The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia	

Funding regional and local community infrastructure

Principles for the development of a regional and local community infrastructure funding program

Final Report

House of Representatives

Standing Committee on Infrastructure Transport Regional Development and Local Government

June 2009 Canberra © Commonwealth of Australia 2009 ISBN 978-0-642-79180-1 (Printed version)

ISBN 978-0-642-79181-8 (HTML version)

Contents

For	reword	٠١
Me	embership of the Committee	vi
Ter	rms of reference	iλ
Lis	t of abbreviations	X
Lis	t of recommendations	xii
1	Funding regional and local community infrastructure	1
	Introduction	1
	Looking forward	2
	Regional grant funding—United Kingdom, United States & Canada	5
	Partnership funding	
	RLCIP eligibility	7
	Funding streams	8
	The application process	ç
	Expressions of interest	10
	Application assistance	11
	Conclusion	12
2	Program availability	13
	What types of projects should be funded by the program?	13
	Who should be eligible to apply?	14
	How should funds be made available?	16
	Conclusion	18

3	Accessibility	19
	Does the application process need to be so complex?	19
	How can we help?	21
	Conclusion	24
4	Accountability	25
	How do you make a new program more accountable?	25
	A more accountable assessment process	26
	Holding final decision makers to account	26
	Ensuring project success	28
	Conclusion	30
Dis	ssenting comments	31
	Mr Paul Neville MP	31
Аp	pendix A – List of Submissions	43
Ар	pendix B – List of Exhibits	53
Ар	pendix C – List of Witnesses & Public Hearings	57

Foreword

In the period between the Committee's interim report and its final report, infrastructure spending has continued to be a cornerstone of the Government's agenda and a vital response to the global financial crisis (GFC). This Committee supports continued investment in the nation's infrastructure, in times of crisis, recovery and growth, but it is particularly interested in ensuring that regional Australia continues to see investment in community infrastructure by the Commonwealth Government.

Substantial funds have begun to flow from Federal to Local Government through the Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program (RLCIP), resulting in increased community infrastructure building activities in the regions; however, the Committee encourages the Commonwealth Government in this report to develop and maintain an ongoing funding program for regional and local community infrastructure. In doing so, the Committee also flags the importance of ensuring that non-profit organisations receive funding through such a program.

This report does not revisit each one of the interim report recommendations in detail. Rather, it places the Committee's previous recommendations within the context of some overarching principles which the Committee believes are fundamental to the development of any new regional and local community infrastructure funding program. These principles have been grouped under three headings: availability, accessibility and accountability.

Availability refers to a set of program guidelines which clearly establish what types of projects will be funded, who is eligible for funding and how the funds will be distributed. The principle of accessibility focuses on developing a simple, streamlined application process supported by application development assistance. Accountability stresses the importance of ensuring that decisions made throughout the funding process are well documented and can be adequately explained.

Three of the five recommendations in this report are focused on these principles. The Committee recommends that the Government consider the need for clarity and simplicity when structuring program guidelines that address an application's eligibility and the manner in which an application will be assessed and funds awarded. It recommends the creation of an accessible program which provides useful information through multiple sources and an application process which stresses personal support for the applicant. The Committee concludes with a recommendation supporting increased program accountability through the employment of a centralised assessment process administered with appropriate resources. This would be supplemented by an acquittal process which utilises well-structured funding agreements, where expenditure is based on a thorough examination of project milestones.

The speed in which the GFC hit Australia also impacted this Committee's deliberations into the development of regional infrastructure funding programs. As such, the Committee issued an interim report with specific recommendations intended to assist the Government as it responded to the crisis. The final report has afforded the Committee the opportunity to reflect further on the evidence it received during this inquiry and make some recommendations of a general and principled nature. When considered together, the Committee expects that both reports will assist the Government to develop an on-going regional infrastructure funding program that meets the needs and expectations of the regions.

Once again, I would like to thank all those who participated in this inquiry. The level of participation and assistance provided to this Committee by regional Australia has been exceptional.

Ms Catherine King MP Chair

Membership of the Committee

Chair Ms Catherine King MP

Deputy Chair Mr Paul Neville MP

Members Mr Tony Windsor MP (from 28/05/08) Mr Darren Cheeseman MP

Ms Jodie Campbell MP Mr Jon Sullivan MP

Mr Jason Clare MP Hon Dr Sharman Stone MP (until

10/11/08)

Mrs Sophie Mirabella MP (until 10/11/08) Mrs Joanna Gash MP

Mr Brett Raguse MP Hon Andrew Robb AO, MP (from

10/11/08)

Mr Don Randall MP (from 10/11/08)

Committee Secretariat

Secretary Mr Peter Keele

Inquiry Secretary Mr Michael Crawford

Administrative Officer Ms Kane Moir

Terms of reference

The Committee is to report on the Australian National Audit Office's Performance Audit of the Regional Partnerships Program and make recommendations on ways to invest funding in genuine regional economic development and community infrastructure with the aim of enhancing the sustainability and livability of Australia's regions.

The Committee's report is to:

- 1. Provide advice on future funding of regional programs in order to invest in genuine and accountable community infrastructure projects;
- 2. Examine ways to minimise administrative costs and duplication for taxpayers;
- 3. Examine the former government's practices and grants outlined in the Australian National Audit Office report on Regional Partnerships with the aim of providing advice on future funding of regional programs; and
- 4. Examine the former government's practices and grants in the Regional Partnerships Program after the audit period of 2003-2006 with the aim of providing advice on future funding of regional programs.

List of abbreviations

ACC Area Consultative Committee

ANAO Australian National Audit Office

BCF-CC Building Canada Fund - Communities Component

CIF Community Infrastructure Fund

DITRDLG Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development

and Local Government

EDA Economic Development Administration

EOI Expression of Interest

FMA Financial Management and Accountability Regulations 1997

GFC Global Financial Crisis

RDA Regional Development Australia

RLCIP Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program

RPP Regional Partnerships Programme

UK United Kingdom

US United States

List of recommendations

Recommendation 1

The Committee recommends that the Government replace the Regional Partnerships Programme with a new program designed to provide ongoing funding support for regional and local community infrastructure.

Recommendation 2

The Committee recommends that the Government examine RLCIP applications received from local government and quantify the amount of funding which is being allocated to non-profit organisations.

Recommendation 3

The Committee recommends that the Government, in establishing a new regional infrastructure funding program, consider the need for clarity and simplicity when structuring guidelines that address an application's eligibility and the manner in which it will be assessed and funds awarded.

Recommendation 4

The Committee recommends that the Government, in establishing a new regional infrastructure funding program, considers the needs of program applicants and ensures that the program is accessible by providing useful information through a variety of sources and access to an application development process which places an emphasis on personal support provided by knowledgeable staff.

Recommendation 5

The Committee recommends that the Government, in establishing a new regional infrastructure funding program, ensure that in addition to changes which have already occurred, a new funding program should employ:

- a centralised assessment process administered with the appropriate resources; and
- an acquittal process utilising well-structured funding agreements, where expenditure is based on a thorough examination of each milestone.