

# Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples

Interim Report

July 2014



# Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples

Interim Report

July 2014

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

ISBN 978-1-76010-031-5

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/

Printed by the Senate Printing Unit, Parliament House, Canberra.

# **Committee membership**

#### Committee members

Mr Ken Wyatt AM MP, Chair Senator Nova Peris, Deputy Chair The Hon Shayne Neumann MP The Hon Christian Porter MP Mr Stephen Jones MP Senator Bridget McKenzie Senator James McGrath (from 1 July 2014) Senator Anne Ruston (until 1 July 2014) Senator Rachel Siewert

Western Australia, LP Northern Territory, ALP Queensland, ALP Western Australia, LP New South Wales, ALP Victoria, NATS Queensland, LP South Australia, LP Western Australia, AG

#### Committee secretariat

Ms Toni Matulick, Secretary Dr Jon Bell, Principal Research Officer Ms Erin Pynor, Senior Research Officer Ms Kimberley Balaga, Administrative Officer

#### Committee address

PO Box 6100 Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600

Phone: 02 6277 3544 Fax: 02 6277 5719

Email: jscatsi@aph.gov.au

Internet: <a href="http://www.aph.gov.au/jscatsi">http://www.aph.gov.au/jscatsi</a>

# **Duties of the Committee**

- (1) On 2 December 2013, the Parliament agreed that a Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples be appointed to inquire into and report on steps that can be taken to progress towards a successful referendum on Indigenous constitutional recognition, and in conducting the inquiry, the committee:
  - (a) work to build a secure strong multi-partisan parliamentary consensus around the timing, specific content and wording of referendum proposals for Indigenous constitutional recognition; and
  - (b) consider:
    - (i) the creation of an advisory group whose membership includes representatives of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to assist the work of the committee;
    - (ii) the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians; and
    - (iii) mechanisms to build further engagement and support for the constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples across all sectors of the community, and taking into account and complementing the existing work being undertaken by Recognise; and
- (2) the committee present to Parliament an interim report on or before 30 September 2014 and its final report on or before 30 June 2015.

# **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1	1
Introduction	
Role of the committee	1
Structure of the interim report	2
Chapter 2	3
Discussion of forms of constitutional wording	
Guide to this chapter	3
Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians	3
Multi-partisan support	4
Disqualification from voting (section 25)	5
Power to make laws with respect to people of any race	7
Power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islande	
The Expert Panel's proposed new section 116A	16
Recognition in a preamble or opening statement	21
Recognition of languages	27
Committee view	29
Chapter 3	31
Next steps towards Constitutional recognition	
Multi-partisan support for action in the 44 <sup>th</sup> Parliament	31
Review of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recogn 2013	
Consultation and call for submissions	
Appendix 1	35
Background	
Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians	35
Multi-partisan support	35
Activities in the 43 <sup>rd</sup> Parliament	36
Committee's work in 44 <sup>th</sup> Parliament	40
Commonwealth policy activity	40

Appendix 2	43
<b>Recommendations of the Expert Panel</b>	
Appendix 3	45
Private briefings and participants	
Appendix 4	47
Public hearing and witnesses	

# **Chapter 1**

# Introduction

#### Role of the committee

- 1.1 On 2 December 2013 the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament agreed to re-establish the Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples to inquire into and report on steps that can be taken to progress towards a successful referendum on Indigenous constitutional recognition.
- 1.2 The resolution establishing the committee in the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament states that:
  - (1) a Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples be appointed to inquire into and report on steps that can be taken to progress towards a successful referendum on Indigenous constitutional recognition, and in conducting the inquiry, the committee:
    - (a) work to build a secure strong multi-partisan parliamentary consensus around the timing, specific content and wording of referendum proposals for Indigenous constitutional recognition; and
    - (b) consider:
      - (i) the creation of an advisory group whose membership includes representatives of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to assist the work of the committee;
      - (ii) the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians; and
      - (iii) mechanisms to build further engagement and support for the constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples across all sectors of the community, and taking into account and complementing the existing work being undertaken by Recognise;
  - (2) the committee present to Parliament an interim report on or before 30 September 2014 and its final report on or before 30 June 2015.
- 1.3 This is the first interim report by the committee to the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament. In preparing this interim report, the committee sets out possible options for constitutional change and issues that have been raised with and considered by the committee so far.
- 1.4 In considering draft wording on constitutional recognition and other matters related to its work, the committee has met with a range of advisers, and has received private briefings from RECOGNISE, RECOGNISE THIS and the National Congress

<sup>1</sup> The Hon Mr Christopher Pyne, Leader of the House, Minister for Education, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 21 November 2013, p. 969.

of Australia's First Peoples. The committee thanks the people and organisations that have already so generously provided their time and views to the committee.

# **Structure of the interim report**

- 1.5 Chapter 2 of this interim report discusses options and outlines issues put to the committee in considering the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians and draft forms of wording on constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.
- 1.6 Chapter 3 outlines the committee's intended process for further informing itself, deepening its understanding of community views and reporting to Parliament on steps to progress constitutional recognition.
- 1.7 Appendix 1 provides background on the work of the Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition in the 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament, and the work of the committee so far in the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament. It provides a brief overview of policy activity at the Commonwealth level so far in 2014.

# **Chapter 2**

# Discussion of forms of constitutional wording

# Guide to this chapter

- 2.1 This chapter discusses issues put to the committee in its consideration of possible draft forms of wording relating to constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Notably, drafting issues arise in relation to several existing or possible constitutional provisions, including:
- the provision as to races disqualified from voting at Commonwealth elections if they are so prohibited in their state (section 25 of the Constitution);
- the Commonwealth's current power to make laws with respect to people of any race (section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution);
- a proposed new Commonwealth power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and alternative forms of that power (such as the Expert Panel's proposed new section 51A or other replacement section 51(xxvi));
- a possible new provision addressing the possibility of the Commonwealth legislating in a racially discriminatory way;
- a possible statement recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, either alongside the Commonwealth's proposed new legislative power or in a preamble or opening statement to the Constitution; and
- a statement recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages.

# **Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians**

- 2.2 In discussing draft forms of constitutional wording, the committee notes the significant work done by the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians (the Expert Panel), and the range of views canvassed in its 2012 report *Recognising Indigenous Australians in the Constitution*.<sup>1</sup>
- 2.3 Following public statements by the Coalition, the Australian Labor Party and the Australian Greens in support of constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, Prime Minister Julia Gillard appointed the Expert Panel in December 2010 to consult on the best possible options for a constitutional amendment.
- 2.4 The Expert Panel was intended to have broad membership across the social and political spectrum, informed by nominations from the public.<sup>2</sup> Led by co-chairs

<sup>1</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid, p. 2.

Professor Patrick Dodson and Mr Mark Leibler AC, the Expert Panel conducted over 250 consultations around Australia, with the aim of building public awareness of constitutional recognition.

2.5 The Expert Panel report provides the Parliament with a comprehensive option for constitutional change. Their five recommendations are set out at Appendix 2 to this interim report. In relation to the proposed new legislative power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, the alternatives to the Expert Panel's proposal that are discussed in the text of this interim report are set out in Boxes 1–5.

## **Multi-partisan support**

2.6 The committee agrees with the Expert Panel that 'the current multiparty support creates a window of opportunity to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in and eliminate race-based provisions from the Constitution.' The committee is guided by the experience of the Expert Panel that:

For many Australians, the failure of a referendum on recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples would result in confusion about the nation's values, commitment to racial non-discrimination, and sense of national identity. The negative impact on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples would be profound.<sup>4</sup>

- 2.7 The committee notes that strong multi-partisan support for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples has been in existence for the last four Parliaments.
- 2.8 The Prime Minister the Hon Tony Abbott MP expressed his commitment to honour the pledge of previous parliaments to the recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Constitution in his 2014 Australia Day address:

We will also begin a national conversation about amending our Constitution to recognise Aboriginal peoples as the first Australians. This should be another unifying moment in the history of our country.<sup>5</sup>

2.9 The previous Coalition Prime Minister the Hon John Howard OM AO had proposed a 'new Reconciliation' in an address at the Sydney Institute on 11 October 2007. The former Prime Minister proposed a referendum:

...to formally recognise Indigenous Australians in our Constitution—their history as the first inhabitants of our country, their unique heritage of

<sup>3</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. xvii.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid

Prime Minister the Hon Tony Abbott MP, 'Australia Day 2014', media release and speech, 26 January 2014, <a href="http://www.pm.gov.au/media/2014-01-26/australia-day-2014">http://www.pm.gov.au/media/2014-01-26/australia-day-2014</a>, (accessed 11 July 2014).

culture and languages, and their special (though not separate) place within a reconciled, indivisible nation.<sup>6</sup>

- 2.10 Former Prime Minister the Hon Kevin Rudd accepted a statement of intent from over 8 000 Aboriginal people in Arnhem Land in 2008, and pledged his support for recognition of Indigenous peoples in the Constitution.<sup>7</sup>
- 2.11 In 2010 former Prime Minister the Hon Julia Gillard signed agreements with the Australian Greens, Mr Andrew Wilkie MP and then independent Member of Parliament Mr Rob Oakeshott, committing to a referendum to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Constitution during the 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament or at the following election.<sup>8</sup>
- 2.12 The need for bipartisanship is one of the 'five pillars to a successful referendum' discussed by Professor George Williams AO and Mr David Hume, alongside popular ownership, a sound and sensible proposal, comprehensive public education and the distribution of information using a range of media.<sup>9</sup>

### **Disqualification from voting (section 25)**

2.13 The Expert Panel's first recommendation was the repeal of section 25 of the Constitution, which it described as 'a racially discriminatory provision that contemplates the disqualification of all persons "of any race" from voting in State elections.' Section 25 of the Constitution is as follows:

### Provision as to races disqualified from voting

For the purposes of the last section, if by the law of any State all persons of any race are disqualified from voting at elections for the more numerous House of the Parliament of the State, then, in reckoning the number of the people of the State or of the Commonwealth, persons of that race resident in that State shall not be counted.

George Williams and David Hume, People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum

<sup>6</sup> Prime Minister the Hon John Howard, 'A New Reconciliation', Sydney Institute, 11 October 2007.

<sup>7</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 1.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid.

in Australia, UNSW Press, 2010.

<sup>10</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 137.

2.14 Section 25 contains a 'formula for calculating the distribution of funds and the apportionment of parliamentary seats to the states on the basis of the size of their populations'. Professor George Williams explained that:

...where a State disqualifies the people of race from voting in its elections, the people of that race are not to be counted as part of the State's population in determining its level of representation in the Federal Parliament. <sup>12</sup>

2.15 Mr Bain Attwood and Mr Andrew Markus commented that 'the exclusion of Aboriginal people from this calculus suggests a racial assumption on the part of the makers of the Australian Constitution.' 13 Professor Williams further observed that:

Although the section thus acts as a penalty, it does so by acknowledging that the States can disqualify people from voting due to their race. This reflects the fact that at Federation in 1901, and for decades afterwards, States denied the vote to Aboriginal people.<sup>14</sup>

2.16 Professor Anne Twomey has noted that 'it is worth looking back at its ancestry', including the relationship of section 25 to the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment of the United States Constitution which penalises any State that denies certain voting rights by reducing the amount of votes that State has in the federal House of Representatives.<sup>15</sup> The committee is aware, however, that '...consultations and submissions to the Expert Panel, overwhelmingly supported the repeal of the section,' 16 and that:

The reasons most frequently provided in support of the removal of section 25 were that it is racially discriminatory, is outdated, and serves no useful purpose in contemporary Australia.<sup>17</sup>

2.17 The committee notes the symbolic value of removing references to 'race' from the Constitution, language that Professor Mick Dodson AM has described as

John La Nauze, *The Making of the Australian Constitution*, Melbourne University Press, 1972, p. 68; in Bain Attwood and Andrew Markus, *The 1967 Referendum: Race, Power and the Australian Constitution*, 2nd edition, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, 2007, p. 3.

George Williams, 'Recognising Indigenous Peoples in the Australian Constitution: What the Constitution Should Say and How the Referendum Can be Won', *Land, Rights, Laws: Issues of Native Title*, vol. 5, no. 1, September 2011, p. 4.

Bain Attwood and Andrew Markus, *The 1967 Referendum: Race, Power and the Australian Constitution*, 2nd edition, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, 2007, p. 3.

George Williams, 'Recognising Indigenous Peoples in the Australian Constitution: What the Constitution Should Say and How the Referendum Can be Won', *Land, Rights, Laws: Issues of Native Title*, vol. 5, no. 1, September 2011, p. 4.

Anne Twomey, 'An obituary for section 25 of the Constitution' (2012), *Public Law Review*, vol. 23, pp 125–6.

<sup>16</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 138.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid, p. 85.

'discredited' and reflecting 'outdated, outmoded concepts.' Section 25 in particular has been described as 'racist' and 'odious'. The committee is not persuaded of the section's ongoing utility, and considers that it can be removed without consequential effects to the Constitution.

### Power to make laws with respect to people of any race

2.18 The principal legislative powers of the Commonwealth exercised by the Parliament are set out in sections 51 and 52. Section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution provides the relevant head of power for the Commonwealth to legislate for the people of any race for whom it is deemed necessary to make special laws, as follows:

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to:

(xxvi) the people of any race, other than the aboriginal race in any State,\* for whom it is deemed necessary to make special laws;

\*removed by referendum 25 in 1967

- 2.19 The words 'other than the aboriginal race in any State' were repealed at the 1967 referendum, which had the effect of allowing the Commonwealth to make special laws relating to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. The result was described judicially by the Hon Sir Gerard Brennan AC KBE QC as 'an affirmation of the will of the Australian people that the odious policies of oppression and neglect of Aboriginal citizens were to be at an end.'<sup>21</sup>
- 2.20 Despite this legacy, section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution is still considered one of the provisions that contemplates discrimination against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.<sup>22</sup> The divided High Court decision in *Kartinyeri v Commonwealth*<sup>23</sup> (Hindmarsh Island Bridge case) is discussed as establishing the proposition that

-

Professor Mick Dodson AM, 'Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians', *Papers on Parliament*, no. 57, February 2012, <a href="http://www.aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Senate/Research\_and\_Education/pops/pop57/c02">http://www.aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Senate/Research\_and\_Education/pops/pop57/c02</a> (presented in the Senate Occasional Lecture Series, Parliament House, 5 August 2011).

Oxfam Australia, submission 3574 to the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, January 2012; The Hon R J Ellicott QC, *Indigenous Recognition, Some Issues*, speech to the 23<sup>rd</sup> conference of the Sir Samuel Griffith Society, Hobart, 27 August 2011, p. 84.

The Hon R J Ellicott QC, *Indigenous Recognition, Some Issues*, speech to the 23<sup>rd</sup> conference of the Sir Samuel Griffith Society, Hobart, 27 August 2011, p. 84.

<sup>21</sup> The Commonwealth of Australia v Tasmania [1983] HCA 21, para. 77, (Brennan J).

<sup>22</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. xiv.

<sup>23 (1998) 195</sup> CLR 337.

section 51(xxvi) could be used to enact laws that discriminate against the people of any race.<sup>24</sup>

- 2.21 The Expert Panel reported that 'a large majority supported change' in relation to section 51(xxvi).'25 As noted above, removing references to 'race' from the Constitution has considerable symbolic value.<sup>26</sup> Further, the committee is of the view that amending or repealing and replacing section 51(xxvi) to remove the possibility of the Commonwealth making laws about Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples that discriminate against them, alongside repeal of section 25, could give effect to substantive change of the kind that has wide public support.
- 2.22 Contemplating the amendment or repeal of section 51(xxvi), the committee has necessarily had regard to its social and legal implications. In particular, the committee is mindful of the public significance of the 1967 referendum, and its legacy as a positive reform for Indigenous affairs.<sup>27</sup> For example, it has been put to the committee that it could be seen as inconsistent to, on one hand, remove the power to make laws with respect to the people of any race, and on the other hand, insert a power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples (as recommended by the Expert Panel).
- 2.23 The committee has considered the possibility that the new legislative power could be framed 'based not on race but on the special place of those peoples in the history of the nation.' After considering this particular issue, the Expert Panel concluded that:

The need for a specific head of power with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples arises because of their unique place in the history of the country and their prior and continuing existence.<sup>29</sup>

See Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, p. 137; Geoffrey Lindell, 'The Constitution Commission and Australia's first inhabitants: its views on agreement making and a new power to legislate revisited', Australian International Law Review vol. 15, no. 2, 2011, p. 36; Megan Davis, 'Constitutional reform and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: why do we want it now?' Indigenous Law Bulletin, vol. 7, no. 25, 2011, p. 10.

<sup>25</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 137.

<sup>26</sup> Professor Mick Dodson AM, 'Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians', *Papers on Parliament*, no. 57, February 2012, <a href="http://www.aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Senate/Research\_and\_Education/pops/pop57/c02">http://www.aph.gov.au/About\_Parliament/Senate/Research\_and\_Education/pops/pop57/c02</a>, (accessed 11 July 2014).

A proposal to enable the Australian Parliament to make laws with respect to 'the aboriginal race in any State' was carried by referendum 26 in 1967.

Robert French, 'The Race Power: A Constitutional Chimera' in H.P. Lee and George Winterton, eds, *Australian Constitutional Landmarks*, Cambridge University Press, 2003, p. 206.

<sup>29</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 145.

- 2.24 The committee considers that a policy question remains about whether there is popular support for Parliament having the power to make laws in order to benefit the people of any race, other than Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.<sup>30</sup> Professor Williams has expressed an opinion that the Commonwealth's legislative power may not be required in relation to 'other races'.<sup>31</sup>
- 2.25 Considering the legal implications of repealing section 51(xxvi), the committee has had regard to the view that the repeal, without any replacement section, would have the effect of removing the Commonwealth's authority in section 51 to legislate about people of a particular race 'for whom it is deemed necessary to make special laws', including for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.<sup>32</sup> On this point, the committee was advised other possible heads of power exist to legislate with respect to Indigenous people, notably:

The Commonwealth can also employ its external affairs power in s 51(xxix) of the Constitution to enact laws that implement provisions of treaties that Australia has ratified which are relevant to indigenous peoples and their rights and interests.<sup>33</sup>

- 2.26 Notwithstanding other possible heads of power, it was put to the committee that repealing section 51(xxvi) carries some risk of invalidating legislation relating to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples previously enacted in reliance on that power, which may include:
  - World Heritage Properties Conservation Act 1983;
  - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984;
  - Native Title Act 1993; and
  - Corporations (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander) Act 2006.<sup>34</sup>
- 2.27 For this reason, the committee agrees with the Expert Panel that changes to the existing terms of section 51(xxvi) should be accompanied by a new legislative power in order to achieve continuity with the Commonwealth's power to make laws for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. The Expert Panel reasoned that 'as a

George Williams, 'Recognising Indigenous Peoples in the Australian Constitution: What the Constitution Should Say and How the Referendum Can be Won', *Land, Rights, Laws: Issues of Native Title*, vol. 5.1, 2012, p. 4.

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 16.

Megan Davis, 'Constitutional reform and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people: why do we want it now?' *Indigenous Law Bulletin*, vol. 7.25, 2011, p. 10.

Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36.3 (2014, forthcoming), p. 18.

<sup>34</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 33.

seamless exercise, such laws would continue to be supported by the new power... from the time of repeal of the old power', but that this may warrant further consideration by government.<sup>35</sup>

# Power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

- 2.28 The committee has considered a number of forms of wording that could change the form or wording of section 51(xxvi) and continue to allow the Commonwealth to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. The alternatives that have been put to the committee are discussed in the text of this interim report and set out in Boxes 1–5.
- 2.29 The Expert Panel recommended the repeal of section 51(xxvi) alongside the insertion of a new section 51A as 'a new grant of legislative power' that would allow the Commonwealth to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples<sup>36</sup> (see Appendix 1). The Expert Panel noted that this provision was suggested by the Constitutional Commission in 1988.<sup>37</sup> Mr Neil Young QC agreed with the Expert Panel that 'the power should be expressed, broadly simply, as a power to legislate 'with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples'.<sup>38</sup>
- 2.30 The committee considers there to be some merit in the Expert Panel's view that an advantage of proposed new section 51A is that it could incorporate language of recognition into the legislative power.<sup>39</sup> Language of recognition is discussed in this interim report from paragraph 2.65.

#### Legislative power with a prohibition of racial discrimination

2.31 It has been put to the committee that a proposed new section 51A could also incorporate a prohibition of racial discrimination by the Commonwealth in making laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. The alternative new section 51A, in Box 1 below, would give the Commonwealth power to make

\_\_\_

Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, pp 150–151.

<sup>36</sup> Ibid, p. 130.

<sup>37</sup> Constitutional Commission, 'Summary', Final Report of the Constitutional Commission, Australian Government Publishing Service, 1988, p. 54 and vol. 1, para. 10.372; in Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 145.

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 3.

<sup>39</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 131.

laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, but only so long as those laws did not 'discriminate adversely against them'. 40

2.32 It has been put to the committee that the addition of the word 'adversely' could limit the type of laws that may be made by the Commonwealth to those that do not have an adverse discriminatory effect on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, while allowing for the continued enactment of laws that give effect to 'special measures' to overcome disadvantage and the effects of past discrimination. <sup>41</sup> This is discussed further in this interim report from paragraph 2.56.

#### Box 1

A proposed new section providing the Commonwealth with power to legislate with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

It has been put to the committee:

- 1 That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- 2 That a new 'section 51A' be inserted, along the following lines:
  - 51A Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

**Recognising** that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with their traditional lands and waters;

**Recognising** the continuing cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging the need to secure the advancement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging that the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages are the original Australian languages and a part of our national heritage;

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, but not so as to discriminate adversely against them.

#### Legislative power in a new chapter

2.33 It has been put to the committee that instead of the Expert Panel's proposed section 51A, the power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples could be framed as a new chapter of the Constitution, for example

<sup>40</sup> Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', *Public Law Review*, vol. 25, 2014, p. 88.

<sup>41</sup> Article 1 of the United Nations Convention against Racial Discrimination (Schedule to the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Commonwealth)).

Chapter IIIA Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples. 42 An option that would amend the Constitution in this way is included in Box 2 below.

2.34 The option of inserting a new chapter into the Constitution includes a new subsection (2) which would confine the Commonwealth's power to make laws that are 'specially applicable to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' to those that do not discriminate adversely against them. Like the proposed new section 51A discussed above, this subsection could incorporate language from the Expert Panel's proposed new section 116A (discussed further from paragraph 2.44 of this interim report).

#### Box 2

A proposed new chapter providing the Commonwealth with power to legislate with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

It has been put to the committee:

- 1 That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- 2 That a new 'Chapter IIIA' be inserted, along the following lines:

#### 80A Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples

**Recognising** that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples with their traditional lands and waters;

**Respecting** the continuing cultures and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages are the original Australian languages and a part of our national heritage;

- (1) The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.
- (2) Laws specially applicable to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, whether enacted under this section or under any other provision of the Constitution, shall not discriminate adversely against them.

#### Legislative power by 'subject matter'

2.35 The Commonwealth's power in section 51(xxvi) to make laws with respect to the people of any race is legally characterised as a 'persons power'. The aliens power

Draft wording reflecting a number of sources including national consultation conducted by Mr Henry Burmester QC, Professor Megan Davis and Mr Glenn Ferguson, provided on an informal basis.

in section 51(xix) and the corporations power in section 51(xx) are other examples of persons powers. <sup>43</sup> Professor Geoffrey Lindell explained that a persons power:

...requires the law to deal with things or activities which help to differentiate or identify the persons referred to in the power from other persons who are not referred to in the power.<sup>44</sup>

- 2.36 Persons powers can be contrasted with the remainder of the Commonwealth's legislative powers in section 51 which are organised by 'subject matter', for example, the power to make laws with respect to 'postal, telegraphic, telephonic, and other like services' (section 51(v)) and 'lighthouses, lightships, beacons and buoys' (section 51(vii)). The committee has been advised that the validity of laws made under a subject matter power is determined by applying a different common law test. Those laws will be valid as long as there is a 'sufficient connection' between the law and a particular subject matter. <sup>45</sup>
- 2.37 It was put to the committee that section 51(xxvi) could be replaced by a legislative power defined by reference to relevant subject matter. The subject matter power would need to be broad enough to cover all subject matters on which it is desirable to enable legislation, and drafted to include existing legislation in relation to the protection of Indigenous heritage, native title and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander corporations. <sup>47</sup>
- 2.38 A subject matter power would necessarily be narrower in scope than a power allowing the Commonwealth to legislate with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Professor Twomey has commented that a persons power 'is too wide and has the potential to be used in a detrimental or oppressive manner'. Professor Twomey has argued that the Commonwealth's legislative power should be limited to:

....those subjects in relation to which the Commonwealth presently relies upon the race power, which can be justified as being governed by national laws, rather than State laws, and which are related to the special status of

\_\_\_

Geoffrey Lindell, 'The Corporations and Races Powers', *Federal Law Review*, vol.14, 1984, p. 219.

<sup>44</sup> Ibid, p. 220.

<sup>45</sup> *Grain Pool of Western Australia v Commonwealth* (2000) 202 CLR 479, 492, para. 16, Gleeson CJ, Gaudron, McHugh, Gummow, Hayne and Callinan JJ.

A subject matter power was also supported by former NSW Chief Justice Jim Spigelman AC QC in 'A Tale of Two Panels', speech to the Gilbert + Tobin Centre of Public Law's Constitutional Law Dinner, Sydney, 17 February 2012.

<sup>47</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 33.

<sup>48</sup> Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming), p. 15.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples as being the first peoples of Australia. 49

2.39 An example of a new section recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and providing the Commonwealth with legislative power defined by subject matter appears below in Box 3.

#### Box 3

Proposed new section providing the Commonwealth with power to legislate with respect to certain subject matters

It has been put to the committee:

- 1 That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- 2 That a new 'section 51A' be inserted, along the following lines:

#### 51A Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

**Recognising** that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people with their traditional lands and waters;

**Recognising** the continuing cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging the need to secure the advancement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging that the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages are the original Australian languages and a part of our national heritage;

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to the cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and the relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples with their traditional lands and waters.<sup>1</sup>

2.40 The committee recognises the challenges of drafting a subject matter power, as noted by Professors Rosalind Dixon and George Williams who queried 'whether such a list can ever hope to include all topics of potential future significance to Aboriginal people. <sup>50</sup> Mr Neil Young QC also noted that 'it is very difficult to arrive at

<sup>49</sup> Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming), p. 16.

Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', *Public Law Review*, vol. 25, 2014, p. 85.

a list of subject matters that is sufficiently comprehensive' and acknowledged 'an inherent difficulty with a catch-all expression such as "other like things". 51

# Replacing section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution

- 2.41 It has been put to the committee that a further alternative drafting option for the Commonwealth's legislative power could be to simply revise paragraph (xxvi) of section 51 of the Constitution. This proposal is included in Box 4 below.
- Like the proposed new chapter and new section 51A discussed above, the committee has considered a view that this form of words could prohibit racial discrimination against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples by the Commonwealth using the words 'but not so as to discriminate adversely against them'<sup>52</sup> (discussed further from paragraph 2.56 of this interim report).

#### Box 4

Proposed new wording for section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution

It has been put to the committee:

- That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- 2 That a new 'section 51(xxvi)' be inserted:

#### 51 Legislative powers of the Parliament

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to:

(xxvi) Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, but not so as to discriminate adversely against them.

2.43 While the Expert Panel refers in proposed section 51A to 'Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples', Professors Dixon and Williams would frame the power in relation to 'Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people' in the singular. They argued this 'removes the possibility that it might not extend to laws for Aboriginal people as individuals or sub-groups of Aboriginal people (such as Aboriginal women or youth).'53 The committee notes that this question was considered in the Hindmarsh Island Bridge case.54 There, the High Court concluded that the phrase 'the people'

54 Kartinyeri v Commonwealth [1998] HCA 22, para. 77, Brennan CJ and McHugh J.

<sup>51</sup> Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014,

<sup>52</sup> Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', Public Law Review, vol. 25, 2014, p. 88.

<sup>53</sup> 

included 'any members of that class'. <sup>55</sup> Mr Neil Young QC suggested that 'the word "peoples" will be construed in much the same way as "people" and that 'there is no compelling reason to depart from the language recommended by the Expert Panel. <sup>56</sup>

# The Expert Panel's proposed new section 116A

2.44 The Expert Panel proposed a prohibition of discrimination by the Commonwealth, a State or a Territory in new section 116A (recommendation 4 in Appendix 1). Professor Davis has contended that the 'practical need for' a prohibition of racial discrimination:

...is based on real experiences of Indigenous people of discrimination at the hands of the Commonwealth Parliament. For example, the Northern Territory Emergency Response, the Native Title Act and the Wik amendments. These were commonly cited as examples in community consultations [by the Expert Panel] in Aboriginal communities.<sup>57</sup>

2.45 In 1975 the Commonwealth Parliament legislated to prohibit adverse discrimination on the basis of race (inter alia).<sup>58</sup> This principle enjoys broad community support. The question remains as to whether this should be prohibited in the Constitution which would preclude legislative override of any judicial decision that was consequent on the amendment.

2.46 The Expert Panel reported that based on their consultations:

...there is widespread support in the Australian community for a constitutional amendment to entrench the prohibition of racial discrimination. By operation of the Racial Discrimination Act and section 109 of the Constitution, the States and Territories are already effectively subject to a constitutional prohibition on legislative or executive action which discriminates on the ground of race. The Commonwealth Parliament, on the other hand, is not.

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 6.

<sup>55</sup> Kartinyeri v Commonwealth [1998] HCA 22, para. 77, Brennan CJ and McHugh J.

Megan Davis, 'Constitutional recognition does not foreclose on Aboriginal sovereignty', *Indigenous Law Bulletin*, vol. 8 no. 1, July/August 2012, p. 14.

<sup>58</sup> Racial Discrimination Act 1975 (Commonwealth).

<sup>59</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 158.

2.47 The Expert Panel stated that grounds for discrimination would be the same as those used in the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Commonwealth): 'race, colour or ethnic or national origin.' 60 Professor Davis explained that:

Australia's commitment to the principle of racial non-discrimination is reflected in the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Cth) and is accepted in legislation and policy in all Australian jurisdictions. By constitutionalising non-discrimination, only the Commonwealth Parliament will have an additional burden placed on it. <sup>61</sup>

- 2.48 The Expert Panel contended that governments would still be able to pass laws and make decisions that use citizenship or nationality as criteria, for example, decisions about Australia's defence and or immigration. <sup>62</sup>
- 2.49 The Expert Panel articulated that this approach would be consistent with international jurisprudence, including in relation to aboriginal rights in Canada, and would recognise the special position of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia. The Expert Panel explained the way the proposed prohibition would allow for beneficial laws to be enacted:

The inclusion of an exception for 'special measures' would minimise the risk that a general non-discrimination clause would invalidate laws for the benefit of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. While Australians are wary of the overuse of affirmative action policies which are perceived to unfairly favour one group of people over others, the approach proposed by the Panel is one that is needs-based, rather than one based on Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander identity. <sup>63</sup>

2.50 It was put to the committee that careful consideration should be given to any general prohibition of racial discrimination in the form recommended by the Expert Panel. Professor Williams has noted that:

The practical impact of these constitutional changes would be significant. A freedom from racial discrimination in the Australian Constitution

<sup>&#</sup>x27;[T]he Universal Declaration of Human Rights proclaims that all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights and that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set out therein, without distinction of any kind, in particular as to race, colour or national origin,' section 3(1) of the United Nations Convention against Racial Discrimination (Schedule to the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Commonwealth)).

Megan Davis, 'Constitutional recognition does not foreclose on Aboriginal sovereignty', *Indigenous Law Bulletin*, vol. 8, no. 1, July/August 2012, p. 14.

<sup>62</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 172.

<sup>63</sup> Ibid.

applying to all laws and programs would mean that a law or program could be challenged in the courts if it breached the guarantee. <sup>64</sup>

- 2.51 The Expert Panel's proposed prohibition of racial discrimination would create an exception from discrimination law to enable the Commonwealth, States and Territories to implement laws and measures that address past discrimination, commonly referred to as 'special measures'. The committee has been advised that special measures already enacted under section 51(xxvi) might include laws relating to truancy, alcohol management and native title.
- 2.52 The committee has given consideration to how the Expert Panel's proposed new sections 51A and 116A would work together in practice. Mr Neil Young QC reasoned that the two new sections could establish a complex test, by which:

For a law to be valid, it must fall within the broad power conferred by s 51A, perhaps as limited by its preamble, and it must not offend against the prohibition on discrimination in s 116A(1). Alternatively, if it offends against that prohibition, the question will arise whether it falls within the exception in s 116A(2).

- 2.53 The committee has been advised that a proposed new section 116A 'is likely to have wide-reading application and be heavily litigated.'<sup>67</sup>
- 2.54 Professor Megan Davis has commented that public support for proposed section 116A has varied, noting:

...those who are well rehearsed in publicly and traditionally opposing a bill of rights or a charter of rights or judicial activism, immediately opposed section 116A and similarly those who have publicly and traditionally

George Williams, 'Recognising Indigenous Peoples in the Australian Constitution: What the Constitution Should Say and How the Referendum Can be Won', *Land, Rights, Laws: Issues of Native Title*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2012, p. 9.

Article 1 of the United Nations Convention against Racial Discrimination (Schedule to the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Commonwealth)) provides that '[s]pecial measures taken for the sole purpose of securing adequate advancement of certain racial or ethnic groups or individuals requiring such protection as may be necessary in order to ensure such groups or individuals equal enjoyment or exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms shall not be deemed racial discrimination, provided, however, that such measures do not, as a consequence, lead to the maintenance of separate rights for different racial groups and that they shall not be continued after the objectives for which they were taken have been achieved.'

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 13.

<sup>67</sup> Ibid, p. 10.

supported rights entrenchment or bills of right, have supported section 116A. <sup>68</sup>

2.55 Mr Neil Young QC expressed a view that:

The proposed enactment of s 116A may complicate the objective of recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in an appropriate way within the Constitution.<sup>69</sup>

## Discrimination against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

2.56 The committee is mindful of the Expert Panel's view 'that recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples will be incomplete without a constitutional prohibition of laws that discriminate on the basis of race.'<sup>70</sup> In reaching this conclusion, the Expert Panel referred to the argument of Mr Noel Pearson that:

Elimination of racial discrimination is inherently related to Indigenous recognition because Indigenous people in Australia, more than any other group, suffered much racial discrimination in the past... Still today, we are subject to racially targeted laws with no requirement that such laws be beneficial, and no prohibition against adverse discrimination.<sup>71</sup>

- 2.57 The committee has received evidence of community support for a prohibition of racial discrimination, however it notes mixed levels of support for proposed new section 116A and the potential for divisive debate about its merit. The committee considered whether prohibiting racial discrimination against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples could be achieved without the need to enact section 116A.
- 2.58 It has been put to the committee that a prohibition of discrimination could be incorporated into the Commonwealth's power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, using the words 'but so as not to discriminate adversely against them,' whether the legislative power was in the form of a new section, chapter or a replacement section 51(xxvi).

Megan Davis, 'Where to next for Indigenous constitutional recognition?', speech to *Constitution Day 2013*, Parliament House, 17 June 2013, <a href="http://constitutionday.wordpress.com/where-to-next-for-indigenous-constitutional-recognition-by-professor-megan-davis-2/">http://constitutional-recognition-by-professor-megan-davis-2/</a>, accessed 10 June 2014.

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 13.

70 Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 167.

Noel Pearson, 'A Letter to the Australian People', submission 3619 to the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples; *Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel*, January 2012, p. 167.

Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', *Public Law Review*, vol. 25, 2014, p. 87.

2.59 By way of example, proposed new section new 51A (in Box 2 on page 12 of this interim report) would provide that:

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, **but not so as to discriminate adversely against them.**<sup>73</sup>

- 2.60 Professors Dixon and Williams have argued that 'a replacement [legislative] power should not enable the enactment of laws that discriminate on the basis of race.'<sup>74</sup>
- 2.61 The committee has advice that by including the word 'discriminate', laws made in reliance on the proposed new power would be interpreted in line with existing discrimination law, <sup>75</sup> noting that the meaning of the term in the context of a constitutional legislative power could remain somewhat uncertain. It was put to the committee that while discrimination may not have a settled meaning at common law, it has been interpreted by the High Court in a constitutional context (for example, in *Maloney v the Queen*). <sup>76</sup>
- 2.62 Comparing the scope of this proposal with the Expert Panel's proposed new section 116A, Professors Dixon and Williams have commented:
  - ...a power and guarantee in this form would offer significantly narrower protection than the proposed section 116A. It would only apply to Commonwealth, and not State and Territory, laws. It would also not protect all people from racial discrimination, only the Aboriginal people referred to in the power. 77
- 2.63 The committee has already heard unequivocal evidence of community support for a prohibition of racial discrimination to be included in the Constitution. The committee has also heard evidence that highlights the risks and implications that might flow from the Expert Panel's proposed new section 116A.

Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', *Public Law Review*, vol. 25, 2014, p. 83.

<sup>176 [2013]</sup> HCA 28. Queensland regulations imposed alcohol restrictions that applied specifically to Palm Island (a community 'composed almost entirely of Indigenous people'). While the laws were found to be discriminatory and inconsistent with section 10 of the *Racial Discrimination Act 1975* (Commonwealth), they were held to be valid as special measure under section 8. Further, the High Court has considered the concept of discrimination in relation to section 117 of the Constitution in *Street v Queensland Bar Association* (1989) 168 CLR 461, paras 28–31, Gaudron J.

Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the Australian Constitution', *Public Law Review*, vol. 25, 2014, p. 87.

2.64 The committee has heard that constitutional protection from racial discrimination for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples could be achieved in a number of ways, including by preventing the Commonwealth, States and Territories from discriminating on the grounds of race, colour or national or ethnic origin, as proposed by the Expert Panel's recommended section 116A, or by a targeted provision that would prohibit the Commonwealth from making laws that discriminate adversely against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. At this early stage of its inquiry, the committee is in favour of incorporating a prohibition of racial discrimination into the legislative power.

# Recognition in a preamble or opening statement

2.65 The Expert Panel gave detailed consideration to recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in a preamble or opening statement to the Australian Constitution.<sup>78</sup> Ultimately, rather than insert a preamble or opening statement, the Expert Panel recommended incorporation of preambular language into the legislative power.<sup>79</sup> This reflects the Expert Panel's experience that:

During consultations, many people expressed concern about preambular recognition being a 'tokenistic' gesture or 'merely symbolic', and argued instead for substantive change to the Constitution.<sup>80</sup>

2.66 The committee has considered other legal forms that could give effect to preambular language, including a preamble to the Constitution, an opening statement to the Constitution, preambular language to a new section 51A and an Act of Recognition.

### Preamble

2.67 During Expert Panel consultations, a number of structural questions were raised about recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in a preamble at the beginning of the Australian Constitution. The Expert Panel concluded.

...that there is too much uncertainty in having two preambles—the preamble to the Imperial Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900, by which the Parliament at Westminster enacted the Constitution in 1900, and a new preamble. The Panel found there are too many unintended consequences from the potential use of a new preamble in interpreting other provisions of the Constitution and there was next to no community support for a 'no legal effect' clause to accompany a preamble. The Panel has concluded, however, that a statement of recognition of Aboriginal and

80 Ibid, p. 112.

<sup>78</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, pp 110–113.

<sup>79</sup> Ibid, p. 131.

Torres Strait Islander peoples in the body of the Constitution would be consistent with both principles. <sup>81</sup>

- 2.68 Professor Twomey has noted a practical difficulty in that 'while a referendum may be used to amend the Constitution itself, it is doubtful that it could be used to amend the preamble to this British Act of Parliament'. 82
- 2.69 The Hon Robert Ellicott QC has summarised some of the challenges of drafting any preamble or opening statement:

...it would, in my view, need to be preceded by a broad debate about whether there should be a preamble and, if so, what it should contain. It does not seem to me to be consistent with the notion of a preamble to amend the Constitution solely for the purpose of inserting a statement in a preamble which only deals with indigenous recognition... it would need to be accompanied by general statements which describe the context within which the Constitution was framed and reveals the connection between a recognition of our indigenous people in that context. 83

2.70 It has been put to the committee that the unintended consequences of a preamble may not be as significant as the Expert Panel considered, as the role of preambles is well defined in the Australian legal system. High Court Justice the Hon Stephen Gageler has commented extra-judicially that:

Traditionally, the preamble to an Act of Parliament has been treated as having only a limited legal effect. The proper function of a preamble is to explain and recite certain facts which are necessary to be explained and recited before the substantive provisions contained in an Act can be understood.<sup>84</sup>

If the statute is ambiguous, the preamble may be used as an aid to interpretation... if the words of a section in an Act are plain and unambiguous, their meaning cannot be cut down by the preamble.<sup>185</sup>

#### Statement of recognition

2.71 The Expert Panel recommended that the Commonwealth's power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples contain 'its own

<sup>81</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. xiii.

Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming).

The Hon R J Ellicott QC, *Indigenous Recognition, Some Issues*, speech to the 23<sup>rd</sup> conference of the Sir Samuel Griffith Society, Hobart, 27 August 2011, p. 82.

Stephen Gageler and Mark Leeming, 'An Australian Republic: Is a Referendum Enough?' *Public Law Review*, vol. 7, 1996, p. 143.

<sup>85</sup> Ibid, referring to Wacando v Commonwealth (1981) 148 CLR 1, paras 15–16, Gibbs J.

introductory and explanatory preamble'. <sup>86</sup> This would 'link' a statement of recognition directly to the legislative powers of Parliament in section 51, rather than inserting the statement as a preamble to the Constitution. <sup>87</sup> This general approach is used in the forms displayed in Boxes 1–3 of this interim report.

2.72 Rather than in a preamble or an opening statement, the Expert Panel concluded that including a statement of recognition in the body of the Constitution would be the option 'most likely to avoid unintended consequences', explaining:

Such an approach would incorporate the statement in the body of the Constitution, and ensure that the purpose of the new power was clear. Any current or future High Court would use the language in the adopted preambular or introductory part of section 51A to interpret the new legislative power. This would avoid the risk of a statement of recognition being used to interpret other sections of the Constitution, and avoid a discontinuity between the preamble to and body of the Constitution. 88

2.73 The committee notes that the Expert Panel found that:

There was strong support for a statement of recognition in the body of the Constitution. People who advocated this referred to the possibility of practical legal outcomes, and the symbolic strength of recognition in the Constitution 'proper'. 89

2.74 The committee heard views in support of a legislative power that contains a preambular statement of recognition. For example, Professor Twomey expressed a view that:

It would avoid concern about the content of the preamble affecting the interpretation of other parts of the Constitution or the creation of broad constitutional implications... It would fulfil the role of providing constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, but it would not be seen as tokenistic, as it would introduce a substantive change in the text of the Constitution.<sup>90</sup>

2.75 Another view has been put to the committee that it would be structurally novel to include a statement of recognition in an individual provision of the Australian

.

<sup>86</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 130.

<sup>87</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 117; Centre for Comparative Constitutional Studies, University of Melbourne, submission 3558 to the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians, pp 6–7.

<sup>88</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 130.

<sup>89</sup> Ibid, p. 72.

Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming), p. 13.

Constitution, and that it is unclear how the High Court would apply the statement in relation to the provision.

2.76 The committee has received evidence that concerns remain with the wording of the statement of recognition proposed by the Expert Panel. In particular, there is a lack of popular ownership of the term 'advancement'. The term is used in the fourth line of preambular language to the Expert Panel's proposed new section 51A, in the line italicised below:

**Recognising** that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples with their traditional lands and waters;

**Respecting** the continuing cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the need to secure the advancement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; <sup>91</sup>

2.77 The term 'advancement', popularly understood as meaning improvement or development, has a wide usage and a particular meaning at international law. Professor Twomey has commented that:

...'advancement' in the sense used by the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, means bringing a racial group up to the same level of enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms as others. It is not simply directed at the giving of a 'benefit' to a group. <sup>92</sup>

2.78 In the 2013 Youth Report on Constitutional Recognition, RECOGNISE THIS, <sup>93</sup> it was submitted that 'the term "advancement" does not adequately reflect Indigenous peoples' right to self-determination as it implies that governments can determine what is best for us'. <sup>94</sup> The committee has heard that an alternative word to 'advancement' could be used that better reflects the views of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming), p. 14; United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, *General Recommendation No 32: The Meaning and Scope of Special Measures in the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination*, 75th session, United Nations Doc. CERD/C/GC/32, 24 September 2009.

<sup>91</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 133.

<sup>93</sup> RECOGNISE THIS is a youth-led movement to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Australian Constitution, a partnership between RECOGNISE, the National Centre of Indigenous Excellence and YourExtraLife.

<sup>94</sup> RECOGNISE THIS, *Youth Report on Constitutional Recognition*, Indigenous Youth Engagement Council, 2013, p. 31.

- The Expert Panel explained that their rationale for using the term 2.79 'advancement' was that it would 'confine' the Commonwealth's power to make laws for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples to laws that have a 'beneficial purpose'. 95 The Expert Panel envisaged that if challenged, a Court would assess whether the law, taken as a whole, 'would operate broadly for the benefit of the group of people concerned', rather than 'whether each and every member of the group benefited.'96 The Expert Panel did not consider that this increased legal risk for the Commonwealth, noting that the term is 'widely used in legal contexts.'97
- It was alternatively put to the committee that existing legal use of the term 'advancement' may justify its inclusion in the proposed language of recognition. Professors Dixon and Williams considered the use of the term 'advancement' in the constitutions of the Republics of South Africa and India, and commented:

While the context for its use would be different in Australia, it is likely that the High Court would interpret it similarly to authorise measures designed to redress inequality and achieve more forward-looking goals such as economic empowerment. This could enable the making of laws for native title as well as new forms of title designed to advance Aboriginal equality in different ways. 98

2.81 The committee notes that the Expert Panel, in considering the relationship between any prohibition of racial discrimination and a proposed new legislative power, commented that:

...there would be less need to qualify the preamble to the proposed replacement power in 'section 51A' with a word like 'advancement' if a racial non-discrimination provision with a special measures exception were to be included as part of the constitutional amendments.<sup>99</sup>

#### Introductory statement to the Constitution

2.82 Another alternative approach to a preamble put to the committee was to incorporate a statement of recognition as an introductory statement to the Constitution. This could achieve some of the outcomes sought to be achieved by a preamble in

<sup>95</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 131.

<sup>96</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 150.

<sup>97</sup> Ibid.

Rosalind Dixon and George Williams, 'Drafting a Replacement for the Races Power in the 98 Australian Constitution', Public Law Review, vol. 25, 2014, p. 86.

Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the 99 Expert Panel, January 2012, p. xiv.

recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and overcome the structural difficulty. <sup>100</sup>

2.83 The language used in the preamble to the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa was suggested as an example of what might be incorporated into an Australian context along the following lines:

We, the people of Australia:

Recognising that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples with their traditional lands and waters; and

Respecting the continuing cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

Acknowledging our collective history and shared future;

Honouring those who have fought for justice and freedom;

Respecting those who have worked to build, develop and protect our country;

Commit ourselves to this Constitution.

2.84 The committee has not yet received direct evidence of community views on recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in an introductory statement, and invites this at its upcoming public hearings and as written submissions.

#### Further Act of Recognition

2.85 It was further put to the committee that a constitutional amendment to give the Commonwealth power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples could be worded so as to require the Commonwealth to legislate on the subject of recognition, including recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages. This proposal is shown in Box 5 below.

<sup>100</sup> Patricia Karvelis, 'Abbot will lead doubters to constitutional change', *The Australian*, 31 January 2014, <a href="http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/state-politics/abbott-will-lead-doubters-to-constitutional-change/story-e6frgczx-1226814324051#">http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/state-politics/abbott-will-lead-doubters-to-constitutional-change/story-e6frgczx-1226814324051#</a> (accessed 12 May 2014).

#### Box 5

Proposed new wording for section 51(xxvi) of the Constitution requiring the Commonwealth to also enact an Act of Recognition

It has been put to the committee:

- That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- 2 That a new 'section 51(xxvi)' be inserted:

#### 51 Legislative powers of the Parliament

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to:

(xxvi) Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, but not so as to discriminate adversely against them and within this power must enact an Act of Recognition.

2.86 It was suggested to the Expert Panel that 'legislative recognition could have a useful role in public education in the lead-up to a referendum'. 101 Ultimately, the Expert Panel did not recommend a legislative approach, noting that:

Unlike a constitutional statement of recognition, an Act of Parliament would not be entrenched, and a later Parliament could repeal or amend any statement contained in the Act... The Panel would be concerned if legislative action were to be used as a substitute for, or distract from, a referendum on constitutional recognition. 102

- 2.87 To counter this concern, it was suggested that legislation of recognition could be given similar status to the Australia Act 1986 (Commonwealth) and the Australia Act 1986 (UK), parallel legislation enacted 'to bring constitutional arrangements affecting the Commonwealth and the States into conformity with the status of the Commonwealth of Australia as a sovereign, independent and federal nation'. <sup>103</sup>
- The committee has not yet received direct evidence of community views on recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in a Further Act of Recognition, and invites this at its upcoming public hearings and as written submissions.

### **Recognition of languages**

The committee has considered the Expert Panel's recommendation to include a declaratory provision in relation to the importance of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages, proposed new section 127A in Appendix 2 to this interim report.

103 Australia Act 1986 (Commonwealth), Long Title.

Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 224.

<sup>102</sup> Ibid, p. 224.

A new section along the same lines was suggested to the Expert Panel by the Cape York Institute, to be 'supported by legislative reform to protect and revitalise indigenous languages and promote English literacy'. <sup>104</sup>

2.90 The Expert Panel observed that:

...recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages as part of our national heritage gives appropriate recognition to the significance of those languages, especially for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, but for all other Australians as well. The Panel has also concluded that the recognition of English as the national language simply acknowledges the existing and undisputed position. <sup>105</sup>

2.91 It has been put to the committee that it is important for any referendum question to contain proposals that are 'sound and sensible'. Former Deputy Leader of the Liberal Party the Hon Mr Peter Reith recommended that good proposals should contain 'a genuine problem and a reasonable solution. Professor Williams and Mr David Hume warned against asking 'different questions' in the same proposal, arguing:

Different ideas should not be lumped together in the one referendum question. The history or referendums in Australia shows that this is a recipe for failure. The effect is to ensure that opposition to individual ideas is aggregated against the joint proposal. <sup>108</sup>

2.92 Mr Neil Young QC advised the committee that in his view, 'proposed section 127A is purely declaratory' as 'it is not a source of power and it is not expressed to be a constraint on the exercise of legislative power'. In relation to proposed new section 127A, Professor Twomey noted that 'the uncertainty and ambiguity surrounding this provision...may also prove distracting in a referendum'.

104 Cape York Institute, submission 3479 to the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians, pp 39–40; *Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel*, January 2012, p. 128.

105 Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 131.

George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010, pp 254–259.

107 Cited in George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010, p. 254.

108 George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010, p. 254.

109 Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 4.

Anne Twomey, 'A revised proposal for indigenous constitutional recognition', *Sydney Law Review*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2014 (forthcoming), p. 2.

\_

- 2.93 Based on its work so far, the committee does not favour including a provision along the lines of section 127A in a draft referendum proposal. It was put to the committee that the purpose of section 127A could be otherwise achieved in a statement of recognition within one of the forms of wording shown in Boxes 2–5 of this interim report.
- 2.94 The committee has received evidence that the effect of the languages provision could be further strengthened by incorporating a line of preambular language. <sup>111</sup> Indeed, the Expert Panel explained that:

To a considerable extent, constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages overlaps with the question of the content of a statement of recognition... and the conferral of a head of power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 112

2.95 Further, it has been put to the committee that languages could be recognised in a further Act of Recognition, should the government pursue that option alongside constitutional change (see Box 5 on page 26 of this interim report).

#### **Committee view**

- 2.96 Based on its work so far, the committee is of the view that a successful referendum on Indigenous constitutional recognition will need to meet three primary objectives. To be successful at a referendum, the committee considers that a successful proposal must:
- recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples as the first peoples of Australia;
- preserve the Commonwealth's power to make laws with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; and
- in making laws under such a power, prevent the Commonwealth from discriminating against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

Neil Young QC, Opinion on the Recommendations made by the Expert Panel on the Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, 11 June 2014, p. 4.

<sup>112</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 132.

### Chapter 3

### **Next steps towards Constitutional recognition**

### Multi-partisan support for action in the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament

- 3.1 In chapter two of this interim report, the committee noted the strong multipartisan support for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. 'Support from the major parties at the Commonwealth level' is one of the 'five pillars to a successful referendum' discussed by Professor George Williams AO, including in his book *People Power*, co-authored by Mr David Hume.<sup>1</sup>
- 3.2 The Expert Panel recommended that 'the referendum should only proceed when it is likely to be supported by all major political parties, and a majority of State governments.' To this end, the committee has committed to visit most Australian states in 2014, towards reporting to Parliament on mechanisms for engagement that achieve public and bipartisan support in Australian states and territories.

# Review of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Act 2013

- 3.3 On 28 March 2014, Minister for Indigenous Affairs the Hon Nigel Scullion announced a review into public support for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.<sup>3</sup> Under the *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Act 2013*, the Minister must initiate a review to:
  - (a) consider the readiness of the Australian public to support a referendum to amend the Constitution to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; and
  - (b) consider proposals for constitutional change to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples taking into account the work of:
    - (i) the Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; and
    - (ii) Reconciliation Australia; and
  - (c) identify which of those proposals would be most likely to obtain the support of the Australian people; and
  - (d) consider the levels of support for amending the Constitution to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples amongst:

George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010.

<sup>2</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 227.

<sup>3</sup> Senator the Hon Nigel Scullion, Minister for Indigenous Affairs, 'Next Step Towards Indigenous Constitutional Recognition', 28 March 2014, <a href="http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition">http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition</a> (accessed 23 June 2014).

- (i) Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; and
- (ii) the wider Australian public; and
- (iii) the Governments of the States and Territories; and
- (e) give the Minister a written report of the review at least 6 months prior to the day this Act ceases to have effect.<sup>4</sup>
- 3.4 The panel appointed to conduct this review is required to report to the Minister by 28 September 2014.<sup>5</sup>
- 3.5 The review panel includes former Deputy Prime Minister the Hon Mr John Anderson AO (Chair), Ms Tanya Hosch, Deputy Campaign Director of Recognise, and Mr Richard Eccles, Deputy Secretary of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet.
- 3.6 Recognising their distinct roles, the committee will work closely with the review panel where appropriate.

#### **Consultation and call for submissions**

- 3.7 The committee will hold public hearings at locations around Australia in 2014. The committee held its first public hearing in Brisbane on 30 June 2014. A list of witnesses who appeared before the committee is in Appendix 4.
- 3.8 In Brisbane, the committee heard evidence that constitutional recognition has considerable public health benefits for Australia, including for the spiritual and psychological wellbeing of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.<sup>6</sup> The committee heard that 'acknowledging our distinctive national identity' through recognition has the potential to strengthen Australia's international competitiveness as

5 Senator the Hon Nigel Scullion, Minister for Indigenous Affairs, 'Next Step Towards Indigenous Constitutional Recognition', Media release, 28 March 2014, <a href="http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition">http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition</a> (accessed 23 June 2014).

<sup>4</sup> Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Act 2013, s. 4.

<sup>6</sup> Mr Selwyn Button, Chief Executive Officer, Queensland Aboriginal and Islander Health Council, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 19; Mr Peter Arndt, Executive Officer, Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, Brisbane Archdiocese, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 23.

<sup>7</sup> Mr Shane Duffy, Chief Executive Officer, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Services Queensland, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 1.

a tourist destination.<sup>8</sup> Witnesses emphasised that the legal and practical impact of constitutional recognition remains a 'key question' for government.<sup>9</sup>

- 3.9 Some witnesses told the committee that their members supported the recommendations of the Expert Panel on the basis of the significant community consultation already conducted. Other witnesses indicated that a staged approach involving further public education may allow Australia to give effect to meaningful constitutional recognition over the longer term. The committee also heard that, alongside but distinct from the process of constitutional change, the ability to engage in an ongoing dialogue with governments about treaty and sovereignty is important to some members of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community. 12
- 3.10 The committee looks forward to receiving more evidence that will enable it to consider and report on mechanisms that would build on the work of the Expert Panel and contribute to further engagement across all sectors of the community.
- 3.11 In presenting this interim report to Parliament on steps that can be taken to progress towards a successful referendum, the committee notes that:
- a strong community base is likely to increase popular ownership of a referendum proposal;
- comprehensive popular education has a role in countering misunderstanding of proposals, scare campaigns, and voters' unwillingness to consider change;
- 'practical and substantive' proposals are more likely to succeed; and
- a referendum will be more likely to succeed if conducted according to a modern process, including distributing information about proposals using a range of media. 13
- 3.12 The committee is mindful of ensuring that the mechanisms it recommends complement the ongoing work of RECOGNISE, the people's movement for

9 Mr Shane Duffy, Chief Executive Officer, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Services Queensland, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, pp 3–6; Mr Ian Brown, President, Queensland Law Society, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 8; Mr Selwyn Button, Chief Executive Officer, Queensland Aboriginal and Islander Health Council, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 19.

<sup>8</sup> Mr Daniel Gschwind, Chief Executive Officer, Queensland Tourism Industry Council, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 14.

Mr Peter Arndt, Executive Officer, Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, Brisbane Archdiocese, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 23.

Mr Ian Brown, President, Queensland Law Society, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, pp 10–11.

Mr Shane Duffy, Chief Executive Officer, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Services Queensland, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 1; Mr Wayne Wharton, Spokesperson, Brisbane Aboriginal Sovereign Embassy, *Committee Hansard*, 30 June 2014, p. 17.

George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010, pp 12–14.

constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples.<sup>14</sup> One of the key strategies of the RECOGNISE movement has been the Journey to Recognition which is building momentum for recognition around Australia.

- 3.13 The committee notes that the Minister for Indigenous Affairs the Hon Nigel Scullion stated on 28 March 2014 that the government would announce a draft amendment to the Constitution for public consultation in late 2014. 15
- 3.14 The committee is not required to present a final report to Parliament until 30 June 2015. The committee intends to report on matters raised during consultation and will consider tabling a second interim report in 2014 before tabling its final report on or before 30 June 2015.
- 3.15 The committee welcomes and invites submissions on steps that can be taken to progress towards a successful referendum on the constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander peoples.

Mr Ken Wyatt AM MP Chair

RECOGNISE is governed by the board of Reconciliation Australia, who received \$10 million in government funding in 2012–13 to build public awareness and community support for constitutional change.

Senator the Hon Nigel Scullion, Minister for Indigenous Affairs, 'Next Step Towards Indigenous Constitutional Recognition', 28 March 2014.
<a href="http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition">http://minister.indigenous.gov.au/media/2014-03-28/next-step-towards-indigenous-constitutional-recognition</a> (accessed 23 June 2014).

# **Background**

### **Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians**

- A1.1 As discussed in Chapter 2 of this interim report, following public statements by the Coalition, the Australian Labor Party and the Australian Greens in support of constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, Prime Minister Julia Gillard appointed an expert panel in December 2010 to consult on the best possible options for a constitutional amendment to be put to a referendum.
- A1.2 The Expert Panel on Constitutional Recognition of Indigenous Australians (Expert Panel) was intended to have broad membership across the social and political spectrum, informed by nominations from the public. Led by co-chairs Professor Patrick Dodson and Mr Mark Leibler AC, the Expert Panel conducted over 250 consultations around Australia, with the aim of building public awareness of constitutional recognition.
- A1.3 The Expert Panel provided its comprehensive report to government in January 2012, recommending repeal of sections 25 and 51(xxvi) of the Constitution and insertion of new sections 51A, 116A and 127A. The Expert Panel's recommendations are set out in Appendix 2.

### **Multi-partisan support**

- A1.4 As noted by the committee in Chapter 2, strong multi-partisan support for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples has been in existence for the last four Parliaments.
- A1.5 The Prime Minister the Hon Tony Abbott MP expressed his commitment to honour the pledge of previous parliaments to the recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Constitution in his 2014 Australia Day address:

We will also begin a national conversation about amending our Constitution to recognise Aboriginal peoples as the first Australians. This should be another unifying moment in the history of our country.<sup>2</sup>

A1.6 The previous Coalition Prime Minister the Hon John Howard OM AO had proposed a 'new Reconciliation' in an address at the Sydney Institute on 11 October 2007. The former Prime Minister proposed a referendum:

...to formally recognise Indigenous Australians in our Constitution—their history as the first inhabitants of our country, their unique heritage of

Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 2.

Prime Minister the Hon Tony Abbott MP, 'Australia Day 2014', Media release and speech, 26 January 2014, <a href="http://www.pm.gov.au/media/2014-01-26/australia-day-2014">http://www.pm.gov.au/media/2014-01-26/australia-day-2014</a> (accessed 11 July 2014).

culture and languages, and their special (though not separate) place within a reconciled, indivisible nation.<sup>3</sup>

- A1.7 Former Prime Minister the Hon Kevin Rudd accepted a statement of intent from over 8000 Aboriginal people in Arnhem Land in 2008, and pledged his support for recognition of Indigenous peoples in the Constitution.<sup>4</sup>
- A1.8 In 2010 former Prime Minister the Hon Julia Gillard signed agreements with the Australian Greens, Mr Andrew Wilkie MP and then independent Member of Parliament Mr Rob Oakeshott, committing to a referendum to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in the Constitution during the 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament or at the following election.<sup>5</sup>
- A1.9 The need for bipartisanship is one of the 'five pillars to a successful referendum' discussed by Professor George Williams AO and Mr David Hume, alongside popular ownership, a sound and sensible proposal, comprehensive public education and the distribution of information using a range of media.<sup>6</sup>

### **Activities in the 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament**

- A1.10 The 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament first appointed a Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples on 28 November 2012.
- A1.11 The 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament committed to 'placing before the Australian people at a referendum a proposal for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples,' passing the *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Recognition Act* 2013 (Recognition Act) on 14 March 2013.<sup>7</sup>

### Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Recognition Act 2013

- A1.12 The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012 (the bill) was introduced into the House of Representatives on 28 November 2012. The bill contained three substantive parts:
  - (a) a statement of recognition by the Parliament, on behalf of the people of Australia, of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples (clause 3);
  - (b) a requirement for the Minister with responsibility for Indigenous Affairs to commence a review of support for a referendum to amend the Constitution within a particular timeframe (clause 4); and

\_

Prime Minister the Hon John Howard, 'A New Reconciliation', Sydney Institute, 11 October 2007.

<sup>4</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 1.

<sup>5</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. 1.

<sup>6</sup> George Williams and David Hume, *People Power: The History and Future of the Referendum in Australia*, UNSW Press, 2010.

<sup>7</sup> Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Recognition Act 2013 (Commonwealth), preamble.

- (c) a sunset clause, which provides that the Act should expire two years after enactment (clause 5).
- A1.13 The bill and the committee were intended to build community awareness and support for constitutional change and momentum towards a successful referendum. The Explanatory Memorandum stated that:

This Bill reflects an intention to pursue meaningful change to the Constitution that echoes the hopes and aspirations of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and unites the nation. It is one part of the ongoing conversation that needs to happen in the lead up to constitutional change. In particular, the Bill will enable all Australians to become familiar with formal recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples ahead of constitutional change. <sup>8</sup>

# The former committee's inquiry into the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012

- A1.14 The former Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples was required by its establishing resolution to inquire into the bill, and a goal of its inquiry was to secure strong multi-partisan parliamentary support for its passage. In 2013, Prime Minister Gillard, the Opposition Leader the Hon Tony Abbott MP and Leader of the Australian Greens Senator Christine Milne all supported Indigenous constitutional recognition in speeches during the debate on the bill.
- A1.15 The committee tabled its report on 30 January 2013, with a recommendation that the bill be passed.<sup>11</sup> The bill was passed with the unanimous support of both houses of Parliament in March 2013, and received royal assent on 27 March 2013.<sup>12</sup>
- A1.16 The committee in the 43<sup>rd</sup> Parliament indicated its support for the report of the Expert Panel:

The committee acknowledges and shares the Expert Panel's view that the removal of racial discrimination from the Constitution is an important component of constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

The committee agrees that the work of the Expert Panel provides a solid foundation for the process of constitutional reform.<sup>13</sup>

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, pp 1–2.

-

<sup>8</sup> Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012, *Explanatory Memorandum*, 28 November 2012, p. 4.

<sup>9</sup> House of Representatives, *Hansard*, 27 November 2012, p. 13497.

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait, Islander Peoples, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012*, January 2013, p. 22.

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, p. 1.

- A1.17 The former committee was satisfied that the mechanisms currently in place provided a good approach for moving toward a referendum<sup>14</sup> and identified a number of areas that could be considered further, including:
- racial discrimination;
- inclusion of the word 'advancement' in the proposal for constitutional change;
- the wording of the statement of recognition in the Constitution; and
- concerns about Australia's referendum machinery identified by the House Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs in its 2009 report on the *Referendum (Machinery Provisions) Act 1984.* <sup>15</sup>
- A1.18 Some of the above matters were addressed in the former committee's June 2013 progress report (discussed below). However, in that report the committee indicated that further consideration of these matters may be appropriate during the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament. <sup>16</sup>

#### Progress report in June 2013

- A1.19 The former Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples tabled a progress report in June 2013 with a summary of the committee's consideration of the recommendations made by the Expert Panel.
- A1.20 The former committee's progress report 'emphasises the strong bipartisan support that already exists and urges the next Chair of the committee to leverage this support to ensure a successful referendum takes place during the next Parliament.' 17
- A1.21 The progress report recorded the outcomes of a round table discussion held in Redfern, New South Wales on 30 April 2013. The former committee received four submissions and nine people attended the round table discussion.
- A1.22 The need for engagement with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples was an important theme at the roundtable and the committee welcomed the
- Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait, Islander Peoples, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012*, January 2013, pp 9, 12.
- Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012*, January 2013, p. 16.
- Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples Recognition Bill 2012*, January 2013, pp 9, 11, 22.
- Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, p. 7.
- Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, p. 28.

enthusiasm and activism of younger Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.<sup>18</sup> The committee noted that it would be unlikely to be able to achieve the same level of engagement as the Expert Panel.

A1.23 The relationship between the committee's work and the Expert Panel's recommendations was discussed at the round table, and its *Progress Report* noted:

There was broad agreement from roundtable participants that the Expert Panel's work should serve as the foundation for the committee's consideration of a proposal to put to the Australian people in a referendum, but that its recommendations should not necessarily be seen as 'set in stone.' At the same time, roundtable participants argued that the committee should not seek to re-do the Expert Panel's work, and to the extent that any proposal it recommends departs from the Expert Panel's recommendations, the committee should consult further with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. <sup>19</sup>

A1.24 The former committee indicated that the Expert Panel's four principles to guide the assessment of proposals for constitutional recognition were appropriate to its task, <sup>20</sup> namely, that a proposal should:

- contribute to a more unified and reconciled nation;
- be of benefit to and accord with the wishes of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;
- be capable of being supported by an overwhelming majority of Australians from across the political and social spectrums; and
- be technically and legally sound.<sup>21</sup>

A1.25 The former committee described the work of the Expert Panel as a 'strong foundation' for its work. The committee expressed a view that 'some of the Expert Panel's recommendations require further consideration, and as a result of this may need to be refined.'<sup>22</sup> The committee indicated that if its recommendations departed in form or substance from the Expert Panel's recommendations, it would consult further with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and their representative organisations.<sup>23</sup>

20 Ibid, p. 9.

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, p. 11.

<sup>19</sup> Ibid, p. 5.

<sup>21</sup> Recognising Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples in the Constitution: Report of the Expert Panel, January 2012, p. xi.

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, p. 7.

Joint Select Committee on Constitutional Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples, *Progress Report*, June 2013, pp 5, 7.

### **Committee's work in 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament**

A1.26 In considering draft wording on constitutional recognition and other matters related to its work, the committee has met with a range of advisers. The committee has held private briefings on:

- 21 February 2014 at the National Centre for Indigenous Excellence in Redfern, New South Wales;
- 21 March 2014 at University of New South Wales in Kensington, New South Wales; and
- 19 May 2014 at the University of Sydney in Camperdown, New South Wales.

A1.27 The committee has received private briefings from RECOGNISE, RECOGNISE THIS and the National Congress of Australia's First Peoples.

#### The role of the states and territories

A1.28 Securing the support for a referendum proposal from the state and territory governments was a goal of the former committee. This committee will seek the views of state and territory governments and note the results of this consultation in their next report.

A1.29 The committee notes the states that have so far recognised Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in their constitution acts:<sup>24</sup>

- Victoria (2004);
- Queensland (2010);
- New South Wales (2010); and
- South Australia (2013).

#### Commonwealth policy activity

A1.30 The committee is advised that the Attorney-General's Department has coordinating responsibility for Indigenous constitutional recognition within government.

A1.31 The Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet has specific responsibility for the Review under the Recognition Act. The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet administers the government's funding to Reconciliation Australia. On 13 February 2013, Prime Minister Gillard announced \$10 million funding to

Changes to state constitutions generally require a bill to pass through both houses of Parliament (in Queensland, the single house). Unlike at the Commonwealth level, there is no need for a referendum.

Reconciliation Australia to continue to build public awareness and community support for change. <sup>25</sup> Reconciliation Australia seeks:

- an Australia that recognises and respects the special place, culture, rights and contribution of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples; where
- good relationships between First Australians and other Australians become the foundation for local strength and success; and
- enhancement of national wellbeing.<sup>26</sup>

A1.32 The committee has continued to engage with the RECOGNISE movement, governed by the Board of Reconciliation Australia. <sup>27</sup> One of the key strategies of the RECOGNISE movement is the Journey to Recognition which is designed to build momentum to recognise Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders in the Constitution. <sup>28</sup>

Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, *Act of recognition passes the Senate*, 14 March 2013, <a href="http://www.indigenous.gov.au/act-of-recognition-passes-the-senate/">http://www.indigenous.gov.au/act-of-recognition-passes-the-senate/</a> (accessed 11 July 2014).

Reconciliation Australia, *About Us*, <a href="http://www.reconciliation.org.au/home/about-us">http://www.reconciliation.org.au/home/about-us</a>, (accessed 30 October 2013).

<sup>27</sup> RECOGNISE, *About RECOGNISE* and *Journey to Recognition*, <a href="http://www.recognise.org.au/about">http://www.recognise.org.au/about</a> and <a href="http://www.recognise.org.au/thejourney">http://www.recognise.org.au/thejourney</a>, (accessed 11 July 2014).

<sup>28</sup> RECOGNISE, *Journey to Recognition*, <a href="http://www.recognise.org.au/thejourney">http://www.recognise.org.au/thejourney</a>, (accessed 11 July 2014).

# **Recommendations of the Expert Panel**

The Expert Panel made the following recommendations:

#### **Recommendations for changes to the Constitution**

- 1 That section 25 be repealed.
- 2 That section 51(xxvi) be repealed.
- That a new 'section 51A' be inserted, along the following lines:

# **Section 51A Recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples**

**Recognising** that the continent and its islands now known as Australia were first occupied by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the continuing relationship of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples with their traditional lands and waters;

**Respecting** the continuing cultures, languages and heritage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

**Acknowledging** the need to secure the advancement of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples;

the Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

The Panel further recommends that the repeal of section 51(xxvi) and the insertion of the new 'section 51A' be proposed together.

4 That a new 'section 116A' be inserted, along the following lines:

#### Section 116A Prohibition of racial discrimination

- (1) The Commonwealth, a State or a Territory shall not discriminate on the grounds of race, colour or ethnic or national origin.
- (2) Subsection (1) does not preclude the making of laws or measures for the purpose of overcoming disadvantage, ameliorating the effects of past discrimination, or protecting the cultures, languages or heritage of any group.

5 That a new 'section 127A' be inserted, along the following lines:

#### **Section 127A Recognition of languages**

- (1) The national language of the Commonwealth of Australia is English.
- (2) The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander languages are the original Australian languages, a part of our national heritage.

#### Recommendations on the process for the referendum

- a. In the interests of simplicity, there should be a single referendum question in relation to the package of proposals on constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples set out in the draft Bill (Chapter 11).
- b. Before making a decision to proceed to a referendum, the Government should consult with the Opposition, the Greens and the independent members of Parliament, and with State and Territory governments and oppositions, in relation to the timing of the referendum and the content of the proposals.
- c. The referendum should only proceed when it is likely to be supported by all major political parties, and a majority of State governments.
- d. The referendum should not be held at the same time as a referendum on constitutional recognition of local government.
- e. Before the referendum is held, there should be a properly resourced public education and awareness program. If necessary, legislative change should occur to allow adequate funding of such a program.
- f. The Government should take steps, including through commitment of adequate financial resources, to maintain the momentum for recognition, including the widespread public support established through the YouMeUnity website, and to educate Australians about the Constitution and the importance of constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. Reconciliation Australia could be involved in this process.
- g. If the Government decides to put to referendum a proposal for constitutional recognition of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples other than the proposals recommended by the Panel, it should consult further with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and their representative organisations to ascertain their views in relation to any such alternative proposal.
- h. Immediately after the Panel's report is presented to the Prime Minister, copies should be made available to the leader of the Opposition, the leader of the Greens, and the independent members of Parliament. The report should be released publicly as soon as practicable after it is presented to the Prime Minister.

# Private briefings and participants

#### **SYDNEY, 21 FEBRUARY 2014**

BURMESTER, Mr Henry, AO, QC, Private capacity

DAVIS, Professor Megan, Private capacity

FERGUSON, Mr Glenn, Managing Director, Ferguson Cannon Lawyers

MALEZER, Mr Les, Co-Chair, National Congress of Australia's First Peoples

MORRIS, Ms Shireen, Cape York Institute

PARKER, Ms Kirstie, Co-Chair, National Congress of Australia's First Peoples

PRITCHARD, Dr Sarah, SC, Eleven Wentworth Barristers

TWOMEY, Professor Anne, Director, Constitutional Reform Unit, University of Sydney

WILLIAMS AO, Professor George, Private capacity

#### **CANBERRA, 5 MARCH 2014**

HOSCH, Ms Tania, Deputy Campaign Director, Recognise

GARTRELL, Mr Tim, Campaign Director, Recognise

SCHUBERT, Ms Mischa, Communications Director, Recognise

#### **CANBERRA, 26 MARCH 2014**

DAWSON, Mr Peter, Recognise This Campaign Coordinator, Recognise

FRAIL, Ms Charlee-Sue, Recognise This Campaign Coordinator, Recognise

#### SYDNEY, 21 MARCH 2014

BURMESTER, Mr Henry, AO, QC, Private capacity

DAVIS, Professor Megan, Private capacity

TWOMEY, Professor Anne, Director, Constitutional Reform Unit, University of Sydney

WILLIAMS AO, Professor George, Private capacity

#### **SYDNEY, 19 MAY 2014**

ELLICOTT QC, The Hon Robert, Head of Chambers, 16 Wardell Chambers

PRITCHARD SC, Dr Sarah, Eleven Wentworth Barristers

TWOMEY, Professor Anne, Director Constitutional Reform Unit, University of Sydney

WILLIAMS AO, Professor George, Private capacity

YOUNG QC, Mr Neil Young, Seven Wentworth Barristers

#### **TELECONFERENCE, 20 MAY 2014**

CRAVEN AO, Professor Gregory, Vice-Chancellor, Australian Catholic University

#### CANBERRA, 25 JUNE 2014

PARKER, Ms Kirstie, Co-Chair, National Congress of Australia's First Peoples

SCOTT, Mr Geoff, Chief Executive Officer, National Congress of Australia's First Peoples

# **Public hearing and witnesses**

#### **BRISBANE, 30 JUNE 2014**

DUFFY, Mr Shane, Chief Executive Officer, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Service (Qld) Ltd

BROWN, Mr Ian, President, Queensland Law Society

DUNN, Mr Matthew, Principal Policy Solicitor, Queensland Law Society

D'CRUZ, Ms Raylene, Policy Solicitor, Queensland Law Society

GSCHWIND, Mr Daniel, Chief Executive, Queensland Tourism Industry Council

WHARTON, Mr Wayne, Spokesperson, Brisbane Aboriginal Sovereign Embassy

BUTTON, Mr Selwyn, Chief Executive Officer, Queensland Aboriginal & Islander Health Council

ARNDT, Mr Peter, Executive Officer, Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, Brisbane Archdiocese

WALDREN, Ms Ravina, Coordinator Murri Ministry Team, Brisbane Archdiocese

MILLER, Mr David, Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission, Brisbane Archdiocese