

**Sent:** Friday, 11 December 2009 10:52 PM  
**To:** CASA Officers  
**Subject:** TRIM: FW: Air Amb Supp [SEC=IN-CONFIDENCE:AUDIT]  
**Attachments:** casa.doc; Routes for SAFE.doc

**Categories:** IN-CONFIDENCE:AUDIT

Hello CASA Officer

Some preliminary results for the accident flight using a more sophisticated and operationally validated biomathematical model (SAFE).

I have entered two other long crew duty flights and will get the SAFE results for them over the week end. The attached files as follow:

1. casa.doc: SAFE summary for Sydney-Norfolk-Apia-Norfolk. Ignore the airfield designators - the system just needed local body clock time.

2. Routes for SAFE: a summary of the routes I've provided to the FRMS Standards Officer at the UK CAA. More to follow.

Regards

CASA Officer

---

**From:** UK Civil Aviation Authority Officer

**Sent:** Friday, 11 December 2009 22:21

**To:** CASA Officer

**Subject:** Air Amb Supp

Hi CASA Officer

Here is our air ambulance supplement in a word doc. This can only be applied in conjunction with the rest of their approved scheme (so table A limits, standby and duty hour requirements). While the use of 24 hour standby for 8 weeks may not have caused the accident, the culture of a company that operates in this manner must have had an impact.

Also, here is the screen shot of the SAFE analysis and a bit of a narrative.

I hope this all helps.

Best Regards

UK Civil Aviation Authority Officer

<<casa.doc>> <<Air Amb sup.doc>>

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Before Printing consider the environment.**

This e-mail and any attachment(s) are for authorised use by the intended recipient(s) only. It may contain proprietary material, confidential information and/or be subject to legal privilege.  
If you are not an intended recipient then please promptly delete this e-mail, as well as any associated attachment(s) and inform the sender. It should not be copied, disclosed to, retained or used by, any other party.  
Thank you.

Please note that all e-mail messages sent to the Civil Aviation Authority are subject to monitoring / interception for lawful business

\*\*\*\*\*

The end of the first flight has a Samn-Perelli alertness score of 5.7 (we use 5 as a our max baseline but would expect to see 5.1 / 5.2 after a long night flight). The blood alcohol level is 0.140%, which is one and half times our drink drive limit.

The second flight ends on a score of 0.059% blood alcohol and a Samn-Perelli score of 4.4, this is assuming that the crew got a good quality 5 hours of sleep on the day stop. We would not allow such a pattern and in this case I would say that the scores are on the low side for the second flight, especially as you can see that the rest period did not allow a the crew to fully recover from their previous duty (the yellow/green colour indicates this).

We have had issues where FAID scores have been very different from SAFE scores and we will not take a FAID score as a means to issue a variation.



