SUBMISSION 2



GE

Level 20, 99 Walker Street North Sydney NSW 2060 Australia

T 02 8249 3750 F 02 8249 3785

22 February 2013

Committee Secretary
Standing Committee on Economics
PO Box 6021
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600

Via email: economics.reps@aph.gov.au

Dear Sir

<u>Tax Laws Amendment (Countering Tax Avoidance and Multinational Profit Shifting) Bill</u> 2013 ("the Bill")

General Electric (GE) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Bill and Explanatory Memorandum (EM) of the proposed new transfer pricing rules.

We recognise that a number of submissions will be made by the tax profession and industry bodies in respect of this matter. However, given our particular interest in this matter and our previous discussions with Treasury, we thought it was appropriate to make our own submission.

We support the Government's policy of aligning Australia's transfer pricing rules with OECD guidance. We also acknowledge that the Bill more closely aligns with OECD principles than the previous exposure draft of the provisions. Our comments are as follows:

Consistency with OECD Guidelines

There are certain provisions in the Bill that leave uncertainty over whether the Australian transfer pricing rules will be consistent with OECD Guidelines. In particular, Section 815-130(4) does not appear to have an equivalent in the OECD Guidelines.

The policy intent of the legislation could be achieved by incorporating the OECD Guidelines directly into the legislation rather than drafting unique stand-alone provisions. Using language in the Bill that is not the same as the language in the OECD Guidelines could lead to differences in interpretation, despite the intention that the new transfer pricing measures be consistent with the OECD Guidelines.

SUBMISSION 2

Time Limits

We commend the introduction of a time limit for amending assessments. However, we believe that consistent with the amendment period that applies for general income tax assessments, four years is an appropriate period, rather than the proposed seven years. The EM does not provide any justification for a seven year period. Given the substantial annual disclosure that companies such as GE now make in the international dealings schedule in respect of transfer pricing, we do not consider an extended period of seven years is justified.

Documentation, penalties and RAP threshold

As noted in our submission to Treasury, we do not see any reason why the threshold for establishing a RAP should be higher for transfer pricing than for other areas of tax law.

The EM does not explain why the Government considers it necessary to introduce stricter requirements for establishing a RAP for transfer pricing than for other tax matters. The proposed requirements will create an unreasonable compliance burden that goes beyond OECD recommendations. The OECD Guidelines state that "it would be quite burdensome if detailed documentation were required at [the time of preparing the tax return] on all cross-border transactions between associated enterprises".

We would be please to meet and discuss these important matters with you at any time. You can contact our Tax Director Chris Vanderkley on (03) 9921 6513.

Yours sincerely

Steve Sargent

President and Chief Executive Officer

GE Australia and New Zealand