SUBMISSION TO SENATE HEARING

a) the current level of	This has never been a level playing field between banks and
competition between bank	non- banks due to the former's special relationship with
and non-bank providers	government and also due to size, which confers economies of
and non-bank providers	scale and scope – non-bank providers also, have less access to
	qualified employees and only succeed by carving out niche
	markets. Unfortunately many of the mortgage brokers used
	unqualified staff and distorted reports. It should be realised that
	the most stable banking systems have a few large banks, public
	ownership and limits on single shareholdings. That is Australia
	has a stable banking system with a trade- off of less
	competition. The US banking system defies all the ingredients
	of stability promoting competition ahead of "safe and sound"
	regulatory systems – for instance allowing state governments
	to regulate, having conflicts between three different regulators
	with sub branches, so regulatory arbitrage occurs. There is an
	indicator of competition used in the US (Hirschleiffer) but
	it takes no account of the fact that a large number of
	institutions competing in a market can produce
	dysfunctional behaviour.
(b) the products available	Banks tend to distinguish their products but as they all tend to
and fees and charges	take similar interest rate and liquidity gap positions their
payable on those products	deposit and lending rates only differ in terms of fees, charges,
	security and bells and whistles. The Australian market is
	largely dependent on commodity prices so banks' asset and
	liability management must be conservative.
	Committee members should be aware that banks borrow
	from each other (up to 12% of funds); daily set the bank
	bill rate on which many lending and borrowing products
	are linked, and will turn to each other in a lender of last
	resort manner to close out positions. Hence what appears
	as collusion is normal banking practice.
(c) how competition	Prepayment fees are based on the rationale that if a bank locks
impacts on unfair terms that	in to lend for a set period they will then borrow for a set
may be included in	period, and early exit by a lender involves them in costs of
contracts	rearranging their assets and liabilities. Suggestions of
	transferable accounts would necessitate a lender and borrower
	having satisfied all identity requirements with each institution.
	Any proposal must be considered in view of banks'
	reporting requirements to the ATO, AUSTRAC and their
	own credit checks.
(d) the likely drivers of	This has always come about as banks have accumulated
future change and	sufficient capital to expand. Hence it is essential that
innovation in the banking	committee members recognise that banks are not extracting
and	non-renewable resources, but adding value due to their
non-banking sectors	continual response to a changing market. Hence a super
	profits tax on banks would be counterproductive,
	inhibiting their ability to grown and respond to external
	environmental changes, such as a greater superannuation

SUBMISSION TO SENATE HEARING

SUBMISSION TO SENATE HEARING		
	pool and the need to create wealth products. Other external drivers could be government assistance to the farming sector which would allow banks to expand their lending in this sector, or tax concessions to small businesses.	
(e) the ease of moving between providers of banking services	As mentioned above banks need to do due diligence identity checks, credit assessment and nothing should jeopardise the careful approach of Australian banks compared to their US counterparts.	
(f) the impact of the large banks being considered 'too big to fail' on profitability and competition	Australia has always taken the approach of avoiding shocks to the system by using subtle arranged marriages or takeouts. To date this approach of using existing market players has worked and avoided burdening the tax payer, just shareholders.	
(g) regulation that has the impact of restricting or hindering competition within the banking sector, particularly regulation imposed during the global financial crisis	The guarantee system introduced during the GFC should be rationalised by introducing a deposit guarantee scheme which distinguishes institutions on the basis of risk – hence it could be extended to any type of deposit taking institution but with the size of fee related to the size of the deposit guaranteed, the type of the institution, and its risk taking profile.	
(h) opportunities for, and obstacles to, the creation of new banking services and the entry of new banking service providers	The tax treatment of the agricultural and SME sector, the lack of assistance to environmental protection role of farmers, the myriad of local, state and federal regulation that bind these sectors lead to complaints of underservicing. Also the role of credit card providers and their setting of interest rates should be subject to investigation. MasterCard and Visa have a virtual monopoly, and the size of payments to such providers probably exceeds mortgage costs for the population as a whole.	
(i) assessment of claims by banks of cost of capital	Capital is always more expensive than debt, particularly when franking credits and high dividends are demanded. Committee members should be aware that banks are the mainstay of pension payments and superannuation returns. Capital has traditionally been assumed to cost a bank 22% whereas the average cost of debt is usually far below this.	
(j) any other policies, practices and strategies that may enhance competition in banking, including legislative change	Investigation of the fees and charges imposed by credit card providers on both merchants and consumers and the encouragement of other providers of EFTPOS machines with a ban on fees charged at ATMs may promote more entrants to come into the industry.	
(k) comparisons with relevant international jurisdictions	Our regulatory system has much to recommend it and has been reviewed in numerous publications by this author	
(l) the role and impact of past inquiries into the banking sector in promoting reform	I initiated the Martin inquiry in 1990 when giving evidence as an officer of the Corporate Affairs Commission to a committee headed by Michael Lavarche - a standing committee on legislative and administration affairs. The recommendations of a "Pocketful of Change" contained several of mine – namely onsite bank regulations and a separate prudential regulator – set the stage for the stability of a banking system that we now	

SUBMISSION TO SENATE HEARING

	all appreciate. It would be a pity to jeopardise the track record of a past Labor government by paying too much credence to claims of collusive and - competitive behaviour, which are in fact due to the normal banking practice of banks borrowing and lending to each other.
(m) Any other related matter.	In conclusion, banks are not analogous to mining companies – they are not depleting non-renewable resources and should not have a super profits tax imposed on them.