Private Members’ business

As a means of analysing how the time of the House is occupied the following categorisation may be used:

Government business—government sponsored legislation and motions, and ministerial statements.

Business of the House—petitions, giving notices, question time, presentation of papers, privilege matters, personal explanations, messages from the Senate, dissent motions, announcements of ministerial arrangements, motions to appoint committees, statements and debate on committee reports, motions for addresses, motions of condolence, motions for leave of absence and special adjournment motions.

Private Members’ business—bills and motions sponsored by private Members (for the purpose of private Members’ business, a private Member is any Member of the House other than the Speaker or a Minister4).

Other opportunities for private Members—adjournment and grievance debates, Members’ statements, discussion of matters of public importance, and debate on the Address in Reply.

Most of the time of the House is occupied in the consideration of government business, a situation which is common to most Parliaments of the Commonwealth. This has not always been the case, however, for at the beginning of the 19th century in the House of Commons government business had no precedence over other business, although in practice two days per week were allotted to the former. In those times the greatest debates took place on private Members’ motions, that is, motions moved by Members who were not Ministers.3

At the time of Federation a Government’s right to reserve a significant part of the time of the House for its own purposes had, from necessity, already become established. The demand for government time has been met at the expense of time available for private Members’ business. The increasing need for Governments to control House time, assisted by the growth of strong party loyalty, led to a steady curtailment of opportunities for private Members to initiate bills and motions, and procedures exist which may be used to expedite the consideration of government business.4 Private Members frequently object to the limits placed on opportunities to raise matters in the House, and to the occasional encroachments on their relatively few remaining opportunities to have issues of their own choosing debated.5

The private Member has the opportunity, provided by the standing orders, to participate in all House activity, including government business and business of the House. The rights of the private Member are specifically preserved in respect of lodging a petition, the giving of a notice and the asking of questions. Other procedures which permit private Members to raise and draw attention to issues

---

1 Sessional order 28D(f), VP 1987-89/298.
2 Jennings, Parliament, p. 121.
3 Jennings, p. 360. For a definition of private Member see Ch. on ‘Members’.
4 A feature of changes to the standing orders since Federation has been the adoption of the closure of the question, closure of a Member, the guillotine and time limits for Members’ speeches which have been progressively shortened.
which they consider to be important are the adjournment debate, grievance debate, Members' statements, discussion of matters of public importance and debate on the Address in Reply. Members also have an opportunity to debate matters of their own choosing during debate on the second reading of the main Appropriation and Supply Bills and, subject to the relevancy rule, in the consideration of the estimates. While these opportunities are important to private Members none of them enable the Member to initiate debate on a topic of his or her own choice and to obtain a distinct vote of the House on it. The private Members' business procedures provide such an opportunity.

This chapter focuses on the major opportunities for private Members to initiate debate on particular issues and covers, as well as private Members' business, the grievance debate, Members' statements and the adjournment debate.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' THURSDAYS

The previous arrangements, under which grievance debate and general business (that is private Members’ business) took place on alternate sitting Thursdays after the Address in Reply had been adopted, were described in detail at pages 513-526 of the first edition. Following recommendations from the Standing Committee on Procedure sessional orders were adopted in December 1987 giving greatly increased opportunities to private Members.

Routine of business on Thursday mornings

The sessional orders adopted in 1987 and effective from March 1988, provided for the following routine of business on Thursday mornings:

1. Presentation of petitions.
2. Presentation and consideration of reports from parliamentary committees and delegations.
3. Private Members' business (commencing no later than 11 a.m., debate concluding no later than 12.30 p.m.).
4. Grievance debate.
5. Members' statements (at approximately 1.45 p.m.).

The normal afternoon routine resumes at 2 p.m. with questions without notice. Any division called for in the House before 12.30 p.m. on a question, other than a motion moved by a Minister, stands deferred until 12.30 p.m.

Selection Committee

Under the new arrangements (first operative from March 1988), the programming of business prior to 12.30 p.m. (that is, consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business) is the responsibility of a Selection Committee appointed at the commencement of each Parliament. The Selection Committee has 11 Members, of whom six are government Members. The quorum is five, of whom three must be government Members.

The Selection Committee is empowered to determine the order of precedence and times allotted for consideration of committee and delegation reports and private Members' business. Private Members' business to be accorded priority, the order of

---

6 These procedures are discussed in the appropriate chapters.
7 See Ch. on 'Legislation'.
9 Sessional order 101, VP 1987-89/299.
10 Sessional order 193, VP 1987-89/302.
11 Sessional order 28D, VP 1987-89/298.
consideration and the times allotted for debate on each item must be approved by a majority of the Members present at a meeting of the committee and in accordance with general principles adopted by the House. The committee reports its determinations to the House in sufficient time for its decisions to be published on the Notice Paper of the first sitting day of each week. Such reports of the committee are deemed to be adopted when laid upon the Table and they are printed in Hansard.

On 23 February 1988 the House, by adopting recommendations of the Selection Committee, approved principles to guide the committee in its selection and programming of private Members' business. The guidelines provide that:

1. In formulating the priority to be given to items of private Members' business the Selection Committee shall have regard to:
   - the importance of the subject;
   - the current level of interest in the subject;
   - the extent of the current discussion on the subject both in the Parliament and elsewhere;
   - the extent to which the subject concerns the administrative responsibilities of a Minister or could come within the scope of ministerial action;
   - the probability of the subject being brought before the House by other means within a reasonable time; and
   - whether the subject is the same, or substantially the same, as another item of business which has been debated or on which the House has already made a decision in the same period of sittings and, if so, whether new circumstances exist.

2. The Committee shall accord priority to private Members' business—
   - with regard to the numbers of Members affiliated with each party in the House;
   - in a way which ensures that a particular Member or the Members who comprise the Opposition Executive do not predominate as the movers of the items selected; and
   - in a way which seeks to ensure balance is achieved over each period of sittings.

3. Priority shall not be accorded to any item of private Members' business, the subject of which can be considered by the House in another, more appropriate, way.

4. When a private Member will have the responsibility for the carriage of a Bill transmitted from the Senate for concurrence, the Bill shall be accorded priority in the same way as a private Member's Bill originating in the House is accorded priority by sessional order 104A.

5. The general principles set out above shall be observed generally by the Selection Committee but nothing in the general principles shall be taken to prevent the Selection Committee departing from those general principles in order to meet circumstances, which, in its opinion, are unusual or special.

CONSIDERATION OF COMMITTEE AND DELEGATION REPORTS

Under the sessional orders in effect from March 1988, a period is reserved for the presentation of parliamentary committee and delegation reports and for the resumption of debate on orders of the day relating to reports previously presented, the presentation of reports taking priority. Subject to any determination by the Selection Committee, the Member presenting a report and one other Member of the committee or delegation may each speak for a maximum of 10 minutes. The Member presenting the report may then move without notice a specific motion in

---

connection with the report, whereupon the debate on the question is adjourned to
a future day to be determined by the Selection Committee.

Following presentation of reports, orders of the day are debated according to
the order of priority and times allotted for debate determined by the Selection
Committee. Each Member may speak for a maximum of 10 minutes or for any
lesser period determined by the Selection Committee. If the consideration of any
question has not concluded by the time appointed by the Selection Committee or
by 11 a.m., the debate is interrupted and the resumption of debate made an order
of the day for a future day under private Members' business.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BUSINESS

Under the sessional orders in effect from March 1988\textsuperscript{14}, during the private
Members' business period notices and orders of the day relating to private Members'
business are called on by the Clerk in the order in which they appear on the Notice
Paper, that is, as previously determined by the Selection Committee. Priority is
given to notices of intention to present private Members' bills. When the time
allotted by the Selection Committee for an item has expired, debate is interrupted
by the Chair and the question before the Chair put. When the Selection Committee
has determined that consideration of a matter should continue on a future day, the
Chair interrupts proceedings at the time fixed for interruption; if the House is in
committee, the Chairman reports progress and the Speaker fixes the next sitting
Thursday for the further consideration of the matter.

Any private Members' business not called on, or any private Members' business
the consideration of which has been interrupted and not re-accorded priority by the
Selection Committee, on any of the next eight sitting Thursdays, is removed from
the Notice Paper.

At the conclusion of consideration of private Members' business, the Chair puts
forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment, any questions on
which a division has been called for earlier in the day and which have been
deferred.

Precedence by order of the House

Prior to the new arrangements for private Members' business effective from
1988, from time to time and usually through the initiative of the Government,
standing orders were suspended to permit specified items of private Members'
business to be called on and considered on a day other than a general business
Thursday\textsuperscript{15} or to give precedence to one item of general business over others.\textsuperscript{16} This
course had been taken to permit immediate consideration of a matter of which
notice had just been given, such as a want of confidence or censure motion\textsuperscript{17}, to
initiate debate on a matter of particular significance to the Parliament\textsuperscript{18} or to the
community\textsuperscript{19} or to bring on a matter when the time factor was significant, such as
a motion for the disallowance of delegated legislation (regulations\textsuperscript{20}, ordinances\textsuperscript{21},
and so on). Attempts by private Members to obtain precedence to a particular item
of business without government support were invariably unsuccessful.\textsuperscript{22}

\textsuperscript{14} Sessional orders 104A-B, VP 1987-89/300-1.
\textsuperscript{15} VP 1973-74/475-6; VP 1968-69/39.
\textsuperscript{16} VP 1978-80/133.
\textsuperscript{17} VP 1978-80/269.
\textsuperscript{18} E.g., the reference of a matter to the Court of
\textsuperscript{19} VP 1978-80/683; VP 1980-81/139.
\textsuperscript{20} VP 1970-72/423; see also Ch. on 'Legislation'.
\textsuperscript{21} VP 1970-72/508.
\textsuperscript{22} VP 1970-72/965,1176.
Private Members' business

With the greater amount of time allotted to private Members' business and with the programming powers of the Selection Committee, such action would appear to be less necessary under the arrangements now in force.

Private Members' motions

The procedures of the House for private Members' motions are the same as for motions moved by a Minister except that they are required to be seconded. The speech of the mover of a motion is limited to 20 minutes and of other Members to 15 minutes.

Impact

During the period 1981-88, some 43 per cent of private Members' motions moved were brought to a vote. However, a large proportion of these were brought on with the support, or through the initiative, of the Government on other than general business Thursdays, and included censure or want of confidence motions. Fifteen per cent of those moved were agreed to in their original form, most of these having been moved by government backbenchers.

Some private Members' motions brought before the House involve issues of social and/or moral significance, often referred to as matters of conscience, such as abortion or homosexuality, or issues concerning the parliamentary institution. By arrangement within the parties, these are generally decided by a free vote or conscience vote and a number have been agreed to, or amended and agreed to. Outright government support for a private Members' motion, in its original form, is less common when the motion is put forward by an opposition Member.

If a private Member's motion is agreed to, the Government does not necessarily consider itself bound by its terms. For example, in 1965 the House agreed to the following motion:

That as the Canberra Advisory Council is but part elected and believing that the citizens of Canberra have a right to say whether or not they want fluoridation of their water supply this House is of opinion that a referendum on the question should be held.

No action was taken by the Government in the terms of the resolution.

Private Members' bills

The procedures which apply to the processing of private Members' bills are substantially the same as those for government bills. Upon the respective notice being called on by the Clerk, the Member in whose name the notice stands presents the bill and may speak for a period not exceeding five minutes in its support. The bill is then read a first time and the next sitting Thursday appointed for the Member to move the bill's second reading. The occasion for the bill's second reading is a matter for the Selection Committee to determine. Although there is no exemption from the requirement in the standing orders concerning the seconding of motions for a motion for the second or third reading of a bill moved by a private Member,

[23] See Ch. on 'Motions'.
[26] E.g., a motion to determine the proposed site for the new and permanent Parliament House, VP 1973-74/289-90,476; and see Ch. on 'Routine of business and the sitting day' for other examples of free votes.
[27] E.g., a motion for the establishment of the Select Committee on Specific Learning Difficulties initiated by the Leader of the Opposition was agreed to, VP 1976-77/286-7.
[29] See also Ch. on 'Motions' on this point.
[31] H.R.Deb.(17.3.88) 1055.
in practice a seconder is called for only on the second reading when the principles of the bill are under consideration. If a private Member's bill passes the second reading stage, a seconder is not called for when motions such as that for the third reading are moved, the House having already affirmed its support for the bill. The following time limits apply to speeches on the second reading of a private Member's bill:

**Initiated by private government Member**
- Mover: 30 minutes
- Prime Minister or one Member deputed by him: 30 minutes
- Leader of the Opposition or one Member deputed by him: 30 minutes
- Any other Member: 20 minutes

**Initiated by opposition Member**
- Mover: 30 minutes
- Prime Minister or one Member deputed by him: 30 minutes
- Any other Member: 20 minutes

If the motion for the second reading of any private Member's bill is agreed to by the House, further consideration is accorded precedence of other private Members' business and the Selection Committee may allot times for consideration of the remaining stages of the bill. The extent of government support in respect of successful private Members' bills has varied. In the case of the Matrimonial Causes Bill 1955, the Member who initiated the bill remained in charge of it through all stages in the House. In the case of the Parliament Bill 1974, the Member who initiated the bill having moved the second reading, another Member moved the third reading. The bill was amended at the committee stage on the motion of a Minister. On the bill being returned from the Senate with amendments, it was taken over by the Government and was listed on the Notice Paper under government business.

When a private Member's bill has passed the House and been transmitted to the Senate, its sponsorship in the Senate may be by either a private Senator or a Minister in the Senate. Similarly, bills which originated in the Senate have been sponsored in the House by a private Member or a Minister. The principles adopted by the House on 23 February 1988 to guide the Selection Committee in respect of private Members' business included a provision that when a private Member has responsibility for the carriage of a bill transmitted from the Senate, the bill is to be accorded priority (following the first reading and after the second reading has been moved) in the same way as a private Member's bill originating in the House is accorded priority by sessional order 104A.

In 1986 the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives jointly sponsored a Parliamentary Privileges Bill which sought to make

---

32 VP 1974-75/790.
33 S.O. 91.
34 Sessional order 104A, VP 1987-89/300.
37 NP 30(30.10.74)2840; VP 1974-75/426-8.
39 Life Assurance Companies Bill 1904 (passed Senate in 1905).
40 Wireless Telegraphy Amendment Bill 1980.
significant changes to the law relating to privilege and contempt. The Presiding Officers each spoke to the second reading of the bill in their respective Houses. The bill passed both Houses.\footnote{42}{J 1985-87/1250, 1679-80; VP 1985-87/1525, 1627. Act No. 21 of 1987. In May 1988 Speaker Child presented and moved the second reading of the Public Service (Parliamentary Departments) Bill 1988—VP 1987-89/548.}

The term ‘private Members’ bill’ should not be confused with the term ‘private bill’. Private bills, as known in the United Kingdom, conferring powers or benefits on individuals or bodies of persons, do not feature in the Commonwealth Parliament.

Financial initiative of the Crown

A private Member may not initiate a bill imposing or varying a tax or requiring the appropriation of revenue or moneys as this would be contrary to the constitutional and parliamentary principle of the financial initiative of the Crown, that is, that no public charge can be incurred except on the initiative of the Government.\footnote{43}{This principle and its significance is discussed in the Chs on ‘The role of the House of Representatives’ and ‘Legislation’.}

The financial initiative in regard to appropriation is expressed in section 56 of the Constitution, and is extended in standing order 292 as follows:

No proposal for the appropriation of any public moneys shall be made unless the purpose of the appropriation has in the same session been recommended to the House by message of the Governor-General, but a bill, except an Appropriation or Supply Bill, which requires the Governor-General’s recommendation may be brought in by a Minister and proceeded with before the message is announced. No amendment of such proposal shall be moved which would increase, or extend the objects and purposes or alter the destination of, the appropriation so recommended unless a further message is received.

The financial initiative in regard to taxation, which restricts private Members from initiating taxing bills, is expressed in, and given effect by, standing order 293:

A proposal for the imposition, or for the increase, or alleviation, of a tax or duty, or for the alteration of the incidence of such a charge, shall not be made except by a Minister. No Member, other than a Minister, may move an amendment to increase, or extend the incidence of, the charge defined in that proposal unless the charge so increased or the incidence of the charge so extended shall not exceed that already existing by virtue of any Act of the Parliament.

It would not be possible for a private Member to obtain the Governor-General’s recommendation for an appropriation. Furthermore, standing order 292 provides that, of those bills requiring a Governor-General’s message, only those brought in by a Minister may be introduced and proceeded with before the message is announced. Therefore, only a Minister may bring in a bill which appropriates public moneys.

Drafting

While officers of the House provide assistance to private Members in the drafting of bills and amendments, private Members have traditionally also had some access to the Office of Parliamentary Counsel for help in such matters. Any dealings between a Member and a parliamentary draftsman are regarded as confidential, if the Member so wishes.\footnote{44}{H.R. Deb. (9.4.75)1367.} The Attorney-General has the power to decline to permit Members access to draftsmen, if it is considered that work on the tasks involved would substantially prejudice the Government’s legislative program.\footnote{45}{H.R. Deb. (3.6.75)3251. The practical effect of this qualification has varied in significance over the years. In 1975, private
Members' access to assistance from draftsmen was an issue on which the House and the Senate could not agree. The Senate amended the Parliamentary Counsel Bill 1975 to provide that a section of not less than two professional staff from the Office of Parliamentary Counsel be seconded to draft proposed laws, amendments and instruments at the request of private Members of Parliament in priority to services for Ministers. The House disagreed to the amendment in view of the fact that the existing arrangements were considered to be more satisfactory to Members and the Government than the arrangements proposed. Each House stood its ground and the bill was finally laid aside.

Over recent years officers of the House have been the principal source of assistance to private Members in these matters. The assistance of a consultant draftsman may be obtained in cases of particular difficulty or technical complexity.

In 1905, the Life Assurance Companies Bill, a private Member's bill which had originated in, and was passed by, the House in 1904, was passed by the Senate and sent to the Governor-General for assent. The Governor-General returned the bill recommending amendments. Commenting on the proposed amendments the Minister indicated that they were 'purely verbal' and did not affect the purpose of the bill. He pointed out that the initiator of the bill had not had the Parliamentary Draftsman's assistance in drafting it and had not understood the full significance of certain words he had used in the bill.

### TABLE 11 PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS INTRODUCED INTO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 1901-88

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Originated in the House of Representatives</th>
<th>Transmitted from Senate for concurrence of House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leave granted to bring bill in, bill not brought in</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion for leave to bring bill in lapsed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First reading negatived by House</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapsed at second reading stage in House</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged at second reading stage</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn at second reading stage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reading negatived by House</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reading negatived by Senate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapsed at committee stage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapsed in Senate at consideration of House of Representatives amendments</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed into law</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed from Notice Paper (b)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still before House</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Includes three bills which were sponsored by the Government when transmitted to the House for its concurrence. (b) Pursuant to sessional order 104B adopted 9.12.87.

---

Impact

Bills initiated by private Members represent a small proportion of legislation dealt with by the House. One hundred and four private Members' bills were introduced into the House between 1901 and 1988. Table 11 indicates how these bills were dealt with by the Houses.

Three notable examples of legislation passed by the Parliament have resulted from the actions of private Members or Senators:

- In 1924, the Electoral (Compulsory Voting) Bill, which introduced compulsory voting at Federal elections, was initiated in the Senate by a private Senator, and when transmitted to the House was sponsored by a private Member.

- In 1957, a private Member initiated in the House the Matrimonial Bill to provide for uniform divorce laws. The bill passed the second reading but then lapsed. The objects of the measure were incorporated in the Government's Matrimonial Causes Bill which was passed in 1959.50

- In 1974, a private Member introduced the Parliament Bill which was similar to a bill previously introduced by a private Senator but which did not proceed beyond the first reading stage in the Senate before lapsing. The bill's primary purpose was to determine the site of the new Parliament House, an issue which had defied final resolution for many years. The 1974 bill ultimately passed both Houses, in an amended form, and became law.

**TABLE 12 PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS PASSED INTO LAW 1901-88**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Initiator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life Assurance Companies 1904 (Lapsed in Senate at second reading stage; proceedings resumed in Senate in 1905—Act No. 12 of 1905)</td>
<td>Mr L. E. Groom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conciliation and Arbitration 1908 (Lapsed in Senate at committee stage; proceedings resumed in Senate in 1909—Act No. 28 of 1909)</td>
<td>Senator Needham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electoral (Compulsory Voting) 1924 (Act No. 10 of 1924)</td>
<td>Senator Payne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defence (No. 2) 1939 (Act No. 38 of 1939)</td>
<td>Mr Curtin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply and Development (No. 2) 1939 (Act No. 40 of 1939)</td>
<td>Mr Curtin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrimonial Causes 1955 (Act No. 29 of 1955)</td>
<td>Mr Joske</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Capital Territory Evidence (Temporary Provisions) 1971 (Act No. 66 of 1971)</td>
<td>Senator Murphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Telegraphy Amendment 1980 (Act No. 91 of 1980)</td>
<td>Senator Rae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Elections (Queensland) 1982 (Act No. 31 of 1982)</td>
<td>Senator Colston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliamentary Privileges 1987 (Act No. 21 of 1987)</td>
<td>President McClelland/ Speaker Child</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 Act No. 104 of 1959; and see H.R. Deb. (14.5.59)2223.
One of the most important non-government bills ever passed was the Parliamentary Privileges Bill which was assented to in 1987, having been sponsored by President McClelland and Speaker Child.

Table 12 lists all private Members’ bills which have passed into law since Federation.

GRIEVANCE DEBATE

Origins
The grievance debate is derived from the centuries old financial procedures of the House of Commons. The traditional insistence of the Commons on considering grievances before granting supply to the Crown found expression in the practice of prefacing consideration in Committee of Supply by the motion ‘That Mr Speaker do now leave the Chair’. This provided an opportunity for the discussion and criticism of administrative policy.

Until 1963, the grievance debate in the House of Representatives also took place on this question on the order of the day being called on for the House to resolve itself into either the Committee of Supply or the Committee of Ways and Means. However, with the revision of the standing orders which saw the elimination of the Committees of Supply and Ways and Means from the procedures of the House, it became necessary to substitute a new question on which to hinge the debate. The question now proposed is ‘That grievances be noted’. It is because of the procedural origins of the grievance debate that it is listed on the Notice Paper as an order of the day under government business, rather than private Members’ business.

Programming of the debate
Pursuant to sessional orders effective from March 1988, the first order of the day, government business, on each sitting Thursday, is grievance debate which is called on at about 12.30 p.m. At the conclusion of consideration of private Members' business, the question proposed by the Chair is ‘That grievances be noted’, to which question any Member may address the Chair or move any amendment. If consideration of the question has not concluded at the allotted time (1.45 p.m.) the debate is interrupted and the question put by the Chair.51

Scope of the debate
Any Member may address the House on, or move an amendment to52, the question “That grievances be noted” but, in practice, Ministers rarely participate in order to give more private Members the opportunity to speak. A Member’s speech is limited to 10 minutes53 and it is the traditional practice for the first speaker to be called from the Opposition.54 Grievance day is regarded by private Members as a most useful opportunity to raise matters in which they have a particular interest or to ventilate complaints of constituents. A wide-ranging debate, similar in scope to that which may occur on the motion for the adjournment of the House, may take place. A matter which has been the subject of a debate earlier in the session may be referred to but the earlier debate itself may not be revived unless the allusion is relevant to a new aspect or matter which the Member is raising. This restriction does not prevent reference to previous grievance or adjournment debates.

---

51 S.O. 106, as amended by sessional order, VP 1987-89/301.
52 VP 1974-75/452.
53 S.O. 91.
54 H.R. Deb. (20.9.73)1333.
The scope of an amendment to the question ‘That grievances be noted’ is practically unlimited and debate may then cover both the main question and the amendment. The purpose of an amendment is primarily to seek a resolution of the House or focus attention on a particular subject. In 1908, a Member who had placed a notice of motion under general business on the Notice Paper subsequently withdrew the notice and moved it in the form of an amendment to the grievance motion. The amendment procedure was frequently used in the House until about 1924 but is now rarely used. Only three amendments have been agreed to, two of them involving amendments to proposed amendments.

MEMBERS’ STATEMENTS

During this 15 minute period (normally from 1.45 until 2 p.m.), any Member other than a Minister may be called by the Chair to make a statement for a period not exceeding 90 seconds. When called, as well as being able to make a statement to the House on any topic of concern, a Member may give notice of intention to move a motion or present a bill by stating its terms to the House and delivering a fair copy to the Clerk at the Table. The call is alternated between government and opposition Members, as in Question Time, however opposition front bench Members do not receive precedence. A Member may make up to three 90 second statements each period, if no other Member rises. The calling of quorums, the raising of spurious points of order and other disruptive tactics are not in accord with the spirit of the procedure.

ADJOURNMENT DEBATE

The standing orders provide for a half hour adjournment debate to take place at the end of every sitting day. The detailed arrangements for the moving of the motion or the proposing of the question for the adjournment of the House are described in the Chapter on ‘Routine of business and the sitting day’.

The opportunities provided in adjournment debates in terms of the total time available to private Members are not inconsiderable. Because of this, and because an adjournment debate, even if abbreviated, takes place on a majority of sitting days, the adjournment debate is particularly valued by Members.

Time limits

Except for the limitation imposed by standing order 48A for an interruption at 11 p.m. or such other times as may be specified, each Member receiving the call on the adjournment motion may speak for five minutes. No extension of time may be granted. If no other Member from any part of the House rises, a Member who has already spoken to the motion may speak a second time for a period not exceeding five minutes. Similar time limits apply to Ministers, with the exception that when a Minister’s speech commences just prior to the interruption the Minister may conclude his or her five minutes after the interruption by requiring the debate to be extended. The Minister may then speak for a second period of five minutes, if no other Minister rises.
Debate

On approximately 70 per cent of sitting days the motion for the adjournment is debated (1984-88 figures). Subject to the general rules of debate, matters irrelevant to the motion may be debated. This means that the scope of debate is practically unlimited and provides the private Member with an opportunity to raise matters of his or her choosing.

Through the application of the general rules of debate a Member may not anticipate discussion of any notice or order of the day on the Notice Paper, but the Speaker has regard to the probability of a matter coming before the House within a reasonable time. Debates of the current session may not be revived unless the allusion is relevant to a new aspect or matter which the Member is raising. A passing reference may be made to a previous debate. A Member may reply to matters raised in a previous debate to correct misrepresentation by way of personal explanation. Remarks cannot be based on a question asked earlier in the day, but the facts may be stated without dealing with the question. Provided that no other rules of debate are contravened, matters before State Parliaments may be discussed, as may be activities of another Member of the Parliament.

Call of the Chair

The practice of the House is that a Member of the Opposition receives the first call on the motion to adjourn the House. Subsequently, if Members are rising on both sides, the Chair alternates the call in the normal way, calling the Member who, in the Chair's opinion, first rose.

On one occasion in 1952, the Speaker gave preference to Members desiring to speak on a particular subject and on a later occasion stated that, although Members were at liberty to debate their chosen subjects, as he had been warned of two subjects he would hear them first. On a later occasion the Speaker required assurances from Members that they proposed to debate certain matters already raised before he gave them the call. These practices have not been continued.

61 See Ch. on 'Control and conduct of debate'.
62 S.O. 81. The adjournment debate is not broadcast. For reasons for this see Ch. on 'Parliament and the citizen'.
63 S.O. 82. See also Ch. on 'Control and conduct of debate'.
64 H.R. Deb. (23.3.72)1196.
65 H.R. Deb. (26.5.55)1201.
66 H.R. Deb. (21.2.52)256.
68 H.R. Deb. (25.10.50)1395.
69 H.R. Deb. (10.5.52)256.
70 See Ch. on 'Control and conduct of debate'.
71 H.R. Deb. (14.5.52)342.
72 H.R. Deb. (11.3.53)871-5.