



Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works



FORTY-SIXTH GENERAL REPORT

1 9 8 3

THE PARLIAMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

FORTY-SIXTH
GENERAL REPORT

Australian Government Publishing Service Canberra 1983

CONTENTS

	Paragrap
TWENTY-SIXTH COMMITTEE	1
MEETINGS	3
REFERENCES AND REPORTS	4
COMMENTS BY THE COMMITTEE	7
Departmental Submissions	11
Brevity of Submissions	14
Publicising Proposals	15
Supplementary Submissions/Background Papers	19
Garden Island Modernisation Stage 2	21
RAAF Base Darwin	22
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE ACT	
Non-application of Act to certain Authorities	26
Repetitive Works	28
Definition of a Public Work	31
Urgent Work	36
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	38
Inquiry into Aircraft Noise	40
Adelaide Airport	42
Aircraft and Air Pollution	47
NON REFERENCE OF DEFENCE WORKS	50
WORKS EXCEEDING PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REFERENCE LIMIT OF \$2 MILLION DURING DOCUMENTATION/	
TENDERING STAGE	51
PROGRESS OF PROPOSALS PREVIOUSLY REFERRED	53
APPRECIATION	54
STAFF	55

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

© Commonwealth of Australia 1983

PARLIAMENTARY STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS

FORTY-SIXTH GENERAL REPORT

Pursuant to Section 16 of the Public Works Committee Act 1969, the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works has the honour to report the proceedings of the Committee during the period 1 January to 31 December 1982.

TWENTY-SIXTH COMMITTEE

- 1. Members of the Twenty-sixth Committee were appointed on 26 November 1980. They were Senators B.F. Kilgariff, J.I. Melzer and H.W. Young and Members of the House of Representatives Messrs M.H. Bungey, D.B. Cowan, B.C. Humphreys, U.E. Innes, J.L. McMahon and M.E. Sainsbury. At the first meeting of the Committee on 2 December 1980, Mr M.H. Bungey was elected Chairman and Senator J.I. Melzer, Vice-Chairman.
- Following the retirement of Senator J.I. Melzer on 30 June 1981 and the election of Senator the Hon. H.W. Young as President of the Senate on 18 August 1981, Senators D.J. Foreman and J.R. Martyr were appointed to the Committee on 25 August 1981. Mr J.L. McMahon was elected Vice Chairman on 27 August 1981.

MEETINGS

3. The Committee met on 44 occasions during 1982. The meetings were held to take evidence at public hearings, carry out inspections and deal with private business and were held in Canberra (18) Sydney (4) Melbourne (3) Adelaide (6) Brisbane (2) Darwin (3) Perth (2) Derby (2) Laverton (1) East Sale (1) Wagga (1) and Queenscliff (1).

REFERENCES AND REPORTS

- 4. At the beginning of 1982 three references of work, (including a final report on one) were outstanding from 1981. A further seventeen references of work were referred to the Committee during 1982 for examination and report to Parliament.
- 5. Sixteen reports were presented, including those related to three works outstanding from 1981 and two related to the same reference, Brisbane Airport (Initial and Final Report).
- 6. Of the five references of work before the Committee at the end of 1982 one has been awaiting an input from Government Departments since the Committee's public hearing in August 1982. Public hearings for two of the references were held early in December 1982 but the Committee was unable to report before the adjournment of the House of Representatives on 14 December. The Committee had not commenced its examination of the remaining two references, one of which was referred on the last day of sitting of the House of Representatives during the report period.

COMMENTS BY THE COMMITTEE

- 7. The total estimated cost of proposed work referred to the Committee as outlined in Appendix "A" was \$318 million. Following the overall process of scrutiny of these proposals the work recommended by the Committee totalled \$313 million resulting in a nett saving of some \$5 million.
- 8. The Committee's inquiries take the form of public hearings at which Departmental officials justify the need for proposals, the design solution put forward and demonstrate that public funds will be spent wisely and at an appropriate level.

The self-discipline which this process imposes on Departments requires them to question review decisions and the underlying assumptions on which they are based throughout the planning and development of proposals.

- 9. Public hearings also provide a forum at which members of the public and interested groups and organisatons are able to comment on proposed works. In many instances the Committee's inquiries and recommendations have influenced justifiable changes to proposals.
- 10. The following paragraphs are the Committee's observations on a number of general topics and comments on particular projects examined during 1982.
- 11. <u>Departmental Submissions</u> The Committee's 45th General Report stated that in 1981 there was a wide variation in the standard of departmental submissions presented. The situation did not improve markedly in 1982.
- 12. Section 17(3) of the <u>Public Works Committee Act 1969</u> states:

"In considering and reporting on a public work, the Committee shall have regard to -

- (a) the stated purpose of the work and its suitability for that purpose;
- (b) the necessity for, or the advisability of, carrying out the work;
- (c) the most effective use that can be made, in the carrying out of the work, of the moneys to be expended on the work;

- (d) where the work purports to be of a revenue-producing character, the amount of revenue that it may reasonably be expected to produce; and
- (e) the present and prospective public value of the work."
- 13. Submissions should therefore be aimed at satisfying these requirements. In addition, submissions should clearly set out:
 - the alternative solutions which were examined prior to the decision to proceed with the work;
 - an indication of the priority of the work in relation to other planned works by the department concerned;
 - details of any cost-benefit studies;
 - details of any environmental studies; and
 - information of negotiations or discussions with other departments, State and local authorities, companies, unions and the public in relation to the work.
- 14. Brevity of Submissions The brevity of a number of submissions again required lengthy cross examination of departmental witnesses. Departments should ensure a logical development of argument in their submissions. The Committee's report to Parliament is based on submissions presented and evidence taken at public hearings. The omission of important material, which may or may not be public knowledge, could therefore prejudice a favourable report. Departments should ensure that detail is not sacrificed in the interests of

brevity. Where documents, studies or reports are cited in submissions as being of particular significance or are materially relevant to the development of a proposal, these, or appropriate extracts from them, or summaries of them, should be included as appendices to submissions.

- 15. <u>Publicising Proposals</u> On a number of occasions during the year local residents and private organisations claimed that they were not advised of the scope of works proposed in their neighbourhoods until shortly before the public hearing. Even then, they claimed, departmental officers who were contacted were reluctant to provide detailed information or answer specific questions about the proposal.
- 16. Following a request by the Committee some years ago the Department of Housing and Construction erects a sign at the proposed site briefly outlining the nature of the project and that it will be the subject of a public hearing by the Committee.
- 17. In future, where local residents have an interest in or are likely to be affected by a proposal, which has not been the subject of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the sign should include a Departmental telephone contact number where members of the public may make enquiries concerning the proposal. Similarly, departments should consider preparing a short leaflet outlining the proposal for distribution to local residents and interested groups and organisations.
- 18. As a general rule, in proposals where an Environmental Impact Statement has not been prepared, departments and authorities should attempt to ensure that contentious issues are resolved before a proposal is referred to the Committee or be able to demonstrate to the Committee that attempts have been made towards ameliorating strong adverse public reaction.

- 19. Supplementary Submissions/Background Papers On a number of occasions during public hearings departmental witnesses presented detailed supplementary submissions or background papers in answer to specific questions. These papers were obviously prepared by departments in advance of the public hearing.
- 20. Committee members cannot be expected to read the additional material during public hearings and examine witnesses on that material. In future, supplementary submissions or background papers should be provided prior to the public hearing.
- 21. Garden Island Modernisation Stage 2 In its Seventh Report of 1981 (Parliamentary Paper No. 155/1981) - presented on 26 August 1981 - the Committee confirmed the need for the Stage 2 of Garden Island Modernisation but recommended that the Surface Preparation and Preservation Facility and Oily Bilge Waste Treatment Plant be deferred. The Committee's 45th General Report mentioned that these works would be referred back to the Committee in 1982 following a reexamination of a number of features by the Department of Defence and the Department of Housing and Construction. The proposal was referred back to the Committee on 27 May 1982 and a public hearing was held in Sydney on 4 August 1982. The two departments were asked to respond in writing to a number of matters raised during the course of the public hearing. These written responses had not been received by the end of the period covered by this report. The Committee has therefore been unable to finalise the investigation and report on this reference.
- 22. RAAF Base Darwin The Committee's report on the construction of facilities for a tactical fighter squadron at RAAF Base Darwin concluded that construction of the engine run-up facility should be delayed until problems with noise pollution had been completely resolved.

- 23. The Committee's report also concluded that an Environmental Impact Statement should be prepared on Darwin Airport before additional military or civil developments proceed. On 6 May 1982 the Minister for Housing and Construction advised the House of Representatives that in August 1981 the Minister for Home Affairs and Environment decided that the preparation of an EIS was not required on the proposal to relocate civil aviation facilities at RAAF Base Darwin. Decisions regarding the appropriate level of environmental examination of the proposal for RAAF Stage 2 development works, including the need for an environmental impact statement, will be made when the matter has been referred to the Department of Home Affairs and Environment.
- 24. On 25 July 1982, the Minister for Defence said that it was intended a squadron of the new tactical fighters would be based in the Northern Territory. He indicated that RAAF Tindal, near Katherine, and RAAF Darwin were being considered as locations.
- 25. Consequently, in September 1982, the Chairman wrote to the Minister for Housing and Construction and advised that pursuant to Section 19(1) of the Public Works Committee Act 1969 the Committee had decided to review its report relating to the RAAF Base Darwin reference. The Committee believed it prudent for no contracts to be let pending clarification as to the future location of the Tactical Fighter Force Squadron.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE ACT

26. Non-application of Act to certain Authorities As mentioned in the Committee's 45th General Report (paras 27-32) the Public Works Committee Act was amended, inter alia, to bring the works of Commonwealth Authorities and Australian embassies overseas

under the scrutiny of the Committee. Certain types of works and authorities, however, continued to be exempt. The Act also provides for regulations to be made to exempt bodies which trade or which provide services and compete with other bodies or persons.

27. During the period under review regulations have been made (Statutory Rules 1982 Numbers 89 and 96) declaring that the Public Works Committee Act does not apply to the following authorities:

Australian Industry Development Corporation
Australian National Airlines Commission
Australian National Railways Commission
Australian Overseas Projects Corporation
Australian Shipping Commission
Commonwealth Banking Corporation
Commonwealth Serum Laboratories Commission
Pipeline Authority
Health Insurance Commission.
Qantas Airways Limited

28. Repetitive Works Section 18(8A) of the Act states:

"The Minister for Transport and Construction may, by notice published in the Gazette, declare a work to be a repetitive work for the purposes of sub-section (8) if -

(a) he is satisfied that the work is substantially similar to other works that have been carried out, are being carried out or are likely to be carried out from time to time by or for the Commonwealth, or by or for an authority of the Commonwealth to which this Act applies; and

- (b) the Committee has agreed to the work being so declared."
- 29. In December 1982 the Department of Defence and the Department of Housing and Construction provided the Committee with an outline of a proposal involving the construction of 95 houses for the Army at Holsworthy with a limit of cost estimate of \$5.6 million at December 1982 prices and requested that the project be exempted from Committee scrutiny on the grounds of repetitive works.
- 30. After careful consideration of the Departmental outlines of the proposal, the Committee agreed that the proposal be exempted on the grounds of "repetitive works". The Committee noted that once firm design proposals for the houses are available from tenderers, and had been assessed for compliance with Services Scales and Standards of Accommodation, consultations are planned with user representatives. Where practicable, suggestions for design adjustments will be incorporated into the houses.
- 31. <u>Definition of a Public Work</u> The Committee's 45th General Report mentioned that the Committee intended to clarify further the precise definition of a public work to ensure that the intentions of Parliament in relation to the review of public works are not circumvented.
- 32. On 5 May 1982, on a motion by the Minister for Housing and Construction the House of Representatives agreed:
 - "...That, in accordance with the provisions of the <u>Public Works Committee Act 1969</u> and by reason of the urgent nature of the work, it is expedient that the following proposed work be carried out without having been referred to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works: Construction of an oceanographic research vessel for CSIRO."

33. On 9 December 1982, a motion by the Minister for Housing and Construction the House of Representatives agreed:

"That, in accordance with the provisions of the Public Works Committee Act 1969 and by reason of the urgent nature of the work, it is expedient that the following proposed works be carried out without having been referred to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works: Construction of RAN minehunter catamarans and ship-building facility."

- 34. Two significant features arise from these motions. Firstly, the government has formally acknowledged that in certain cases the construction of ships constitutes a "public work".
- 35. Secondly, in both cases the government sought to have proposals involving the construction of ships exempted from Committee scrutiny on the grounds of urgency. The Committee recognises that ships have only recently been deemed to be a public work, and that departments with a possible interest in this development were not advised until relatively recently. As a consequence projects of the nature exempted were in an advanced stage of development. In future, exemption on the grounds of urgency will be less tenable. The Committee suggests that departments and Commonwealth authorities establish procedures to ensure the timely referral of proposals which recent legal opinion indicates constitute a public work.
- 36. <u>Urgent Work</u> On 9 December 1982 the Minister for Transport and Construction sought to have the following proposed work proceed without having been referred to the Committee on the grounds of urgency: Construction of a Chemical Milling Facility for the Government Aircraft Factories at Fishermen's Bend, Victoria. The House of Representatives agreed.

37. Whilst the Committee accepts the urgency and the need for the proposed work it must insist that the remainder of works necessary to enable Australian industry to participate in the F/A-18, and to support the aircraft in service with the RAAF, to be organised in such a way that they will be available for Committee scrutiny.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

- 38. The Committee's 45th General Report expressed concern that where environmental impact assessment procedures are submitted in evidence to have been satisfied, there is rarely a proper justification for that claim. The Committee gave notice that in future it would require the reasoning of the Department of Home Affairs and Environment to be presented when such statements are made.
- 39. During 1982 the Committee was briefed by officers of the Department of Home Affairs and Environment on the administration of the <u>Environment Protection (Impact of Proposals) Act 1974</u> and the Administrative Procedures made pursuant to the Act.
- 40. Inquiry into Aircraft Noise A significant feature of the Committee's Final Report relating to the Upgrading of Airport Facilities for the Introduction of domestic wide body aircraft (Second Report of 1982), presented on 18 March 1982, was the recommendation that the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Environment and Conservation inquire into and report on all aspects of aircraft noise within and adjacent to major urban areas. The House of Representatives formally referred the matter to that Committee on 21 June 1982. Following an inspection of Sydney Airport and informal briefings by Commonwealth officials, on 16 November 1982 the Committee commenced its program of public hearings, with the intention to take evidence in every State. Approximately 350 submissions had

been received by the Committee in response to press advertisments which announced the establishment of the inquiry and invited submissions from interested groups and individuals.

- 41. The Public Works Committee is gratified that the inquiry is proceeding and is hopeful that the resultant report will permit the social consequences of future references involving the redevelopment of existing airports or the construction of new airports, to be thoroughly assessed.
- 42. Adelaide Airport The Committee's report on the Upgrading of Adelaide Airport for international services (Fifth Report of 1982), presented on 5 May 1982, was critical of the fact that an EIS had not been prepared for the proposal. The proposal was for the construction of an International Terminal Building (ITB) at Adelaide Airport at a site about 500 metres north-east of the domestic terminal and about 350 metres from the nearest houses outside the airport boundary.
- 43. Our report recommended that the ITB not be located at the site selected by the then Department of Transport (now the Department of Aviation) rather, it should be located at a site 650 metres from the residential areas and opposite the present domestic terminal area.
- 44. On 6 May 1982 the then Minister for Housing and Construction, in moving the expediency motion in the House of Representatives, stated:
 - the Government agrees that the proposed site for the ITB will cause slight additional noise to the residents adjacent to the airport;
 - it is considered that this small impact does not justify the additional cost of what would accrue from relocating the terminal as suggested by the Committee;

- the Committee's alternative site will cause the deletion from the airport master plan of the parallel runway option;
- it will cause the ITB always to be remote from the domestic terminals.
- 45. The Committee gave careful consideration to all these factors in the course of preparing its report and remains unconvinced of their relative validity. Furthermore, the Minister stated that following the construction of the ITB the Department of Aviation would prepare an EIS as part of master planning the future development of Adelaide Airport. The Committee believes the siting of the ITB will significantly determine the direction of future airport planning, especially the location of new domestic terminals and aprons. Justification of the location of other elements of the airport complex will stem from the location of the ITB. Master planning options, especially their environmental consequences, should have been released for public comment prior to the proposed construction of the ITB being referred to the Committee. The preparation of a master plan and draft EIS and calling for public comment subsequent to deciding on the location of an important component of the airport complex seems in these circumstances to be somewhat fatuous.
- 46. A draft EIS and Master Plan had not been released for public comment in the interval between the tabling of the report and the end of the period covered by this report.
- 47. Aircraft and Air Pollution During the Committee's public hearing into the upgrading of Adelaide Airport for International Services, the Chairman requested the Department of Aviation to carry out tests on rainwater in a number of tanks adjacent to houses under the flight path of the main runway near the airport

boundary in order to establish the level of pollution and the source of alleged water contamination. The initiation of this study was mentioned in the Committee's report on the reference.

- 48. The tests were carried out by a consultant and the Committee was provided with a copy of the consultant's report. The consultant was unable to distinguish between household kerosene and aviation fuel using gas chromotographic techniques. Samples taken from a number of tanks were therefore analysed for organic carbon by an alternative method which measures organic carbon (including kerosene and aviation fuel) which is present in the water.
- 49. The report states the levels of dissolved organic carbon in all samples were comparable to the level found in the reference sample. (The reference sample was from a tank located away from the airport.) The report concludes: "... that no detectable quantities of kerosene or aviation fuel were found in the samples".

NON REFERENCE OF DEFENCE WORKS

50. Four references of work for defence purposes were exempted by the Governor-General-in-Council pursuant to Section 18(8)(c) of the Public Works Committee Act.

WORKS EXCEEDING PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REFERENCE LIMIT OF \$2 MILLION DURING DOCUMENTATION/TENDERING STAGE

51. During debate in the House of Representatives in 1974, on an amendment to the Public Works Committee Act to include a definition of the term "estimated cost", it was suggested that the Committee should include in the General Report details of those works, the initial estimated cost of which was less than

- \$2 million (and were therefore not referred to the Committee) but whose final cost exceeded the mandatory reference figure of \$2 million.
- 52. During the year there were no projects which at the Limit of Cost estimate stage were below \$2 million and subsequently exceeded \$2 million due to cost increases.

PROGRESS OF PROPOSALS PREVIOUSLY REFERRED

53. At Appendix B is a tabulated summary of progress of all works reported on by the Committee covering the period 1977-1981 as at December 1982. For brevity, the reports on each project have been condensed to the essentials considered to be of interest to Parliament. The Department of Housing and Construction provides further information to expand on projects of particular interest in its annual report.

APPRECIATION

54. The Committee wishes to record its appreciation to all those who assisted during the numerous site inspections and public hearings. The Committee also extends its thanks to the Committee Secretariat, the Parliamentary Reporting staff, the Government Printer and particularly to the officers of the Department of Housing and Construction for their co-operation and service.

STAFF

55. The Committee was served by Mr R.B. Fenton as Secretary and Mr M.M.H. Fetter, Assistant Secretary. Mr C.J. Simpson joined the Committee Secretariat in June 1982 and replaced Mr G. Davies who was promoted to the Department of Administrative Services. Mrs J.R. Thompson, after completing 15 years of valuable services as Steno-Secretary was promoted to Clerical Assistant.

- Mrs J. Burgess commenced as Steno-Secretary in August 1982. On 1 December 1982 the administration of the Secretariat was transferred from the Joint House Department to the House of Representatives. The Committee wishes to record its appreciation to officers of the Joint House Department who provided valuable service over many years.
- 56. The Committee gratefully acknowledges the services of Mr G. Barry, seconded from the Executive Development Scheme, who assisted with the inquiry into Brisbane International Airport: Remainder of Works of Phase 1.
- 57. During 1982 the Committee engaged the services of two specialist assessors. Mr K.M. Barclay, D.F.C., assisted the Committee with its inquiry into the Adelaide Airport Component of Upgrading the Airport Facilities for the Introduction of Wide Body Aircraft. Mr James Watson assisted the Committee's inquiry into Surface Preparation and Preservation Facility and Oily Bilge Waste Treatment Plant, Garden Island, N.S.W., Modernisation Stage 2.

(D.J. FOREMAN)
Chairman

Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works, Parliament House, CANBERRA A.C.T.

19 May 1983

PORTS PRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE DURING 1982

W CONTRACTOR OF	Remarks	Recommended as proposed	Works at Adelaide Airport (§8.0 million) recomended as proposed. Runway and textway upgrading at Ribbert Airport not a proven necessity at this time; no justification for the fillet widening and turning nodes; existing demestic turninal be existing demestic turninal be operations.	Recommended as proposed with the exception of the engine runup facility. Department of Defence re-examining the proposal.	Recommended as proposed
	Motion for Expediency Passed	25.3.82	25.3.82	6.5.82	6.5.82
	Expenditure Recommended by Committee	E. C.	8 8 8	9.056	7.6
	Expenditure Proposed When Referred to Committee	48. 6.2	23.3 Total 10.45 Sub- ject of Report	9.6	7.6
Page 1	Report	24.2.82	18.3.82	29.4.82	29.4.82
	Date and Number of Report	18.2.82	11.3.82 18.3.82 2/92	3/82	22.4.82 4/82
	Proposed Work	18.11.81 * Construction of Additional Training and Sleeping Accom- modation, RAMP Base Laverton, Victoria	Upgrading of Airport Pacilities for the Intro- duction of Domestic Wide- Body Aircraft (Final Report)	Construction of Facilities for a Tactical Fighter Squadron, RAMF Base, Darwin Northern Texritory Stage I	Construction of Living and Training Accommodation, RAAF Base Wagga,
	Date Proposal Referred	18.11.81 *	27.8.81*	21.12.81	22.1.82

ح ا
×I
91
ÐΙ
ÆΙ
a١
ΑI
₹,

Remarks	Site for International Terminal not suitable: should be constructed opposite present domestic terminal at an additational cost of \$0.5m - \$0.7m; stair town and elevated sero bridge costing \$0.5 million should not be included	Recommended carrying out of remainder of works of Phase I with the exception of the domes- tic passemper terminal (costs 548 million) and the Department of Aviation hangar (cost 5900,000) subject to its views on the	Recommended construction; tearninal to be joint user; cost reductions due to this reduction in scope of the centre satellite in scope of the centre satellite Gentues of citalian in maker of the humares of N. included in	Recommended construction of Strategy 4 tower; height 60-65 metres.
Motion for Expediency Passed	6.5.82	21.9.82	14,12.82	28.10.82
Expenditure Recommended by Committee	3H 11.150	115.7	44.0	2.5
Expenditure Report Proposed Presented When Committee	10.9	9*99T	48.9	3.6 0.9 Control Tower
Report	5.5.82	26.8.82	9.12.82	20.10.82
Date and Number of Report	29.4.82 5/82	24.7.82 6/82	9.12.82	14.10.82 8/82
Proposed Work	Adelaide Airport: Upgrading for International Services	Redevelopment of Brisbane International Airport - Remainder of Works of Phase I (Initial Report)	Redevelopment of Brisbane International Airport - Remainder of Works of Phase I (Final Report)	Construction of New Air Traffic Services Centre and Control Tower, Perth Airport, Western Australia (Pinal Report)
Date Proposal Referred	17.2.82*	6.5.82 *	6.5.82 *	27.8.82 *

age o

APPENDIX A

Date Proposal Referred	Proposed Work	Date and Number of Report	Report Presented	Expenditure Proposed when Referred to Committee	Expenditure Recommended by Committee	Motion for Expediency Passed	Remarks
25.6.82	Development of RAAF Base Derby, WA, Stage I	24.8.82 9/82	26.8.82	50.7	50.7	21.9.82	Recommended as proposed, subject to a reassessment, by the Department of Defence, of its use by BS2 aircraft.
19.5.82	Redevelopment of Operating Theatres and Refurbishment of the Suggical Wards at the Repatriation General Hospital, Daw Park, South Australia	9.9.82	16.9.82	.5 2	ð. 3	23.9.82	Recommended as proposed. Mards 1 and 2 also need upgrading.
27.5.82	Stage 1 Construction of New Morks and Uggrading of Exist- ing Facilities for the New Tactical Fighter Project at Fishermens Bend, Melbourne.	21.10.82	26.10.82	4.6	5.4	28.10.82	Recommended as proposed
**	Physics Division Building for Materials Research Labor- atories at Maribyrnong, Victoria	16.9.82	23.9.82	2.6	5.6	14.10.82	Recommended as proposed
**	Development of RAMF Base East Sale, Victoria, Stage I	27.10.82	28.10.82	15.5	15.26	28.10.82	Recommended as proposed. Proposed expenditure seemed by Defence prior to public hearing and reflects a reduction in the scope of the police services building.
16.7.82	Development of the Military Area at Woodside, SA, for the 16th Air Defence Regiment	21.10.82 14/82	26.10.82	16.9	16.9	28.10.82	Recommended as proposed

Date Proposal Referred	Proposed Work	Date and Number of Report	Report Presented	Expenditure Report Proposed Presented When Committee	Expenditure Recommended by Committee	Motion for Expediency Passed	Remarks
19.8.82 *	Construction of an Engineering Training Centre, Pasadena, SA, for Telecom Australia	27.10.82 15/82	28.10.82	3.2	3.2	28.10.82	26.10.82 Recommended as proposed
23.9.82 *	Redevelopment of Fort Queens- cliff, Queenscliff, Victoria	10.11.82 16/82	10.11.82	8.3	8.3	8,12,82	8,12,82 Recommended as proposed
:	OUTSTANDING REFERENCES						
27.5.82	Garden Island, New South Wales Moderniastion Stage 2 - Surface Preparation and Pres- ervation Recility and Oily Bilge Waste Treatment Plant			5.5 (+Design Development of \$1.5M for all of Stage 2)	â		Awaiting further input from Department of Defence and Department of Transport & Construction following the Committee's public hearing
27.10.82*				12.850			on 4 August 1982
	Television School, North Ryde, NSW						6/7 December 1982. It was not possible for the
10.11.82*	Construction of Accommodation for the National Institute of Dramatic Art, Kensington, NSW			4.4			Committee to continue further with those references as the House of Representatives adjourned on 14 pecember 1982 for the summer weese

APPENDIX A	Remarks		Report yet to be presented	Report yet to be presented
	Motion for Expediency Passed			
	Expenditure Recommended by Committee	HS.		
0	Expenditure Report Proposed Presented Referred to Committee	H\$	4.1	2:2
rage 5	Report Presented			
	Date and Number of Report			
	Proposed Work		Provision of 106 Houses for Home Porting at HMAS Stirling, Western Australia	Provision of Air Conditioning to Main Instructional Building, Schools of Transport and Catering Puckapunyal, Victoria
	Date Proposal Referred		10.11.82*	14.12.82*

^{*} Referred through House of Representatives
** Referred through Executive Council
*** Referred through Senate

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

		Page 1	_				APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position		Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1977		æ	ā	ā.			
Modernisation of H.M.A. Mayal Dockyard (Stage 2), Williamstomn, Victoria.	29.11.76	24.0	Progressive Committal	29.8	Project composed of four phases: Project Project Project	mposed of four phases:- 131-49, Complete. 251-49, Mort commerced of September 1978. 251 Complete overall. 1510,000 252 Complete overall. 1510,000 252 Complete overall. 1510,000 252 Complete overall. 1510,000 252 Complete overall. 1510,000 253 Complete overall. 1510,000 253 Complete overall. 1510,000 253 Complete overall. 1510,000 253 Complete overall.	Une 1981 March 1983 January 1983
Law Courts Buildings, Alice Springs, Northern Territory (2/77)	7.2.77	3.2	5.9	3.1	Complete		September 1980
Davelopment of R.A.A.F. Base, Point Cook, Victoria (3/77)	18.4.77	5.6	Progressive Committal	2.7	Project composed of two stages:- Stage 1 (\$0.3m) Complete. <u>Stage 7</u> (\$1.6m) Complete.	wo stages:- ete.	December 1978 July 1980
Primary School at Katherine South, N.T. (4/77)	9.2.77	2.6	1	,	Responsibility for this project passed to the M.I. Government.	is project vernment.	ı

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981 AS AT DECEMBER 1982

		AS AI DECEMBER 1982	MBER 1982			
		Page 2	~			APPENDIX 8
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1977 (Cont'd)		. E	X.	ā.	**************************************	
Development of the Military Area at Randwick, M.S.W. (5/77)	7-8,6.77	13.0	Progressive 16.6 Committal	16.6	Project composed of two phases:- Phase A - (\$10.9M) Complete	Movember 1982
					Phase B (\$5.7a) The first component of this phase commenced for 11 1991. - 40% complete overall.	المار بالد الم
Development of R.A.A.F. Base, Estimaryh, S.A. (6/77)	14.7.77	7.7	Progressive 18.7 Committal	1 <u>8.7</u>	Project composed of two stages:- \$\frac{520g }{\$10.18}\$ Complete. 0 \$\frac{520g }{\$10.86}\$ Work commerced N \$\frac{520g }{\$10.86}\$ Complete overall.	October 1980 March 1983
Animal Quarantine Station, Hallgrove, N.S.M. (7/7)	9.6.77	4.6	4.6	7	Complete.	June 1980

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

Expected/Actual Completion Date September 1982 December 1980 APPENDIX B October 1980 March 1980 March 1984 May 1983 Phase 4 (\$1.3m)Awaiting program status. Phase 3 (\$4.2m) Work commenced July 1981. - 70% complete overall. Complete. Work commenced April 1979. Major components complete Landscaping to continue Phase 2 (\$4.7m) Complete. Project composed of four phases:-Phase 1 (\$1.5m) Complete Current Position Complete. Complete. Final Cost/ Current Estimate ă, 8.6 8.9 Progressive 11.7 Committal 5.4 Tender Estimate/ Price 8.0 돐 6.7 5.4 Page 3 Estimated Cost at Hearing 3, 8.9 7.3 8.3 5.4 17.10.77 8-9.8.77 23-24.6.77 27-28.9.77 Antarctic Division Headquarters and Hobart Regional Laboratory, Australian Government Amalytical Laboratories, Kingston, Tasmania (977) Development of Headquarters Operational Command, R.A.A.F. Glenbrook, N.S.W. (11/77) Analytical Laboratory, Pymble, M.S.W. (8/77) Project and number of report Beef Cattle Research Laboratory, Rockhampton, Qid. (10/77) Reports tabled in 1977 (Cont'd)

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC HORKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981

		AS AT DECEMBER 1982	MBER 1982			
		Page 4	*			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1978		ā	ā	æ		
Redevelopment of Airways Facilities Acelaide Airport, S.A. (1/78)	20-21.4.78	2.4	Progressive Committal	3.3	Complete	August 1982
Offshore High Security Ariaal Quarantine Station, West Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands - Review of fifth Report of 1973. (2/78) (See Note A below)	12.5.78	6.4	Progressive Committal	6.1	Complete.	October 1981
Mational Acoustics Laboratory and Ultrasonics Institute, Chaismood, M.S.M. (3778) (See Note B below)	12-13.6.78	12.0	Progressive 21.6 Committal	21.6	Phase 1 (\$0.7m) Complete. Phase 2 (\$20.2m) The main building contract was let in December 1990. - 3% complete overall.	August 1981 June 1984
High School, Mhulunbuy, M.T. (4/78)	15.6.78	3.15	1		Responsibility for this project passed to the N.T. Government.	ı

The completed cost is less than the estimate at the time of the hearing because economies have been effected during design and construction and problems expected due to the remokeness of the site did not arise. Note A:

Mote B: The apparent cost increase is due solely to cost indexation since April 1978.

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

		Page 5	ις.			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1978 (Cont'd)		Æ	ā	ā.		[
Development of Hilitary Area Stage 2, Enoggera, Qid. (5/78).	3.8.78	17.3	Progressive 22.5 Committal	22.5	Project composed of three phases:-	
					Phase A (\$7.1m) complete. Phase B (\$7.6m) Complete	January 1981 November 1982
					Phase C (\$7.8m) Construction commenced Hay 1981. - 90% complete overall.	May 1983
Development of Army Site Stage 1, Bonegilla, Vic. (6/78)	1.11.78	29.5	Progressive 40.8 Committal	40.8	Site clearing commenced October 1979. Since then 35 major building and engineering services contracts have been let with a total value of \$30.0m - 90% complete overall.	May 1983

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981

		AS AT DECEMBER 1982	MBER 1982			
		Page 6	9			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Ourrent Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1979		ā	ā	æ		
Morkshop, Menities Building and Services, Garden Island Dockyard, N.S.W. (1/79)	12-13.12.78	7.4	Progressive Committal	7.6	Construction commenced November 1979. - 99% complete overall.	March 1983
Defence Force Academy, ACT (2/79) (See Note C below)	28.4.78 14.12.78	52.8	Progressive Committal	89.7	Siteworks commenced in December April 1986 1990. Contract for the first building marks lat Catalan 2009	April 1986
Research Laboratory Complex for CSIRO's Division of Chemical Technology, Clayton, VIC (3/79)	10-11.4.79	9.1	10.4	14.07	- 10% complete overall. Complete	November 1982
Patrol Boat Base, Cairns, QLD (4/79) (See Note D below)	25-27.4.79	8.8	11.0	12.6	Work commenced February 1980.	February 1983
Relocation of Royal Australian Navy Research Laboratory (RANRL) from Rushcutter's Bay to Pyrmont, NSM	25.5.79	2.5	Progressive Committal	4.4	- 99% complete overall. Demolition completed. Main contract commenced April 1982 33% complete overall.	November 1983
New Terminal Complex, Coolangatta Airport, QLD (6/79)	3-4.7.79	9.4	5.5	. 5.9	. Complete.	June 1981

Obte C: The apparent cost increase is due solely to price indexation since February 1978.

The increase in the tender estimate over the estimate at the time of the hearing comprises \$0.6M design development and \$1.6M general rise in building costs. The subsequent increase is due to rise and fall provisions of contracts. Note D:

AS AT DECEMBER 1982 Page 7

APPENDIX B

Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tábled in 1979 (cont'd)		£	£	£		:
Darwin Patrol Boat Base, Larrakeyah, M.T. (7/79)	13.8.79	17.8	17.8	24.3	Work commenced with the main contract in April 1980. - 99% complete overall.	April 1983
Grop Adaptation Laboratory, Black Mountain, Acton, ACT (8/79)	3.9.79	2.8	2.4	3.5	Work commenced in February 1981. - 97% complete overall,	March 1983
Mational Biological Standards Laboratory (MSL) 10-11-9.79 and Australian Dertal Standards Laboratory (MSL). Sponstory (SQL) (S	10-11.9.79	26.0	•	43.5	Sitemorks commenced Movember 1980. Project deferred and balance of work deleted from program. Not yet reinstated.	
Redevelopment of Brisbane international Marryort - Initial Works of Phase 1 (10/79) (See Wote F below)	16-18.10.79 23.10.79 30-31.10.79	98.0	Progressive 122.3 Committal	122.3	Floodway and site drainage complete. Dredging/ reclamation is progress. Pavement works about to commence.	Mid 1986

The original June 1979 cost estimate reflected the competitive prices resulting from low activity in the local building industry. Price indexation over the intervening period together with a higher level of local building activity are solely responsible for the increased current estimate. There has been no change in the scope of work. Note E:

Original funds (1979 prices) reduced by Government to \$59.2m mid-1981. Funds reinstated Movember 1982 and increased to take account of cost movements/industry factors over the intervening period. Scope of work unchanged. Note F:

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC WARKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981 AS AT DECEMBER 1982

		Page 8	6			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Bates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1980		æ	£	£		
Replacement of Cranes, Cockatoo Island Dockyard, #.5.W. (1/80)	3-4.12.79	Ç	progressive committal	e.	Contract for main crane let July 1981. - 20% complete overall.	June 1984
(See More & Delow) Holsworthy Army Development Stage 2, N.S.W. (2/80)	28.3.80	10.3	progressive committal	13.7	Work commenced September 1980 - 90% complete overall.	Nay 1983
Rehabilitation of Radio Australia Facilities, Cox Peninsula, M.T. (3Pen Note H below)	17.3.80	10.0	progressive committal	2.4	Repairs to power supply commerced Getober 1980 and completed. Separate contracts let for restoration of jetty, buildings and services and new cable — 99% complete overall.	March 1983
Research Laboratory Complexes for CSIRO, by Invisions of Applied Organic Chemistry and Miterials Science, Clayton, Vic. (4/RO)(See Wote I below)	10-11.4.80	19.0	10.6	16.6	Materials Science Laboratory - Tenders to be invited Jan 83 Applied Organic Chemistry Lab - Awaiting Program Status	September 1985
Commonwealth Law Courts, Hobart, Tasmania (5/80)	7-8.5.80	8	5.6	9.5	Contracts for site excavation let January 1981. Building contract let December 1981 - 40% complete overall.	October 1994
Replacement of Operating Theatres and Surgical Maries at the Repatriation General Hospital, Hollymood, M.A. (6/80)	16-17.7.80	5.5		8.9	Contract for road and demolition works let Sept. 1982. Main contract let December 1982.	Movember 1984
Aircraft Maintenance Complex and Access Parceents, RAGE Base Darwin, M.T. (7/80)	15.7.80	5.	4.5	5.2	Mork on taximays commenced January 1981. Completed August 1981. Mork commenced on main contract June 1991.	July 1983

Note 6: The cost increase on this project reflects the difficult contracting market and industrial situation.

Mote II: Current estimate is for work by Department of Transport and Construction only. At the hearing the total estimate comprised \$6.7 M for <u>ridio tec</u>nnical works and \$3.3 M for work by Department of Transport and Construction.

Note 1: The Two Laboratory Complexes (Materials Science and Applied Organic Chemistry) being constructed separately.

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

İ		Page 9	6.			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Мен Hangar Complex, HMS Albatross, Norra, N.S.W. (8/80)	15.7.80	¥.9.	\$# progressive committal	¥.;	Initial work commenced June 1990. Stage 1 works completed Stage 2 of project deferred by Deferce periods by Deferce bending review of	December 1982
Wodernstein or Flate Rase and Dockyard, Garden Island, M.S.M., Stage I (9/80) (See Mote J below)	29-30.7,80	28.6	progressive committaj	40.8	To suit Defence operations, the October 1986 project is proceeding in a parkages fructivity some 50 or more contracts of which 4 will be major building contracts.	October 1986
Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility, RAAF Base, Richmond, M.S.W. (10/80)	25.8.80	6,7	progressive committal	11.0	First contract let JUNy 1981. Main tender let June 1982 - 7% complete overall.	September 1984
Lavarack Barracks Stage 2 Development, Tomnsville, Qld. (11/80)	25.8.80	0.0	progressive committal	7.2	Construction started May 1981. All contracts let. - 80% complete overall.	May 1983
Worfolk Island Aerodrome, Upgrading of Facilities. (12/80)	2-4.9.80 8.9.80	6.2	Progressive committal	2	First contract let August 1981 for supply of crushed rock. Ash rummay contract let March 1982 - 80% complete overall.	June 1983

Note J: The apparent cost increase is due to price indexation since March 1980.

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC MORKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981

		AS AT DECEMBER 1981	BER 1981			
		Page 10	0			APPENDIX B
Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
Reports tabled in 1983		. 3.	5.	5.		
Commonwealth Office Building, Townstille, Queensland (See Note K below)	11-12.2.81	8.7		11.6	Awaiting program status	
Development of RAAF Base – Learmonth, Western Australia (2/8)	24.2.81	3.8	3.8	Ţ	Construction started Sept 81 Main contract completed Sept 82 - 98% completed overall	February 1983
Provision of Army Housing at Townsville, Queensland (3/81)	14-15.4.81 25.5.81	5.35		5.4	Construction started Dec. 1981 - 97% complete overall	February 1983
CSIRO Marine Laboratories, at Hobart, Tasmania	21-22.5.81 9.7.81	10.75		11.84	Construction started June 1982 - 15% complete	December 1984
(4/81)						
Redevelopment of Australian Antarctic Bases (5/81) (See Note L below)	18-19.3.81 4-5.5.81	35.1	Pro- gressive Committal	45.1	Year 2 projects in progress	December 1990
Extension to international Terminal Facilities, Brisbane Airport Queensland (6/81)	18-19.6.81	2.8		2.88	Complete	October 1982

Note K: The estimated cost at hearing was based on August 1980 prices. The current estimate of \$11.6m is at Sept. 82 prices, the increase being due to price movements in the intervening period.

**Market_L: \$35.1m is estimated cost of works to be provided under appropriation controlled by DIC. Total estimated cost when examined by PMC was \$780.1m.

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

Page 11

APPENDIX B

Project and number of report	Hearing Dates	Estimated Cost at Hearing	Tender Estimate/ Price	Final Cost/ Current Estimate	Current Position	Expected/Actual Completion Date
		æ	ā	¥		
Garden Island, New South Wales, Modernfsation Stage 2 (7/81)	7-8.7.81	79.0		102.3	Phase A (\$25.8m) construction commenced December 1981. Phases B and C awaiting program status	January 1992
Armowred Centre Redevelopment, Pwckapunyal, Victoria (8/81)	10.8.81	12.0		13.65	One component let. Major contract to be let March 1903	December 1984
Redevelopment of Brisbane international Airport - Fwrther Bredging and Reclamation (See Note H below)	3-4.9.81 22.9.81	16.0		28.5	Construction started April 1962	October 1983
Construction of Morking, Living and Neva Facilities for Army and Mavy Personnel at Massonia, Victoria [16/81]	12.10.81 27.10.81	5.0	Progressive Committal	5.6	Construction started September 1962	August 1984
Upgrading of Airport Facilities for the Introduction of Domestic Wide-Body Aircraft (11/81)	19.10.81 3.12.81 27.1.82	23.3			Perth Airport (\$3.5m) construction started June 1982 - 50% complete. Adelaide Airport (\$10.4m) construction started May 1982 - 50% complete	October 1983 June 1983
Kew Air Traffic Services Centre and Control Tower, Perth Airport, Western Australia (12/81)	30.9.81	3.6	9.5	2	Air Traffic Services Centre: Construction started June 1982 - 60% completed overall Control Tower: construction to start in January 1984	July 1983 January 1985

Mote M: The original estimate was based on the then current rates of an existing contract. In the intervening period there were <u>substantial</u> price increases in the dredging industry, which resulted in a \$12.5M increase once the contract was negotiated. The final cost compares favourably with general market rates in the dredging industry

INFORMATION RE PROPOSALS REPORTED ON BY PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE 1977-1981

AS AT DECEMBER 1982

Page 12

APPENDIX B

- be stimated cost of proposals when referred to the Public Morks Committee is based on prices current at the time the estimate is and to the estimate is quoted to the Committee. In accordance with Department of Finance Policy, no provision is made for the escalation of building costs. GENERAL 1.
 - Costs have been rounded to one decimal point.
- Current estimates include the effects of changes which have occurred to award wages and material prices, but do not attempt to forecast future movements. e;
 - 4. The percentage completion shown for projects relates to physical progress.