave of appeal from the High Court to the Privy Council shall be reserved for Her Majesty's pleasure. However, since the passing of the *Privy Council (Limitation of Appeals) Act 1968*⁴⁸¹ and the *Privy Council (Appeals from the High Court) Act 1975*, 482 the latter bill being the last bill of any kind reserved for the Queen's assent, 483 it would appear that there will be no further bills coming within this ground of reservation.

In respect of other bills reserved for the Queen's assent, in the lack of any legal requirement a decision would probably be based on the appropriateness of the bill (Flags Act 1954) or the appropriateness of the occasion (that is, the Queen's presence in Canberra), or both (Royal Style and Titles Act 1973⁴⁸⁴). In the latter case the Prime Minister informed the House that the Queen had indicated that it would give her pleasure to approve the legislation personally.⁴⁸⁵

A proposed law reserved for the Queen's assent shall not have any force unless and until within two years from the day on which it was presented to the Governor-General for the Queen's assent the Governor-General makes known, by speech or message to each House, or by proclamation, that it has received the Queen's assent.⁴⁸⁶

Presentation of constitution alteration bills

On the passage of a constitution alteration bill through both Houses, it is necessary to present a copy of the bill to the Governor-General in order that a referendum may be held. A certificate, signed by both the Clerk and the Speaker and indicating the date of final passage, is printed at the top of the first page of the bill in the following terms:

THIS Proposed Law originated in the House of Representatives, and on [date], finally passed both Houses of the Parliament. There was an absolute majority of each House to the passing of this Proposed Law. In accordance with section 128 of the Constitution, the Proposed Law is required to be submitted to the electors.

In the case of a constitution alteration bill which has twice passed the House and which has on each occasion been rejected by the Senate, or the Senate has failed to pass it or passed it in a form not agreeable to the House of Representatives, both bills passed by the House are presented to the Governor-General with certificates signed by the Clerk and the Speaker. For example, the certificates in respect of the Constitution Alteration (Simultaneous Elections) Bill 1974 was on the first occasion as follows:

THIS Proposed Law originated in the House of Representatives and on 14 November 1973 was passed by the House of Representatives by an absolute majority as required by section 128 of the Constitution. The Proposed Law was transmitted to the Senate on 15 November 1973 and had not

^{478 15} proposed laws have been reserved, see Appendix 19.

⁴⁷⁹ Act No. 56 of 1942.

⁴⁸⁰ Constitution, s.74

⁴⁸¹ Act No. 36 of 1968.

⁴⁸² Act No. 33 of 1975.

⁴⁸³ The Australia Act 1986, having been assented to by the Governor-General, came into operation on 3 March 1986 following proclamation by the Queen during her visit to Australia, Gazette S85 (2.3.86).

⁴⁸⁴ Act No. 114 of 1973.

⁴⁸⁵ H.R. Deb. (24.5.73) 2642

⁴⁸⁶ Constitution, s. 60; VP 1973-74/465.

been returned to the House of Representatives at the date of the prorogation of the Parliament on 14 February 1974.

and on the second occasion:

THIS Proposed Law originated in the House of Representatives and on 6 March 1974 was passed by an absolute majority as required by section 128 of the Constitution. The Proposed Law was transmitted to the Senate for its concurrence on 6 March 1974 and has not to date been returned to the

The certificate in respect of the Constitution Alteration (Mode of Altering the Constitution) Bill 1974 introduced on the first occasion was in the following form:

THIS Proposed Law originated in the House of Representatives, and on 21 November 1973 was passed by the House of Representatives by an absolute majority as required by section 128 of the Constitution. The Proposed Law was transmitted to the Senate for its concurrence on 21 November 1973. On 4 December 1973 the Senate returned the Proposed Law with amendments to which the House of Representatives did not agree. On 5 December 1973 the Senate insisted upon its amendments disagreed to by the House. The House insisted on disagreeing to the amendments insisted on by the Senate and the Bill was laid aside.

The certificate in respect of the bill introduced on the second occasion was similar to that for the Constitution Alteration (Simultaneous Elections) Bill as indicated above.

Where a constitution alteration bill has been approved by the electors, and no petition disputing the referendum has been filed in the time allowed by law, the following certificate is printed on the bill and signed by the Clerk and the Speaker:

THIS is a copy of the Proposed Law as presented to the Governor-General, and, according to the Constitution, in pursuance of a Writ of His Excellency the Governor-General, submitted to a Referendum of the Electors. The period allowed by law for disputing the Referendum has expired, and no petition disputing the Referendum, or disputing any return or statement showing the voting on the Referendum, has been filed. The said Proposed Law was approved in a majority of the States by a majority of the Electors voting, and also approved by a majority of all the Electors voting. The Bill is now presented to the Governor-General for the Queen's assent.

Amendment recommended by Governor-General

The Constitution makes provision for the Governor-General, in practice on the advice of the Attorney-General, to return to the House in which it originated, a proposed law presented for assent with a recommendation for amendment. 487 On all occasions of such amendments the Governor-General has acted on advice when it has become apparent to the Government, after a bill has passed both Houses, that further amendment to the bill is desirable, for example, by reason of an error in the bill. On all but one occasion (see below) the Houses have agreed to the amendments recommended.

Standing orders 266 to 269 supplement the constitutional provision concerning amendments recommended by the Governor-General to bills presented for assent. Such amendments are considered and dealt with in the same manner as amendments proposed by the Senate. ⁴⁸⁸ Any amendment is recommended by message and is considered by the House.489

When the House has agreed to any amendment proposed by the Governor-General with 490 or without 491 amendment, such amendments, together with any necessary consequential alterations, are forwarded to the Senate for its concurrence. The House transmits to the Senate by message a copy of the Governor-General's message, together

489 VP 1974-75/532

⁴⁸⁷ Constitution, s. 58. 14 proposed laws have been returned to one or other of the Houses by the Governor-General recommending amendments see Appendix 19.

⁴⁸⁸ S.O. 266.

⁴⁹⁰ VP 1905/147

⁴⁹¹ VP 1974-75/532

with a copy of the bill forwarded for assent, acquaints the Senate of the action the House has taken in respect of the amendment, and requests the concurrence of the Senate. Any amendments made by the Senate are dealt with in the same manner as amendments made by the Senate in bills originating in the House. The Senate returned the message of the Governor-General recommending amendments in the Customs Tariff (British Preference) Bill 1906, together with a copy of the bill as presented for assent, and acquainted the House that the Senate had disagreed to the amendments recommended by the Governor-General. The message from the Senate was ordered to be taken into consideration forthwith and the House resolved not to insist on the amendments disagreed to by the Senate. The Governor-General reserved the bill for the King's assent which was never given.

Amendments recommended by the Governor-General in bills which originated in the Senate and which have been agreed to by the Senate are forwarded for the concurrence of the House by means of message. The form of the message is similar to that of the House and conveys recommended amendments of the Governor-General and an assent copy of the bill. The message is considered in the same manner as amendments made by the Senate on the House's amendments to bills first received from the Senate.

When recommended amendments are made, the assent copy of the bill is reprinted and presented again to the Governor-General for assent. The Speaker and the Clerk sign letters to the Governor-General and the Official Secretary, respectively, confirming that the recommended amendments have been made. If any amendments recommended have been disagreed to by the House, or if no agreement between the two Houses is arrived at prior to the last day of the session, the Speaker shall again present the bill for assent in the same form as it was originally presented. 497

Errors in bills assented to

In 1976 the Governor-General purportedly assented to a bill which had not been passed by both Houses of Parliament as required by section 58 of the Constitution. A States Grants (Aboriginal Assistance) Bill 1976 passed the House⁴⁹⁸ but did not proceed past the second reading stage in the Senate. A second bill, slightly different in content but with exactly the same title, passed the House⁴⁹⁹ and the Senate.⁵⁰⁰ Due to a clerical error in the Department of the House of Representatives, the Clerk's certificate, as to the bill having originated in the House and having finally passed both Houses, was placed on the first bill which had not passed both Houses and that bill was assented to. When the error was discovered, the Governor-General cancelled his signature on the incorrect bill and gave his assent to the second bill, which had passed both Houses.⁵⁰¹ A similar cancellation occurred in the case of the Family and Community Services and Veterans' Affairs Legislation Amendment (Debt Recovery) Bill 2001, when due to a clerical error a Senate amendment which had not been agreed to by the House was incorporated into the original assent print.

```
492 J 1974-75/562-3.
```

⁴⁹³ S.O. 267.

⁴⁹⁴ VP 1906/175.

⁴⁹⁵ VP 1912/293.

⁴⁹⁶ S.O. 268.

⁴⁹⁷ S.O. 269. 498 VP 1976–77/240

⁴⁹⁹ VP 1976–77/480.

⁵⁰⁰ J 1976-77/528.

⁵⁰¹ VP 1976–77/575; H.R. Deb. (15.2.77) 2–10.

It is considered that should a bill be assented to with typographical or clerical errors in it, if necessary a court would interpret the Act so as to remedy the mistake (the 'slip rule') and there would be no question of invalidity. Depending on the circumstances, legislative amendment at a suitable time may still be desirable.⁵⁰

Publication of Acts

Acts are numbered in each year in arithmetical series, beginning with the number 1, in the order of assent.⁵⁰³ When the signed assent copy of the Act is returned from the Governor-General, details concerning Act number and date of assent are transposed to a 'publication' copy of the Act. If there is no commencement provision the date of commencement is inserted (although modern practice is that explicit commencement provisions are always included in bills). While it is not possible to make corrections in bills after assent, typographical corrections found necessary during the checking processes before assent may be made. Since 1985 the dates of Ministers' second reading speeches in each House have been noted on the last page of the Act. When the Act has been printed with the additional details and the new material checked, permission is given to release copies of the Act.

Details of assent are published in the Gazette by the authority of the Clerk of the House (or the Clerk of the Senate for bills originating in the Senate). The Gazette notification shows the Act number, long title, short title and date of assent.

Presentation of double dissolution bills

When a Prime Minister is to request the Governor-General to dissolve both Houses of the Parliament because of disagreement between the Houses in respect of a bill (or bills), the Prime Minister asks the Clerk in writing for a copy of the bill, duly certified by the Clerk as to the proceedings in the House on the bill, to accompany the submission to the Governor-General. There is no requirement of the Constitution or the standing orders of the House in respect of such a certificate, but it has become the practice for such a certificate to be attached to a copy of a bill which is to be the basis of a request for a dissolution of both Houses.

A certificate reciting the parliamentary history of the bill is attached to the Minister's copy of the bill as first introduced and also to the second bill passed after the interval of three months, with the exception of a bill amended in the House, in which case the third reading print is used for the first bill and the Minister's introduced copy for the second bill. The traditional form of the certificate has been as follows:

THIS Bill originated in the House of Representatives and, on [date], was passed by the House of Representatives. The Bill was transmitted to the Senate for its concurrence on [date] and

- had not been returned to the House of Representatives at the date of the prorogation of the Parliament on [date]; or
- has not to date been returned to the House.

Where the history of the bill has been more complex the certificate reflects this. For example, the certificate used in respect of the Petroleum and Minerals Authority Bill 1973 (one of the six bills submitted as a basis for a double dissolution on 11 April 1974), as first introduced, was as follows:

THIS Bill originated in the House of Representatives and on 12 December 1973 was passed by the House of Representatives. The Bill was transmitted to the Senate for its concurrence on 12 December

⁵⁰² Advice from Attorney-General's Department, 17 October 1995.

⁵⁰³ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 39.

1973 and had not been returned to the House of Representatives at the date of the prorogation of the Parliament on 14 February 1974. The Bill lapsed by reason of the prorogation. On 7 March 1974 the House of Representatives requested the Senate to resume consideration of the Bill and on 13 March 1974 the Senate acquainted the House that it had agreed to resume consideration of the Bill. To date the Bill has not been returned to the House.

Should the deadlock between the Houses in respect of the legislation continue after the double dissolution, section 57 of the Constitution provides further that the Governor-General may convene a joint sitting of members of both Houses, which may deliberate and shall vote together on the proposed law. In 1974, the only occasion when a joint sitting for this reason eventuated, the Prime Minister requested certified copies of the six bills indicating details of their subsequent consideration by the Houses following the double dissolution. The bills were necessary to support a submission to the Governor-General for the convening of a joint sitting. A certificate similar to those used on the bills submitted for the double dissolution was attached to a copy of each of the bills.

(And see Chapter on 'Disagreements between the Houses'.)

DELEGATED LEGISLATION

Delegated (also known as subordinate) legislation is legislation made not directly by an Act of the Parliament, but under the authority of an Act of the Parliament. Parliament has regularly and extensively delegated to the Executive Government limited power to make certain regulations under Acts. Other forms of delegated legislative authority, referred to collectively as legislative instruments, include:

- ordinances (of Territories and regulations made under those ordinances⁵⁰⁵);
- determinations (for example, of the Public Service Commissioner, 506 the Presiding Officers⁵⁰⁷ and the Remuneration Tribunal⁵⁰⁸);
 • orders⁵⁰⁹ and rules;⁵¹⁰
- by-laws;⁵¹¹
- principles, declarations, notices, plans of management.

Delegated legislation can take a multitude of forms and this list is not comprehensive.

Delegated legislation is necessary and often justified by its facility for adjusting administrative detail without undue delay, its flexibility in matters likely to change regularly or frequently, and its adaptability for other matters such as those of technical detail. Once Parliament has by statute laid down the principles of a new law, the Executive may by means of delegated legislation work out the application of the law in greater detail within, but not exceeding, those principles.

It is possible, although rare, for an Act to provide that provisions set out in the Act can be altered by regulation. The Re-establishment and Employment Act 1945 gave the Governor-General power to make regulations providing for the repeal or amendment of, or addition to, any provision of the Act, 512 subject to the disallowance provision of the

⁵⁰⁴ Regulations, etc., made under authority of an Act are required to be tabled and are included in the sessional index of papers presented to Parliament.

⁵⁰⁵ E.g. regulations made under the Christmas Island Act 1958, the Cocos (Keeling) Islands Act 1955 and the Heard Island and McDonald Islands Act 1953.

⁵⁰⁶ Under the Public Service Act 1999.

⁵⁰⁷ Under the Parliamentary Service Act 1999.

⁵⁰⁸ Under the Remuneration Tribunals Act 1973.

⁵⁰⁹ E.g. under the Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act 1974.

⁵¹⁰ E.g. rules of court under the Family Law Act 1975

⁵¹¹ E.g. under the Federal Airports Corporation Act 1986.

⁵¹² Re-establishment and Employment Act 1945, s. 137.

Acts Interpretation Act. 513 The power thus given was unusual, and one that should not be given except under special circumstances (a war-time limit was placed on any amendments of the Act effected by the regulations). The Attorney-General stated that in this case it was thought that the methods for re-establishment and employment laid down in the Act, being to some extent of an experimental nature, might need urgent revision from time to time in the light of experience, and, for that reason, the regulation-making power had been extended. Moreover, the cessation of operation of any regulation under the Act at the termination of the war would then necessitate an overhaul of the Act and amendments made by regulations. 514 The Re-establishment and Employment Act 1951 repealed the power of amendment by regulation and provided for the repeal of the Reestablishment and Employment Regulations and the continuance of certain amendments. 515 In more recent times the Administrative Arrangements Act 1987 empowered the Governor-General to make amendments to any Act by regulation if made necessary or convenient as a result of specified new administrative arrangements. However, a 'sunset' provision provided that this section of the Act would only be in effect for one year.⁵¹⁶

Parliamentary scrutiny and control

All regulations, ordinances, and so on, made under an Act are required to be notified in the Gazette. 517 They are also required to be laid before each House, thereby becoming subject to parliamentary scrutiny and the Parliament's ultimate power of veto. Some Acts prescribe a time within which regulations, ordinances, and so on, are to be laid before each House of Parliament.

The requirements for tabling and disallowance vary considerably and consultation of the appropriate Act is necessary to ascertain the conditions operating in relation to any particular form of delegated legislation or type of instrument.

If no time is prescribed in the enabling Act, the Acts Interpretation Act 1901 requires that regulations shall be laid before each House within 15 sitting days after being made. 518 Unless laid before each House within the specified time limit, regulations are void and have no effect.⁵¹⁹ In practice the tabling period may extend for some time, as a long adjournment or even dissolution and election could intervene between sitting days.

After a regulation has been made, no regulation the same in substance can be made while the original regulation remains subject to the tabling requirement, unless the remaking of the regulation has been approved by both Houses, or while a regulation remains subject to a notice of motion of disallowance.⁵²⁰

Approval

The Parliament's control of delegated legislation is usually exercised through the disallowance procedure. An alternative means of parliamentary control is to provide that specific delegated legislation may come into force only with the explicit approval, by affirmative resolution, of both Houses. Although not common, this practice has been

⁵¹³ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48.

⁵¹⁴ See Senate Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances, 6th report, S.1 (1946-48) 4.

⁵¹⁵ Re-establishment and Employment Act 1951, ss. 3, 13. 516 Administrative Arrangements Act 1987, s. 20(2).

⁵¹⁷ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(1)(a).

⁵¹⁸ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(1). 519 Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(3).

⁵²⁰ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, ss. 48A, 48B,

used from time to time in recent years, especially in respect of certain types of legislative instrument variously described as statements, charters, agreements, declarations, guidelines, etc. 521

An Act may provide for the Houses to be able to amend the instrument in question during the process of approving it. If one House amends such an instrument the other House is informed by message, and when the message is considered, the motion put, for example, 'That the House approves the form of agreement \dots as amended by the Senate and conveyed in Senate Message No. \dots '. The motion can be amended to amend the amendments or make further amendments.

The conditions for approval vary and depend on the requirement of the particular Act. The requirement may be simply that an instrument must be approved by both Houses to come into effect. A more complicated requirement may be, for example, that an instrument comes into effect after 15 sitting days of being tabled in both Houses, unless a notice of motion to amend the instrument is given in either House, in which case the instrument, whether or not amended, must be approved by both Houses. 524

While notices of motions of approval moved by Ministers are taken as government business, motions of amendment, as in the above example, would in the normal course be moved by opposition Members and be subject to the usual private Members' business procedures. ⁵²⁵

Approval provisions have sometimes been inserted into bills in the Senate when it has been thought that particular instruments merited special control procedures. However, there may on occasion be another reason for their use—the approval of regulations by both Houses at the time of tabling does offer the possibility of a more rapid and certain outcome than waiting the required period for potential disallowance. An Act has provided for either disallowance or approval in respect of the same regulations—the disallowance procedures ceasing to apply in the case of the regulations being approved. Procedures ceasing to apply in the case of the regulations being approved.

Disallowance

In most cases regulations, etc. are effective unless and until disallowed, but an Act may provide that a legislative instrument made pursuant to it does not come into effect until the disallowance period has expired. Either House may, in pursuance of a motion of which notice⁵²⁸ has been given, within 15 sitting days after any regulations have been laid before that House, pass a resolution disallowing any of those regulations, and the regulations thereupon cease to have effect.⁵²⁹ If the motion has not been withdrawn or otherwise disposed of—that is, passed or rejected—at the expiration of 15 sitting days

⁵²¹ VP 1990-92/515-6, 1290-1.

⁵²² VP 1990-92/472-5.

⁵²³ See, for example, amendments moved at VP 1987–89/1622–3.

^{524 &#}x27;Form of agreement' under the Aged or Disabled Persons Care Act 1954, ss. 10DA, 10DB.

⁵²⁵ VP 1990-92/537-9 (amendment moved), 595 (order of day discharged by mover).

⁵²⁶ Odgers, 9th edn, p. 336.

⁵²⁷ Telecommunications Act 1991, ss. 408–9— see S. Deb. (14.11.91) 3253–4.

⁵²⁸ A notice of disallowance given by a private Member is placed under Notices, Private Members' Business, e.g. NP 133 (11.12.86) 9744. A notice of disallowance given by a Minister is placed under Government Business, NP (9.11.96) 831–2. In each case a note to the following effect is added: (Notice given [date]. Regulation will be deemed to have been disallowed unless this motion is disposed of within [number of sitting days remaining] days including today).

⁵²⁹ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(4); VP 1980-83/221.

after the notice was given, the regulations specified in the motion are thereupon deemed to have been disallowed. 530

If, before the expiration of 15 sitting days after a notice of motion of disallowance has been given, the House is dissolved, expires, or the Parliament is prorogued, and the motion has not been withdrawn or otherwise disposed of, the regulations are deemed to have been laid before the House on the first sitting day after the dissolution, expiry or prorogation, as the case may be. ⁵³¹ Any notice to disallow given in the previous session, or the last session of the previous Parliament, must be given again to have effect. 532

Where a regulation has been disallowed or is deemed to have been disallowed, no regulation being the same in substance may be made within six months after the date of disallowance unless the House concerned has rescinded its resolution of disallowance or approved the re-making of the regulation, as the case may be. 533

An Act may specifically allow for the disallowance of part of a regulation made under its authority. 534 However, as the Acts Interpretation Act refers to the disallowance of regulations in their entirety, it had been speculated that an attempt, pursuant to that Act, to disallow a regulation partially might be challenged as ineffective. Motions have, however, been moved for the disallowance of items within a set of regulations.⁵³⁵ This action is seen as consistent with the decision of the Federal Court in a case where it upheld action of the Senate in disallowing parts of a single amending export control order. The Court referred, without deciding, to the word 'regulation' as meaning 'the serially numbered collocations of words into which subordinate legislation is divided ...⁵³⁶ Part of a management plan has been disallowed by the Senate.

The provisions of an individual Act in respect of delegated legislation may override the general provisions of the Acts Interpretation Act—for example, by replacing the 15 day tabling and disallowance periods with different periods.⁵³⁸ The passage of a resolution of disallowance or the deemed disallowance of an instrument is notified in the Gazette 'for general information' by the Clerk of the House responsible. 539

Reckoning of time

Pursuant to the Acts Interpretation Act any period of time prescribed or allowed by an Act dating from a given day, act or event, unless the contrary intention appears in the Act, is reckoned exclusive of the day of such act or event. 540 The day on which a regulation is tabled therefore is not taken into account for the purposes of determining the number of sitting days within which it may be disallowed. A sitting may extend beyond a calendar day but constitute only one sitting day.⁵⁴¹ Similarly, a sitting which is

⁵³⁰ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(5); NP 98 (10.5.79) 5354; NP 28 (11.9.96) 941; NP 114 (5.6.2000) 6197 and VP 1998-

⁵³¹ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 48(5A).

⁵³² A 'new' 15 sitting day period thus commences

⁵³³ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 49;VP 1996–98/502

⁵³⁴ E.g. Seat of Government (Administration) Act 1910, s. 12.

⁵³⁵ VP 1998-2001/1986-7

⁵³⁶ Borthwick v. Kerin, 1989 87 ALR 527; and see Odgers 9th edn, p. 336.

⁵³⁸ Telecommunications Act 1991, ss. 408-9—changed to 5 days for regulations and instruments made during a restricted time, see S. Deb. (14.11.91) 3253-4. The Australian Capital Territory (Planning and Land Management) Act 1988 provided for 6 days; also no provision for deemed disallowance if motion not disposed of. Financial Management and Accountability Act 1997, s. 22—to be effective a disallowance resolution must be passed within 5 sitting days of a determination being tabled.

⁵³⁹ Gazette GN2 (13.5.87) 55; S344 (18.9.96).

⁵⁴⁰ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 36(1).

⁵⁴¹ VP 1978-80/596

suspended and resumed on a later day constitutes only one sitting day.⁵⁴² Any disputed question on the reckoning of time would be, initially at least, for the House itself to decide. The possibility of the matter being subsequently the subject of litigation cannot be ruled out, in which case it could be a matter for the courts to consider.

A notice of disallowance lodged on the last possible sitting day has been regarded as valid, the provisions of standing order 141—that a notice only becomes effective when it appears on the Notice Paper—not being seen as cutting down the provisions of the Acts Interpretation Act which refer to a notice given 'within 15 sitting days'. 543

Notice to disallow before tabling

The question has been raised as to whether a notice of motion disallowing a regulation should be accepted before the regulation is laid before the House. The matter was canvassed in the Senate in 1942 when a Minister informed the Senate that Senators could move for the disallowance of a regulation without it being tabled, based upon the High Court judgment in Dignan's case. 544

In response to a request for an opinion, the Attorney-General's Department advised the Clerk of the Senate on 25 March 1942 that the decision in Dignan's case should still be regarded as authority for the proposition that it is not a condition essential to the validity or operation of a resolution of disallowance that the regulations should first be laid before the House. The Chairman of the Senate Regulations and Ordinances Committee, in a memorandum on the disallowance of regulations, and on the judgments in Dignan's case, concluded that the question of whether disallowance is effective where a regulation is not laid before the Senate (or the House) was still an open one as far as the High Court was concerned, and that any doubt on the matter could be avoided if motions for disallowance were not moved before the regulations were tabled. It is considered that a similar attitude might commend itself to the House of Representatives.

In the House a notice of motion has been given before the relevant regulations were tabled. On 29 November 1940 Statutory Rules No. 269 (National Security Aliens Control Regulations) were made, and on 3 December 1940 a Member gave a notice of motion for their disallowance, whereas the regulations were not tabled until 9 December 1940. On 2 April 1941 the Member raised a matter of privilege in which he claimed that the regulations were null and void as his motion for disallowance had not been dealt with within 15 sitting days after notice was given. The Minister replied that he believed the motion was out of order, as it was placed on the Notice Paper some days before the statutory rules were tabled; if the Member wished to take any action in the matter, the opportunity to do so was still open to him. The Speaker stated that the question of whether the statutory rules were null and void was a matter of law, the curtailment of any rights of the Member was a matter of privilege. The Member concluded, not by moving a motion relating to privilege, but rather by giving notice of motion of want of confidence in the Minister. Later in the day, standing orders having been suspended, the Member moved the motion of want of confidence but it lapsed for want of a seconder.

⁵⁴² VP 1917-19/171; see also Ch. on 'Routine of business and the sitting day'.

⁵⁴³ NP 154 (28.11.2000) 8674.

⁵⁴⁴ S. Deb. (6.3.42) 235; Dignan v. Australian Steamships Pty Ltd (1931) 45 CLR 188.

⁵⁴⁵ Odgers, 9th edn, p. 339.

⁵⁴⁶ NP 7 (4.12.40) 15; VP 1940–43/45.

⁵⁴⁷ VP 1940-43/103, 105; H.R. Deb. (2.4.41) 504-5, 553-7.

Delegated legislation procedure in the House

After notification in the Gazette, legislative instruments are usually delivered to the Clerk (or officers of the House) and are recorded in the Votes and Proceedings as 'deemed papers'. An instrument so delivered to the Clerk is deemed to have been tabled in the House on the day on which it is recorded in the Votes and Proceedings. Papers received on a sitting day before 5 p.m. are recorded in the Votes and Proceedings of the day of receipt. In other circumstances they are recorded in the Votes and Proceedings of the next sitting day.

Although this is not common, legislative instruments can also be tabled in the House in the same manner as ordinary papers, and a motion to take note of the paper or papers may be moved and debated. An example of this occurred in 1986 when a Minister tabled an amending regulation to certain Export Control (Orders) Regulations and made a ministerial statement concerning them. Debate ensued on the question that the House take note of the papers (regulation and statement) to which a Member moved an amendment to disallow the regulation; debate was adjourned and not resumed.⁵⁴⁸

Of the hundreds of pieces of delegated legislation tabled each year very few are ever formally considered, let alone disallowed, by the House. Notices of disallowance, almost invariably given by private Members, 549 are subject to the same procedures as other items of private Members' business. However, in view of the fact that disallowance will occur unless a notice is called on and dealt with within the specified time, the general practice is for standing orders to be suspended to permit them to be moved and debated during government business time. 550

Notices appear in the Notice Paper with a note showing the number of sitting days remaining before the regulation concerned is deemed to be disallowed.

Disallowable Instruments List

Each sitting day the Table Office produces a Disallowable Instruments List. This is a listing of instruments which have been tabled and which are subject to possible disallowance, showing the number of sitting days remaining for Members to give notice of disallowance. 551

Regulations and Ordinances Committee

The Senate, in 1932, established by standing order a Standing Committee on Regulations and Ordinances to be appointed at the commencement of each Parliament, to which all regulations, ordinances and other instruments made under the authority of Acts of the Parliament, which are subject to disallowance or disapproval by the Senate, and which are of a legislative character, stand referred for consideration and, if necessary, report. The committee scrutinises delegated legislation to ensure:

- that it is in accordance with the statute;
- that it does not trespass unduly on personal rights and liberties;

⁵⁴⁸ VP 1985-87/882. If passed it is considered that this amendment would not have been effective, as disallowance must be pursuant to a motion of which notice has been given.

⁵⁴⁹ An exception being notices by Ministers at the beginning of 38th Parliament disallowing regulations made under the previous Government. H.R. Deb. (28.5.96) 1570, H.R. Deb. (29.5.96) 1769. These notices were listed under Government Business 550 VP 1993-95/1499:VP 1998-2001/382.

⁵⁵¹ The list is publicly available via the House of Representatives Internet site (http://www.aph.gov.au/house/)

- that it does not unduly make the rights and liberties of citizens dependent upon administrative decisions which are not subject to review of their merits by a judicial or other independent tribunal; and
- that it does not contain matter more appropriate for parliamentary enactment. 552

The committee traditionally operates on a non-partisan basis and refrains from considering the policy of delegated legislation. The committee's reports usually consist of accounts of amendments made to legislation to accommodate the committee's objections. Notices of disallowance are given on occasion, but these are often withdrawn after undertakings are received from Ministers, for example, to have provisions changed.⁵⁵³

Legislative Instruments Bill

The Legislative Instruments Bill 1996 lapsed at the end of the 38th Parliament in 1998 and was expected to be re-introduced in the following Parliament in a modified form. The bill uses the term 'legislative instrument' to cover the wide range of delegated legislation, although specific types of delegated legislation are excluded from the definition of legislative instrument and thus from the application of the bill. Principal features of the proposed new arrangements are summarised below.

Incorporation of provisions of the Acts Interpretation Act

The bill re-enacts, with some amendment, the provisions of sections 46A and 48 to 50 of the Acts Interpretation Act that relate to regulations and extends their operation to all legislative instruments. Changes include the provision for registration to replace gazettal as the means of publication of legislative instruments, and the requirement for their tabling in each House within 6 sitting days following registration (including cases where an existing Act prescribes a different time period). An explanatory statement for each instrument must also be tabled.

Notification of intention to make legislative instruments and consultation

Makers of legislative instruments are required, in most circumstances, to notify their intention to make a legislative instrument and then to consult with persons and organisations likely to be affected by the proposal.

Register of Legislative Instruments

All new legislative instruments made are required to be recorded in the Federal Register of Legislative Instruments. The Register is a public document and the Principal Legislative Counsel is required by the Act to ensure that the public has reasonable access to the Register and to copies of instruments and information contained in the Register. Generally, a legislative instrument that is required to be registered is not enforceable unless the instrument is registered.

The bill provides for pre-existing legislative instruments to be progressively registered. If a pre-existing legislative instrument is not registered on or before the relevant date it is taken to be repealed.

553 For the history and operations of the committee see Odgers, 9th edn, pp. 345-7.

⁵⁵² Senate S.O. 23

⁵⁵⁴ This had not happened by May 2001.

Disallowance

The bill provides for a House to disallow a legislative instrument in its entirety or to disallow a provision or provisions within a legislative instrument.

A House may resolve to defer consideration of a motion to disallow a legislative instrument for a period of up to six months to enable the instrument to be remade or amended to achieve a specified objective identified in the resolution. This provides an option to a House where it finds an instrument in some way objectionable but where immediate disallowance would have unacceptable consequences.

Where an instrument is made to amend, repeal or replace an instrument subject to a resolution deferring consideration of a motion of disallowance, the new instrument is not subject to the consultation requirements of the Act.

The disallowance procedures do not apply to a legislative instrument which, in accordance with its enabling legislation, does not come into operation unless it is approved by either or both Houses of Parliament.

Sunset provisions

With some exceptions, a five year sunset clause is imposed on all registered instruments, including pre-existing instruments. In the latter case the Act provides for the legislative instrument and the provisions of any other legislative instrument that amend it to cease to be in force on the fifth anniversary of the last day it could have been registered.

THE INTERPRETATION OF ACTS

Construction of Acts subject to the Constitution

Every Act must be read and construed subject to the Constitution, and so as not to exceed the legislative power of the Commonwealth.⁵⁵⁵ In some circumstances an Act may be read down or read as if it did not contain any invalid provisions, so that it may be given effect to the extent that it is not in excess of the power of the Commonwealth. 550

Regard to purpose or object of Act

In the interpretation of a provision of an Act, a construction that would promote the purpose or object underlying the Act, whether expressly stated in the Act or not, must be preferred. 557 The purpose of an Act may be stated in an objects clause, its long title and, if one exists, the preamble. A preamble does not have separate legislative effect, but may be used for clarification if the meaning of a section is unclear.

Use of extrinsic material in the interpretation of an Act

If any material not forming part of an Act is capable of assisting in the construction of a provision of the Act, consideration may be given to the material to confirm that the meaning of the provision is the ordinary meaning conveyed by the text, or to determine the meaning of the provision when the provision is ambiguous or obscure or the ordinary meaning conveyed by the text leads to a result that is manifestly absurd or unreasonable.

⁵⁵⁵ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 15A.

⁵⁵⁶ E.g. see Bank of New South Wales v. Commonwealth (1948) 76 CLR 371.

⁵⁵⁷ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 15AA.

Material that may be considered in the interpretation of a provision of an Act includes:

- all matters not forming part of the Act that are set out in the document containing the text of the Act as printed;
- any relevant report of a Royal Commission, Law Reform Commission, committee of inquiry or similar body that was laid before either House before the provision was enacted;
- any relevant report of a parliamentary committee presented before the provision was enacted;
- any treaty or other international agreement referred to in the Act;
- any explanatory memorandum relating to the bill containing the provision, or any other relevant document, that was laid before, or furnished to the members of, either House by a Minister before the provision was enacted;
- a Minister's second reading speech on the bill containing the provision;
- any document that is declared by the Act to be a relevant document; and
- any relevant material in the Journals of the Senate, the Votes and Proceedings of the House of Representatives or in any official record of parliamentary debates.

In determining whether consideration should be given to extrinsic material, or in considering the weight to be given to any such material, regard shall be had to the desirability of persons being able to rely on the ordinary meaning conveyed by the text of the provision, taking into account its context and the purpose or object underlying the Act, and to the need to avoid prolonging legal or other proceedings without compensating advantage. ⁵⁵⁸

⁵⁵⁸ Acts Interpretation Act 1901, s. 15AB and see D. C. Pearce and R. S. Geddes, Statutory Interpretation in Australia, 4th edn, Butterworths, 1996, pp. 48–68 for comment on the practical application of s. 15AB.