

Submission No: 1361

Date Received: 28-7-03

I believe that joint custody should be the starting point in all divorce proceedings.

I have 13 years bad experience with the FCA and CSA to prove it.

Sure, there are situations where joint custody is inappropriate, like paedophilia, violence against the children or geographically impossible sharing. But, if shared custody was the starting point in all cases, it would remove the legal conspiracy from the equation and not have legal conspiracy scum put ideas into mothers heads that they can get a cushy lifestyle and never have to work again, where the father pays everything through the CSA "for ever", if they seek custody.

It would also eliminate 39% of CSA case fathers from the dole, around 400,000 fathers!

Of course this will be challenged by the legal conspiracy scum, as they will become redundant in most cases - pity, all those years at university and no Mercedes or BMW at the end of it - our hearts bleed!

It will also stop the hated FCA's Alistair Nicholson from quoting false data into the media such as only 6% of cases are contested, when he knows only too well that most cases settle by consent because of his legal conspiracy friends needs for costs and where fathers are told it will cost \$50,000 to contest custody and in the end the Family Court will give custody to the woman.

So there you have it - a sensible idea that will work.

Fathers will get access to children, mothers will be asked to stop leaning on the Australian Taxpayer for family benefits (and be asked to get a job after divorce), the Child Support Agency will be able to function as only a collection agency when mothers really do have custody, the Family Court won't be tied up with Legal Conspiracy scum out to get all the money for their Mercedes in one weeks work, and all legal conspiracy scum will be sidelined to earn a living in the real world.

Only positives and everyone wins - what's wrong with that?

Peter Parkinson Kangaroo Point Brisbane

[REDACTED]