

---

To think that people who are supposedly educated and have respect for human life can actually contemplate gender selective abortion is abhorrent.

The facts are plain in terms of the majority view of the educated world.

- Where gender selective abortions are condoned, it is unquestionably the female that suffers in most instances.
- Population balances in countries and ethnic groups that practice gender abortion are unbalanced in terms of the male/female percentages. One does not have to be Einstein to work out the probable social impact.
- Do we actually consider ourselves more justified than other nationalities because we want designer families... ie the 'balanced family' justification?
- The UN is clearly against gender selective abortion. What message are we sending?
- Finally, what message are we sending to our female population in light of the evidence.

Whether gender selective abortion is for personal preference or ethnic cultural reasons, the Australian government (and the community at large) needs to send a very clear message that it is not tolerated under any circumstance. The best way for the government to send that message is through a clear statement that ends Medicare funding for this hideous act.

Jeff Ball