



COMMITTEE SECRETARY
SENATE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
PO BOX 6100
PARLIAMENT HOUSE
CANBERRA, ACT, 2600

DEAR SECRETARY

HUMAN RIGHTS AND ANTI-DISCRIMINATION
BILL 2012

APOLOGIES FOR THE LATENESS OF THIS SUBMISSION.
TOO LATE, I LEARNED OF ITS NEED...

THIS BILL MUST RESPECT THE RIGHT OF PEOPLE
TO DISSENT/DISCRIMINATE/DISTINGUISH FEARLESSLY
REGARDING PROPOSALS/MATTERS/PRACTICES WHICH
CAN BE SHOWN TO BE IN CLEAR CONFLICT WITH
NATURAL LAW.

ST THOMAS AQUINAS POINTED OUT:

"THAT ANY LAW WHICH DOES NOT ACCORD WITH
NATURAL LAW IS NOT A TRUE LAW AT ALL,
BUT IS A CORRUPTION OF LAW."

AT THIS LATE STAGE I CAN DO NO MORE BUT
APPEAL FOR YOUR POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF
THE RELEVANCE OF THE CONTENT OF 3 ARTICLES
ON MY WEBSITE WWW.LOVEGOD.CC WITH REGARD
TO THE MATTERS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR THIS BILL
ARTICLE 79. "HUMAN RIGHTS AND TRUE FREEDOM"

" 76. "EUTHANASIA"

" 34.2 & 34.3 "HOMOSEXUALITY"

FURTHER TO THESE ARTICLES,
WITH REGARD TO "THE ONUS OF PROOF",
THE COMPLAINANT MUST ALWAYS BE REQUIRED
TO PROVE AN OFFENCE HAS OCCURRED.
HOW MAY IT EVER BE DEEMED TO BE JUST
FOR AN ACCUSED PERSON WHO IS INNOCENT,
TO BE AUTOMATICALLY DEEMED TO BE GUILTY
WHEREIN THEY ARE UNABLE TO ESTABLISH THEIR
INNOCENCE? A MATTER OF ONE PERSON'S WORD
AGAINST ANOTHER'S. (THIS MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT.)

THANKYOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

YOURS SINCERELY

ALAN MITTER.