



## **YARRALUMLA RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION**

**Submission to the House of Representatives Inquiry:  
Allocation of Land to Diplomatic Missions in the Australian  
Capital Territory**

**1 February 2013**

PO Box 7123, Yarralumla ACT 2600  
[info@YarralumlaResidents.org.au](mailto:info@YarralumlaResidents.org.au)

*Yarralumla Residents Association*

*PO Box 7123*

*Yarralumla ACT 2600*

Senator Louise Pratt  
Chair  
Joint Standing Committee on the National Capital and External Territories  
PO Box 6021  
Parliament House  
**Canberra ACT 2600**

Dear Senator Pratt,

**Submission to the House of Representatives Inquiry into the Allocation of Land to  
Diplomatic Missions in the Australian Capital Territory**

I am pleased to lodge this submission on behalf of the Yarralumla Residents Association (YRA).

The YRA addresses each of the Inquiry's terms of reference in the submission.

Our Association would welcome the opportunity to speak to this submission at the Inquiry's public hearings.

Yours sincerely,



Marea Fatseas  
President

## A. Executive Summary

The Yarralumla Residents Association suggests consideration of the following approaches to the allocation of land for diplomatic missions:

- Free up existing leased/reserved sites that have not been developed for diplomatic missions within timeframes required by the Protocol Guidelines of the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.
- Canvas existing diplomatic missions to see if they wish to dispose of leased land excess to their needs.
- Increase use of commercial office space for diplomatic missions.
- Resume the NCA's examination of the feasibility of the Canberra Brickworks surrounds as a possible diplomatic precinct.
- Examine the option of developing a diplomatic precinct in the new Molonglo suburbs.

It might be instructive for the Inquiry to consider the approaches to diplomatic land allocation being used in other countries, and their pros and cons compared to Australia's approach.

The NCA's proposed development of an Embassy precinct in Stirling Park is inconsistent with Walter Burley Griffin's Plan and the Griffin Legacy Amendments, and with the *Lake Burley Griffin and Adjacent Lands Heritage Management Plan*, which envisage natural woodland and a naturalistic foreshore.

Should any future diplomatic precinct be established in Yarralumla, the YRA would call for observation of the following principles, which our online survey indicates that Yarralumla residents support strongly:

- Minimise traffic congestion in the suburb
- Ensure there is adequate parking
- Maximise visual buffer between developments and existing residential areas
- Ensure that relevant government departments consult with the YRA on subdivision plans
- Minimise impact on existing trees
- Ensure adherence to advice from environmental experts on measures to minimise impact of development, including firebreaks and pedestrian access.
- Ensure continued easy pedestrian access to open green spaces, and pedestrian safety.

## **B. Comments under Inquiry Terms of Reference**

### **1. *The roles of the National Capital Authority, the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, the Government of the Australian Capital Territory (where applicable) and other relevant agencies.***

1.1 The allocation of responsibilities among the government and other relevant agencies is not a matter on which the YRA wishes to comment. There is, however, a deficiency in administration that the YRA has observed.

1.2 It is obvious that there is not full compliance with DFAT's guidelines for land allocation and development of diplomatic missions. DFAT's Protocol Guidelines ([http://www.dfat.gov.au/protocol/protocol\\_guidelines/13.html](http://www.dfat.gov.au/protocol/protocol_guidelines/13.html)) state that where a site has been allocated to a diplomatic mission for a lease period of 99 years there is "an obligation to start building within 18 months and to complete construction within 36 months from the date of commencement of the lease". This appears not to be enforced, because there are several Yarralumla sites allocated to specific nations where there has been no sign of development activity for periods well in excess of three years.

1.3 If responsible agencies are unable to enforce the current guidelines, what confidence can we have that the situation will be any different in a new diplomatic precinct? We could find once again that taxpayer funds are used to create the relevant infrastructure and then the blocks may lie undeveloped for many years.

1.4 It may be time to consider new approaches to meeting the needs of diplomatic missions, informed by approaches used by other similar countries. Certainly, it makes little sense in the current environment of tight fiscal restraint to expend more taxpayer funds developing infrastructure for new diplomatic estates when parts of the existing estates could be used more efficiently.

### **2. *Forecast levels of demand and supply***

#### **Supply**

2.1 The NCA's explanatory document on Draft Amendment 78 (Nov 2012) indicates that the current diplomatic estate in Canberra comprises 101 blocks of which 82 are either developed or reserved for development. Of the remaining 19 blocks, 15 have been deemed unsuitable for diplomatic use, due to easements, environment (protected grasslands), or terrain (steep and rocky). The document says that only four blocks within the diplomatic estate remain suitable and vacant for new missions.

2.2 These figures are inconsistent with the figures the NCA provided to the YRA at the end of 2012 on the 'status' of current diplomatic sites:

*Yarralumla:*

- Leased and developed blocks: 46*
- Leased and undeveloped blocks: 2*
- Reserved and undeveloped blocks: 2*

*Deakin West:*

- Leased and developed blocks: 10
- Leased and undeveloped blocks: 5 (2 have possible environmental constraints)
- Reserved and undeveloped blocks: 4 (all have possible environmental constraints)
- Vacant, potentially viable blocks: 2

*O'Malley Estate:*

- Leased and developed blocks: 1
- Reserved and undeveloped blocks: 3
- Vacant, potentially viable blocks: 12 (all have topographic and possible environmental constraints)
- Leased, undeveloped and viable blocks: 7

2.3 The above figures suggest there is potential supply of up to 19 blocks even after excluding the leased and developed blocks, and the blocks with possible topographic and/or environmental constraints. That does not even include any land that may become available if existing missions decide to offer up any unused portions of their land allocation in view of tough international economic conditions and the rapidly rising rents they are now paying<sup>1</sup>.

## **Demand**

2.4 The NCA says that 16 sites are currently required to meet short-term demand, including sites for countries that have formally expressed interest in obtaining a site, or have leased or reserved a site now deemed unsuitable. The NCA also indicates that DFAT anticipates a strong interest in establishing new diplomatic missions in Canberra reflecting Australia's growing profile internationally and as a member of the G20.

2.5 From our preliminary calculations using the figures provided above, it would seem that there may already be enough sites to accommodate the short term demand for 16 sites, particularly if some of the leased or reserved but undeveloped blocks could be brought back into the pool.

## **3. The suitability of current property types and other options to meet the different needs of diplomatic missions**

### **Current Property Types**

3.1 Current property types include:

- **Embassies in existing diplomatic precincts** – clearly, there are benefits for Embassies in being located in these precincts in terms of proximity to other missions and to the seat of Australian Government. From a security perspective, it does make it easier for the Australian Federal Police to patrol these areas. However, the current approach of allocating land in these precincts and then not enforcing DFAT timeframes for development of those sites leads to inefficient land use.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Sydney Morning Herald article by Leonie Wood, Government jacks up foreign embassies' rent, accessed on Internet on 25 January 2013 at <http://www.smh.com.au/national/government-jacks-up-foreign-embassies-rent-20120430-1xv4y.html>

- **Commercial office space** - YRA notes from the DFAT Protocol Guidelines that diplomatic missions may be located in commercial office buildings. Our analysis of DFAT's Diplomatic List (see Attachment 1) indicates that some Embassies already use commercial office space, for example, the Peruvian Embassy in Brisbane Avenue (Barton), the Argentine Embassy in National Circuit (Barton), Tunisian Embassy in London Circuit (City), and the Ukrainian Embassy in Marcus Clarke Street (City). There is no reason why future diplomatic missions could not use commercial office space in this way.
- **Diplomatic Missions in residential areas outside diplomatic precincts** – there also appear to be a few diplomatic missions located in residential areas. For example, the Holy See is in Vancouver Street in Red Hill, the Lebanese Embassy in Endeavour Street, Red Hill, and the Mongolian Embassy in Story Place, Isaacs (see Attachment 1).

3.2 In other words, there is already diversity in the property types used by diplomatic missions, and the YRA would encourage full use of that diversity.

## What do other countries do?

3.3 In assessing the suitability of property types and options to meet the different needs of diplomatic missions, it is useful to look at what other countries do.

3.4 For example, nations seeking to establish a diplomatic mission in Canada can buy or lease real estate in the private market for that purpose subject to the approval of the Canadian Government.<sup>2</sup>

3.5 It might be instructive for the Inquiry to consider the approaches being used in other countries, and their pros and cons compared to Australia's approach.

## Issues with Stirling Park as an option

3.6 Draft Amendment 78 to the National Capital Plan proposes the creation of a diplomatic precinct of up to seven blocks in Stirling Park, southwest of Stirling Ridge.

3.7 This proposed amendment appears to be inconsistent with Walter Burley Griffin's Plan and the Griffin Legacy Amendments, which show Stirling Park as open green space and natural woodland. Indeed, the colour photo of the Parliamentary Triangle and surrounds on the front page of *National Capital Amendment 56, The Griffin Legacy – Principles and Policies*, reproduced on the cover page of this submission, shows this very clearly.<sup>3</sup>

3.8 The proposed amendment also appears to be inconsistent with the *Lake Burley Griffin and Adjacent Lands Heritage Management Plan*, which was adopted by the NCA in 2009. That plan says that Westlake and its surrounds should conserve the naturalistic foreshore precincts. Two of its actions state:

<sup>2</sup> See the guidelines "Property: Acquisition, Disposition and Development of Real Property in Canada - By a foreign state / by a member of a foreign mission" at: [http://www.international.gc.ca/protocol-protocole/property-guide\\_lignes-directrices\\_immobiliers-082009.aspx?lang=eng&view=d#sect8](http://www.international.gc.ca/protocol-protocole/property-guide_lignes-directrices_immobiliers-082009.aspx?lang=eng&view=d#sect8) (downloaded on 23 January 2013).

<sup>3</sup> See the following, which was accessed on the Internet on 25 January 2013.  
[http://www.nationalcapital.gov.au/downloads/planning\\_and\\_urban\\_design/griffin\\_legacy\\_amendments/Amend%2056%20-%20Principles%20and%20Policies%20Dec06.pdf](http://www.nationalcapital.gov.au/downloads/planning_and_urban_design/griffin_legacy_amendments/Amend%2056%20-%20Principles%20and%20Policies%20Dec06.pdf)

**C7-1.1** Conserve the naturalistic, river-like form of Westlake, including its characteristic 'intimate' views of water and foreshore precincts (compared to the road vistas available in other parts of the lake).

**C7-1.3** Conserve and maintain the combination of natural and introduced vegetation on the foreshores of Westlake and retain its densely vegetated, 'naturalistic' setting in open space areas.<sup>4</sup>

3.9 There is also significant, but not universal, concern in the community about the proposed establishment of a diplomatic precinct adjacent to Stirling Ridge. This is evident from views expressed at the NCA community consultation on 13 November and responses to the YRA's online survey of its members.

3.10 The issues that 70% or more survey respondents rated as "extremely important" or "very important" were public access to green open space/Stirling Ridge, the environmental impact of the development, the visual impact, and the need for a buffer zone between the diplomatic precinct and residential area. The wide range of comments included:

- "YRA should be opposing any development in Stirling Park"
- "Stirling park and Attunga Point should become a Nature Reserve"
- "Canberra and especially Yarralumla have a lot of green spaces in the case of Yarralumla around the lake foreshore. Once this area is built on it is gone for future generations... We have a great area outside the brickworks that would work just as well for Embassy sites."
- "Any development should be limited in the number and size of embassy blocks. A major embassy would attract unwelcome traffic and be more likely to be out of context with the adjacent residential areas."
- "I think that Stirling Ridge is large enough to have room for some embassy development and think that the proposed development as put to the meeting on November 13 by NCA is a good idea .... However, if at a later date the NCA wishes to extend this development along Fitzgerald street to the Norwegian/Danish offices, then YRA could be concerned that the existing pedestrian access to Stirling ridge might be affected...."

3.11 The Friends of Grasslands (FOG) group has advised that it is inevitable that Embassy development (construction, weeds, fire control and recreational use) will spill over and degrade the high conservation value land on the Ridge.

3.12 The YRA pointed out in correspondence with the NCA in 2011 that residents are concerned about the narrow streets and lack of footpaths in Fitzgerald Street, which would lead to traffic and pedestrian safety issues if intensive Embassy development occurs directly opposite homes. There is concern about the potential disruption, both visually and in terms of amenity, of the continuous green belt from Yarralumla Bay oval through to Stirling Ridge, including if the diplomatic precinct is fenced off and floodlit at night for security purposes. There is also a strong community desire to protect the stand of pinoak trees in Fitzgerald Street.

---

<sup>4</sup> *Lake Burley Griffin and Adjacent Lands Heritage Management Plan*, page 45, a report prepared for the National Capital Authority, October 2009.

## **Option of Canberra Brickworks surrounds as a future Embassy precinct**

3.13 Besides Stirling Park, the NCA also commissioned a preliminary feasibility study of the area adjacent to the Brickworks as a possible site for Embassies. However, the land is owned by the ACT Government and so would require the purchase of that land, or a land swap, or other arrangement.

3.14 In its online survey, the YRA sought views of its members on the Brickworks surrounds as a possible future Embassy precinct. About 70 percent of respondents stated a preference for Embassies in that area and 18 percent preferred a residential precinct. Some of the respondents' comments were:

- “While I acknowledge that the NCA would have to purchase the brick works land, it would enable the development of a far greater number of embassy sites than Stirling Ridge. It would keep the majority of embassies in the Yarralumla area and, in my view, it would be a far better outcome for Yarralumla residents than having a medium/high density residential precinct on the site. I believe Stirling Ridge is a quick fix, lacks the strategic planning required to address the long term need for future embassies”
- “Perhaps a mix of residential, diplomatic and public amenity (tourist attractions in old kilns as previously)”
- “The residential plans put forward some time ago which provide for a buffer zone of trees and gardens and no access to Existing Yarralumla, all access being from Dunrossil Drive appeared to me to be a reasonable idea provided adequate green spaces are retained. Embassies could be planned with the same restrictions on access and careful structure.”

## ***4. Options for locations of future diplomatic estates***

4.1 In conclusion, the analysis in this submission suggests the following options for location of future diplomatic estates:

- Free up current sites that have not been developed within the required DFAT timeframes.
- Canvas existing diplomatic missions to see if they wish to dispose of land excess to their needs.
- Renew NCA consultation with the ACT Government on the Brickworks surrounds as a possible diplomatic precinct and, if the ACT Government is amenable, completion of the stage 2 feasibility study of that site. The YRA would reserve judgement, however, on the acceptability to residents of a diplomatic precinct there depending on the specific proposal put forward, adequate community consultation, and adherence to the principles (see below) that Yarralumla residents would like to be applied to any major development in the suburb.
- Consider approaches similar to those of other countries that leave it up to diplomatic missions to locate premises from the private property market. There seems to be an oversupply of office accommodation in the City, some of which could be refurbished to meet needs of diplomatic missions.
  - It could be possible to foreshadow such a change in arrangements well in advance, for example, by 2015.



- If the options above are not sufficient to meet long term need, examine the option of developing a diplomatic precinct in the new Molonglo suburbs.

4.2 If the Government were to decide to further develop sites in either Stirling Park or the Brickworks area, the YRA would call for observation of the following principles, which our online survey indicates that Yarralumla residents strongly support:

- Minimise traffic congestion in the suburb
- Ensure there is adequate parking
- Maximise visual buffer between developments and existing residential areas
- Ensure that relevant government departments consult with the YRA on subdivision plans
- Minimise impact on existing trees
- Ensure adherence to advice from environmental experts on measures to minimise impact of development, including fire breaks and pedestrian access.
- Ensure continued easy pedestrian access to open green spaces, and pedestrian safety.

**INDEPENDENT STATES AND THEIR  
REPRESENTATION IN AUSTRALIA**  
(Total count of independent states: 195)

<b>Names of Independent States in the World<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Embassy address in Canberra<sup>6</sup></b>
Afghanistan + <sup>7</sup>	4 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Albania +	Not in diplomatic list
Algeria +	29 Cobbadah Street, O'Malley
Andorra +	Not in diplomatic list
Angola +	Serviced by Embassy in Singapore
Antigua and Barbuda +	Not in diplomatic list
Argentina +	John McEwen House, level 2, 7 National Circuit, Barton
Armenia +	Not in diplomatic list
Austria +	12 Talbot Street, Forrest
Azerbaijan +	Not in diplomatic list
Bahamas, The +	Not in diplomatic list
Bahrain +	Not in diplomatic list
Bangladesh +	57 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Barbados +	Serviced by its High Commission in Canada
Belarus +	Serviced by its Embassy in Indonesia
Belgium +	19 Arkana Street, Yarralumla
Belize +	Not in diplomatic list
Benin +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Bhutan +	Serviced by its Embassy in Thailand
Bolivia +	Not in diplomatic list
Bosnia and Herzegovina +	5 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Botswana +	130 Denison Street, Deakin
Brazil +	19 Forster Crescent, Yarralumla
Brunei +	10 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Bulgaria +	29 Pindari Crescent, O'Malley
Burkina Faso +	Not in diplomatic list

<sup>5</sup> The source of this list of independent states in the world is *US Bureau of Intelligence and Research*, Washington, DC, dated 3 January 2012, accessed on 31 January 2013 at <http://www.state.gov/s/inr/rls/4250.htm>

<sup>6</sup> Address of diplomatic mission as indicated in the latest Diplomatic List issued by the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade in December 2012, and accessed in January 2013 at <http://www.dfat.gov.au/protocol/diplomaticlist.pdf>

<sup>7</sup> The symbol + signifies that the independent state is a member of the United Nations.

Burundi +	Not in diplomatic list
Cambodia +	5 Canterbury Crescent, Deakin
Cameroon +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Canada +	Commonwealth Avenue, Canberra
Cape Verde +	Not in diplomatic list
Central African Republic+	Not in diplomatic list
Chad +	Not in diplomatic list
Chile +	10 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
China +	15 Coronation Drive, Yarralumla
Colombia +	Level 2, 161 London Circuit, City
Comoros +	Not in diplomatic list
Congo (Brazzaville) +	Not in diplomatic list
Congo (Kinshasa) +	Not in diplomatic list
Costa Rica +	Not in diplomatic list
Côte d'Ivoire +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Croatia +	14 Jindalee Crescent, O'Malley
Cuba +	1 Gerogery Place, O'Malley
Cyprus +	30 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Czech Republic+	8 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Denmark +	15 Hunter Street, Yarralumla
Djibouti +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Dominica +	Not in diplomatic list
Dominican Republic +	Serviced by its Embassy in the UK
Ecuador +	6 Pindari Crescent, O'Malley
Egypt +	1 Darwin Avenue, Yarralumla
El Salvador +	3/110 Giles Street, Kingston
Equatorial Guinea +	Not in diplomatic list
Eritrea +	Not in diplomatic list
Estonia +	Serviced by its Foreign Ministry in Estonia
Ethiopia +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Fiji +	19 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Finland +	12 Darwin Avenue, Yarralumla
France +	6 Perth Avenue, Yarralumla
Gabon +	Not in diplomatic list
Gambia, The +	Serviced by its Embassy in Saudi Arabia
Georgia +	28 Kareelah Vista, O'Malley
Germany +	119 Empire Circuit, Yarralumla

Ghana +	52 Culgoa Circuit, O'malley
Greece +	9 Turrana Street, Yarralumla
Grenada +	Not in diplomatic list
Guatemala +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Guinea +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Guinea-Bissau+	Not in diplomatic list
Guyana +	Not in diplomatic list
Haiti +	Not in diplomatic list
Holy See	2 Vancouver Street, Red Hill
Honduras +	Not in diplomatic list
Hungary +	17 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Iceland +	Serviced by its Embassy in China
India +	3-5 Moonah Place, Yarralumla
Indonesia +	8 Darwin Avenue, Yarralumla
Iran +	25 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley (site reserved also in Yarralumla)
Iraq +	48 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Ireland +	20 Arkana Street, Yarralumla
Israel +	6 Turrana Street, Yarralumla
Italy +	12 Grey Street, Deakin
Jamaica +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Japan +	112 Empire Circuit, Yarralumla
Jordan +	17 Cobbadah Street, O'Malley
Kazakhstan +	Serviced by its Embassy in Singapore
Kenya +	43 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Kiribati +	Not in diplomatic list
Korea, North +	Serviced by its Embassy in Indonesia
Korea, South +	113 Empire Circuit, Yarralumla
Kosovo	Not in diplomatic list
Kuwait +	5 Callemonda Rise, O'Malley
Kyrgyzstan +	Not in diplomatic list
Laos +	1 Dalman Crescent, O'Malley
Latvia +	Serviced by its Embassy in Austria
Lebanon +	27 Endeavour Street, Red Hill
Lesotho +	Serviced by its Embassy in Malaysia
Liberia +	Not in diplomatic list
Libya +	50 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley

Liechtenstein +	Not in diplomatic list
Lithuania +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Luxembourg +	Not in diplomatic list
Macedonia+, former Yugoslav Republic of	25 Cobbadah Street, O'Malley
Madagascar +	Not in diplomatic list
Malawi +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Malaysia +	7 Perth Avenue, Yarralumla
Maldives +	Not in diplomatic list
Mali +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Malta +	38 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Marshall Islands+	Not in diplomatic list
Mauritania +	Serviced by its Embassy in the USA
Mauritius +	2 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Mexico +	14 Perth Avenue, Yarralumla
Micronesia, Federated States of +	Not in diplomatic list
Moldova +	Not in diplomatic list
Monaco +	Serviced by External Relations Department in Monaco
Mongolia +	9 Story Place, Isaacs
Montenegro +	Not in diplomatic list
Morocco	17 Terrigal Crescent, O'Malley
Mozambique +	Maputo
Myanmar +	22 Arkana Street, Yarralumla
Namibia +	Not in diplomatic list
Nauru +	Not in diplomatic list
Nepal +	22 Kareelah Vista, O'Malley
Netherlands +	120 Empire Circuit, Yarralumla
New Zealand +	Commonwealth Avenue
Nicaragua +	Not in diplomatic list
Niger +	Not in diplomatic list
Nigeria +	26 Guilfoyle Street, Yarralumla
Norway +	17 Hunter Street, Yarralumla
Oman +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Pakistan +	4 Timbarra Crescent, O'Malley (site reserved in Yarralumla)
Palau +	Not in diplomatic list
Panama +	Serviced by its Embassy in Singapore
Papua New Guinea +	39-41 Forster Crescent, Yarralumla
Paraguay +	39 Empire Circuit, Forrest

Peru +	40 Brisbane Avenue, Barton
Philippines +	1 Moonah Place, Yarralumla
Poland +	7 Turrana Street, Yarralumla
Portugal +	23 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Qatar +	P O Box 664, Woden ACT 2606
Romania +	4 Dalman Crescent, O'Malley
Russia +	78 Canberra Avenue, Griffith (site reserved in Yarralumla)
Rwanda +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Saint Kitts and Nevis +	Not in diplomatic list
Saint Lucia +	Not in diplomatic list
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines +	Not in diplomatic list
Samoa +	13 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
San Marino +	Not in diplomatic list
Sao Tome and Principe +	Not in diplomatic list
Saudi Arabia +	38 Guilfoyle Street, Yarralumla
Senegal +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Serbia +	4 Bulwarra Close, O'Malley
Seychelles +	Serviced by its Embassy in India
Sierra Leone +	Serviced by its Embassy in China
Singapore +	17 Forster Crescent, Yarralumla
Slovakia +	47 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Slovenia +	26 Akame Circuit, O'Malley
Solomon Islands +	1 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Somalia +	Not in diplomatic list
South Africa +	Corner State Circle and Rhodes Place, Yarralumla
South Sudan +	Not in diplomatic list
Spain +	15 Arkana Street, Yarralumla
Sri Lanka +	61 Hampton Circuit, Yarralumla
Sudan +	Serviced by its Embassy in Indonesia
Suriname +	Serviced by its Embassy in Indonesia
Swaziland +	Serviced by its Embassy in Malaysia
Sweden +	5 Turrana Street, Yarralumla
Switzerland +	7 Melbourne Avenue, Forrest
Syria +	Not in diplomatic list
Tajikistan +	Not in diplomatic list
Tanzania +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Thailand +	111 Empire Circuit, Yarralumla

Timor-Leste +	7 Beale Crescent, Deakin
Togo +	Not in diplomatic list
Tonga +	7 Newdegate Street, Deakin
Trinidad and Tobago +	Not in diplomatic list
Tunisia +	Level 5, 221 London Circuit, Canberra
Turkey +	6 Moonah Place, Yarralumla
Turkmenistan +	Not in diplomatic list
Tuvalu +	Not in diplomatic list
Uganda +	7 Dunoon Street, O'Malley
Ukraine +	Level 12, St George Centre, 60 Marcus Clarke St, City
United Arab Emirates +	12 Bulwarra Close, O'Malley
United Kingdom+	Commonwealth Avenue, Yarralumla
United States +	Moonah Place, Yarralumla
Uruguay +	24 Brisbane Avenue, Barton
Uzbekistan +	Serviced by its Embassy in Singapore
Vanuatu +	16 Thesiger Court, Deakin
Venezuela +	7 Culgoa Circuit, O'Malley
Vietnam +	6 Timbarra Crescent, O'Malley
Yemen +	Serviced by its Embassy in Indonesia
Zambia +	Serviced by its Embassy in Japan
Zimbabwe +	7 Timbarra Crescent, O'Malley

## OTHER

Name	Address of office in Canberra (NOT in Diplomatic List)
Taiwan <sup>8</sup>	Serviced by its Economic and Cultural Office, Unit 8, 40 Blackall Street, Barton

<sup>8</sup> See note 6 at <http://www.state.gov/s/inr/rls/4250.htm>. Claimed by both the Government of the People's Republic of China and the authorities on Taiwan. Administered by the authorities on Taiwan.