The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia

# Review of technological protection measures exceptions

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs

February 2006 Canberra © Commonwealth of Australia 2006

ISBN 0 642 78763 8 (printed version)

ISBN 0 642 78764 6 (HTML version)

### **Contents**

For	reword	ix
Ме	mbership of the Committee	xi
Ter	ms of reference	xiii
List	t of abbreviations	xiv
List	t of recommendations	. xvii
ΤH	E REPORT	
1	Introduction	1
	Background to the inquiry	1
	The Committee's inquiry and report	2
	Referral of the inquiry	2
	Conduct of the inquiry	2
	The Committee's approach	3
	The report	5
2	Overview: technological protection measures, copyright in Australia, the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, regulation in the United States, and region coding	7
	The nature of technological protection measures and related issues	
	TPM basics	
	TPMs under the <i>Copyright Act</i> 1968	
	The protection afforded to TPMs by anti-circumvention laws – copyright or 'übercopyright'?	

	Copyright regulation in Australia	12
	The regulatory environment	12
	Recent developments	14
	Current liabilities and exceptions relating to TPMs and circumvention	18
	Article 17.4.7 of the AUSFTA: interpretation and differences with the <i>Copyright Act</i> 1968	19
	Interpretation of Article 17.4.7	19
	Differences between Article 17.4.7 and the Copyright Act 1968	24
	The regulatory framework in the United States	28
	Relevant US legislation	28
	The US rule making process	31
	Region coding	32
	Region coding of electronic games	33
	Region coding of DVDs	36
	Conclusion	48
	The task ahead	49
3	The specified exceptions and the criteria for further exceptions  The specified exceptions in Article 17.4.7(e)(i) – (vii)	51
	Scope of Article 17.4.7(e)(vi)	
	Coverage of proposed exceptions by Article 17.4.7(e)(i) – (vii)	
	The criteria for further exceptions under Article 17.4.7 (e)(viii)	
	Non-infringing use of a work, performance, or phonogram	
	Particular class of works, performances, or phonograms	
	Credibly demonstrated actual or likely adverse impact on non-infringing uses  No impairment of the adequacy of legal protection or the effectiveness of legal remedies	70
	against circumvention of ETMs	82
	No device or service exception for Article 17.4.7(e)(v), (vii) and (viii) under Article 17.4.7(f)	86
	Possible solutions	87
	Conclusion	90
4	Exceptions proposed to the Committee	91
	Information technology	92

Circumvention for the investigation of copyright infringement	92
Circumvention for making back-up copies of computer programs	94
Circumvention for the reproduction or adaptation of computer programs for interoperability	95
Circumvention for the reproduction or adaptation of computer programs for error correction	า 96
Circumvention for interoperability between computer programs and data data	97
Circumvention for legitimate research into encryption, technical access, copy control	
measures, and other issues relating to computer security	98
Circumvention for the temporary copying of computer programs	100
Circumvention for compilations of lists of websites blocked by commercial filtering software	e. 101
Circumvention for tinkering, decompilation and exploitation of 'abandonware'	102
Parliament and government	103
Circumvention for the provision of copyright material to members of Parliament	103
Circumvention for the use of copyright material for the services of the Crown	105
Educational institutions	107
Circumvention for the reproduction and communication of copyright material by educational	al
and other institutions	107
Circumvention for those with a print disability and for institutions assisting those with a prin	nt
disability	109
Circumvention for access to copyright material pursuant to Parts VA and VB of the	
Copyright Act 1968	112
Circumvention for reformatting copyright material for cultural suitability	112
Circumvention for access to data owned by an educational institution but held by an	
application service provider	113
Circumvention for classroom performances of copyright material	113
Libraries, archives and cultural institutions	114
Circumvention for the reproduction and communication of copyright material for research	
and study purposes	114
Circumvention for the reproduction and communication of copyright material for other	
libraries, archives and cultural institutions	115
Circumvention for the reproduction and communication of copyright material for preservati	on
purposes	116
Circumvention for the temporary reproduction of digital material for exhibition and	
preservation purposes	118
Circumvention for fair dealing with copyright material by libraries and archives	119

	Broadcasting	119
	Circumvention for fair dealing with copyright material (and other actions) for criticism,	
	review, news reporting, judicial proceedings, and professional advice	120
	Circumvention for the inclusion of copyright material in broadcasts and the reproduction of	
	copyright material for broadcasting purposes	121
	Circumvention for the purposes of archival retention, maintenance and preservation of	
	cinematograph films and sound recordings	122
	Circumvention for use of copyright material under blanket licences	123
	Other exceptions	123
	Circumvention for access where a software or hardware TPM is obsolete, lost, damaged,	
	defective, malfunctioning, or unusable, and where support or a replacement TPM is not	
	provided	123
	Circumvention for access where a TPM interferes with or causes damage or a malfunction	
	to a product, or where circumvention is necessary to repair a product	125
	Circumvention for making back-up copies of copyright material other than computer	
	programs	126
	Circumvention for access to lawfully acquired or possessed copyright material	128
	Circumvention for format shifting copyright material	128
	Circumvention for the reproduction and communication of 'orphaned' works	129
	Circumvention for access to material not protected by copyright	130
	Circumvention for access to copyright material used under authorisation where TPMs have	<u>}</u>
	been installed	132
	Circumvention for access to copyright material which the copyright owner did not intend to	
	be protected by TPMs	
	Circumvention for access where TPMs are not related to copyright but are anti-competitive	
	in nature	134
	Exclusion or limitation of permitted exceptions by agreement	134
5	Future reviews	.137
	The US system	138
	Australia's obligations	139
	Duration of exceptions	139
	Who should conduct the review?	141
	How often should reviews be held?	144

Ad hoc reviews	
Wider review  Exceptions via primary legislation or through regulation?	
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: List of Submissions	149
Appendix B: List of Witnesses	153
Monday, 14 November 2005 – Sydney	153
Tuesday, 15 November 2005 – Melbourne	154
Monday, 28 November 2005 – Canberra	155
Monday, 5 December 2005 – Canberra	155
Appendix C: List of Exhibits	157
Appendix D: Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trace Agreement	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 3.1 Effect of Article 17.4.7(f)	53

## **Foreword**

Copyright is all about balancing competing interests – in particular, balancing the legitimate expectations of copyright owners that there should be appropriate copyright protection for material and the expectations of copyright users that there should be public access to material for legitimate public benefit reasons. Australia's system of copyright law is regarded as one of the fairest in the world, providing significant protections to copyright owners while allowing access to copyright material for specific purposes. Australia also has a well-established system of statutory licensing under which copyright owners are financially compensated for specific use of their material.

In the digital age, ever-increasing amounts of material are available in an expanding number of formats. The DVD player, home PC, MP3 music player, mobile phone, game platform and pay-TV system are features of many Australian homes. The need of copyright owners to protect their work in the digital context has seen the development of a range of technological protection measures (TPMs). These are recognised and protected by Australian domestic copyright law and, with the signing of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement (AUSFTA), Australia has given further undertakings to protect these TPMs from circumvention.

While the AUSFTA sets out for seven specific areas where circumvention of TPMs will be permitted, this Committee has been given the task of assessing whether any further exemptions should be considered by the Australian Government. The Committee was pleased to receive submissions from a wide range of groups and individuals on this quite technical subject and wishes to place on record its thanks to all who assisted the Committee in its deliberations. The Committee hopes that its findings will be of assistance to the Government as it works towards implementation of this component of the AUSFTA in the next 12 months.

I would like to thank all members of the Committee who gave of their time and expertise so generously in examining the issues raised during this inquiry. Many of the issues were highly technical and complex in nature, and Members applied themselves assiduously to understanding the issues raised. I would also like to thank the Committee Secretariat and Ms Kirsti Haipola from the Attorney-General's Department for their invaluable assistance during the course of the inquiry.

Hon Peter Slipper MP Chairman

# **Membership of the Committee**

Chair The Hon Peter Slipper MP

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Deputy Chair} & Mr John Murphy MP \\ \end{tabular}$ 

Members Mr Michael Ferguson MP

(from 09/02/2006)

Mrs Kay Hull MP

The Hon Duncan Kerr SC MP

Mr Daryl Melham MP

Ms Sophie Panopoulos MP

Ms Nicola Roxon MP

Mr Patrick Secker MP

Mr David Tollner MP

Mr Malcolm Turnbull MP

(to 09/02/2006)

## **Committee Secretariat**

Secretary Ms Joanne Towner

Inquiry Secretary Dr Nicholas Horne

Adviser Ms Kirsti Haipola

Administrative Officer Ms Kate Tremble

## **Terms of reference**

Chapter 17 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement deals with intellectual property rights. Article 17.4 stipulates the parties' obligations in relation to copyright.

Article 17.4.7 requires the Parties to create a liability scheme for certain activities relating to the circumvention of 'effective technological measures'. The Parties may introduce exceptions in the liability scheme as specified in Article 17.4.7(e)(i) to (vii) or pursuant to Article 17.4.7(e)(viii).

The Committee is to review whether Australia should include in the liability scheme any exceptions based on Article 17.4.7(e)(viii), in addition to the specific exceptions in Article 17.4.7(e)(i) to (vii). The Committee must ensure any proposed exception complies with Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) and 17.4.7(f).

Particular activities which the Committee may examine for this purpose include:

- (a) the activities of libraries, archives and other cultural institutions
- (b) the activities of educational and research institutions
- (c) the use of databases by researchers (in particular those contemplated by recommendation 28.3 of the Australian Law Reform Commission Report on Gene Patenting)
- (d) activities conducted by, or on behalf of, people with disabilities
- (e) the activities of open source software developers, and
- (f) activities conducted in relation to regional coding of digital technologies.

(Referred by the Attorney-General 19 July 2005)

## List of abbreviations

ADA/ Australian Digital Alliance

ALCC Australian Libraries' Copyright Committee

AFACT Australian Federation Against Copyright Theft

AFC Australian Film Commission

AGD Attorney-General's Department

AGLIN Australian Government Libraries Information Network

AIATSIS Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander

**Studies** 

ARIA Australian Record Industry Association

ATO Australian Tax Office

AUSFTA Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement

AVCC Australian Vice-Chancellors' Committee

AVSDA Australian Visual Software Distributors Association Ltd

BSAA Business Software Association of Australia

CAG Copyright Advisory Group to the Schools Resourcing Taskforce

of the Ministerial Council on Employment, Education Training

and Youth Affairs

CAL Copyright Agency Limited

CD Compact Disc

CSS Content Scrambling System

DCITA Department of Communications, Information Technology and

the Arts

DEST Department of Education, Science and Training

DFAT Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

DMCA Digital Millennium Copyright Act (US)

DPS Parliament of Australia, Department of Parliamentary Services

DVD Digital Versatile Disc

EFA Electronic Frontiers Australia

ETM Effective Technological Measure

FLAG Flexible Learning Advisory Group

IEAA Interactive Entertainment Association of Australia

IIPA International Intellectual Property Alliance

IPC Intellectual Property Committee, Business Law Section,

Law Council of Australia

JSCOT Joint Standing Committee on Treaties

NGA National Gallery of Australia

NLA National Library of Australia

OFLC Office of Film and Literature Classification

OSIA Open Source Industry Australia Ltd

QPL Parliament of Queensland, Parliamentary Library

RPC Region Playback Control

SISA Supporters of Interoperable Systems in Australia

STCG States and Territories Copyright Group

TAFE TAFE Libraries Australia

TPM Technological Protection Measure

USCO United States Copyright Office

WCT WIPO Copyright Treaty

WIPO World Intellectual Property Organisation

WPPT WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty

## List of recommendations

Overview: technological protection measures, copyright in Australia, the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, regulation in the United States, and region coding

#### Recommendation 1 (paragraph 2.21)

The Committee recommends that the balance between copyright owners and copyright users achieved by the *Copyright Act* 1968 should be maintained upon implementation of Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 2 (paragraph 2.61)

The Committee recommends that, in the legislation implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the definition of technological protection measure/effective technological measure clearly require a direct link between access control and copyright protection.

#### Recommendation 3 (paragraph 2.75)

The Committee recommends that, in the legislation implementing the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the Government ensure that access control measures should be related to the protection of copyright, rather than to the restriction of competition in markets for non-copyright goods and services.

#### Recommendation 4 (paragraph 2.139)

The Committee recommends that region coding TPMs be specifically excluded from the definition of 'effective technological measure' in the legislation implementing the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

Should the government include region coding TPMs within the definition of 'effective technological measure', the Committee recommends that exceptions proposed for region coding TPM circumvention under Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) be granted wherever the criteria for further exceptions under Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) are met.

#### 3 The specified exceptions and the criteria for further exceptions

#### Recommendation 5 (paragraph 3.19)

The Committee recommends that, in the implementing legislation, Article 17.4.7(e)(vi) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement should be interpreted so as to permit exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for the government activities identified by the Australian Tax Office and the Office of Film and Literature Classification at paragraphs 3.10 – 3.14 of this report.

#### Recommendation 6 (paragraph 3.32)

The Committee recommends that the exceptions specified in Article 17.4.7(e)(i), (iv) and (v) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement should be interpreted in the implementing legislation so as to permit exceptions to liability for the following TPM circumventions:

- Circumvention for reverse engineering of software for interoperability purposes;
- Circumvention for software installed involuntarily or without acceptance, or where the user has no awareness a TPM or no reasonable control over the presence of a TPM;
- Circumvention for security testing of software; and
- Circumvention for individual privacy online

examined at paragraphs 3.22 – 3.30 of this report.

#### Recommendation 7 (paragraph 3.34)

The Committee recommends that the form in the implementing legislation of the exceptions specified in Article 17.4.7(e)(i) – (vii) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement should not narrow their scope, as delineated by the Agreement text, in any way.

#### Recommendation 8 (paragraph 3.66)

The Committee recommends that the Government adopt the Committee's approach, set out in paragraphs 3.55 – 3.64 of this report, to the 'particular class of works, performances, or phonograms' criterion in Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement when preparing the implementing legislation.

#### Recommendation 9 (paragraph 3.98)

The Committee recommends that the Government adopt the Committee's approach, set out in paragraphs 3.87 – 3.96 of this report, to the credibly demonstrated actual or likely adverse impact criterion in Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement when preparing the implementing legislation.

#### Recommendation 10 (paragraph 3.116)

The Committee recommends that the Government adopt the Committee's approach, set out in paragraphs 3.109 – 3.114 of this report, to the non-impairment of legal protection or legal remedies criterion in Article 17.4.7(f) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement when preparing the implementing legislation.

#### Recommendation 11 (paragraph 3.125)

The Committee recommends that, as far as is possible within the confines of giving effect to the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the implementing legislation should clarify the term 'manufactures' in Article 17.4.7(a)(ii) in order to permit the non-commercial creation of circumvention devices for the purpose of utilising exceptions permitted under Article 17.4.7(e)(v), (vii) and (viii).

#### Recommendation 12 (paragraph 3.131)

The Committee recommends that the Government devise a workable and adequate solution to the flaw in Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement identified at paragraphs 3.117 – 3.119 of this report, for example a statutory licensing system or some other approval regime, to enable the proper exercise of exceptions under Article 17.4.7(e)(v), (vii) and (viii).

The Committee also recommends that the solution devised by the Government should be distinct from those identified at paragraphs 3.122 – 3.129 of this report.

#### 4 Exceptions proposed to the Committee

#### Recommendation 13 (paragraph 4.4)

The Committee recommends that, in the legislation implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the Government maintain the existing permitted purposes and exceptions in the *Copyright Act* 1968.

#### Recommendation 14 (paragraph 4.15)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exception to liability for TPM circumvention for the investigation of copyright infringement of licensed computer programs examined at paragraphs 4.7 – 4.14 of this report be included as a permitted exception in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

This exception should only be available upon the order of a court where the court is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for the investigation.

#### Recommendation 15 (paragraph 4.43)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- Making back-up copies of computer programs;
- The reproduction or adaptation of computer programs for interoperability between computer programs;
- The reproduction or adaptation of computer programs for correcting errors in computer programs; and
- Interoperability between computer programs and data

examined at paragraphs 4.16 – 4.42 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 16 (paragraph 4.51)

The Committee recommends that the Government monitor the potential adverse impact of threats of legal action being made against legitimate researchers in Australia conducting research into encryption, access, copy control measures, and other issues relating to computer security.

#### Recommendation 17 (paragraph 4.66)

The Committee recommends that the Government monitor the potential adverse impact in Australia of compilations of lists of websites being blocked by commercial filtering software.

#### Recommendation 18 (paragraph 4.74)

The Committee recommends that, should the tinkering, decompilation and exploitation of 'abandonware' become a non-infringing act in future, the Government investigate the appropriateness of introducing a corresponding TPM exception under the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

The Committee would also support any moves to render the use of 'orphaned' works non-infringing under the *Copyright Act* 1968.

#### Recommendation 19 (paragraph 4.89)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- The provision of copyright material to members of Parliament; and
- The use of copyright material for the services of the Crown

examined at paragraphs 4.75 – 4.86 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 20 (paragraph 4.90)

The Committee recommends that the Government ensure that the exception permitted for the use of copyright material for the services of the Crown integrates smoothly with the scope of the exception in Article 17.4.7(e)(vi) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, and that the coverage provided by both exceptions is sufficient for the full range of government activity.

#### Recommendation 21 (paragraph 4.99)

The Committee recommends that, if any activities for assisting students with disabilities outside of Part VB of the *Copyright Act* 1968 become non-infringing in future and satisfy Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) and (f) of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the Government investigate the appropriateness of introducing a corresponding TPM circumvention exception for these activities.

#### Recommendation 22 (paragraph 4.107)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- The reproduction and communication of copyright material by educational and other institutions; and
- Those with a print disability and for the reproduction and communication of copyright material by institutions assisting those with a print disability

examined at paragraphs 4.91 – 4.105 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 23 (paragraph 4.109)

The Committee recommends that the Government examine the issue of the classification of devices used as accessibility aids by or for those with a print disability with a view to exempting such devices from the TPM liability scheme.

#### Recommendation 24 (paragraph 4.111)

The Committee recommends that, pending the outcome of its fair dealing review, the Government examine the adequacy of s.40 of the *Copyright Act* 1968 as a mechanism for those with a print disability and consider implementing a provision specifically allowing for the reproduction and communication of copyright material for private use by those with a print disability.

#### Recommendation 25 (paragraph 4.144)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- The reproduction and communication of copyright material by libraries, archives and cultural institutions for research and study purposes;
- The reproduction and communication of copyright material by libraries, archives and cultural institutions for other libraries, archives and cultural institutions; and
- The reproduction and communication of copyright material by libraries, archives and cultural institutions for preservation purposes

examined at paragraphs 4.126 – 4.143 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 26 (paragraph 4.152)

The Committee recommends that, in advance of the implementation of Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement, the Government consult with the National Gallery of Australia and any other relevant institutions to identify an appropriate exception for TPM circumvention for the temporary reproduction of digital material for exhibition and preservation purposes.

#### Recommendation 27 (paragraph 4.169)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- Fair dealing with copyright material (and other actions) for criticism, review, news reporting, judicial proceedings, and professional advice; and
- The inclusion of copyright material in broadcasts and the reproduction of copyright material for broadcasting purposes

examined at paragraphs 4.157 – 4.168 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 28 (paragraph 4.190)

The Committee recommends that the proposed exceptions to liability for TPM circumvention for:

- Access where a software or hardware TPM is obsolete, lost, damaged, defective, malfunctioning, or unusable, and where support or a replacement TPM is not provided; and
- Access where a TPM interferes with or causes damage or a malfunction to a product, or where circumvention is necessary to repair a product

examined at paragraphs 4.175 – 4.188 of this report be included as permitted exceptions in the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 29 (paragraph 4.198)

The Committee recommends that, should the act of making back-up copies of copyright material other than computer programs become a non-infringing act in future, the Government investigate the appropriateness of introducing a corresponding TPM exception under the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

The Committee would also support any moves to render the making of back-up copies of copyright material other than computer programs non-infringing under the *Copyright Act* 1968.

#### Recommendation 30 (paragraph 4.204)

The Committee recommends that, should the format shifting of copyright material become a non-infringing act in future, the Government investigate the appropriateness of introducing a corresponding TPM exception under the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

The Committee would also support any moves to render the format shifting of copyright material non-infringing under the *Copyright Act* 1968.

#### Recommendation 31 (paragraph 4.212)

The Committee recommends that, should the reproduction and communication of 'orphaned' copyright material become a non-infringing act in future, the Government investigate the appropriateness of introducing a corresponding TPM exception under the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement.

#### Recommendation 32 (paragraph 4.217)

The Committee recommends that the Government develop an exception under the scheme implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement to allow for circumvention of TPMs for access to mixed works consisting of both copyright material and non-copyright material where the amount of non-copyright material in the work is substantial.

#### Recommendation 33 (paragraph 4.239)

The Committee recommends that the legislation implementing Article 17.4.7 of the Australia-United States Free Trade Agreement should nullify any agreements purporting to exclude or limit the application of permitted exceptions under the liability scheme.

#### 5 Future reviews

#### Recommendation 34 (paragraph 5.27)

The Committee recommends that future administrative reviews required under Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) be conducted by the Attorney-General's Department.

#### Recommendation 35 (paragraph 5.37)

The Committee recommends that the Attorney-General consider *ad hoc* requests for exceptions under the TPM liability scheme according to a statutorily defined process.

#### Recommendation 36 (paragraph 5.41)

The Committee recommends that existing and proposed exceptions be reviewed every four years through a statutorily defined, public administrative review conducted by the Attorney-General's Department.

#### Recommendation 37 (paragraph 5.47)

The Committee recommends that any exceptions to the liability regime under Article 17.4.7(e)(viii) should be promulgated as subordinate legislation, rather than through amendments to the *Copyright Act* 1968.