	110
Submission No.	162

Page 1 of 1

Wilson, Frances (REPS) Y:

From: Marianne Pegoli [marianne@galeprufeconstructions.com.au]

Sent: Friday, 9 July 2004 1:39 PM **To:** Committee, LACA (REPS)

Dear Gillian,

Re: Bankruptcy Legislation Amendment (Anti Avoidance and Other Measures) Bill 2004

I conduct a business enterprise and oppose the abovementioned Bill, as drafted, on the basis that it is unfair for business proprietors and contains unintended consequences. It:

- introduces retrospective legislation
- reverses the burden of proof to one of rebuttal presumption
- adversely impacts, not only high income, fee for service professionals, but company directors and many small business people and retirees
- disencourages corporate leadership, enterprise and employment
- conflicts with settled law and other public policy objectives
- seeks to address a problem which is more appropriately dealt with by other means
- results in the all above adverse impacts for the limited purpose of addressing the problems of the ATO in collecting tax from a very small number of professionals.

We look forward to your response in relation to this submission.

Yours sincerely.

Marianne Pegoli

Company Secretary

This email message and any accompanying attachments may contain information that is confidential and is subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message or attachments. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message. Any views expressed in this message are not to be used, distributed or copied without the written authority of the sender. Before opening any attachments, please check them for viruses and defects.