

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

No. 130

WEDNESDAY, 17 OCTOBER 1979

1 The House met, at 2.15 p.m., pursuant to adjournment. Mr Speaker (the Right Honourable Sir Billy Snedden) took the Chair, and read Prayers.

2 PETITIONS: The Clerk announced that the following Members had each lodged petitions for presentation, viz.:

Mr Bouchier, Mr N. A. Brown, Mr Burns, Mr Falconer, Mr Jarman, Mr P. F. Johnson, Mr Lynch, Mr Martyr, Mr Peacock and Mr Scholes—from certain citizens praying that the National Women's Advisory Council be abolished.

Mr Armitage, Mr Cohen, Dr Everingham, Mr Fry, Mr Humphreys, Mr C. K. Jones, Mr Kerin, Mr L. B. McLeay and Mr Wallis—from certain citizens praying that the Government provide certain information concerning the fishing agreement with the Japanese Government and not re-issue licences to Japanese fishermen in 1980.

Mr Bouchier, Mr E. C. Cameron, Mr Falconer, Mr Fife, Mr Fisher, Mr Fraser, Mr L. K. Johnson, Mr Lynch and Mr Scholes—from certain citizens praying for the abolition of the export parity pricing policy for LPG consumed in Australia and that certain other action be taken in relation to the pricing of LPG.

Mr Bowen, Mr Bradfield, Mr Hunt, Mr Kerin, Mr Lucock, Mr Lusher and Mr Morris—from certain residents of New South Wales praying that the 1980 level of education expenditure to Government schools be restored and increased in real terms.

Mr Armitage, Dr Everingham, Mr Kerin and Mr Morris—from certain citizens praying that Government proposals to sell publicly owned enterprises be rejected.

Mr Burns, Mr Jarman and Mr Shipton—from certain citizens praying that a humane policy be adopted to assist refugees to enter Australia.

Mr C. K. Jones and Mr Morris—from certain citizens praying that the proposal to increase the marine radio licence fee be reconsidered.

Mr Armitage—from certain citizens praying that positive policies be adopted to reduce unemployment and that certain social security benefits be granted.

Mr Bradfield—from certain electors of New South Wales praying that the *Commonwealth Employees (Employment Provisions) Act 1977* be repealed.

Dr Everingham—from certain electors of Queensland in the same terms as the last preceding petition.

Mr Bradfield—from certain citizens praying that the Metric Conversion Act be repealed and the traditional and familiar weights and measures be restored.

Mr C. R. Cameron—from certain citizens praying that legislation be introduced to eliminate the practice of intensive livestock farming.

Mr Humphreys—from certain citizens praying that action be taken to ensure that Queensland remains as one indivisible electorate for the purpose of Senate elections.

Mr Humphreys—from certain citizens praying that the submission of the Civil Air Operations Officers' Association to the Public Works Committee on the plans for Brisbane Airport be noted and that an assurance be given by the Government that noise pollution will not increase as a result of the plans.

Mr Humphreys—from certain citizens praying that a review be conducted into the administration of the Greenslopes Repatriation General Hospital, Brisbane, and that extra staff, funds and equipment comparable to other Repatriation Hospitals be provided to Greenslopes.

Mr Jarman—from certain electors of the Electoral Division of Deakin praying that the Social Security Act be amended to enable those in receipt of unemployment benefits to engage in part-time work and not forfeit those benefits.

Mr Lynch—from certain citizens praying that the imperial system of weights and measures be restored.

Mr Morris—from certain citizens praying that pension payments be restored to twice-yearly adjustments and that pensions and unemployment benefits be raised to 30% of average weekly earnings.

Mr Ruddock—from certain citizens praying that funds be provided to improve the standard of living of the Aboriginal people.

Mr Shipton—from certain citizens praying that the allowable private income level earned by sheltered workshop invalid pensioners be doubled to \$40 per week and then indexed.

Mr Thomson—from certain citizens praying that the signing of the Commonwealth/Queensland fishing agreement with Japan be delayed, that marlin fishing negotiations re-commence and that long-line fishing be prohibited during the negotiations.

Petitions received.

3 QUESTIONS: Questions without notice were asked.

4 PAPERS: The following papers were presented:

By command of His Excellency the Governor-General:

Department of Housing and Construction—Report for year 1978–79.

Pursuant to statute:

Insurance Act—Insurance Commissioner—5th Annual Report, for year 1978–79.

5 FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND DEFENCE—JOINT COMMITTEE—REPORT ON DUAL NATIONALITY—GOVERNMENT RESPONSE—MINISTERIAL STATEMENT: Mr Peacock (Minister for Foreign Affairs), by leave, made a ministerial statement informing the House of the Government's response to the report of the Joint Committee on Foreign Affairs and Defence on Dual Nationality.

Mr Bowen (Deputy Leader of the Opposition) and Dr Klugman, by leave, also made statements in connection with the matter.

6 MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE: Messages from the Senate were reported returning the following Bills without amendment:

16 October 1979—Message—

No. 315—States Grants (Roads) Amendment 1979.

No. 316—Quarantine Amendment (No. 2) 1979.

No. 317—Australian Capital Territory Electricity Supply Amendment 1979.

No. 318—Ombudsman Amendment 1979.

No. 319—Remuneration Tribunals Amendment (No. 2) 1979.

- 7 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE: Message No. 314, dated 16 October 1979, from the Senate was reported acquainting the House that Senator Keffe had been discharged from the Joint Committee of Public Accounts, and that Senator Georges had been appointed a member of the Committee.
- 8 MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL—ASSENT TO BILLS: A message from His Excellency the Governor-General was announced informing the House that His Excellency, in the name of Her Majesty, had assented to the following Bills:
17 October 1979—Message No. 185—
Albury-Wodonga Development Amendment 1979.
Loan 1979.
- 9 DISCUSSION OF MATTER OF PUBLIC IMPORTANCE—PROTECTION OF BLACK MARLIN: Mr Deputy Speaker informed the House that Mr Cohen had proposed that a definite matter of public importance be submitted to the House for discussion, namely, "The failure of the Australian Government to protect black marlin in the Australian Fishing Zone".
The proposed discussion having received the necessary support—
Mr Cohen addressed the House.
Discussion ensued.
Discussion concluded.
- 10 CUSTOMS TARIFF AMENDMENT BILL (NO. 3) 1979: Mr Fife (Minister for Business and Consumer Affairs) presented a Bill for an Act to amend the *Customs Tariff Act 1966*.
Bill read a first time.
Mr Fife moved—That the Bill be now read a second time.
Debate adjourned (Mr C. R. Cameron), and the resumption of the debate made an order of the day for the next sitting.
- 11 DIESEL FUEL TAXATION (ADMINISTRATION) AMENDMENT BILL 1979: Mr Fife (Minister for Business and Consumer Affairs) presented a Bill for an Act to amend the *Diesel Fuel Taxation (Administration) Act 1957*.
Bill read a first time.
Mr Fife moved—That the Bill be now read a second time.
Debate adjourned (Mr Cohen), and the resumption of the debate made an order of the day for the next sitting.
- 12 SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDERS—BILLS—LIMITATION OF DEBATE: Mr Viner (Leader of the House) moved—
(1) That standing order 48A be suspended for this sitting, and
(2) That, in relation to the proceedings on the following Bills, so much of the standing orders be suspended as would prevent the Leader of the House making one declaration of urgency and moving one motion for the allotment of time in respect of all the Bills:
Australian Security Intelligence Organization Bill 1979
Telecommunications (Interception) Bill 1979 [No. 2]
Telecommunications Amendment Bill 1979
Customs Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1979
Debate ensued.
Several Members rising to address the House—
Closure: Mr Viner moved—That the question be now put.

Question—That the question be now put—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Millar, in the Chair)—

AYES, 70

Mr Adermann	Mr Dean	Mr Jarman	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Dobie	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Drummond	Mr Johnston	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Dr Edwards	Mr Jull	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bouchier	Mr Ellicott	Mr Katter	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Mr Falconer	Mr Killen	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr Lucock	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr Lusher	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr Lynch	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Giles	Mr MacKellar	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Gillard	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Staley
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Goodluck	Mr McLean	Mr Street
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Graham	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Thomson
Mr Carlton	Mr Groom	Sir William McMahan	Mr Viner
Mr Chapman	Mr Haslem	Mr McVeigh	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges*	Mr Macphee	Mr Yates
Mr Corbett*	Mr Hodgman	Mr Martyr	
Mr Cotter	Mr Howard	Mr Neil	

NOES, 30

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Mr Bowen	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahan*	
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

And the question—That the motion be agreed to—being accordingly put—

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Millar, in the Chair)—

AYES, 70

Mr Adermann	Mr Dean	Mr Jarman	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Dobie	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Drummond	Mr Johnston	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Dr Edwards	Mr Jull	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bouchier	Mr Ellicott	Mr Katter	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Mr Falconer	Mr Killen	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr Lucock	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr Lusher	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr Lynch	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Giles	Mr MacKellar	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Gillard	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Staley
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Goodluck	Mr McLean	Mr Street
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Graham	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Thomson
Mr Carlton	Mr Groom	Sir William McMahan	Mr Viner
Mr Chapman	Mr Haslem	Mr McVeigh	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges*	Mr Macphee	Mr Yates
Mr Corbett*	Mr Hodgman	Mr Martyr	
Mr Cotter	Mr Howard	Mr Neil	

NOES, 30

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Mr Bowen	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahan*	
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative by an absolute majority.

- 13 DECLARATION OF BILLS AS URGENT BILLS—LIMITATION OF DEBATE: Mr Viner (Leader of the House) declared that the Australian Security Intelligence Organization Bill 1979, the Telecommunications (Interception) Bill 1979 [No. 2], the Telecommunications Amendment Bill 1979 and the Customs Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1979 were urgent Bills.

Question—That the Bills be considered urgent Bills—put and passed.

Allotment of time: Mr Viner then moved—That the time allotted in connection with the Bills be as follows:

- (1) Australian Security Intelligence Organization Bill 1979—
 - (a) For the remainder of the committee stage, until 8.20 p.m. this day.
 - (b) For the remaining stages, until 8.30 p.m. this day.
- (2) Telecommunications (Interception) Bill 1979 [No. 2]—For the remaining stages until 10 p.m. this day.
- (3) Telecommunications Amendment Bill 1979—For the remaining stages, until 10.15 p.m. this day.
- (4) Customs Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1979—For the remaining stages, until 10.30 p.m. this day.

Mr Bowen (Deputy Leader of the Opposition) moved the following amendment: Omit the times “8.20 p.m. this day”, “8.30 p.m. this day”, “10 p.m. this day”, “10.15 p.m. this day” and “10.30 p.m. this day”, substitute the following times, respectively, “6 p.m. 18 October 1979”, “10.30 p.m. 18 October 1979”, “10 p.m. 23 October 1979”, “10.15 p.m. 23 October 1979” and “10.30 p.m. 23 October 1979”.

Debate continued.

The time allowed by standing order 92 for debate on the motion having expired—

Question—That the times proposed to be omitted stand part of the motion—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Millar, in the Chair)—

AYES, 68

Mr Adermann	Mr Cotter	Mr Jarman	Mr Nixon
Mr Aldred	Mr Dean	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr O’Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Dobie	Mr Johnston	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Mr Drummond	Mr Jull	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bouchier	Dr Edwards	Mr Katter	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lucock	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr Lusher	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKellar	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Giles	Mr McLean	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Gillard	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Sinclair
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Goodluck	Sir William McMahan	Mr Staley
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Graham	Mr McVeigh	Mr Street
Mr Carlton	Mr Groom	Mr Macphee	Mr Thomson
Mr Chapman	Mr Haslem	Mr Martyr	Mr Viner
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges*	Mr Neil	Mr Wilson
Mr Corbett*	Mr Howard	Mr Newman	Mr Yates

NOES, 29

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr Bowen	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Dr Cass	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahan*	
Mr Cohen	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	
Mr Dawkins	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

Question—That the motion for the allotment of time be agreed to—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Millar, in the Chair)—

AYES, 69

Mr Adermann	Mr Dean	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr Porter
Mr Aldred	Mr Dobie	Mr Johnston	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Baume	Mr Drummond	Mr Jull	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Birney	Dr Edwards	Mr Katter	Mr Ruddock
Mr Bouchier	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lucock	Mr Shack
Mr Bradfield	Mr Fife	Mr Lusher	Mr Shipton
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKellar	Mr Short
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Garland	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Simon
Mr Burns	Mr Giles	Mr McLean	Mr Sinclair
Mr Burr	Mr Gillard	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Staley
Mr Cadman	Mr Goodluck	Sir William McMahan	Mr Street
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Graham	Mr McVeigh	Mr Thomson
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Groom	Mr Macphee	Mr Viner
Mr Carlton	Mr Haslem	Mr Martyr	Mr Wilson
Mr Chapman	Mr Hodges*	Mr Neil	Mr Yates
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodgman	Mr Newman	
Mr Corbett*	Mr Howard	Mr Nixon	
Mr Cotter	Mr Jarman	Mr O'Keefe	

NOES, 29

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr Bowen	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Dr Cass	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	
Mr Cohen	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	
Mr Dawkins	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

- 14 AUSTRALIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE ORGANIZATION BILL 1979: The order of the day having been read for the further consideration of the Bill in committee, the House resolved itself into a committee of the whole.

In the committee

Clause 8—

Debate resumed on the clause and on the amendment moved by Mr Bowen (Deputy Leader of the Opposition), viz.: Page 4, after sub-clause (2) add the following sub-clauses:

“(3) Subject to sub-section (4), the Minister is entitled to access to all records of the Organization.

“(4) The Minister shall be informed by the Director-General as to the existence of any file, dossier or record held by or compiled by the Organization concerning a particular individual if the Minister so requests, but the Minister is not entitled to have access to any file, dossier or record concerning a particular individual unless the Director-General considers that it is in the interests of security that the Minister should have such access.”.

Amendment negated.

Clause agreed to.

Clauses 9 to 17, by leave, taken together, and agreed to.

Clause 18—

Mr Bowen, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Page 6, line 41, omit “or relates to the performance by the Organization of its functions”.

Page 7, at the end of sub-clause (5) add “which consent shall not be given unless the Attorney-General is satisfied that the communication the subject of the prosecution had, or would be likely to have, the effect of seriously prejudicing security”.

Amendments negatived.

Clause agreed to.

Clauses 19 to 23, by leave, taken together, and agreed to.

Proposed new clause—

Mr Bowen moved—That the following new clause be inserted in the Bill: Limitation
on issue
of warrant
by Minister

“23A. The Minister shall not issue any warrant pursuant to the powers conferred upon him by this Division, unless he is satisfied on reasonable grounds that other lawful methods of investigation have proved insufficient, or would in all the circumstances be quite impracticable as a means of obtaining the information for which the warrant is proposed to be issued.”

Debate ensued.

Proposed new clause negatived.

Clauses 24 to 34, by leave, taken together.

Dr Blewett, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Clause 26, page 11, line 18, omit “6 months”, insert “90 days”.

Clause 29—

Page 13, line 38, omit “Director-General”, substitute “Minister”.

Page 13, line 40, omit “by the Minister”.

Page 14, line 5, omit “the Director-General may”, substitute “the Minister may orally authorize the Director-General to”.

Clause 34, page 15, line 12, at the end of the clause add the following sub-clause:

“(2) The Minister shall, as soon as practicable after the receipt of the report by the Minister from the Director-General, furnish a copy of the report to the Leader of the Opposition.”

Amendments negatived.

Clauses agreed to.

Remainder of Bill, by leave, taken as a whole.

Mr Bowen, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Amendments—

Clause 38—

Page 17, omit paragraph (2) (a).

Page 18, omit sub-clause (4).

Clause 40, page 18, line 36, omit “or likely to be used”, substitute “to be used or is capable of being used”.

Clause 59, page 25, lines 13 and 14, omit “but the certificate does not specify a reason referred to in paragraph (1) (a) or (b)”.

Clause 65, page 27, omit paragraph (1) (a).

Proposed new clause—

Page 27, after clause 65, insert the following new clause:

“65A. (1) Where before the commencement of this Act the Organ- Application
to Ombudsman ization furnished or is alleged to have furnished, to a Commonwealth agency a security assessment, or a communication of a similar nature, concerning a person, that person may make an application in writing to the Ombudsman to determine whether there is in existence among the records of a Commonwealth agency an adverse or qualified security assessment relating to that person.

“(2) The Ombudsman shall have the powers specified in sub-section 9 (1) and sub-section 14 (1) of the *Ombudsman Act* 1976 to determine whether such an adverse or qualified security assessment exists amongst the records of an agency of the Commonwealth.

“(3) Where the Ombudsman finds that a qualified or adverse security assessment exists in relation to the applicant to which this Division of this Part would apply if the security assessment had been made after the commencement of the Act, the Ombudsman shall forthwith notify in writing the Minister and the Director-General.

“(4) Upon receipt of the notification from the Ombudsman referred to in the last preceding sub-section, the Director-General shall be required to furnish to the Ombudsman within 21 days of the receipt by the Director-General of the notification by the Ombudsman a statement of the grounds on which the assessment was made and that assessment—

- (a) shall contain all information that was relied on by the Organization in making the assessment, other than information the inclusion of which would, in the opinion of the Director-General, be contrary to the requirements of security; and
- (b) shall, for the purpose of this section be deemed to be part of the assessment.

“(5) Upon receipt of the notification from the Ombudsman referred to in sub-section (3), the Attorney-General may, within 21 days of the receipt by the Attorney-General of the notification by the Ombudsman, by writing under his hand delivered by the Ombudsman, certify that he is satisfied that the disclosure to a person of the statement of grounds contained in a security assessment in respect of the person or of a particular part of that statement, would be prejudicial to the interests of security.

“(6) The Ombudsman shall, within 14 days of the expiration of the period of 21 days referred to in sub-sections (4) and (5), furnish to the applicant a notice in writing, to which a copy of the assessment is attached, and containing information in the prescribed form, concerning his right to apply to the Tribunal under this section.

“(7) In the case of a security assessment in relation to which a certificate in accordance with sub-section (5) has been given, the copy of the assessment to be attached to a notice under sub-section (6) shall not contain any matter to which the certificate applies.

“(8) A person who has, in accordance with this section, been given notice of an adverse or qualified security assessment in respect of him may apply in writing to the Tribunal for a review of the assessment and shall lodge with his application a copy of the assessment as furnished to him.

“(9) The provisions of Division 4 of Part 4 apply to adverse or qualified security assessments to which this section relates in the same manner as adverse or qualified security assessments to which Division 2 of Part 4 relates.

“(10) A reference in Division 4 of Part 4 to a certificate in accordance with paragraph 37 (2) (b) shall, for the purposes of this section, be read as a reference to a certificate in accordance with sub-section 63A (5).

“(11) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the provisions of the *Ombudsman Act 1976* do not apply to this section.”.

Amendment—

Clause 92, page 34, at the end of sub-clause (3) add “who shall not give his consent unless he is satisfied that the actions of the person to whom sub-section (1) relates have, or would be likely to have, the effect of—

- (a) endangering the physical safety of the officer, employee or agent of the Organization; or
- (b) seriously prejudicing security”.

Proposed new clauses—

Page 35, after clause 94 insert the following new clause:

“94A. The Organization shall cause to be kept proper accounts ^{Accounts} and records ^{and records} of the transactions and affairs of the Organization and shall do all things necessary to ensure that all payments out of its moneys are correctly made and properly authorized and that proper control is maintained over the assets of or in the custody of the Organization and over the incurring of liabilities by the Organization.”.

Page 35, after clause 94 insert the following new clause:

“94B. (1) The Auditor-General shall inspect and audit the accounts and records of financial transactions of the Organization and records relating to assets of, or in the custody of, the Organization and shall forthwith draw the attention of the Minister to any irregularity disclosed by the inspection and audit that is, in the opinion of the Auditor-General, of sufficient importance to justify his doing so. Audit of accounts and records

“(2) The Auditor-General may, in his discretion, dispense with all or any part of the detailed inspection and audit of any accounts or records referred to in sub-section (1).

“(3) The Auditor-General shall, at least once in each year, report to the Minister the results of the inspection and audit carried out under sub-section (1).

“(4) The Auditor-General is entitled at times agreed between the Director-General and the Auditor-General, but at least once a year, to full and free access to all accounts, records, documents and papers of the Organization relating directly or indirectly to the receipt or payment of moneys by the Organization or to the acquisition, receipt, custody or disposal of assets by the Organization.

“(5) The Auditor-General may inspect any such accounts, records, documents or papers.

“(6) The Auditor-General may require any person to enable him to have access to such information in the possession of the person or to which the person has access, as the Auditor-General considers necessary for the purposes of the functions of the Auditor-General under this Act and the person shall comply with the requirement.

“(7) A person who contravenes sub-section (6) is guilty of an offence punishable, upon conviction, by a fine not exceeding \$200.”

Page 35, after clause 94 insert the following new clause:

“94C. The Minister shall as soon as practicable after 30 June each year cause to be laid before each House of Parliament a report on the activities of the Organization during the preceding year ending on 31 December. Such report shall include details in respect of warrants issued under each of sections 24, 26 and 28 of this Act, and under sections 9, 10 and 11 of the *Telecommunications (Interception) Act 1979*, and, in particular— Annual report by Minister

- (a) the number of warrants issued;
- (b) the number of warrants requested and refused;
- (c) where they apply to telephones, the total number of the telephone services the subject of the warrants;
- (d) the number of conversations listened into by each type of device; and
- (e) each of the figures in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) broken down wherever possible by State and Territory.”

Page 35, after clause 94 insert the following new clause:

“94D. (1) As soon as practicable after 30 June 1981, and as soon as practicable after every 3 succeeding years, following the year ending 30 June 1981, the Governor-General in Council shall appoint a Judge holding the rank of Justice of the Supreme Court of a State or Territory or of the Federal Court to inquire into and report to the Minister upon— Judicial audit of Organization

- (a) whether the Organization has complied with its charter and the law under this Act and any other relevant law of the Commonwealth or a State or Territory;
- (b) whether the Organization has unjustifiably infringed the civil liberties of any person or group of persons; and
- (c) whether the Organization has operated effectively and efficiently.

“(2) Before a recommendation is made to the Governor-General in Council for the appointment of a Judge, under sub-section (1), the Prime Minister shall consult with the Leader of the Opposition in the House of Representatives.

“(3) The Judge appointed under sub-section (1) shall have full access to the contents of any file or dossier or other record of the Organization.

“(4) The Judge shall be empowered to receive submissions from members of the public aggrieved by any action or actions taken by or on behalf of the Organization.

“(5) The Judge shall prepare and furnish to the Minister a report within 6 months of the receipt of his commission.

“(6) The Minister shall cause the report of the Judge to be laid before each House of Parliament within 15 sitting days of that House after the receipt of the report by the Minister.

“(7) The Judge may specify that by reasons of security it is essential that certain information is not disclosed in the report laid before each House of Parliament.

“(8) Any information withheld from the report laid before each House of Parliament shall be furnished to the Leader of the Opposition by the Minister.”.

Debate continued.

Limitation of debate: At 8.20 p.m., the Chairman having called the attention of the committee to the fact that the time allotted for the committee stage had expired—

Question—That the amendments be agreed to—put and negatived.

Further question—That the remainder of the Bill be agreed to and that the Bill be reported without amendment—put.

The committee divided (the Chairman, Mr Millar, in the Chair)—

Ayes, 67

Mr Adermann	Mr Cotter	Mr Howard	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Dean	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr Nixon
Mr Baume	Mr Dobie	Mr Johnston	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Birney	Mr Drummond	Mr Jull	Mr Porter
Mr Bouchier	Dr Edwards	Mr Katter	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lucock	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr Lusher	Mr Ruddock
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr Lynch	Mr Shack
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr MacKellar	Mr Shipton
Mr Burr	Mr Giles	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Short
Mr Cadman	Mr Gillard	Mr McLean	Mr Simon
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Goodluck	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Staley
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Graham	Sir William McMahan	Mr Thomson
Mr Carlton	Mr Groom	Mr McVeigh	Mr Viner
Mr Chapman	Mr Haslem	Mr Macphee	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges*	Mr Martyr	Mr Yates
Mr Corbett*	Mr Hodgman	Mr Neil	

Noes, 30

Mr Armitage	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Dr Blewett	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr Bowen	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	Mr Young
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	
Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative.

The House resumed; Mr Millar reported accordingly.

Limitation of debate: The time allotted for the remaining stages of the Bill having expired—

Question—That the report be adopted and the Bill be now read a third time—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Giles, in the Chair)—

AYES, 66

Mr Adermann	Mr Cotter	Mr Jarman	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Dean	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Dobie	Mr Johnston	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Mr Drummond	Mr Katter	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bouchier	Dr Edwards	Mr Lucock	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lusher	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr Lynch	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKellar	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Gillard	Mr McLean	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Goodluck	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Staley
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Graham	Sir William McMahon	Mr Thomson
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Groom	Mr McVeigh	Mr Viner
Mr Carlton	Mr Haslem	Mr Macphee	Mr Wilson
Mr Chapman	Mr Hodges*	Mr Martyr	Mr Yates
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodgman	Mr Millar	
Mr Corbett*	Mr Howard	Mr Neil	

NOES, 31

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Mr Bowen	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	Mr Young
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative—Bill read a third time.

15 TELECOMMUNICATIONS (INTERCEPTION) BILL 1979 [No. 2]: The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the Bill be now read a second time—

Question—put and passed—Bill read a second time.

The House resolved itself into a committee of the whole.

In the committee

Clauses 1 to 8, by leave, taken together, and agreed to.

Clauses 9 to 11, by leave, taken together.

Mr Bowen (Deputy Leader of the Opposition), by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Clause 9, page 8, line 29, omit "6 months", substitute "90 days".

Clause 10—

Page 9, line 12, omit "the Director-General of Security may", substitute "the Attorney-General may orally authorize the Director-General of Security to".

Page 9, line 22, omit "Director-General of Security", substitute "Attorney-General".

Clause 11—

Page 10, line 27, omit "the Director-General of Security may", substitute "the Attorney-General may orally authorize the Director-General to".

Page 11, lines 3 and 4, omit "6 months", substitute "90 days".

Debate continued.

Amendments negatived.

Clauses agreed to.

Clauses 12 to 19, by leave, taken together, and agreed to.

Clause 20—

Mr Bowen, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Page 14, line 34, omit "information on oath", substitute "affidavit".

Page 15, omit sub-clause (2), substitute the following sub-clause:

“(2) A Judge of the Federal Court of Australia may grant a warrant under sub-section (1) in respect of a telecommunications service situated anywhere in Australia but a Judge of a State Supreme Court or of the Australian Capital Territory or Northern Territory Supreme Court shall grant warrants under sub-section (1) only in respect of a telecommunications service situated in the State or Territory of which he is a Judge.”

Page 15, omit sub-clause (3), substitute the following sub-clauses:

“(3) A Judge shall not issue a warrant under sub-section (1) in relation to an affidavit of the officer of Customs unless—

- (a) the affidavit of the officer of Customs under sub-section (1) specifies the facts and other grounds on which the issue of the warrant is sought and specifies—
 - (i) the name, address and occupation of the subscriber (if any) to the service; and
 - (ii) the number (if any) allotted to the service by the Commission;
- (b) the officer of Customs has given to the Judge, either orally or by further affidavit, such further information as the Judge requires concerning the grounds on which the issue of a warrant is being sought;
- (c) the Judge is satisfied as to the matters specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of sub-section (1);
- (d) the Judge is satisfied that other methods of investigation have been tried without success, or would be unlikely to be successful, or would be impracticable; and
- (e) the Judge is satisfied that, given the seriousness of the suspected offence, or suspected likely offence, the issue of a warrant would be in the public interest.

“(3A) Where a Judge issues a warrant under this section, he shall state on the affidavit furnished to him by the officer of Customs, which of the ground specified in that affidavit he has relied on to justify the issue of the warrant and particulars of any other grounds relied on by him to justify the issue of the warrant.”

Page 15, line 32, omit “6 months”, substitute “30 days”.

Debate continued.

Amendments negatived.

Clause agreed to.

Remainder of Bill, by leave, taken as a whole.

Mr Bowen, by leave, moved the following amendments together:

Proposed new clause—

Page 15, after clause 20, insert the following new clause:

“20A. (1) Where it is impracticable for an officer of Customs to make application to a Judge for the issue of a warrant in accordance with section 20, the officer of Customs may make application for the issue of a warrant in respect of a telecommunications service to a Judge, by telephone, in accordance with this section. Issue of warrants by Judge in emergency

“(2) Before making application to a Judge by telephone under sub-section (1), the officer of Customs shall prepare an affidavit setting out the grounds on which the issue of the warrant is being sought, but may, if it is necessary to do so, make the application before the affidavit has been sworn.

“(3) Where a Judge is, upon application made under sub-section (1), satisfied—

- (a) after having considered the terms of the affidavit prepared in accordance with sub-section (2); and
- (b) after having had given to him such further information (if any) as he requires concerning the grounds on which the issue of the warrant is being sought, that there are reasonable grounds for issuing the warrant, the Judge shall issue such a warrant as he would issue under section 20 if the application had been made to him in accordance with that section.

“(4) Where a Judge issues a warrant under sub-section (3)—

- (a) the Judge shall complete and sign the warrant;
- (b) the Judge shall inform the officer of Customs of the terms of the warrant signed by him, and record on the warrant his reasons for issuing the warrant; and
- (c) the officer of Customs shall complete a form of warrant in the terms furnished to him by the Judge and write on it the name of the Judge who issued the warrant and the date on which and the time at which it was issued.

“(5) Where a Judge issues a warrant under sub-section (3), the officer of Customs shall, not later than the day next following the date of expiry of the warrant, forward to the Judge who issued the warrant the form of warrant prepared by him and the information and affidavit duly sworn in connection with the issue of the warrant.

“(6) Upon receipt of the documents referred to in sub-section (5), the Judge shall attach to them the warrant signed by him and deal with the documents in the manner in which he would have dealt with the information if the application for the warrant has been made to him in accordance with section 20.

“(7) A form of warrant duly completed by the officer of Customs in accordance with sub-section (4), is, if it is in accordance with the terms of the warrant signed by the Judge, authority for the use of any listening device in relation to any particular person or premises that it authorises.

“(8) Where it is necessary for a court, in any proceeding, to be satisfied that the use of a listening device was authorised by a warrant issued by a Judge in accordance with this section, and the warrant signed by a Judge in accordance with this section authorising the entry or seizure is not produced in evidence, the court shall assume, unless the contrary is proved, that the entry or seizure was not authorised by such a warrant and information thereby obtained is inadmissible as evidence.”

Amendments—

Clause 21—

Page 15, line 39, omit “information on oath”, substitute “affidavit”.

Page 16, omit sub-clause (2), substitute the following sub-clause:

“(2) A Judge of the Federal Court of Australia may grant a warrant under sub-section (1) in respect of a telegraph office, or other place under the control of the Managing Director of the Commission, situated anywhere in Australia but a Judge of a Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory or of the Northern Territory or of a State shall grant warrants under sub-section (1) in respect of telegrams lodged at a telegraph office in or addressed to a place in or intended to be received by a person in or reasonably believed to be in the State or Territory of which he is a Judge.”

Page 16, omit sub-clause (3), substitute the following sub-clause:

“(3) A Judge shall not issue a warrant under sub-section (1) in relation to an affidavit of the officer of Customs unless—

- (a) the affidavit of the officer of Customs under sub-section (1) specifies the facts and other grounds on which the issue of the warrant is sought and specifies—
 - (i) the name, address and occupation of the person who lodged the telegram, on whose behalf the telegram was lodged or who it is alleged is to be or reasonably suspected to be the recipient or intended recipient of the telegram; and
 - (ii) the address of the telegraph office at which the telegram was or is believed to have been lodged or at which the telegram was received or is believed to have been received or is believed to be directed;
- (b) the officer of Customs has given to the Judge, either on oath orally or by further affidavit, such further information as the Judge requires concerning the grounds on which the issue of a warrant is being sought;

- (c) the Judge is satisfied as to the matters specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of sub-section (1);
- (d) the Judge is satisfied that other methods of investigation have been tried without success, or would be unlikely to be successful, or would be impracticable; and
- (e) the Judge is satisfied that, given the seriousness of the suspected offence, or suspected likely offence, the issue of a warrant would be in the public interest.”.

Page 16, line 27, omit “6 months”, substitute “30 days”.

Page 17, after sub-clause (8), add the following sub-clause:

- “(9) (a) Where it is impracticable for an officer of Customs to make application to a Judge for the issue of a warrant in accordance with sub-section (5), the officer of Customs may make application to a Judge by telephone in accordance with this sub-section, for the issue of a warrant in respect of telegrams lodged by or on behalf of or addressed to or intended to be received by a person;
- (b) before making application to a Judge by telephone under paragraph (a) the officer of Customs shall prepare an affidavit setting out the grounds on which the issue of the warrant is being sought but may, if it is necessary to do so, make application before the affidavit has been sworn;
- (c) where a Judge is, upon application made under this sub-section, satisfied—
- (i) after having considered the terms of the affidavit prepared in accordance with paragraph (b); and
 - (ii) after having had given to him such further information (if any) as he requires concerning the grounds on which the issue of the warrant is being sought,
- that there are reasonable grounds for issuing the warrant, the Judge shall issue such a warrant as he would issue under sub-sections (1) and (3);
- (d) where a Judge issues a warrant under paragraph (c)—
- (i) the Judge shall complete and sign the warrant;
 - (ii) the Judge shall inform the officer of Customs of the terms of the warrant signed by him, and record on the warrant his reasons for issuing the warrant; and
 - (iii) the officer of Customs shall complete a form of warrant in the terms furnished to him by the Judge and write on it the name of the Judge who issued the warrant and the date on which and the time at which it was issued;
- (e) where a Judge issues a warrant under paragraph (c), the officer of Customs shall, not later than the day next following the date of expiry of the warrant, forward to the Judge who issued the warrant the form of warrant prepared by him and the information and affidavit duly sworn in connection with the issue of the warrant;
- (f) upon receipt of the documents referred to in paragraph (e) the Judge shall attach to them the warrant signed by him and deal with the documents in the manner in which he would have dealt with the information if the application for the warrant had been made to him in accordance with section 20;
- (g) a form of warrant duly completed by the officer of Customs in accordance with paragraph (c) is, if it is in accordance with the terms of the warrant signed by the Judge, authority for the use of any listening device in relation to any particular person or premises that it authorizes; and

- (h) where it is necessary for a court, in any proceeding, to be satisfied that the obtaining of a telegram or a copy of a telegram was authorized by a warrant issued by a Judge in accordance with this sub-section, and the warrant signed by a Judge in accordance with this section authorizing that action is not produced in evidence, the court shall assume, unless the contrary is proved, that that action was not authorized by such a warrant and is inadmissible as evidence.”

Proposed new clause—

Page 19, after clause 28 add the following new clause:

“29. The Minister shall as soon as practicable after 30 June each year cause to be laid before each House of Parliament a report on the effectiveness of activities undertaken by the Organization and by the Comptroller-General of Customs under this Act. Such report shall include details in respect of warrants issued under each of sections 9, 10, 11, 20 and 21 of this Act, and in particular—

- (a) the number of warrants issued;
 (b) the number of warrants requested and refused;
 (c) where they apply to telephones, the total number of the telephone services the subject of warrants;
 (d) the number of conversations listened into by each type of device; and
 (e) each of the figures in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) broken down wherever possible by State and Territory.”

Debate continued.

Limitation of debate: At 10 p.m., the Deputy Chairman having called the attention of the committee to the fact that the time allotted for the remaining stages of the Bill had expired—

Question—That the amendments be agreed to—put.

The committee divided (the Deputy Chairman, Mr Jarman, in the Chair)—

AYES, 30

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr Bowen	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Dr Cass	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	Mr Young
Mr Cohen	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	
Mr Dawkins	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris	

NOES, 64

Mr Adermann	Mr Corbett*	Mr Hodgman	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Cotter	Mr Howard	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Dean	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Mr Dobie	Mr Johnston	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Burchier	Mr Drummond	Mr Jull	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Dr Edwards	Mr Katter	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lusher	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fife	Mr MacKellar	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Garland	Mr McLean	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Giles	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Sinclair
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Gillard	Mr McVeigh	Mr Staley
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Graham	Mr Macphee	Mr Thomson
Mr Carlton	Mr Groom	Mr Martyr	Mr Viner
Mr Chapman	Mr Haslem	Mr Millar	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Hodges*	Mr Neil	Mr Yates

* Tellers

And so it was negatived.

Further question—That the remainder of the Bill be agreed to and that the Bill be reported without amendment—put and passed.

The House resumed; Mr Jarman reported accordingly.

Question—That the report be adopted and the Bill be now read a third time—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Giles, in the Chair)—

AYES, 65

Mr Adermann	Mr Cotter	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr Porter
Mr Aldred	Mr Dean	Mr Johnston	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Baume	Mr Dobie	Mr Jull	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Birney	Mr Drummond	Mr Katter	Mr Ruddock
Mr Bourchier	Dr Edwards	Mr Lucock	Mr Shack
Mr Bradfield	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lusher	Mr Shipton
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Fife	Mr MacKellar	Mr Short
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Simon
Mr Burns	Mr Garland	Mr McLean	Mr Sinclair
Mr Burr	Mr Gillard	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Staley
Mr Cadman	Mr Graham	Mr McVeigh	Mr Thomson
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Groom	Mr Macphee	Mr Viner
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Haslem	Mr Martyr	Mr Wilson
Mr Carlton	Mr Hodges*	Mr Millar	Mr Yates
Mr Chapman	Mr Hodgman	Mr Neil	
Mr Connolly	Mr Howard	Mr Newman	
Mr Corbett*	Mr Jarman	Mr O'Keefe	

NOES, 31

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Mr Bowen	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Howe	Dr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	Mr Young
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative—Bill read a third time.

- 16 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMENDMENT BILL 1979: The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the Bill be now read a second time—

Limitation of debate: The time allotted for the remaining stages of the Bill having expired—

Question—That the Bill be now read a second time—put and passed—Bill read a second time.

Further question—That the remaining stages of the Bill be agreed to—put and passed—Bill read a third time.

- 17 CUSTOMS AMENDMENT BILL (No. 2) 1979: The order of the day having been read for the resumption of the debate on the question—That the Bill be now read a second time—Debate resumed.

Question—put.

The House divided (the Deputy Speaker, Mr Giles in the Chair)—

AYES, 64

Mr Adermann	Mr Corbett*	Mr Jarman	Mr Newman
Mr Aldred	Mr Cotter	Mr P. F. Johnson	Mr O'Keefe
Mr Baume	Mr Dean	Mr Johnston	Mr Porter
Mr Birney	Mr Dobie	Mr Jull	Mr E. L. Robinson
Mr Bourchier	Mr Drummond	Mr Katter	Mr I. L. Robinson
Mr Bradfield	Dr Edwards	Mr Lucock	Mr Ruddock
Mr Braithwaite	Mr Ellicott	Mr Lusher	Mr Shack
Mr N. A. Brown	Mr Fife	Mr MacKellar	Mr Shipton
Mr Burns	Mr Fisher	Mr MacKenzie	Mr Short
Mr Burr	Mr Garland	Mr McLean	Mr Simon
Mr Cadman	Mr Gillard	Mr J. E. McLeay	Mr Sinclair
Mr D. M. Cameron	Mr Groom	Mr McVeigh	Mr Staley
Mr E. C. Cameron	Mr Haslem	Mr Macphee	Mr Thomson
Mr Carlton	Mr Hodges*	Mr Martyr	Mr Viner
Mr Chapman	Mr Hodgman	Mr Millar	Mr Wilson
Mr Connolly	Mr Howard	Mr Neil	Mr Yates

NOES, 31

Mr Armitage	Dr Everingham	Mr B. O. Jones	Mr Morris
Dr Blewett	Mr FitzPatrick	Mr C. K. Jones	Mr Scholes
Mr Bowen	Mr Fry	Mr Keating	Mr Uren
Mr J. J. Brown	Mr Holding	Mr Kerin	Mr Wallis
Mr C. R. Cameron	Mr Howe	Mr Klugman	Mr West
Dr Cass	Mr Innes	Mr L. B. McLeay	Mr Willis
Mr Cohen	Mr Jacobi	Mr J. L. McMahon*	Mr Young
Mr Dawkins	Mr L. R. Johnson*	Mr Martin	

* Tellers

And so it was resolved in the affirmative—Bill read a second time.

Limitation of debate: It then being 10.30 p.m., Mr Deputy Speaker called the attention of the House to the fact that the time allotted for the remaining stages of the Bill had expired—

Question—That the remaining stages of the Bill be agreed to—put and passed—Bill read a third time.

18 ADJOURNMENT: Mr Viner (Leader of the House) moved—That the House do now adjourn. Debate ensued.

Question—put and passed.

And then the House, at 11 p.m., adjourned until tomorrow at 10.30 a.m.

PAPERS: The following papers were deemed to have been presented on 17 October 1979, pursuant to statute:

Christmas Island Act—Ordinance—1979—No. 4—Wild Animals and Birds (Amendment).

Family Law Act—Regulation—Statutory Rules 1979, No. 215.

Navigation Act—Regulations—Statutory Rules 1979, Nos. 213, 214.

Seat of Government (Administration) Act—Regulations—1979—

No. 19 (Public Health Ordinance).

No. 20 (Stock Diseases Ordinance).

No. 21 (Meat Ordinance).

Wine Grapes Levy Collection Act—Regulations—Statutory Rules 1979, No. 212.

APPROPRIATION BILL (No. 1) 1979—80—

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE A—MEMBERSHIP: Members of Estimates Committee A to consider the proposed expenditure for—

Department of Defence—

Mr Killen (Minister for Defence).

Government Members:

Mr Aldred, Mr Birney, Mr Falconer, Mr Graham, Mr Haslem, Mr P. F. Johnson, Mr Katter, Mr Neil, Mr Thomson and Mr Wilson.

Opposition Members:

Mr Holding, Mr L. R. Johnson, Mr B. O. Jones, Mr Kerin and Mr Scholes.

Department of Foreign Affairs—

Mr Peacock (Minister for Foreign Affairs).

Government Members:

Mr Chapman, Mr Connolly, Mr Hodgman, Mr Katter, Mr Martyr, Mr Moore, Mr Neil, Mr I. L. Robinson, Mr Simon and Mr Yates.

Opposition Members:

Dr Blewett, Mr Bowen, Mr Fry, Mr B. O. Jones and Dr Klugman.

ESTIMATES COMMITTEE B—MEMBERSHIP: Members of Estimates Committee B to consider the proposed expenditure for—

Department of Transport—

Mr Nixon (Minister for Transport).

Government Members:

Mr Baume, Mr Cadman, Mr E. C. Cameron, Mr Chapman, Mr Connolly, Mr Dobie, Mr Johnston, Mr Jull, Mr Lusher and Mr MacKenzie.

Opposition Members:

Mr C. K. Jones, Mr J. L. McMahon, Mr Morris, Mr Uren and Mr Wallis.

Department of Trade and Resources—

Mr Anthony (Minister for Trade and Resources).

Government Members:

Mr Baume, Mr Cadman, Mr E. C. Cameron, Mr Chapman, Mr Connolly, Mr Dobie, Mr Johnston, Mr Jull, Mr Lusher and Mr MacKenzie.

Opposition Members:

Mr Bowen, Mr J. J. Brown, Mr Dawkins, Mr Holding and Mr J. L. McMahon.

Department of the Special Trade Representative—

Mr Garland (Minister for Special Trade Representations).

Government Members:

Mr Baume, Mr Cadman, Mr E. C. Cameron, Mr Chapman, Mr Connolly, Mr Dobie, Mr Johnston, Mr Jull, Mr Lusher and Mr MacKenzie.

Opposition Members:

Mr Bowen, Mr J. J. Brown, Mr Dawkins, Mr Holding and Mr J. L. McMahon.

Department of Productivity—

Mr Macphee (Minister for Productivity).

Government Members:

Mr Baillieu, Mr Burr, Mr Carlton, Mr Cotter, Mr Corbett, Dr Edwards, Mr Johnston, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Shack and Mr Short.

Opposition Members:

Mr Dawkins, Mr Holding, Mr Howe, Mr Kerin and Mr Willis.

Department of National Development—

Mr Newman (Minister for National Development).

Government Members:

Mr Baillieu, Mr Burr, Mr Carlton, Mr Cotter, Mr Corbett, Dr Edwards, Mr Johnston, Mr O'Keefe, Mr Shack and Mr Short.

Opposition Members:

Mr J. J. Brown, Mr Dawkins, Mr Keating, Mr Uren and Mr West.

MEMBERS PRESENT: All Members were present (at some time during the sitting) except Mr Anthony, Mr Baillieu, Mr Bryant, Mr Bungey, Mr Cairns, Mr Calder, Mr Humphreys, Mr Hunt, Mr Hurford, Mr Hyde, Mr James, Dr Jenkins*, Mr L. K. Johnson, Mr Lloyd* and Mr Sainsbury.

*On leave

J. A. PETTIFER,
Clerk of the House of Representatives