The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Economics 2015

Inquiry into Home Ownership

Addressing all terms of reference with a mix of unforgiving prose and links to related sources of evidence; with particular focus on the predictably terminal attitude of young Australians towards housing affordability, the implications, and the role of media and leadership in shaping opinion and ignoring risk.

Matt Ellis

23rd July 2015

www.rationalradical.me

A nation discovers it's housing bubble

So bubble mania has finally hit the mainstream. Australia's housing bubble question has landed squarely and unceremoniously at the feet of our fearless leaders and political classes. It has irrevocably graffitied those immortal editorial walls of Australian media, firing off a level of bubble debate that could only itself be described as a bubble, a mad rush to get in now or forever miss out on having your opinion heard in the great Australian housing bubble debate bubble of 2015. And only 10 to 15 years late...

What a relief it is to now be able to maturely raise the topic of the housing bubble, it's many causes, risks, consequences and possible solutions, without the palpable fear of becoming a social pariah, or being shunned as that perennially loathed underclass of economic analysis, the doomsday merchant.

The burden of proof it seems, has been reversed onto those who would seek to assure us that there is no bubble, that endless house price rises are universally a good thing, and that economic fundamentals are something that happen to other countries; countries that clearly don't have as great houses as we have, where real estate reality TV is B-grade daytime viewing at best, and where poor people and ungrateful youngsters don't try unsuccessfully to live in houses they can't afford and then get angry about it.

Despite their best efforts, the 'It's different here', 'buy-now-or-forever-miss-out' spruiker crowd cannot pull the veil back down over the hideous monster that is Australia's 16 year long housing bubble. That veil has now been permanently lifted. The cat is out of the bag and roaming the remorseless realms of the internet meme, destined to immortalise 2015 as the year Australia woke up to the giant private debt and housing parasite leeching the country of prosperity, equality and egalitarianism.

Here I was thinking that I enjoyed a monopoly on being a young person who understood that Australia enjoys the worst land / housing / mortgage credit / private debt bubble in our history (a history with no less than 5 long forgotten housing bubbles that all ended in tears), and is on a collision course with economic and financial disaster.

But now I find out that I have to share my long held, evidence backed, and eyes-wide-open perspective on our impending financial and economic doom with other young folk who have correctly identified the real estate emperor's missing clothes. My inner hipster has been crushed by the knowledge that I am no longer a trend setter in financial and economic literacy, and that my only consolation is being able to say "I knew about the bubble before it was cool". How disappointing that I no longer retain the deeply scorned moral high ground of knowing better than the vast majority of the country's Team Australia economists and journalists when it comes to the housing bubble.

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

Sadly I have to now share that honour with the country's politicians, economists, journalists, and internet dwelling unwashed masses, most of whom have suddenly discovered that we do indeed have a bubble, having previously being reminded of such by no less than all four local economic regulators, a financial system inquiry, nearly the whole set of international ratings agencies and investment houses in the world, and a vast army of independent economists and analysts who long ago gave up on being taken seriously in this country. For whatever reason, it was the treasury secretary John Fraser was was somehow able to point out to stunned audiences that there was indeed an elephant in the room, and that it's ready to go on a rampage and trash the joint.

I suppose I should be grateful. Now we can all have a mature discussion about just how screwed we are. Which is where of course whingers like me come in. Belonging to the overseas-holiday-and-smart-phone-addicted, perpetually maligned, short of attention and financially illiterate Millennial Generation, I thought I'd offer my enlightened dissertation on the monumental balls-up that Australia now finds itself in.

Aren't we sick of being lectured by the existing landed classes, older generations and the real estate, banking, media and political circus that pretends to have half a clue about possibly the largest financial, economic and social risk and injustice of our generation? It may surprise them to know that a lot of Gen Ys understand a lot more about this issue than we are taken for, and know a bubble when we see one. We *do* understand the slightest thing about risk, and are now ready to flip the bird in monumental fashion.

Feigned outrage aside, this is not just another hard-done-by social media rant, copied and pasted into a House of Representatives Inquiry submission owing to the onerous character limit on Facebook posts. It is a serious condemnation of the current state of affairs with respect to housing in Australia. I hope to offer a rarely sought but valuable and unique perspective from a participant in the landless class/generations, who (as those who know me can attest) has been raging against the insane nature and consequences of the Australian real estate abomination for the last 5 years, alienating friends while railing on like the aforementioned merchant of doom, only to swear he was on the right side of history. Which for the record I am.

Owing to the seriousness and late stage of Australia's housing bubble, I no longer acknowledge any debate on the existence of the bubble itself. Like climate change, it is a fact. Time to accept it and mitigate the inevitable fallout. Like any rational and intelligent human being considering the question of anthropogenic climate change, I will only endeavour to engage in debate on the problem's definition, causes, scale, implications, consequences, solutions, and of course, overall finger pointing.

If you wish to claim you know better, that's fine, but don't tell me about it until you've at least read the LF Economics submission to the House of Reps 2015 Inquiry into Home Ownership by Lindsay David and Philip Soos, which contains the inglorious and detailed empirical evidence on the subjects that I summarise below, and is about 1000 times more informative than any real estate advertorial brainwashing and self-reinforcing economic cheerleading you'll get from the echo chamber of most mainstream media.

House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

So fair warning, this is an entitled Millennial / Generation Y whinge to rival all others. A rant for the ages. The magnum opus of a landless youth with no one left to direct anger at that will listen. I promise it will be worth it though, so stick with me, you won't want to miss the ending to this story.

The bubble facts, reason to panic

Let's be honest, at the heart of the many preposterous defenses and denials of the bubble and housing affordability subject recently, is an attempt to fend off panic. Yes the majority of our parliamentarians have conflicts of interests with respect to housing affordability, including nice houses and investment properties. Yes they have proven to be staggeringly inept at passing meaningful reform in this area. Yes they most likely want to keep prices high both for their own interests and the interests of the majority of voters who own property. But it really goes without saying that the most politically damaging outcome for any potential reformer would be the collapse of the housing market, with the financial system and economy following shortly afterwards. That is the real truth behind political inaction on affordability. No one wants to own the crash. I'm continually surprised by how few journalists identify that plain fact.

The proof of this fear is in the completely contradictory notion that the government of the day wants prices to keep rising but wants to improve affordability. They must be *seen* to be doing something about affordability, while trying to defend price rises and pin any prospective price falls on potential reforms and all of those meddling 'recession clowns'. With real incomes falling for the last 4 years - the worst wage growth on record - and set to fall much further in coming years, achieving both of these aims is of course mutually exclusive. More on that later.

First consider that for our political and regulatory leaders to be so clearly afraid of the bubble bursting, I argue that there must indeed be a bubble, and it will very soon meet the fate that all bubbles eventually meet by definition, and that is to burst. As in all observed historical housing bubbles, the sheer level of high profile protest against its existence has inadvertently called the top of the largest bubble ever seen in this country. But don't take the denier's word for it. Let's briefly consider the real evidence, definition, reasons and consequences of the housing bubble, and dispel the associated myths.

• The housing bubble is not new, and it's not unique to Sydney and Melbourne. It's not 3 years old, or even 10 years old. Australian housing has been in a nation-wide bubble since at least 1999, when John Howard cut the capital gains tax rate on residential property. Combined with falling interest rates, this set off an orgy of speculative investment, where speculators predictably saw the combination of negative gearing and capital gains tax concessions as the perfect tax shelter and a government sponsored get rich quick scheme.

• Economists and journalists like to quibble about whether the last 2-3 years of price action constitutes a bubble. Well there's only one chart that matters when determining how big this bubble is, and when it started. And it's truly magnificent:



Australian Housing Bubble 1999 – 2014 (Source: Lindsay David & Philip Soos)

- Since 1996, real house price growth (adjusted for inflation) has massively outstripped inflation, real income growth, real rental price growth, and real economic growth. It is mathematically and historically impossible for that imbalance to go on forever. It has never happened in recorded human history, because people need to live in houses. If the average person can't live in the average house there is no economy to speak of, no one to sell houses to, and nothing left to inflate house prices. If every prospective first home buyer decided to go on a buyer's strike tomorrow, the market collapse would be instant and complete.
- Like most bubbles, despite the many important contributing causes such as tax incentives for speculation, failure to adequately tax land, policy supports like first home owner grants, artificially restricted land supply, high population growth, lack of effective enforcement of foreign investment laws, greed, cultural obsessions etc, at the heart of the problem is always the cost and availability of debt.
- Structurally low interest rates and increasingly liberal lending standards combined with disposable incomes determine the ability of people to borrow money. People naturally pay what they can afford to borrow. As the saying goes, a house is worth what someone will pay for it. More debt = higher prices. Chronically low interest rates do not make housing affordable and prevent a crash, as many devious commentators like to claim, they cause bubbles. Which is why the RBA is currently freaking out about the bubble, albeit many years too late.

House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

- Private debt is both the cause and the consequence of the housing bubble, and is also
 what is destroying our once diverse, productive and competitive economic structure, as it
 crowds out productive investment and lending, and drives up the cost of everything. And
 of course it's what causes all the pain when prices finally crash, with much research
 agreeing that debt fuelled bubbles cause the most financial and economic damage, and
 that the debt itself is the main reason for the long lasting economic fallout.
- The most accurate academic definition of a bubble (from Hyman Minsky) is when investment returns (eg. rent) do not cover the cost of investment (eg. interest), so the investor is completely reliant (speculates) on capital appreciation to profit from the investment. This is the definition of the term speculator with respect to asset markets. The proliferation of negative gearing proves this to be the case in Australia. We have had overall net rental losses since 1999. When you have a market dominated by speculators who have negative cash flow, you have a bubble by definition. Negatively geared losses are Australia's own subprime crisis, especially when combined with the more recent proliferation of interest only borrowing.
- The national housing bubble has gone through minor corrections several times and each time been bailed out by massive cuts to interest rates, first home owner grants, relaxing of foreign investment laws, enabling self managed super funds to borrow to invest in residential real estate, and other fraudulent policies. We aren't lucky or exceptional, we just geared our whole economic and taxation system to supporting house prices, and did everything to stop a crash. But with the coming economic downturn and smashed government finances, we are now out of ammo baby.
- We have almost the least affordable housing in the world compared to every single
 measure you can compare it to, yet Australia is not exceptional it is not different
 here. We just had an extraordinary set of circumstances, and anyone who has studied
 first year economics understands that specific combinations of circumstances are always
 cyclical. Like all economies and societies in human history we have boom and bust
 cycles, and the larger the boom, the larger the bust.
- I don't need to tell you that Australia has just had one of the largest economic booms in its history, comparable to the gold rush, when land values in Melbourne escalated dramatically over many years. But economic booms do not guarantee that bubbles don't burst. Usually the opposite in fact. In the 1890s (post the gold rush), the land bubble burst, causing the Australian Banking Crisis, and real house prices took 50 years to recover from massive falls. If you were born in that era, you would no doubt have been told by parents, friends, bankers and media that house prices always go down. Imagine that.
- Australia has had no less than 5 prior housing bubbles, in the 1890s, 1920s, 1950s, 1970s and 1980s, and none of them ended well. What to speak of the lessons from the GFC. Why are we not lectured on these by the older generations? In every bubble there is the claim that this time it's different. History proves that once you have a bubble, it's never different. But we only learn that once it's too late yet again.
- I don't need to spend any time explaining the exact fallout from a crash. We all know it's going to be bad. Can't we just feel it in our bones?! If you want the gory details, go chat to some friendly folk in Ireland, Spain or the US.

House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

And now to quickly dismiss some of those completely tiresome myths that are repeated ad nauseum by the real estate lobby, bankers and Team Australia economists, the same myths that characterise every bubble in recent history:

- There is no genuine lack of physical housing supply. If there was a genuine shortage, rents compared to incomes would also have experienced a similar level of growth as prices. That is not the case. Rental growth is currently at its lowest level in decades. While city rents are expensive, they mostly reflect the enormous wage gains that flowed from the mining boom, and not speculative demand fuelled by cheap debt. Although a serious issue for housing affordability, rents are not a bubble.
- Restricted supply of housing leads to steeper price falls when excess demand is removed. When supply is limited, a small increase in demand leads to a large increase in price. But the inverse is also true, where a small decrease in demand (from say, chronic unaffordability, rising interest rates, investor panic, forced sales owing to rising unemployment etc.) will lead to a large fall in prices, just as swiftly as the rises on the way up.
- This is exactly what happened in recent bubbles in Ireland, Spain and the US, where
 everyone insisted for years that there was a massive shortage of supply. Ireland in
 particular went through a massive surge in construction because of this claim, and
 suffered much worse price falls because of it. This is exactly what Australia is doing,
 building into the bust.
- We do not have safe banks, or responsible lending standards. The Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority is currently working with banks to raise their capital buffers, which have been shown to be woefully inadequate despite the myth of the opposite. This is because major banks have been able to decide for themselves how risky their mortgage portfolios are. When compared internationally, they are no less risky than Lehman Brothers before the US housing crash. APRA is also belatedly enforcing higher lending standards to residential mortgages, as there is deep concern about loan to value ratios, interest only loans and interest rate buffers, to name a few things.
- Even if we did have responsible lending standards and ultra safe banks, these things do
 not prevent a bubble from forming or bursting. They did not help Ireland, Spain or the US
 (or Australia in previous bubbles). All you need for a bubble to form and eventually
 collapse is cheap debt and the belief that prices will always rise. As discussed earlier, all
 you need is speculators who require capital gains to earn a profit.
- House price speculation is not good for the economy, its actually really bad. It has completely distorted our economy by diverting money and investment away from productive enterprise and business and into unproductive asset price speculation. It has made us uncompetitive, extremely indebted and debt addicted, utterly reliant on export income, un-diversified, and totally pro-cyclical, driving away bellwether value-added economic activity like manufacturing. When the current economic cycle driven by mining and housing fully turns for the worse (which it is already), our economy is toast. We have no resilience or diversity to see us through the hard times. That mythical beast, the "wealth effect" from rising prices, only works when there is more capacity for debt growth and price rises, and supportive economic conditions.
- Despite the common claims, the currently falling prices in WA and NT and flatlining prices anywhere outside of our biggest cities - are not proof that there is no national

housing bubble, they are proof that the bubble is very close to the top, and majorly fraying at the seams. Only Sydney and Melbourne have been able to maintain the irrational exuberance at this late stage of the bubble with the help of international money laundering and general speculative insanity – proof that the nation's housing market is on very shaky ground. Wherever you are in the country, the value of your house is at major risk from the coming correction.

• The last myth is my favourite, the one that seeks a scapegoat. There is no single cause for the bubble, nor a single party to blame. There has been an extraordinary swathe of cultural, policy, tax, regulatory and monetary settings to get us to this stage, and nearly all of us bought the line about "this time it's different" hook line and sinker, just like every other bubble in history. We gave into greed and ignorant exceptionalism, and when this one blows, we will all share the blame and the pain.

A bubble has a way of consuming the hearts and the minds of the country it infects, like a parasite slowly eating its host alive. We have an inability to see things ever being different, until one day the fog clears, the tide goes out, and we see who is left standing with or without pants on. A common fear amongst the younger generations is that they will never own property. This is what I like to refer to as 'grey sky thinking', something I heard a property developer of all people once use to explain this psychological phenomenon. There is always a blue sky somewhere, you just forget it exists when you're stuck in a storm cloud for 20 years.

As I've explained, and history shows, it is impossible for such circumstances to continue indefinitely. There is always a cycle at work, no matter how long the cycle. There is only one way out of this for young people, and that is price falls. The time for solutions like tax reform to save us from this fate has passed. We must still pursue these reforms to prevent the next bubble from kicking off down the road, but we cannot prevent the completion of the bubble cycle. All that is needed is patience. No one bothers to explain this whole back story and actually provide real advice to young Australians. All we get is stereotypes, platitudes and false dichotomy. We are constantly let down by people who should know better. People who should have learnt from Australian history and recent global history exactly how dangerous housing bubbles are.

But instead young people are hypnotised by the corrupt and sinister plea to 'Buy now or forever miss out'. Ask yourself who it is giving this advice, and who the advice really serves. Apply a little 'cui bono' (who benefits) to the legendary and zealous Australian real estate spruik.

It's all about risk (and who it belongs to)

A whole generation of leaders and landowners is asking the current generations to accept the poisoned chalice that is Australian real estate. I've long said that housing affordability is only the main symptom of the disease, and that the greater injustice is the disease itself, an utterly broken economic model that is wholly reliant on housing speculation, and its sinister

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

economic 'comorbidity' factor: unacceptable financial risk. Unacceptable financial risk is the exact and enormous burden that young Australians are being asked to take off the hands of the landed class, a giant intergenerational transfer of wealth in return for a broken system, just like climate change (apologies, but the analogy is a good one).

The overwhelming expert consensus is that Australian housing has never been less affordable and more risky. Yet members of Gen X and Y are frequently and arrogantly dismissed as spoilt and entitled. Somehow I'm meant to be selfish for rightly pointing out the 20+ years of policy failure (and policy rigging) serving the entitlements of existing home owners and speculators, which ruined the productive economy in the process, and for pointing out the terminal risks being thrust upon us. That I'm just an entitled youngster living at home with my folks with inflated expectations, who only cares about how to snag some trendy inner city dive.

This indefensible point of view has become a widespread phenomenon across the developed world in the last decade or two, as those who have benefited from global housing bubbles and rigged financial systems must shoulder at least part of the blame, but instead seek to alleviate guilt by disparaging those on the other side of the fence. Looking at the world post GFC, it's pretty hard to see how young folks deciding they couldn't afford a house because they spent too much money on iPhones and didn't want to live 100km from where they worked, somehow caused the greatest financial meltdown since the great depression. Sorry folks, the answer is record private debt and housing bubbles.

The cognitive dissonance of those who defend the status quo is astounding. My publicly professed aspirations as an eventual homeowner are meant to somehow preclude me from being critical of policy and tax settings that completely ruined our economy, because 'I'm just entitled'. That's why young folks are finally calling bulldust, and like all bubbles, this one will collapse under the weight of its own hubris and internal contradiction, as younger generations increasingly see through the illusion. We're not selfish or ill informed, we're just not financially suicidal. We're surprisingly sensible, and not ready to stand by and take another bolloxing from the powers that be and their indefensible protection of the worst housing bubble in a hundred years.

Young Australians are expected and encouraged – even conned and bribed – into taking on utterly crippling levels of mortgage debt in the assumption that the housing bubble party will continue forever, and that the only way to a secure retirement is to sell your soul for a slice of Aussie real estate. Meanwhile, there is nearly universal acceptance that our housing market is unsustainable in its current form, and a countless list of official warnings from global and local regulators and economic bodies such as the IMF, the OECD, the RBA, ASIC, APRA, international ratings agencies, the Murray Financial System Inquiry, and now arguably our top economic advisor and public servant, the head of the treasury John Fraser. They have all at some time in recent history said we should be very wary of our house prices and the possibility of collapse.

When will the economics editors of the country honestly and comprehensively refer to this long list of warnings, and apply it in cautioning young Australians to be extremely careful when considering the risks of entering this current market? Those that intentionally

obfuscate these serious risks are dangerous charlatans. How dare they convince the impressionable younger generations to take on such astronomical risk with barely a mention of these warnings that have repeatedly issued forth from the most experienced and trusted economists in the world. Do tabloid pro-housing spruiker journalists and conflicted bankers and industry insiders somehow know better? Are the world's top economists and analysts just a bunch of doomsayers and clowns? No, they just understand what risk is, unlike the majority of Australians. Observation, logic and ethics suggest that there is a *very* large burden of culpability on those who work to knowingly pass off these risks as a sure bet.

Falling prices are coming, and this time they will lead to a self-fulfilling crash

By now it is self evident that house prices must fall to restore affordability. I go further and argue that because of the universal characteristics of a bubble described above, and a backdrop of deteriorating economic conditions and falling incomes, a house price crash is the necessary and inevitable solution to housing affordability.

Given that fact, for young Australians like me, I want to paint an even more detailed picture of the utterly improbable and devious situation that we are being asked to swallow, by drawing further attention to the contradictory view frequently shared by senior politicians, journalists and writers from an older landed gentry who profess to have a genuine concern for the ability of the next generations to own land at some point in the future, but do not wish to suffer price falls. Or if they begrudgingly admit price falls are needed, the admission is usually coupled with calls for some kind of mitigating policy (such as first home owner grants or allowing access to superannuation for housing deposits), or counselling caution in reforms in order to 'avoid distorting the market', or to 'avoid a crash'.

In taking this supposedly sensible position, these allegedly well meaning individuals in positions of influence are unavoidably acknowledging the very real threat of a market collapse, and the implications for the economy, financial system, and in most cases their own personal assets. But they also feel guilty enough (and one assumes possess a conscience enough) to want a solution to housing affordability. To put it bluntly, they don't want the market to collapse, but they want young Australians to be able to buy into the market that they know is at risk of collapse...

Think about that for a minute. The notion that making necessary reforms to housing and tax policy might trigger a market downturn and should therefore be offset by some form of stimulus or 'grandfathering' of reforms, or avoided altogether, is a deeply troubling one. If the market is due for a collapse (which it is), it won't be because of measures to correct the imbalances, it is because of the imbalances themselves. No amount of can kicking will change the inevitable outcome. It will simply worsen the imbalances, and in so doing worsen the outcome, to everyone's loss.

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

In other words, most of the economists, journalists, politicians and the landed gentry that they represent, who occasionally advocate for housing reform and solutions to housing affordability, are asking the next generation of Australians to assume all of the risks of the massive imbalances in the housing market, while ensuring that the market is held up long enough for them to pass on that risk. They want us to inherit the enormous teetering facade that they created, holding open the fire escape just long enough to get out before the collapse, which they guietly acknowledge is the most likely eventual outcome.

What a conceited set of leaders and commentators we thus have. I vainly hope for royal commissions and even criminal charges to be laid in some not-so distant future when our livelihoods have been destroyed by the pedlars of Australian real estate who do know better. In Ireland, a country devastated by its own epic real estate bubble with striking similarities to our own, there have been no criminal charges. But there has been an official inquiry into the banking system. And the results show that the Irish media were complicit and culpable in their unquestioning loyalty to booming real estate and their wilful blindness to the unfailing history of asset/credit bubbles to destroy at least as much wealth as they created on the way up. They were shown to be complicit due to their proven conflict of interest in deriving profits from real estate mania, and no doubt because of a desire to protect their own personal land holdings, and deny any existential threat to their paper wealth.

The long and shameful (and predictable) history of asset bubbles proves that the beneficiaries of the bubble are always victim to a certain exceptionalism, a notion of providence and intelligent decision making that enabled their participation in such windfall gains. The smart investor that got in at the right time. If only there was a way to alleviate the nagging guilt and subconscious fear that eventually there will run out a stock of greater fools to maintain the bubble. Because when there is no stock of greater fools, a self-fulfilling destiny of price collapse follows, as price falls at the margin trigger a rush for the exits by those speculators with negative cash flows who can no longer rely on ever rising prices. This is sometimes described as the 'Minsky' moment, where stability leads to instability, and the contradictions of Ponzi finance are laid bare.

The way to temporarily alleviate this guilt and fear is usually to argue for the economy to catch up to this imbalance between prices and economic fundamentals. The bubble deniers argue that for almost the first time in history, a price bubble will not have to deflate, but that the rest of the world will catch up, justifying the speculative wealth gains achieved by the bubble participants and their exceptional investment choices.

And worse, this exceedingly improbable plan to manage or 'taper' the bubble is in direct contradiction to the notion that it is in the interests of those excluded from the bubble to be granted help to participate at this late stage. It is an admission that the bubble cannot go on as it has, but must be preserved in a permanently high plateau to protect speculative wealth gains, and yet sold as a worthwhile risk and investment to the next generation of buyers who will falsely believe that recent price history will repeat itself, but that the long term history of prices matching economic fundamentals – like incomes, rents and economic growth – will not repeat itself. It is disingenuous in the extreme.

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

This is the cognitive dissonance that our political economy suffers from in huge measure. Everyone with land has a stake in preserving the status quo, even if they do not admit it, and when the vast majority of experts themselves are part of the landed class, we cannot trust their notions of bubble management that masquerade as altruism in assisting people to afford housing at the peak of the bubble. This can only be seen as an offensive disservice to young Australians who don't know better.

Investment, finance and economics is all about understanding and managing risk, and when we cannot trust anyone to tell the truth about risk, be it media, politicians, family, friends, and certainly not real estate agents, it is the surest sign that we are reaching the zenith of the largest land bubble in Australian history. It should serve as a severe warning to the un-landed classes to avoid at all costs being drawn in to this apogee of risk, just to enable the winners to cash in on their paper wealth, and get out while they can. This is the hot potato that young Australians need to reject at all costs. Let it fail because it must.

For otherwise intelligent and expert commentators and leaders to accept the very real risk of collapse, but to convince the impressionable young to assume that risk is a deeply selfish and contradictory perspective that must be condemned. History will ensure that it is condemned, so for your own sake, don't fall for the greatest con in living memory. It is inevitable that we will see at least some price falls, and that these price falls will lead to a rush for the exits when there is no longer any policy or monetary levers left to bail out the market. Therefore you have nothing to lose from waiting this out and shunning the housing bubble, and everything to lose from gambling on this sinister and severe level of risk that we will shortly come to understand in all of its emergent horror.

Because what comes next is the housing crash we had to have.

Conclusion

My conclusion is that severely unaffordable housing is a problem that has a built in solution, because it's main cause is the largest housing bubble we have ever faced. Like many well respected individuals and institutions, I don't see it ending well, and have the full weight of history and data to support that view.

I do however still wish to briefly list a broad set of recommendations, (which I have included in the final section of this submission), for which the supporting evidence has been provided at length by the real subject matter experts, and other submissions to this inquiry, such as that by LF Economics. These are crucial recommendations that have for years been put forward by many experts and hard working analysts, economists, journalists, bloggers and even the odd politician. These are the folks that we will look to in coming years as the people we should have listened to (as they do in Ireland now), and whose research and understanding I'm extremely grateful for in helping me to make my own informed choices about housing, and more importantly, risk.

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

This is my fundamental point. Young people don't even understand what the definition of affordable housing is, let alone possess the ability and knowledge to make informed choices about the largest financial decision that most people ever make. I personally opted out of the current market many years ago, not because I couldn't afford a property in the strictest sense, but because I deem the above described risks as terminal and completely unjustified. It's simply not worth it. I've got a life to live, and refuse to put my family at risk of financial ruin owing to a single asset strategy, when that single asset is the most expensive it's ever been compared to nearly every possible economic measure, and nearly every other country in the world.

But I have the benefit of spending countless hours doing my own research on financial history and macroeconomics. Why would most people bother with all that? Or have the time? First home buyers and renters alike need advice and counsel they can trust, and I've well and truly made my point that we suffer from a severe lack of trustworthy sources on financial, economic, market, and in particular housing matters.

So for all the many reforms needed to prevent a worsening of the current situation and a repeat in the future, the real focus of this essay is the role that people and institutions (both public and private) in positions of influence and power, play in informing opinions about risk with respect to the housing market in Australia. We need reliable research, communication, education and advice to be available to the young and impressionable generations. And we need people and institutions to be somehow held to account if and when they knowingly misinform, or peddle their own book in influencing debate.

We need established financial advice laws and regulations to be applied to the real estate industry. We need much greater transparency and disclosure of interests. We should establish and publicise a parliamentary register of property holdings. We should establish a public education program and official government advice portal for housing, renting, and real estate purchasing and selling - one that very clearly identifies risk levels, timeframes and scenarios, similar to those required by other financial products. We need a reliable and simple way to compare housing choices with respect to financial and other needs, and historical expected returns. We need to reform real estate practice to stamp out dubious practices like underquoting and fraudulent auction activity. We should reform private real estate data collection such as clearance rates to adhere to minimum scientific reporting standards. We should make timely and accurate real estate and housing data widely and freely available to the public.

We need to be much more honest to our readers, peers, friends, children, spouses and most importantly ourselves about how much a house is actually worth, and not give them advice based on gut instinct, conflicts of interest and herd mentality. Most of all, we need to understand, refer to and respect economic history and empirical evidence in making decisions, both personally, and for the country. Because history unanimously suggests that we are in a lot of trouble.

Recommendations

Recognise and rectify the long history of policy 'failures' driving dangerously unsustainable real house price inflation:

- The failure to adequately tax land the original and greatest source of wealth, long recognised as the most efficient, equitable and economically stabilising tax base
- Negative gearing of residential investment properties
- Capital gains tax concessions and exemptions for residential real estate
- Allowing self-managed super funds to borrow money to invest in real estate
- Numerous first home buyer grants and concessions
- Aged Pension means test exemptions for primary place of residence
- Relaxation of rules for foreign investment in real estate
- Failure to enforce existing foreign investment laws
- Urban containment policies coupled with rampant inner city 'NIMBYism'
- Obstructive and conflicted planning approval processes
- Upfront infrastructure charges on new housing
- Economically damaging and volatile stamp duties
- Systemic enabling of political donations from property developers and land bankers
- Long term relaxation of lending standards and prudent financial regulation
- And the worst culprit of all: cheap money...

Finally implement the real evidence-based solutions:

- Phase out negative gearing on investment properties, which currently add at least an estimated 9% premium to prices, and has helped to create an entrenched culture of real estate speculation.
- Phase out the capital gains tax exemptions and concessions on residential real estate, which make negative gearing an attractive investment strategy.
- Restore rules disallowing self managed super funds from borrowing to invest in real estate, which has added to investor demand and destroyed savings in the process.
- Scrap all remaining first home buyer grants and stamp duty concessions. Artificially giving buyers access to larger deposits and by extension larger loans has long been proven to simply add to the price of housing by at least as much as the additional funds. The long running First Home Buyer's Grant has been referred to as the "First Home Vendor's Boost" because it was simply built into sale prices and then some, as buyer's borrowing capacity was increased by orders of magnitude above the grant amount.
- Restore stricter rules and oversight on foreign investment in real estate, which account for an estimated 10% of current property transactions for established dwellings.
- Phase out or reform the primary place of residence exemption from the pension assets test, which currently discourages down-sizing and efficient use of housing stock.

The Housing Crash We Had to Have: A Gen Y Perspective on the Bubble House of Reps Inquiry into Home Ownership

Matt Ellis - 23rd July 2015

- Root and branch reform of the urban planning system to free up the supply of new housing and enable increased development of appropriate, responsible and sustainable medium density housing in existing urban areas.
- Replace volatile and distortionary stamp duties with a broad based land tax (as is already happening in the ACT), to improve the efficiency and fairness of the housing market, discourage speculation and land banking, and help to fund much needed infrastructure.
- Implement strict macro-prudential controls to curb speculative investment and enforce higher lending standards, including tighter loan-to-value ratios, tougher serviceability tests and a clamp down on extremely risky interest only lending.
- Raise current capital reserve requirements for residential lending and tighten other financial regulations that govern the levels of risk in our banking system, a major source of systemic risk for which the taxpayer and indeed the entire economy and financial system is ultimately on the hook.
- Implore leaders and media to genuinely and fearlessly begin one of the toughest national discussion we've ever had: 'What do we do when we have the largest and longest-running housing bubble in the country's history, and how do we properly inform young Australians about risk?'