

Dear Senators,

I thank you for making inquiry into the Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012. The Victorian experience of such a bill highlights the serious dangers attendant to such legislation.

I make the following submissions in relation to this Bill.

1. The Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012 offends the Australian Constitution and our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second to whom all office bearers within the Commonwealth of Australia must swear their allegiance. The Commonwealth of Australia exists upon the legal and formal document of the Australian Constitution and that instrument specifically identifies two parties external to the citizens of Australia who are given superior standing by every Australian. Those two parties are "Almighty God" and our Queen. Thus the Commonwealth of Australia exists as a document which discriminates on the basis of religion and position. Further to this the Coronation Oath taken by our Queen, who is the bearer of the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland subscribed to in our Constitution, clearly shows her as submitted to Almighty God, calling upon Him to help her maintain her oath. It has been over six decades since the world watched the Coronation of our Queen and consequently many have forgotten her words and actions in swearing "So help me God" and kissing the pages of the Holy Bible, as essential acts upon which she gained right to bear the Crown. Faded memories have not erased the reality of our existence. Australia exists today as the Commonwealth of Australia defined in the Australian Constitution, with the people "humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God" and subject to a Queen who has submitted herself to God. Our Queen is further bound by her Coronation Oath to maintain the Protestant Reformed Religion. Thus the passing of any Act which makes the Queen's performance of her Coronation Oath into an illegal act of discrimination is reprehensible as an Act and an offence upon the common sense of the people, not to mention its treasonous qualities.

2. The Bill offends Conscience and Freedom of Speech and Action. Every free man is entitled to act according to conscience, bound only by the laws of God for the protection of person and property and holding one to their word. Free men have spoken out against all manner of issues, standing against evil, as well as standing for their own absurd ideas. If they cannot do so, in a peaceful manner, then they are not FREE MEN, but slaves. Free men also have full right to protect themselves, their families, their businesses, their communities and their values from others who wish to attack or limit those things. Any Act that makes it illegal to speak one's mind, stand up for one's values, act according to one's conscience, expose their beliefs to others, challenge and call into question the beliefs and actions of others, or similarly express their right to make distinctions is obnoxious to freedom and can only be conceived by those who do not wish to allow free men to remain free.

3. The Higher Law in Australia, being the Law of God as given to us in the Holy Bible, teaches us to discriminate and make differentiation on many points. Legislation which denies

us our God-given responsibility to discriminate is offensive to the Australian Constitution and to our Queen for attacking the very Almighty God and Holy Bible which undergird both our Constitution and our Queen.

I should not need to explain the place of the Holy Bible as higher law within Australia, since our Queen has committed herself to it and all office bearers in Australia must be sworn to her, thus bringing themselves under her Coronation Oath.

However, to add weight to the claim consider the determination given in Ex parte Thackeray in 1874.

Ex parte Thackeray (1874 13 S.C.R. (N.S.W.))

QUOTE

“We, the colonists of New South Wales, ‘bring out with us’ (to adopt the words of Blackstone) this first great common law maxim distinctly handed down by Coke and Blackstone and every other English Judge long before any of our colonies were in legal existence or even thought of, that **‘Christianity is part and parcel of our general laws’**; and that all **the revealed or divine law, so far as enacted by the Holy Scriptures to be of universal obligation, is part of our colonial law** – as clearly explained by Blackstone Vol. 1 pp. 42,43; and Vol. 4 pp. 43-60.”

END QUOTE (emphasis added)

Note then these texts from the Holy Bible.

“And that ye may **put difference between holy and unholy, and between unclean and clean**” Leviticus 10:10.

“Her priests have violated my law, and have profaned mine holy things: they have **put no difference between the holy and profane**, neither have they **shewed difference between the unclean and the clean**, and have hid their eyes from my sabbaths, and I am profaned among them.” Ezekiel 22:26

“And they shall **teach my people the difference between the holy and profane**, and cause them to **discern between the unclean and the clean.**” Ezekiel 44:23

Further to this, note the words of the Apostle Paul in instructing that people are to be rebuked and exhorted.

“Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; **reprove, rebuke, exhort** with all longsuffering and doctrine.” 2Timothy 4:2

“These things **speak, and exhort, and rebuke with all authority.** Let no man despise thee.” Titus 2:15

These instructions from the Holy Bible, which book our Queen did kiss and commit herself to, expressing truths upheld by the Protestant Reformed Religion which our Queen did bind herself to uphold and defend, clearly involve people engaging in Discrimination and having Freedom of Speech to not only express their opinions but to actively rebuke those who hold different worldview, lifestyle, values or whatever.

Thus the Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012 offends the Higher Law within Australia and is therefore UN-AUSTRALIAN and unworthy of an elected government within this land. The Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012 must not be allowed to stand if it in any way offends our Constitution, our Queen and her Coronation Oath or the Holy Bible which is the “divine law” undergirding all our laws.

4. The Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012 overturns natural justice and the principles of divine law by imposing the burden of proof upon the accused not the accuser.

By prescribing the burden of proof upon the accused and not the accuser this Bill fails to have jurisdiction and is void.

Justice is provided within Australia under the principle of Royal Prerogative. That is to say that it is the Crown that provides each of our Courts with jurisdiction. And thus all Courts must operate by the mandate and provisions which impinge upon the Crown itself, otherwise they void their very jurisdiction.

Halsbury's Laws of England (3rd Ed) Volume 8 Constitutional law, The Royal Prerogative

QUOTE

(5) THE CROWN IN RELATION TO THE LAW

(i.) The Crown as the Source of all Jurisdiction

943 **Sovereign as the source of all justice.** By virtue of the prerogative **the Sovereign is the source and fountain of justice and all jurisdiction derives from her.** Hence in legal contemplation, **the Sovereign's Majesty is deemed always to be present in court,** and by the terms of **the coronation oath,** and by the maxims of **the common law** as also by **the ancient charters and statutes** confirming the liberties of the subject, **the Sovereign is bound to cause law and justice in mercy to be administered in all judgments.** This is however a purely impersonal conception, for the Sovereign cannot personally execute any office relating to the administration of justice nor effect an arrest and though all criminal suits must be brought in the Sovereign's name, she could not be non-suited either in criminal or civil proceedings.

END QUOTE (emphasis added)

Any law that must be upheld by the Australian Courts must also, by definition of its source of Jurisdiction, be consistent with "the coronation oath", "the maxims of common law" and "the ancient charters and statutes confirming the liberties of the subject".

The common law maxim of "innocent until proven guilty" MUST be maintained in Australian law, or it is not law at all, void of all jurisdiction.

For any Act to stand within Australian Courts it must not make provision for proof of innocence, but must only provide for proof of guilt and that by the mouth of two or three witnesses.

In view of the foregoing be advised that you, as persons under oath to Her Majesty and as elected members of the public holding office within the Commonwealth of Australia, cannot allow this Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Bill 2012 to proceed in any form that contradicts the Holy Bible, the Christian Protestant Religion, the Australian Constitution, our Queen's Coronation Oath, Common Law, Magna Carta, Habeas Corpus, all other ancient charters and statutes confirming the LIBERTIES of the people, free speech, conscience, religious freedoms, the standing of innocent until proven guilty or freedom of association.

In its present form this Bill is a violation of much that is truly Australian and is unworthy of you.

I charge you not to allow this Bill to pass into law until and unless it meets the requirements I have provided herein.

Sincerely,
Chris Field